COP12 NATIONAL REPORT FORMAT - SECTION 4 ANNEX

OPTIONAL - ADDITIONAL INFORMATION ON INDIVIDUAL WETLANDS OF INTERNATIONAL IMPORTANCE

Guidance for filling in this section

- 1. This Section 4 Annex is not required to be filled in by a Contracting Party as part of its COP12 Reporting.
- 2. Rather it has been provided so that any Contracting Party that wishes to can provide additional information specific to any or all of their designated Ramsar Sites, in recognition that the situation and status of individual Ramsar Sites can differ greatly within the territory of a Contracting Party.
- 3. Indicator questions included in this section are only those from Section 3 of the COP12 NRF which directly concern Ramsar Sites.
- 4. In some cases, to make these meaningful in the context of reporting on each Ramsar Site separately, some of these indicator questions and/or their answer options have been adjusted from their formulation in Section 3 of the COP12 NRF.
- 5. In the appropriate columns please add the name(s) and official site number (from the Annotated List of Wetlands of International Importance, www.ramsar.org/anno-list), one site to each row.
- 6. Then for each "indicator question", please select one answer from the "drop-down" list in the green boxes.
- 7. A final column of this annex is provided as a "free text" box for the inclusion of any additional information concerning the Ramsar Site.
- 8. If more Ramsar Sites are being reported on than the number of rows available in the format below, please cut and paste additional rows into the format, one for each additional Ramsar Site, so that the same 'drop-down' answer menus appear for each site.

CONTRACTING PARTY

List of indicator questions:

- 1.1.3 Has the condition (ecological character) of the Ramsar Site changed since the previous triennium?
- 1.4.1 Has an assessment been conducted of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
- 2.4.1 Does the site have a management plan (or equivalent)?
- 2.4.2 If you answered yes to 2.4.1, is the management plan being implemented?
- 2.4.4 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
- 2.4.5 Has a description of the ecological character of the Ramsar Site been prepared?
- 1.4.3 Have socio-economic and cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
- 2.5.1 Has any assessment of Ramsar Site management effectiveness been carried out?
- 2.6.1 Are arrangements in place for the Administrative Authority to be informed of negative changes or likely negative changes in the ecological character of the Ramsar Site, pursuant to Article 3.2?
- 2.6.2 Have all cases of change or likely negative change in the ecological character of the Ramsar Site been reported to the Ramsar Secretariat, pursuant to Article 3.2?
- 4.1.2 Has a visitor/interpretation/education centre been established at the Ramsar Site?
- 4.1.3b Is public participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
- 4.1.7a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Site number	Ramsar Site name	1.1.3	1.4.1	2.4.1	2.4.2	2.4.4	2.4.5	1.4.3	2.5.1	2.6.1	2.6.2	4.1.2	4.1.3b	4.1.7a	Any additional comments/information about the site
1603		O – No change	A - Yes	B - No	B - No	A - Yes	B - No	A - Yes	A - Yes	A - Yes	B - No	A - Yes		D – Plan ned	

Site number	Ramsar Site name	1.1.3	1.4.1	2.4.1	2.4.2	2.4.4	2.4.5	1.4.3	2.5.1	2.6.1	2.6.2	4.1.2	4.1.3b	4.1.7a	Any additional comments/information about the site