

**14th Meeting of the Conference of the Contracting Parties  
to the Ramsar Convention on Wetlands**

**“Wetlands Actions for People and Nature”  
Wuhan, China and Geneva, Switzerland, 5-13 November 2022**

**Ramsar COP14 Doc.18.11 Rev.1**

**Note from the Secretariat:**

At the resumed session of its 59th meeting, the Standing Committee in Decision SC59/2022-28 accepted the revised draft resolution in document SC59/2022 Doc.24.7 Rev.1 *Ramsar Wetland Awards* with the proposed amendments and agreed to forward it to COP14 for its consideration.

**Draft resolution on the Ramsar Wetland Conservation Awards**

*Submitted by Sweden*

1. NOTING the achievements of the Convention in promoting the conservation and wise use of wetlands, and the many individuals, organizations and governments who have contributed significantly to this achievement;
2. RECALLING Resolution VI.18 on *Establishment of the Ramsar Wetland Conservation Awards*;
3. RECONFIRMING the need of a Ramsar Wetland Conservation Awards to recognize and honour such contributions as a means of motivating greater and continued support for the Convention's cause in the future; and
4. ACKNOWLEDGING the importance of the financial support from donors that make the Ramsar Wetland Conservation Awards more attractive by enabling prize funds;

**THE CONFERENCE OF THE CONTRACTING PARTIES**

5. DECIDES that the Ramsar Wetland Conservation Awards should be presented on the occasion of each ordinary meeting of the Conference of the Parties;
6. DECIDES that individuals and groups, of all nations, are invited to submit nominations;
7. DECIDES that any individuals or organizations (including government institutions, sub-national authorities, non-governmental organizations private companies and community groups), as well as permanent or temporary co-operations between individuals and/or organizations of any nation or region, can be nominated, as long as the nominee fulfil the Eligibility criteria and perform well under the Award criteria, as described in Annex 1.

8. DECIDES that the Ramsar Wetland Conservation Award categories, further described in Annex 1, will be:
  - i) The Ramsar Wetland Conservation Wise Use Award;
  - ii) The Ramsar Wetland Conservation Award for Innovation;
  - iii) The Ramsar Wetland Conservation Award for Young Wetland Champions;
  - iv) The Ramsar Wetland Conservation Award for Science; and
  - v) The Ramsar Wetland Conservation Award for CEPA;
  - vi) The Ramsar Wetland Indigenous Peoples Conservation and Wise Use Award [or could replace the Innovation award]
  
9. DECIDES that the Standing Committee may decide upon Ramsar Wetland Merit Awards for nominees for the Ramsar Wetland Conservation Awards that do not get another Award. This may be done if a nominee stands out because of a long-term contribution or commitment to the conservation and wise use of wetlands, characterized by excellence or large achievements;
  
10. DECIDES that each COP is going to decide upon budget and number of awards and in what categories Awards are to be selected for the coming Ramsar Wetland Conservation Award cycle;
  
11. REQUESTS the Standing Committee to do the following for each Ramsar Wetland Conservation Award cycle:
  - i) consider if the latest COP decision on Award categories and budget are still plausible when it is time to call for nominations, and if not adjust the COP decision in a relevant way;
  - ii) decide on the deadline for the nominations and any other components in order to establish ~~for getting~~ a relevant timetable for this ~~the~~ process;
  - iii) decide about the Award recipients in a closed session;
  - iv) make sure that the draft resolution on the coming budget includes information about funds that can be allocated for the Awards, and what categories are to be chosen for the coming Ramsar Wetland Conservation Award cycle; and
  - v) make sure the COP Working Group acts as jury, or replace it with a subset of the Standing Committee if asked to do so by the COP Working Group;
  
12. INSTRUCTS the Secretariat to do the following for each Ramsar Wetland Conservation Award cycle:
  - i) support the nomination process described in Annex 1, so that the Standing Committee ~~in the end~~ can take a decision on the Awards, (such as seeking sponsors if needed, announcing the call for nominations, compile data about the nominations, evaluate them and prepare shortlists of possible candidates). If needed, advice can for example be given by members of appropriate Ramsar bodies;
  - ii) ensure that information on the nominations and the decision on the award recipients is not made public prematurely;
  - iii) arrange everything for the Ramsar Wetland Conservation Awards ceremony; and
  - iv) contribute to the Award recipients getting attention in different kinds of media;
  
13. DECIDES that the Standing Committee's decisions on the Awards are final and cannot be appealed against;
  
14. DECIDES that the Ramsar Wetland Conservation Award recipients shall receive a trophy and a certificate and a cash award subject to available funding. ~~and if prize funds are allocated in the~~

~~budget, also a cash reward.~~ ALSO DECIDES that Ramsar Wetland Merit Awards recipients shall receive a trophy and a certificate subject to available funding;

15. RECOMMENDS in line with Annex 1 ~~DECIDES~~ that the Award ceremony ~~is to be held physically at the COP, followed by a reception to favour exchanges between laureates and all delegates according to the allocated budget arranged using on-line participation for the recipients combined with~~ and, optionally, national ceremonies could be held in the laureate country after ~~ahead of~~ the ceremony at the COP;
16. ENCOURAGES ~~the Contracting Parties to~~ promote the Ramsar Wetland Conservation Award, both when nominations open for the possibility to nominate potential candidates, as well as when announcing award recipients ~~inform about the Ramsar Wetland Conservation Award, both when it comes to the possibilities to nominate nominees and what nominees got the Award; and~~
17. DECIDES to retire the Resolution and Standing Committee decisions listed for retirement in Annex 2. There are no Recommendations including Ramsar Wetland Awards that need to be retired.

## Annex 1

### Categories, Eligibility criteria, Award criteria and Procedures

#### Description of the Ramsar Wetland Conservation Award categories:

1. The COP and in some cases the Standing Committee can decide upon ~~which-what~~ of the mentioned categories ~~that~~ are to be used in the coming award cycle.
  - i) *The Ramsar Wetland Conservation Wise Use Award*, rewarding a person, project, programme or policy that has made a significant documented contribution to the long-term sustainable use of wetlands, either at specific wetland sites (including Ramsar Sites) or on a broader scale, and which can be replicated elsewhere. The concept of the wise use of wetlands, defined as “the maintenance of their ecological character, achieved through the implementation of ecosystem approaches, within the context of sustainable development” is at the heart of the Ramsar philosophy.
  - ii) *The Ramsar Wetland Conservation Award for Innovation*, rewarding a person, project, programme or policy that has contributed to the conservation and wise use of wetlands through an innovative technique or approach.
  - iii) *The Ramsar Conservation Award for Young Wetland Champions*, rewarding a young person or a group of young people that has contributed to the wise use of wetlands, through activities including but not limited to awareness raising, campaigning, restoration and other conservation efforts. For the purpose of this Award, people between 18 and 30 years old or groups with members and leader between 18 and 30 years old at the time of the nomination will be considered.
  - iv) *The Ramsar Wetland Conservation Award for Science*, rewarding a scientist or a group of scientists who contributes ~~whose contributions~~ to the advancement of sciences in any of their branches important for wetland biodiversity and ecosystem services.
  - v) *The Ramsar Wetland Conservation Award for CEPA*, rewarding the best initiative for communication, capacity building, education, participation, and ~~public~~ awareness for wetlands, as well as the results of the activities.
  - vi) *The Ramsar Wetland Indigenous Peoples Conservation and Wise Use Award* [or could replace the Innovation award], award aiming to promote outstanding projects led by or involving indigenous peoples, to specifically acknowledge outstanding leadership and transformative projects either led by, or involving, indigenous peoples.

#### Eligibility criteria

2. Nominees must be alive at the time of nomination. Awards will not be given posthumously.
3. Self-nominations and requests for study grants will not be accepted.
4. Nominations of current members or invited experts of Ramsar Subsidiary bodies such as the Ramsar Standing Committee and the members and invited experts of Ramsar’s Scientific and Technical Review Panel, as well as ~~and~~ Ramsar Secretariat staff members will not be accepted.

5. Nominations that do not meet the deadline will not be accepted.
6. Direct approaches from the nominator, the nominee or the Contracting Party in which the nominee is active on the matter of Ramsar Wetland Conservation Awards to members of the Ramsar Standing Committee (acting as the selection committee) or attempts to influence their decision on awards recipients will disqualify any nominee from further consideration.
7. Individuals, groups and organizations that have been convicted of or are under investigation of a criminal offence connected to the wetland project/carrier/deed that they have been nominated for will not be eligible. Examples of such offences are having illegal work conditions, doing money laundering or accounting violations, performing projects without necessary permits or having violated conditions in such permits.

#### **Award criteria**

8. *Independent of Ramsar Wetland Conservation Award category*, the selection of Award recipients will be based on the satisfaction of several of the following criteria:
  - i) A proven and documented record of achievement and success in the relevant category;
  - ii) A direct link between activities and the implementation of the Ramsar Convention for the wise use of wetlands including the network of Wetlands of International Importance, whether locally, sub-nationally, nationally, regionally or globally;
  - iii) The approaches, outcomes or capacity of activities to be replicated, to inspire others or to serve as practical examples for others;
  - iv) The significance of achievements, regardless of the geographic scale of their impact;
  - v) The demonstrable impact of activities on awareness of wetlands and their values and the services they provide; and
  - vi) The clarity with which the nomination is presented, and the activities and achievements described.
9. *The category for Ramsar Wetland Conservation Wise Use-use Award*, will favour nominees which demonstrate outstanding achievement in meeting one or several of the following criteria:
  - i) ~~A proven and documented record of achievement and success in the relevant category;~~
  - ii) Demonstrable positive outcomes of sustainable wetland practices;
  - iii) Demonstrable overall benefits in the area where activities are being implemented;
  - iv) Demonstrated use of the ecosystem approach within a sustainable development context;
  - v) A mission and purpose that relates directly to the conservation of wetlands;
  - vi) ~~Replicability of the approaches and outcomes;~~ and
  - vii) Reconciliation of sustainable resource use practices with long-term wetland conservation objectives.
10. *The category Ramsar Wetland Conservation Wise Use-use Award for Innovation* will favour nominees which demonstrate innovative actions supporting the conservation and wise use of wetlands, whether through new techniques or new approaches, which meet one or several of the following criteria:
  - i) An innovation, which is truly a new concept rather than a variation of an existing one;
  - ii) The demonstrable usefulness and impact of the innovation;
  - iii) Its demonstrable applicability, practicality, and replicability; and
  - iv) Wide recognition of the innovation.
11. *The category Ramsar Conservation Award for Young Wetland Champions* will favour nominees who ~~which~~ demonstrate innovative actions supporting the conservation and wise use of

wetlands, whether through new techniques or new approaches, ~~and who~~ which meet the first criterion and one or several of the other following criteria:

- i) Individuals nominated should be between 18 and 30 years old at the time of nomination. The members and leader(s) of nominated groups should be within the same age range. Dates of birth will be requested;
- ii) A proven and documented record of achievement in activities or projects on the conservation and wise use of wetlands. These may involve community work, research, awareness raising, restoration work, or any other activity undertaken to benefit wetlands;
- iii) The activity or project should clearly refer to the mission of the Ramsar Convention;
- iv) Projects or activities should either have been finalized during the last three years before the nomination or being in an advanced implementation stage to be considered.

11bis. The category Ramsar Wetland Indigenous Peoples Conservation and Wise Use Award will favour nominees (which may be groups or individuals) that demonstrate outstanding leadership in wetland projects either led by, or involving, indigenous peoples and meet at least one of the following criteria:

- i) Achieved positive outcomes for the conservation and wise use of wetlands, particularly for key ecosystems or places of importance to indigenous peoples;
- ii) Enhanced sustainable livelihoods and wellbeing of indigenous peoples through the wise use of wetlands;
- iii) Demonstrated the increased contribution of traditional knowledge, innovations and practices of indigenous peoples to wetland conservation and wise use, and promoting cultural connection;
- iv) Implemented transformative projects that halt or reverse wetland loss and degradation, while integrating the values, worldviews and knowledge systems of indigenous peoples;
- v) Demonstrated an enhanced role or recognition of indigenous peoples in the governance, management or protection of wetlands, including at Ramsar Sites.

#### **Nomination conditions for all nominees and complementary conditions for the award recipients**

12. Nominations should be submitted to the Ramsar Convention Secretariat in English, French or Spanish, using the Ramsar Wetland Conservation Award form available from the Ramsar Secretariat in Gland, Switzerland, and on the Ramsar web site (<https://www.ramsar.org/activity/the-ramsar-awards>).
13. The nomination will include a summary of up to 250 words describing the achievements of the nominee and the reasons for the nomination.
14. The form should be accompanied by a document of up to 2,500 words, providing the necessary background, explaining how the nomination corresponds to the objectives and criteria of the Award, and providing an assessment of the results achieved.
15. Nominations should be accompanied by letters of recommendation from two independent individuals (not including the nominator) who are not related to the nominee, and do not work in the same organization, who can assess the nominee's contributions and can be contacted by the evaluation panel.
16. The nomination will be assessed on the basis of the nomination form, the additional information provided and the letters of reference.

17. Nominations should be accompanied by at least one high resolution photograph of the nominee (person or team) in electronic form (minimum size of 1920x1080 pixels) with photo credits and permission for use by the Ramsar Secretariat at its discretion, including when announcing the Ramsar Wetland Conservation Award recipients.
18. Limited additional information such as images, short videos or links to web resources may also be provided, preferably in electronic form, to illustrate the nomination.
19. All award recipients will be requested to provide at least 20 high-resolution images illustrating their activities and achievements, with captions, photo credits and permission for use by the Ramsar Secretariat at its discretion and by organizations or individuals that have donated prize funds for Award publicity material in different media.

### **Selection procedure**

20. The Ramsar Secretariat will evaluate the nominations received and submit a shortlist with recommendations to the Ramsar Standing Committee for its consideration. In undertaking this evaluation, the Secretariat may seek the advice of members of the Ramsar Scientific and Technical Review Panel (STRP), the Communication, Education, Participation, and Awareness (CEPA) Oversight Panel or others, as appropriate.
21. If the numbers of nominations are low and/or the contributions are weak, the Secretariat may suggest adding older nominations of strong character that were not awarded earlier to the short list.
22. The COP Working Group will select the Award recipients from the shortlists and the Standing Committee will decide the Award recipients.

### **Announcement and presentation of Awards**

23. The Secretariat will be in contact with the Awards recipients, letting them know what sponsors have donated prize funds, and ask if they accept the award and in that case to which persons the link to the Award ceremony is to be sent.
24. The Ramsar Wetland Award recipients will be presented during the Conference of the Parties and award recipients will participate physically at COP [when budget is available] [depending on the allocated budget] during the award ceremony, ~~and award recipients will participate on-line during the award ceremony.~~
25. ~~Shortly after the presentation of the Ramsar Wetland Conservation Award recipients, the Contracting Party where an Award recipient is active arranges an Award ceremony for that award recipient, preferably on a site where the award recipient has had projects or could be interested in visiting etc. The award ceremony is filmed.~~
26. The Secretariat puts together a film containing sequences of ~~with parts of~~ all the Award ceremonies as well as ~~with~~ films and pictures from the nominations and publishes ~~publish~~ it on the Ramsar web site and ~~makes it easily available~~ in suitable social media.

## Annex 2

### Compilation of Resolutions and Standing Committee decisions to be retired

- The table below shows the existing Resolutions including information on the Ramsar Wetland Conservation Awards. There is a description on what parts are concerned and what is suggested to happen to them. The Resolutions have been found by searching for “awards” in the subset of Resolutions among the documents at the Ramsar webpage.

<b>Resolutions</b>	<b>Paras/parts concerned</b>	<b>Suggested for retirement by this resolution or not</b>
Resolution VI.18 <i>Establishment of the Ramsar Wetland Conservation Awards</i>	All	Outdated and to be retired by this resolution.
Resolution VII.1 <i>Regional Categorization of countries under the Convention, and composition, roles and responsibilities of the Standing Committee, including tasks of Standing Committee members</i>	Para 20 k,	Will probably be retired by a resolution retiring all resolutions that are obviously outdated.
Resolution X.12 <i>Principles for partnerships between the Ramsar Convention and the business sector</i>	Para 9 first part (about the appreciation to the Danone Group and the Evian Special Prize).	Need to be addressed during the compilation work on existing resolutions.
Resolution XI.19 <i>Adjustments to the terms of Resolution VII.1 on the composition, roles, and responsibilities of the Standing Committee and regional categorization of countries under the Convention</i>	Annex 1 Para 19 j	Will probably be retired by a resolution retiring all resolutions that are obviously outdated.
Resolution XI.2 <i>Financial and budgetary matters</i>	Annex 1, half of one of the budget lines	Will probably be retired by a resolution retiring all resolutions that are obviously outdated.
Resolution XII.4 <i>The responsibilities, roles and composition of the Standing Committee and regional categorization of countries under the Ramsar Convention</i>	Annex 1 Para 19 j	Will probably be retired by a resolution retiring all resolutions that are obviously outdated.
Resolution XIII.4 <i>Responsibilities, roles and composition of the Standing Committee and regional categorization of countries under the Convention</i>	Annex 1 Para 19 j	Will probably be retired by a resolution retiring all resolutions that are obviously outdated.

- The table below shows the existing Standing Committee (SC) decisions including information on the Ramsar Wetland Conservation Awards. There are also some “decisions” made by the Standing Committee that haven’t been recorded as decisions but are referred to in the report from the SC meetings. In the table those are either recognized as lacking SC decision ID or that there is no formal decision made. There is a description on what parts are concerned and what is suggested to happen to them. The SC-decisions have been found by searching for “awards” in the subset of SC decisions and reports among the documents at the Ramsar webpage.



<b>SC-decisions about Ramsar Wetland Conservation Awards content is generalized and shortened</b>	<b>SC-decision ID and (paras in the SC meeting report)</b>	<b>Retirement by this resolution</b>
SC-decision on having a draft resolution for Ramsar Wetland Conservation Awards and parts of its content.	SC17.10: (90-92)	Outdated and to be retired.
SC-decisions on establishing, asking for reviews, and updating; Terms of Reference for the Award, responsible jury/selection committee, categories, criteria, timelines, and prize sizes including thanks to the donor the Danone Group.	SC19.25: (182, 183) SC20.9: (103-106) SC20.10: (103-106) SC25-16: (135-138) SC30-8: (92) SC35-3 <i>first part</i> : (63) SC36 no formal decision: (16) SC41-9: (76) SC47-03 f: (Item 6 about COP12) SC53-13: (82-85) <i>Doc 13</i> SC58-22: (21, 24-32) Intersessional SC-decision, January-February 2021	Outdated and to be retired.  For SC47-03, only part f), is to be retired by this resolution. For SC35-3, the whole decision can be deleted as the last part is mentioned below.
SC-decisions on trying by different means to get more nominees.	SC26-no ID: (288) SC42-11: (74, 76-77, 84)	Outdated and to be retired.
SC-decision that previous award recipients should be invited to nominate candidates or to form an alumni club and that their success should be published.	SC35-3 <i>last part</i> : (63)	Outdated and to be retired (that includes both the first and last part, see above).
SC-decisions announcing the Ramsar Wetland Conservation Award recipients	SC21.3: (88-90) SC31-14: (131) SC37-no ID: SC43-2: (32-33) SC48-no ID: (19-20, 28-29 ,134) SC54-13: (191, 205-208) SC57-16: (Item 21.1 Bis)	Outdated and to be retired.
SC-decisions about how to address the Ramsar Wetland Innovation Award in 2018.	SC55-15: (51) SC57-16: (Item 21.1 Bis)	Outdated and to be retired.