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OPERATIONAL OBJECTIVE 10. DESIGNATION OF RAMSAR SITES

OPERATIONAL OBJECTIVE 10.1. Apply the Strategic Framework and guidelines for the future development of the List of Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Handbook 7)

PLANNING TOOL SECTION

| PRIORITY: | A = High; B = Medium; C = Low; D = Not relevant; E = No answer |
| RESOURCING: | C = Good; B = Adequate; C = Limiting; D = Severely limiting; E = No answer |
| TARGETS (text answer): | To designate at least 5 in country Ramsar sites and 1 transboundary Ramsar by COP9 |
| PLANNED ACTIVITIES (text answer): | • To designate 5 sites by COP 9  
• To designate at least 2 sites hosting globally threatened and endemic species  
• Designate 1 site not under any form of protection or sustainable use management  
• In collaboration with Tanzania designate 1 transboundary wetland site  
• Undertake a national analysis of wetlands  
• Undertake regular waterfowl counts |

COP9 REPORT SECTION

Action 10.1.1.- Renew efforts to apply the Strategic Framework and guidelines for the future development of the Ramsar List (Resolution VII.11) including, as a matter of priority the establishment of a strategy and priorities for the further designation of Ramsar sites so as to achieve, as soon as possible, the coherent national networks called for in the Vision for the List. (Resolution VIII.10).

GLOBAL TARGET 2003-2005: Report on implementation progress to the Ramsar Bureau by 31 December 2003, with consolidated Bureau report circulated to all Parties by 31 March 2004. Each CP which has not designated a Ramsar site since accession to the Convention to have designated at least one new site. Designation of a further 55 million ha and 250 Ramsar sites, as progress towards global targets of 2500 sites and 250 million ha by 2010.

1. A strategy and priorities have been established for further designation of Ramsar sites, in application of the Strategic Framework for the Ramsar List  

2.- Implementation progress since COP8 [Please include additional comments on the implementation of this action.]

Lake Nabugabo was designated in 2003 as Uganda's second Ramsar site

Action r10.1.1.- Identify all wetlands which potentially qualify for designation as Ramsar sites, as a concrete output of the strategy and priorities called for in Action 10.1.1, establish targets for future designation in terms of number of sites to be designated, and communicate these targets to each meeting of the Conference of the Parties and to the Ramsar Bureau. (Resolution VIII.10)
1. All potential Ramsar sites have been identified and targets for future designations have been defined.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Ramsar sites</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Partly/in some cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>In progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Being planned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>Being updated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>Other status (explain below)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>No answer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.- Implementation progress since COP8 [Please include additional comments on the implementation of this action.]

15 Sites identified

Action r10.1.ii.- Use the appropriate 1% thresholds contained in Waterbird Population Estimates 3rd Edition in identifying and designating Wetlands of International Importance under Criterion 6 of the Strategic Framework and guidelines for the future development of the List of Wetlands of International Importance (Resolution VIII.11). (Resolution VIII.38)

1.- The appropriate 1% thresholds contained in Waterbird Population Estimates 3rd Edition have been used in identifying and designating Ramsar sites under Criterion 6 (if applicable, please include number of sites designated under Criterion 6)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Ramsar sites</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Partly/in some cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>In progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Being planned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>Being updated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>Other status (explain below)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>No answer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.- Implementation progress since COP8 [Please include the list of designated sites and any other additional comments on the implementation of this action that you consider relevant.]

15 sites identified under the Important Bird Area programme

Action 10.1.2.- In undertaking Action 10.1.1, give priority attention to the designation sites for each representative, rare or unique wetland types present in the territory of a Contracting Party, as well as to wetland types currently under-represented in the Ramsar List, including, inter alia, karst and other subterranean hydrological systems, coral reefs, mangroves, seagrass beds, salt marshes, tidal flats, peatlands, wet grasslands, temporary pools, arid-zone wetlands and mountain wetlands (Resolution VIII.12), and in so doing apply the guidelines so far approved by the COP to assist in the designation of some of these wetland types (Resolutions VIII.11 and VIII.33), and report on progress to COP9.

See action 1.1.2

1.- Designation as Ramsar sites of representative, rare or unique wetland types present in the territory has been done (if applicable, please include number of sites designated).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Ramsar sites</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Partly/in some cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>In progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Being planned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>Being updated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>Other status (explain below)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>No answer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.- Implementation progress since COP8 [Please include the list of designated sites and other additional comments on the implementation of this action that you consider relevant.]

Lake Nabugabo designated for its uniqueness and more site are being identified.

3.- Designation of wetland types currently under-represented in the Ramsar List has been done (if applicable, please include number of sites designated).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Ramsar sites</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Partly/in some cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>In progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Being planned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>Being updated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>Other status (explain below)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>No answer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.- Implementation progress since COP8 [Please include the list of designated sites and other additional comments on the implementation of this action that you consider relevant.]

Lake Bisina and Lake Nakuwa wetlands to be designated on the basis of fish
### Action 10.1.3.- Give priority to the designation of coastal and marine Ramsar sites, as a contribution to the WSSD target of establishing representative networks of marine protected areas by 2012.²

**See action 1.1.2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1.- Designation of coastal and marine Ramsar sites has been done <em>(if applicable, please include number of sites designated).</em></th>
<th>A</th>
<th>Choose an answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly/in some cases; E = In progress; F= Being planned; G = Being updated; H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N° Ramsar sites</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**2.- Implementation progress since COP8** *(Please include the list of designated sites and other additional comments you consider relevant.)*

NA

### Action 10.1.4.- In addition to the priority established in 10.1.2, also assign priority to the designation as Ramsar sites of wetlands hosting globally threatened species and species that are unique or endemic to the territory of a Contracting Party or for which the territory supports a significant proportion of the global extent of the species.

**See action 1.1.2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1.- Designation of Ramsar sites supporting globally threatened species and species that are unique or endemic, or for which the territory supports a significant proportion of the global extent of the species, has been done <em>(if applicable, please include number of sites designated).</em></th>
<th>E</th>
<th>Choose an answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly/in some cases; E = In progress; F= Being planned; G = Being updated; H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N° Ramsar sites</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**2.- Implementation progress since COP8** *(Please include the list of designated sites and other additional comments you consider relevant.)*

3 Sites identified – Lutembe, Sango Bay and Musambwa Islands

### Action r10.1.iv.- Collaborate in the identification and designation as Ramsar sites of national and international site networks for migratory species, including migratory waterbirds, as a contribution to other initiatives under related international and regional environmental conventions and programmes, including, inter alia, the Convention on Migratory Species and its Agreements such as the African-Eurasian Waterbirds Agreement (AEWA), and other existing arrangements such as the North American Waterfowl Management Plan, the Western Hemisphere Shorebird Reserve Network, and the Asia-Pacific Migratory Waterbird Conservation Strategy. (Resolution VIII.10)

**See action 12.2.2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1.- Designation of Ramsar sites that form part of networks for migratory species has been done <em>(if applicable, please include number of sites designated).</em></th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Choose an answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly/in some cases; E = In progress; F= Being planned; G = Being updated; H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N° Ramsar sites</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**2.- Implementation progress since COP8** *(Please include the list of designated sites and other additional comments you consider relevant.)*

3 sites identifies – Lutembe, Musambwa Islands and Lake Munyanyage

### Action 10.1.5.- Designate, as a priority, those eligible sites that are not under any form of protective or sustainable use management regime, including those utilised for agriculture, as the basis for accelerating the establishment of such regimes, and ensure that this is done soon after designation.

² In line with Articles 1.1 and 2.1 of the Convention, which include as Ramsar wetlands areas of marine water the depth of which at low tide does not exceed six metres, and indicates that Ramsar sites may “incorporate islands or bodies of marine water deeper than six metres at low tide lying within the wetlands.”
1.- Designation of Ramsar sites that are not under any form of protective or sustainable use management regime, including those utilised for agriculture, has been done (if applicable, please include number of sites designated).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>E</th>
<th>Choose an answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly/in some cases; E = In progress; F= Being planned; G = Being updated; H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Nº Ramsar sites |

2.- Implementation progress since COP8 [Please include the list of designated sites and other additional comments you consider relevant.]

Proposed to designate Doho wetlands in Eastern Uganda

Action 10.1.6.- Consistent with the Guidelines for international cooperation under the Ramsar Convention on Wetlands (Ramsar Handbook 9), designate the national area of transboundary wetlands as a Ramsar site and invite the concerned neighboring country(ies) to do likewise, with a view to establishing a harmonized management regime for the entire wetland.

1.- Designation as Ramsar sites of the national area of transboundary wetlands has been done (if applicable, please include number of sites designated).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>F</th>
<th>Choose an answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly/in some cases; E = In progress; F= Being planned; G = Being updated; H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Nº Ramsar sites |

2.- Implementation progress since COP8 [Please include the list of designated sites and other additional comments you consider relevant.]

Proposed to designate Sango bay wetlands which are contiguous with Minziro wetlands in Northern Tanzania

Action r10.1.v.- Identify additional high Andean wetlands for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance. (Resolution VIII.39)

1.- High Andean wetlands have been designated as Ramsar sites (if applicable, please include number of sites designated).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>Choose an answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly/in some cases; E = In progress; F= Being planned; G = Being updated; H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Nº Ramsar sites |

2.- Implementation progress since COP8 [Please include the list of designated sites and other additional comments you consider relevant.]

NA

Action r10.1.xi.- Develop, for consideration by COP9, additional Criteria and guidelines for the identification and designation of Ramsar sites concerning their socio-economic and cultural values and functions that are relevant to biological diversity, as listed in Annex 1 of the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD), which would be applied on each occasion in conjunction with one or more existing Criteria for the identification and designation of Ramsar sites; and include in this work a full analysis of the implications for Contracting Parties of the implementation of such Criteria for the management of Ramsar sites, including Contracting Party obligations and responsibilities for maintaining the ecological character of any sites so selected in order to ensure that the coherent national networks of Ramsar sites fully incorporate all relevant aspects of the biological diversity of wetlands. (Resolution VIII10)

1. The Party has contributed to the elaboration of additional Criteria and guidelines concerning socio-economic and cultural values and functions that are relevant to biological diversity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>E</th>
<th>Choose an answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly/in some cases; E = In progress; F= Being planned; G = Being updated; H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.- Implementation progress since COP8 [Please include additional comments on the implementation of this action.]

A case study of the cultural values of Nabugabo Ramsar site is under preparation
### Action r10.1.xviii.

The STRP has been asked to review...the ecological roles played by reservoirs and other human-made wetlands, including their use by aquatic and other water-dependent biota, and prepare guidance for Contracting Parties concerning the identification and designation of such wetlands for the Ramsar List, taking into account the experience gained by Parties that have already done so. (Resolution VIII.2)

1. The Party’s experience with the ecological roles played by reservoirs and other human-made wetlands, and lessons learned, have been communicated to the STRP for inclusion in its review

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choose an answer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly/in some cases; E = In progress; F= Being planned; G = Being updated; H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Implementation progress since COP8

This is progress to be completed by March 2006

### Action 10.1.8.

Continue to support the work of Wetlands International and others in the updating for each COP of the 1% thresholds for waterbird populations for the application of Criterion 6 for Ramsar site designation, having first undertaken international scientific consultation on its contents; seek to provide such population information for other wetland-dependent taxa; and apply this information for the designation of Ramsar sites. (Refer also to Operational Objective 12.2.)

1. Contributions have been made to the updating of the 1% thresholds for waterbird populations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Choose an answer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly/in some cases; E = In progress; F= Being planned; G = Being updated; H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Implementation progress since COP8

Annual Waterfowl counts jointly with Nature Uganda

### Action r10.1.xxi.

Assist Wetlands International and BirdLife International through the continued collection and supply of population data on waterbirds, including globally threatened species and those species identified by BirdLife International in Threatened Birds of the World as being data deficient. (Resolution VIII.38)

1. Population data on waterbirds is collected regularly

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Choose an answer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly/in some cases; E = In progress; F= Being planned; G = Being updated; H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. The population data on waterbirds collected has been regularly provided to Wetlands International

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Choose an answer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly/in some cases; E = In progress; F= Being planned; G = Being updated; H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. The population data on waterbirds collected and supplied to WI included information on globally threatened species and species with deficient data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Choose an answer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly/in some cases; E = In progress; F= Being planned; G = Being updated; H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Implementation progress since COP8

Annual waterfowl counts carried out jointly with Nature Uganda
### OPERATIONAL OBJECTIVE 10. DESIGNATION OF RAMSAR SITES

**OPERATIONAL OBJECTIVE 10.2.** Maintain the Ramsar Sites Database and constantly update it with the best available information, and use the database as a tool for guiding the further designation of wetlands for the List of Wetlands of International Importance

#### PLANNING TOOL SECTION

| PRIORITY: | B | A= High; B= Medium; C= Low; D= Not relevant; E= No answer |
| RESOURCING: | C | A= Good; B= Adequate; C= Limiting; D= Severely limiting; E= No answer |
| **TARGETS (text answer):** | Data on Key parameters |
| **PLANNED ACTIVITIES (text answer):** | Ramsar site database incorporated in the NWIS Update RIS for Lake George Ramsar site and keep RIS for other sites under regular reviews |

#### COP9 REPORT SECTION

**Action 10.2.2.-** Ensure that the social and cultural values and features of listed sites are fully recognized in the descriptions of Ramsar sites in the Information Sheet on Ramsar Wetlands, as the basis for their incorporation in site management planning.

1. Special care has been taken to include the social and cultural values and features in the ‘Information Sheets on Ramsar Wetlands’ submitted for inclusion in the Ramsar Database

   **Choose an answer**
   
   A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly/ in some cases; E = In progress; F= Being planned; G = Being updated; H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer

2. - Implementation progress since COP8 [Please include additional comments on the implementation of this action.]

   Information included in the RIS for Lake Nabugabo and will be included in RIS for 11 wetlands sites being considered for designation

**Action 10.2.3.-** Submit missing or incomplete data sheets and/or maps of listed sites as a matter of utmost urgency, and also ensure that all previously submitted site descriptions are in the format of the approved Information Sheet on Ramsar Wetlands.

1. All missing or incomplete data sheets and/or maps of Ramsar sites have been submitted to the Ramsar Bureau

   **Choose an answer**
   
   A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly/ in some cases; E = In progress; F= Being planned; G = Being updated; H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer

2. - Implementation progress since COP8 [Please include additional comments on the implementation of this action.]

   Information of Lake George Ramsar site updated and submitted to the Ramsar Bureau

**Action 10.2.4.-** Fully update and submit revised Ramsar Information Sheets as frequently as necessary to record changes in the status of sites, and at least at intervals of not more than six years, so that they can be used for reviewing change in ecological character and progress in achieving the Vision and Objectives of the Strategic Framework and guidelines for the future development of the List of Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Handbook 7) at each COP.

1. All required updates of the Information Sheet on Ramsar Wetlands have been submitted to the Ramsar Bureau

   **Choose an answer**
   
   A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly/ in some cases; E = In progress; F= Being planned; G = Being updated; H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer
2.- Implementation progress since COP8 [Please include additional comments on the implementation of this action.]

OPERATIONAL OBJECTIVE 11. MANAGEMENT PLANNING AND MONITORING OF RAMSAR SITES

OPERATIONAL OBJECTIVE 11.1. Maintain the ecological character of all Ramsar sites

Go to previous Operational Objective Go to next Operational Objective - Go to Table of Contents

PLANNING TOOL SECTION

| PRIORITY: | A | A= High; B= Medium; C= Low; D= Not relevant; E= No answer |
| RESOURCING: | C | A= Good; B= Adequate; C= Limiting; D= Severely limiting; E= No answer |
| TARGETS (text answer): | Monitoring of 2 Ramsar sites – Lake George and Lake Nabugabo |
| PLANNED ACTIVITIES (text answer): |
| • Undertake regular monitoring of Ramsar sites and develop monitorable indicators |
| • To incorporate information from inventory and assessment of Ramsar sites into guidelines for wetland management |
| • Review and update Ramsar site management plans |
| • Establish Ramsar site management committees for all Ramsar sites |

COP9 REPORT SECTION

Action 11.1.1.- Consistent with Article 3.1 of the Convention, define and apply the measures required to maintain the ecological character of each listed site, using as the basis for this the official definitions of “ecological character” and “change in ecological character” adopted by Resolution VII.10 and the integrated package of Convention tools for site management as presented in Ramsar Handbook 8 as well as the supplementary guidance adopted by COP8, and ensure that this information is included in the Information Sheet on Ramsar Wetlands.

1.- The measures required to maintain the ecological character of Ramsar sites have been defined and applied (if available, please include number of sites where measures have been applied). |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>B</th>
<th>Choose an answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly/in some cases; E = In progress; F= Being planned; G = Being updated; H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Nº Ramsar sites |

2.- Implementation progress since COP8 [Please include the list of sites involved and any additional comments on the implementation of this action that you consider relevant.]

Key measures identified

Action 11.1.2.- Apply the Convention’s New Guidelines for management planning for Ramsar sites and other wetlands (Resolution VIII.14) to develop management plans or strategies for all Ramsar sites.

1. The New Guidelines for management planning have been used in the development of management plans or strategies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>E</th>
<th>Choose an answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly/in some cases; E = In progress; F= Being planned; G = Being updated; H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Nº Ramsar sites |

2.- Implementation progress since COP8 [Please include additional comments on the way the guidelines have been used.]

A process manual for management planning is being finalised
### MANAGEMENT PLANS AT RAMSAR SITES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nº Ramsar sites</th>
<th>Ramsar sites with management plans or strategies in place</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.- Ramsar sites with management plans or strategies in place

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nº Ramsar sites</th>
<th>Ramsar sites with management plans or strategies in place and being fully applied</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 4.- Ramsar sites with management plans or strategies in place and being fully applied

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nº Ramsar sites</th>
<th>Ramsar sites with management plans or strategies being prepared</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 5.- Ramsar sites with management plans or strategies being prepared

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nº Ramsar sites</th>
<th>Ramsar sites with management plans or strategies being revised or updated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 6.- Ramsar sites with management plans or strategies being revised or updated

#### 7.- Implementation progress since COP8

- **[Please include the list of Ramsar sites whose management plans fall under each category, and any additional comments you consider relevant.]**

  - **Lake Nabugabo** has a management plan in place
  - Lake Nabugabo and Lake George Management Plans are in place and being applied
  - Lake George management plan is being revised in collaboration with the Lake George Basin Development Organisation

### MANAGEMENT PLANS AT RAMSAR SITES (CONT.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nº Ramsar sites</th>
<th>Ramsar sites in which management plans developed under other processess (e.g., Biosphere Reserves) are being applied</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 1.- Ramsar sites in which management plans developed under other processess (e.g., Biosphere Reserves) are being applied

#### 2.- Implementation progress since COP8

- **[Please include the list of Ramsar sites under this category, and any other comments you consider relevant.]**

  - Lake George area is also a Biosphere but no specific management plan has been developed for the biosphere, except under the Queen Elizabeth Protected Area Management plan

### Action r11.1.i.-

When reviewing and updating existing management plans, recognize that other management planning processes exist, especially where other designations apply to the same areas that are listed as Ramsar sites, and that these may be valid alternatives for delivering management planning where such approaches adequately and fully implement clearly stated conservation objectives to ensure the conservation and wise use of these wetlands. (Resolution VIII.14)

### MANAGEMENT PLANS AT RAMSAR SITES (CONT.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nº Ramsar sites</th>
<th>Ramsar sites developed under other processess that are being applied</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 1.- Ramsar sites developed under other processess that are being applied

#### 2.- Implementation progress since COP8

- **[Please include additional comments on the implementation of this action.]**

  - Ramsar tools adopted in the process manual for community based wetland management planning

### Action r11.1.ii.-

Utilize all the available Ramsar tools and guidance to assist in management planning processes, including *inter alia* the description and maintenance of ecological character and designing a monitoring programme (Resolution VI.1); the wetland risk assessment and indicators (Resolution VII.10); the guidance on impact assessment (Resolution VIII.9) and on wetland restoration, including identification of sites appropriate for restoration (Resolution VIII.16); and, where appropriate, the *Guidelines for Global Action on Peatlands* (Resolution VIII.17). (Resolution VIII.14)

### Action r11.1.iii.-

- Take note of the emphasis in the New Guidelines for management planning for Ramsar sites and other wetlands (Resolution VIII.14) on ensuring the involvement of all stakeholders in all stages of the management planning process, and utilize the guidelines adopted by Resolution VII.8 on Establishing and strengthening local communities’ and indigenous peoples’ participation in the management of wetlands (Ramsar Handbook no. 5) and the Guiding principles for taking into account the cultural values of wetlands for the effective management of sites annexed to Resolution VIII.19 to assist in this process. (Resolution VIII.14)

### 1. The full array of Ramsar tools and guidance have been used in the development or updating of management plans for wetland sites

- **B** Choose an answer
  - A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly/in some cases; E = In progress; F= Being planned; G = Being updated; H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer

#### 2.- Implementation progress since COP8

- **[Please include additional comments on the implementation of this action.]**

  - Ramsar tools adopted in the process manual for community based wetland management planning

### Action r11.1.iii.-

- Take note of the emphasis in the New Guidelines for management planning for Ramsar sites and other wetlands (Resolution VIII.14) on ensuring the involvement of all stakeholders in all stages of the management planning process, and utilize the guidelines adopted by Resolution VII.8 on Establishing and strengthening local communities’ and indigenous peoples’ participation in the management of wetlands (Ramsar Handbook no. 5) and the Guiding principles for taking into account the cultural values of wetlands for the effective management of sites annexed to Resolution VIII.19 to assist in this process. (Resolution VIII.14)

### 1. All stakeholders have been fully involved in the management planning processes

- **B** Choose an answer
  - A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly/in some cases; E = In progress; F= Being planned; G = Being updated; H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer

#### 2.- Implementation progress since COP8

- **[Please include additional comments on the implementation of this action.]**
**Stakeholder workshops held to review process manual**

Community based wetland management plans involve stakeholders from reconnaissance to visioning, objective setting and implementation.

---

**Action r11.1.iv.-** Take into account, when planning the management of Ramsar sites and other wetlands, the wider management implications of activities within river basins and other catchments, which duly acknowledge the need for appropriate implementation of agricultural practices (Resolution VIII.34), applying Resolution VII.18 on *Guidelines for integrating wetland conservation and wise use into river basin management* (Ramsar Handbook no. 4), and the guidance adopted by COP8 on integrated coastal zone management (Resolution VIII.4) and on the allocation and management of water for maintaining the ecological functions of wetlands (Resolution VIII.1).

### 1. The wider management implications (e.g., agricultural practices, river basin management, coastal zone management) have been taken into account in preparing the management plans for Ramsar sites

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Choose an answer</th>
<th>B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly/in some cases; E = In progress; F= Being planned; G = Being updated; H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**2.- Implementation progress since COP8 [Please include additional comments on the implementation of this action.]**

Community wetland management plans incorporate river basin management principles and agricultural practices which promote wise use of wetlands.

---

**Action r11.1.v.-** Include in each management plan for a Ramsar site an objective of maintenance of the ecological character of the site so as to provide a basis for implementation of Article 3.1 of the Convention, in recognition that this greatly facilitates the identification, reporting and resolution of changes in ecological character (refer also to Operational Objective 11.2). (Resolution VIII.8)

### 1.- Measures to maintain ecological character have been incorporated into the site management plans (if available, please include number of sites)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Choose an answer</th>
<th>B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly/in some cases; E = In progress; F= Being planned; G = Being updated; H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nº Ramsar sites</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### 2.- Implementation progress since COP8 [Please include additional comments on the implementation of this action.]**

Wetland management plans provide for zoning to ensure that all key parameters are included.

---

**Action r11.1.vi.-** Manage wetlands so as to increase their resilience to climate change and extreme climatic events, and reduce the risk of flooding and drought in vulnerable countries by, *inter alia*, promoting wetland and watershed protection and restoration (refer also to Operational Objective 4.1). (Resolution VIII.3)

### 1. The need to increase wetland resilience to climate change and extreme climatic events has been taken into account in management planning

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Choose an answer</th>
<th>E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly/in some cases; E = In progress; F= Being planned; G = Being updated; H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2.- Implementation progress since COP8 [Please include additional comments on the implementation of this action.]**

This is included as part of the monitoring process.

---

**Action r11.1.vii.-** Apply waterbird monitoring data, and analyses drawn from them, when appropriate, as a means of providing objective information for site management planning and the evaluation of national or regional wetland policies. (Resolution VIII.38)

### 1. Waterbird data and analysis derived from them have been used in management planning

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Choose an answer</th>
<th>B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly/in some cases; E = In progress; F= Being planned; G = Being updated; H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**2.- Implementation progress since COP8** [Please include additional comments on the implementation of this action.]

**Lake Nabugabo management plan**

**Action r11.1.x.-** With the support of Contracting Parties and IOPs, the Ramsar Bureau should identify agriculture-related management practices developed for areas that include Ramsar sites, and contribute this information to the preparation of the guidelines as requested in Action r11.1.ix and share this information with the secretariats of CBD and the Convention to Combat Desertification (CCD). (Resolution VIII.34)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. Assistance has been provided to the Ramsar Bureau in the identification of agriculture-related management practices developed for areas that include Ramsar sites</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Choose an answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly/in some cases; E = In progress; F= Being planned; G = Being updated; H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**2.- Implementation progress since COP8** [Please include additional comments on the implementation of this action.]

Currently assessing the impact of agriculture on Lake Nabugabo and Lake George Ramsar sites. The results will form a case study to be shared with the Ramsar Bureau

**Action r11.1.xii.-** Identify examples of effective management and exemplary models at Ramsar sites and other wetlands for listing on the San José Record and submit nominations for consideration through the approved procedure, once established. (Resolution VIII.15)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1.- Best-practice examples of effective management of Ramsar sites have been identified for possible nomination to the San José Record (if applicable, please include number of sites)</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Choose an answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly/in some cases; E = In progress; F= Being planned; G = Being updated; H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Nº Ramsar sites**

| 2.- Implementation progress since COP8** [Please include the list of Ramsar sites identified and additional comments on the implementation of this action.]

Review of Lake George management plan being undertaken to provide required information

**Action 11.1.4.-** Promote, as necessary, the establishment and implementation of zoning measures for larger Ramsar sites, wetland reserves, and other wetlands (Recommendation 5.3 and Resolution VIII.14) and strict protection measures for certain Ramsar sites and other wetlands of small size and/or particular sensitivity (Recommendation 5.3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1.- Zoning measures have been established for larger Ramsar sites (if available, please include number of sites)</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>Choose an answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly/in some cases; E = In progress; F= Being planned; G = Being updated; H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 2.- Implementation progress since COP8** [Please include the list of Ramsar sites with zoning measures, and additional comments you consider relevant.] |

Zoning included in the management plans for Ramsar sites

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3.- Strict protection measures have been established for Ramsar sites of small size and/or particular sensitivity (if available, please include number of sites)</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Choose an answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly/in some cases; E = In progress; F= Being planned; G = Being updated; H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 4.- Implementation progress since COP8** [Please include the list of Ramsar sites with strict protection measures, and any additional comments you may consider relevant.] |

Strict protection measures developed as part of the General Management Plan for Lake George Ramsar site

**Action 11.1.5.-** Consider as a matter of priority the establishment of cross-sectoral site management committees for Ramsar sites, involving relevant government agencies, local community representatives, and other stakeholders, including the business sector.
| Nr Ramsar sites | 1.- Site management committees have been established at Ramsar sites (if available, please include number of sites) | B | Choose an answer for each indicator  
A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No;  
D = Partly/in some cases; E = In progress;  
F= Being planned; G = Being updated;  
H = Other status (explain below);  
I = No answer |  
2.- Cross-sectoral site management committees established at Ramsar sites (if available, please include number of sites) | B |  
3.- Implementation progress since COP8 [Please include the list of Ramsar sites with management committees under each category, and additional comments you consider relevant.] |  
Interim management committees formed for Lake George and Nabugabo Ramsar sites. In addition Lake George is under the general management of the Lake George basin development Organisation which supervised by a multi-sectoral board of directors. |  
Action r11.1.xiv.- Give priority for support to the development of coherent national and international networks of Ramsar sites and their effective management, in recognition of their essential values and functions in combating poverty through the sustainable use of their ecosystems and their role in maintaining the quality and quantity of water, including at the basin scale; and give priority to projects addressing these issues in the future operation of the Small Grants Fund. (Resolution VIII.10)  
1. Actions have been taken to develop coherent national and international networks of Ramsar sites and their effective management as a tool for combating poverty | E | Choose an answer  
A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly/in some cases; E = In progress; F= Being planned;  
G = Being updated; H = Other status (explain below);  
I = No answer |  
2.- Implementation progress since COP8 [Please include additional comments on the implementation of this action.] |  
It is planned to link Lake George and Lake Nabugabo through exchange visits and study tours |  
Action 11.1.6.- Continue to give high priority in the Operational Guidelines for the Small Grants Fund to support for management planning at Ramsar sites.  
1. Project proposals to support management planning of Ramsar sites have been submitted to the Small Grants Fund | F | Choose an answer  
A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly/in some cases; E = In progress; F= Being planned;  
G = Being updated; H = Other status (explain below);  
I = No answer |  
2.- Implementation progress since COP8 [Please include additional comments on the implementation of this action.] |  
Lake George has received the SGF twice |  
Action r11.1.xv.- Take into account the General guidance for interpreting “urgent national interest” under Article 2.5 of the Convention and considering compensation under Article 4.2 of the Convention (Resolution VIII.20) when invoking the right under Article 2.5 and considering compensation in those cases where the boundaries of sites included in the Ramsar List are restricted or a Ramsar site is deleted from the List. (Resolution VIII.20)  
1.- Article 2.5 of the Convention has been invoked to reduce the area of Ramsar sites and/or to delete sites from the List, and Article 4.2 (compensation) has been applied (if applicable, please include number of sites) | C | Choose an answer  
A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No;  
D = Partly/in some cases; E = In progress;  
F= Being planned; G = Being updated; H = Other status (explain below);  
I = No answer |  
2.- Implementation progress since COP8 [Please include the list of Ramsar sites concerned, and additional comments on the implementation of this action.] |  
Not yet |
OPERATIONAL OBJECTIVE 11. MANAGEMENT PLANNING AND MONITORING OF RAMSAR SITES

OPERATIONAL OBJECTIVE 11.2. Monitor the condition of Ramsar sites, notify the Ramsar Bureau without delay of changes affecting Ramsar sites as required by Article 3.2, and apply the Montreux Record and Ramsar Advisory Mission as tools to address problems.

PLANNING TOOL SECTION

PRIORITY: A

RESOURCING: C

TARGETS (text answer): Remove Lake George from the Montreux record

PLANNED ACTIVITIES (text answer):

• Develop monitoring indicators
• Request and arrange for Ramsar Advisory Mission for Lake George
• Undertake regular monitoring of Ramsar sites and prepare and submit annual reports to the Bureau

COP9 REPORT SECTION

Action 11.2.1.- Establish for each Ramsar site an effective monitoring programme, ideally as part of the site management plan, which is designed to detect and provide early warning of changes in ecological character. In so doing, use the Convention’s framework for designing an effective monitoring programme (Resolution VI.1) and its Wetland Risk Assessment Framework (Resolution VII.10) (Ramsar Handbook 8).

1.- Monitoring programmes have been established at Ramsar sites (if available, please include number of sites)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NºRamsar sites</th>
<th>Choose an answer for each indicator</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly/ in some cases; E = In progress; F = Being planned; G = Being updated; H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.- Monitoring programmes are part of the site management plan (if available, please include number of sites)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NºRamsar sites</th>
<th>Choose an answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.- Implementation progress since COP8 [Please include the list of Ramsar sites falling under each category, and any additional comments you consider relevant about monitoring programmes.]

Lake George and Lake Nabugabo management plans incorporate monitoring programmes

Action 11.2.2.- Ensure that sites on the Ramsar List are used as baseline and reference areas for national, supranational/regional, and international environmental monitoring to detect trends in the loss of biological diversity, climate change and the processes of desertification (Objective 4.1 of the Objectives for the List (Resolution VII.11), and report on status and trends to each meeting of the Conference of the Parties.

1.- Ramsar sites are used as baseline and reference areas for national environmental monitoring (if applicable, please include number of sites)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NºRamsar sites</th>
<th>Choose an answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.- Implementation progress since COP8 [Please include the list of Ramsar sites concerned, and any additional comments on the implementation of this action.]

It is planned to use the information from Lake George Ramsar site monitoring for National Environmental monitoring

3.- Status and trends on the loss of biological diversity, climate change and the process of desertification [Please include a summary of the cited issues and provide reference citation to any relevant studies or reports.]

Choose an answer for each indicator
A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly/ in some cases; E = In progress; F = Being planned; G = Being updated; H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer
### Action 11.2.3.- Ensure that at Ramsar sites where change in ecological character may occur as a result of proposed developments or changes in territorial and/or water use which have potential to affect them, an impact assessment is carried out which considers the full range of environmental, social and economic benefits and functions provided by the wetland, and that the resulting conclusions are communicated to the Ramsar Bureau, in line with Article 3.2 of the Convention, and fully taken into account by the authorities concerned.

#### See action 2.2.3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. Proposed developments that may affect the ecological character of Ramsar sites have been subject to EIAs, and the results communicated to the Ramsar Bureau pursuant to Article 3.2</th>
<th>E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Choose an answer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly/in some cases; E = In progress; F = Being planned; G = Being updated; H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 2.- Implementation progress since COP8 [Please include additional comments on the implementation of this action.]

Not yet

### Action r11.2.iv.- Put in place, as a matter of high priority in fulfillment of 3.2 of the Convention, mechanisms in order to be informed at the earliest possible time, including through reports by national authorities and local and indigenous communities and NGOs, if the ecological character of any wetland included in the Ramsar List has changed, is changing or is likely to change.

#### 1. Mechanisms have been established to collect information on changes in ecological character of Ramsar sites in accordance with Article 3.2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. Mechanisms have been established to collect information on changes in ecological character of Ramsar sites in accordance with Article 3.2</th>
<th>B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Choose an answer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly/in some cases; E = In progress; F = Being planned; G = Being updated; H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 2.- Implementation progress since COP8 [Please include additional comments on the implementation of this action.]

Regular monitoring done for Lake George

### Action 11.2.4.- In fulfilment of Article 3.2 of the Convention, report to the Ramsar Bureau without delay all actual or likely changes in ecological character on Ramsar sites, and include Ramsar sites “where changes in ecological character have occurred, are occurring or are likely to occur” in the Montreux Record as appropriate.

#### 1.- Changes or likely changes (both positive and negative) in ecological character at Ramsar sites have occurred or may occur (Art. 3.2) *(if applicable, please include number of sites)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1.- Changes or likely changes (both positive and negative) in ecological character at Ramsar sites have occurred or may occur (Art. 3.2) <em>(if applicable, please include number of sites)</em></th>
<th>B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Choose an answer for each indicator</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly/in some cases; E = In progress; F = Being planned; G = Being updated; H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 2.- Changes or likely changes in ecological character of Ramsar sites have been reported to the Ramsar Bureau pursuant to Article 3.2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2.- Changes or likely changes in ecological character of Ramsar sites have been reported to the Ramsar Bureau pursuant to Article 3.2</th>
<th>B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Choose an answer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly/in some cases; E = In progress; F = Being planned; G = Being updated; H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 2.- Ramsar sites were included in the Montreux Record since COP8 *(if applicable, please include number of sites)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2.- Ramsar sites were included in the Montreux Record since COP8 <em>(if applicable, please include number of sites)</em></th>
<th>B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Choose an answer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly/in some cases; E = In progress; F = Being planned; G = Being updated; H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 4.- Implementation progress since COP8 *(Please include information on the changes or likely changes in ecological character, and list the sites affected.)*

Lake George restoration in progress as part of the Kasese cobalt plant which is processing the stock pile

#### 5.- Implementation progress since COP8 *(Please include information on the steps followed to include sites in the Montreux Record since COP8.)*

Rehabilitation of Cobalt stock pile
Action r11.2.vi.- Utilize all appropriate mechanisms to address and resolve as soon as practicable the matters for which a site may have been the subject of a report pursuant to Article 3.2; and once those matters have been resolved, submit a further report, so that both positive influences at sites and changes in ecological character may be fully reflected in reporting under Article 3.2 and in the reporting to all meetings of the COP in order to establish a clear picture of the status and trends of the Ramsar site network at three-year intervals (Resolution VIII.8)

1. Actions have been taken to address the problems that have originated a report to the Ramsar Bureau pursuant to Article 3.2  

   B  Choose an answer  
   A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly/ in some cases; E = In progress; F= Being planned; G = Being updated; H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer

2.- Implementation progress since COP8 [Please include additional comments on the actions taken.]

   EA Planned

Action 11.2.5.- For sites included in the Montreux Record request a Ramsar Advisory Mission of independent experts, where appropriate, to review the problems affecting the site and offer recommendations for remedial actions.

GLOBAL TARGET 2003-2005: For all sites on the Montreux Record, and which have not been subject to a Ramsar Advisory Mission (RAM), CPs to request such a Mission prior to COP9.

1.- Ramsar Advisory Missions have been requested to review the problems at Ramsar sites included in the Montreux Record, if any (if applicable, please include number of sites)  

   F  Choose an answer  
   A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No;  
   D = Partly/ in some cases; E = In progress;  
   F= Being planned; G = Being updated;  
   H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer

   Nº Ramsar sites  

2.- Implementation progress since COP8 [Please include the list of Ramsar sites concerned, and additional comments you consider relevant.]

   RAM planned for Lake George

Action 11.2.6.- Where a Ramsar Advisory Mission has been completed for a Montreux Record site, take all necessary steps to implement the recommendations, and report at regular intervals to the Bureau on the results of these actions. At the appropriate time, seek the removal of the site from the Montreux Record, having provided the Bureau and STRP with details of the site condition using the approved questionnaire (Ramsar Handbook 7).

(For CPs with sites in Montreux Record and RAMs made)

1.- Actions have been taken to implement the recommendations of Ramsar Advisory Missions (if applicable, please include number of sites)  

   F  Choose an answer for each indicator  
   A = Not applicable; B = Yes;  
   C = No; D = Partly/ in some cases; E = In progress;  
   F= Being planned; G = Being updated;  
   H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer

2.- Actions have been taken to address the issues for which the site(s) was/were listed in the Montreux Record (if applicable, please include number of sites)  

   G

3.- Implementation progress since COP8 [Please include the list of Ramsar sites concerned, comments on RAM missions, results of the actions taken, including possible removal of sites from Montreux Record.]

   It is planned to request a Ramsar Advisory Mission for Lake George by Mid 2006

Action r11.2.viii.- For sites included in the Montreux Record, regularly provide the Ramsar Bureau with an update on progress on taking action to address the issues for which these Ramsar sites were listed on the Record, including reporting fully on these matters in National Reports to each meeting of the Conference of the Parties (Resolution VIII.8)
Nº Ramsar sites

1.- Actions have been taken to address the issues for which Ramsar sites were listed in the Montreux Record (if applicable, please include number of sites)

E
Choose an answer
A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly in some cases; E = In progress; F = Being planned; G = Being updated; H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer

1

2.- Actions taken to address issues at Montreux Record Ramsar sites have been communicated to the Ramsar Bureau

C

3.- Implementation progress since COP8 [Please include the list of Ramsar sites concerned and results of the actions taken.]

OPERATIONAL OBJECTIVE 12. MANAGEMENT OF SHARED WATER RESOURCES, WETLANDS AND WETLAND SPECIES

OPERATIONAL OBJECTIVE 12.1. Promote inventory and integrated management of shared wetlands and hydrological basins

PLANNING TOOL SECTION

PRIORITY:
A A= High; B = Medium; C = Low; D = Not relevant; E = No answer

RESOURCING:
C A = Good; B = Adequate; C = Limiting; D = Severely limiting; E = No answer

TARGETS (text answer):
Integrate wetland issues into regional initiatives such as the East African Community and the Nile basin initiative

PLANNED ACTIVITIES (text answer):
• Develop protocols and joint management plans for transboundary wetlands
• Integrate wetland issues into the Nile Basin Initiative and Lake Victoria Development
• Develop protocol for joint impact processes with neighboring countries
• Establish wetland information network for Eastern Africa

COP9 REPORT SECTION

Action 12.1.1.- Identify all wetland systems in each Contracting Party shared with other Parties and with non-parties and promote cooperation in their management with the adjoining jurisdiction(s), applying, where appropriate, the Guidelines on integrating wetland conservation and wise use into river basin management (Ramsar Handbook 4, Section A1 of the Guidelines), and the Principles and Guidelines for integrating wetlands into Integrated Coastal Zone Management (ICZM) (Resolution VIII.4).

GLOBAL TARGET 2003-2005: All CPs to have identified their transboundary wetlands. 50% of CPs to have identified cooperative management mechanisms.

1.- All transboundary/shared wetland systems have been identified (if applicable, please include number of sites)

F
Choose an answer
A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly in some cases; E = In progress; F = Being planned; G = Being updated; H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer

1

2.- Implementation progress since COP8 [Please list the transboundary wetlands identified and provide any other additional comments you consider relevant.]

1 site identified along the Uganda and Tanzania border
### Action 12.1.2.- Pursue cooperation on shared hydrological basins, lake systems and coastal systems through the establishment of bi- or multilateral management commissions. (Section A2 of the Guidelines)

**GLOBAL TARGET 2003-2005: 50% of CPs with shared basins and coastal systems to be part of joint management commissions or authorities.**

1. Cooperative management of shared wetland systems has progressed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Choose an answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly/in some cases; E = In progress; F = Being planned; G = Being updated; H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.- Implementation progress since COP8 [Please include additional comments on the implementation of this action.]

The Lake Victoria Development Commission has been formed under the East African Community to provide for the cooperative management of Lake Victoria including its wetlands

### Action r12.1.1.- Cooperatively apply the Guidelines for allocation and management of water for maintaining ecological functions of wetlands (Resolution VIII.1) within the context of the management of water allocations in shared hydrological systems, making use of the Ramsar Guidelines for international cooperation under the Convention (Resolution VII.19).

1. The guidelines for allocation and management of water have been applied in the context of shared water systems

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Choose an answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly/in some cases; E = In progress; F = Being planned; G = Being updated; H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.- Implementation progress since COP8 [Please include additional comments on the implementation of this action.]

To be incorporated in the Nile Basin Initiative framework

### Action 12.1.3.- Where appropriate, engage in joint impact assessment processes with neighbouring countries that share wetlands, international river basins, or coastal systems, taking note, where applicable, of the terms of the Espoo Convention on impact assessment in a European transboundary context.

See action 2.2.3

1. Joint impact assessment processes with neighbouring countries have been applied in shared wetland systems

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Choose an answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly/in some cases; E = In progress; F = Being planned; G = Being updated; H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.- Implementation progress since COP8 [Please include additional comments on the implementation of this action.]

A regional protocol of EIA being developed under the East African Community

### Action 12.1.4.- Ensure enhanced accessibility to information, analyses, good practice examples, and experience-sharing on integrating wetlands and biodiversity into integrated river basin management, including through the Ramsar/CBD River Basin Initiative.

**GLOBAL TARGET 2003-2005: River Basin Initiative fully operational.**

1. Actions have been taken to ensure accessibility of information, analysis, good practice examples, etc., on integrating wetlands and biodiversity issues into river basin management

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Choose an answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly/in some cases; E = In progress; F = Being planned; G = Being updated; H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.- Implementation progress since COP8 [Please include additional comments on the implementation of this action.]

Not yet
OPERATIONAL OBJECTIVE 12. MANAGEMENT OF SHARED WATER RESOURCES, WETLANDS AND WETLAND SPECIES

OPERATIONAL OBJECTIVE 12.2. Promote cooperative monitoring and management of shared wetland-dependent species

PLANNING TOOL SECTION

PRIORITY: B

A = High; B = Medium; C = Low; D = Not relevant; E = No answer

RESOURCING: C

A = Good; B = Adequate; C = Limiting; D = Severely limiting; E = No answer

TARGETS (text answer): To twin at least 2 wetland sites by COP9

PLANNED ACTIVITIES (text answer):
- Identify sites
- Prepare ratification processes

COP9 REPORT SECTION

Action 12.2.1.- Identify and designate as Ramsar sites all wetlands which satisfy the relevant Ramsar Criteria in relation to wetland-dependent migratory species, giving priority in the first instance to waterbirds, fish, and marine turtles, especially those that are globally threatened. (Section B1 of the Guidelines)

1.- Wetlands which satisfy Criteria in relation to wetland-dependent migratory species have been designated as Ramsar sites (if applicable, please include number of sites designated)

Choose an answer
A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly/in some cases; E = In progress; F = Being planned; G = Being updated; H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer

N° Ramsar sites I

3.- Implementation progress since COP8 [Please include information on the designated sites and other additional comments you consider relevant.]

Lake Nabugabo designated as a Ramsar site and 5 other wetlands are being assessed for designation as Ramsar sites.

Action 12.2.2.- Continue to promote and support the development of further regional site networks and initiatives for wetland-dependent migratory species, as exemplified by the African-Eurasian Migratory Waterbird Agreement (AEWA), the Asia-Pacific Migratory Waterbird Conservation Strategy, the Western Hemisphere Shorebird Reserve Network, and others. (Sections B2 and B4 of the Guidelines)

See action r10.1.iv

1. New regional site networks and initiatives for wetland-dependent migratory species have been developed

Choose an answer
A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly/in some cases; E = In progress; F = Being planned; G = Being updated; H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer

2.- Implementation progress since COP8 [Please include additional comments on the implementation of this action.]

This is being undertaken in collaboration with Nature Uganda

Action 12.2.5.- Ensure that national hunting legislation is consistent with the wise use principle for migratory waterbird and other wetland-dependent species, taking into account geographical range, life-history characteristics of species, and research on sustainable harvesting.

1. National hunting legislation is consistent with wise use principle for wetland-dependent species, including waterbirds

Choose an answer
A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly/in some cases; E = In progress; F = Being planned; G = Being updated; H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer
2.- Implementation progress since COP8 [Please include additional comments on the implementation of this action.]

There is ban on hunting but this is not effective. The Ministry of Tourism is review national hunting legislation to ensure consistency with wise use of migratory waterbirds.

OPERATIONAL OBJECTIVE 12. MANAGEMENT OF SHARED WATER RESOURCES, WETLANDS AND WETLAND SPECIES

OPERATIONAL OBJECTIVE 12.3. Support existing regional arrangements under the Convention and promote additional arrangements

PLANNING TOOL SECTION

| PRIORITY: | A  |
| RESOURCING: | C  |
| TARGETS (text answer): | Setting up a Ramsar Centre for Africa |
| PLANNED ACTIVITIES (text answer): | Prepare and promote concept of Regional Centre for Ramsar in Africa Propose a regional wetlands network in collaboration with UNESCO-IHE and RIZA |

COP9 REPORT SECTION

Action 12.3.2.- Encourage development of regional arrangements under the Convention similar to MedWet, where appropriate, applying the Guidance for the development of regional initiatives in the framework of the Convention on Wetlands (Resolution VIII.30) for example in the Black Sea, Caspian Sea, the Caribbean, South America and the Altaj-Sayansky region.

1. Party has been involved in the development of a regional initiative in the framework of the Convention  B

Choose an answer
A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly/in some cases; E = In progress; F = Being planned; G = Being updated; H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer

2.- Implementation progress since COP8 [Please include additional comments on the implementation of this action.]

Concept presented to the African Regional preparatory meeting in April 2005 and endorsed in principle by the Standing Committee in June 2005

OPERATIONAL OBJECTIVE 13. COLLABORATION WITH OTHER INSTITUTIONS

OPERATIONAL OBJECTIVE 13.1. Work as partners with international and regional multilateral environmental agreements (MEAs) and other agencies

PLANNING TOOL SECTION

| PRIORITY: | B  |
| RESOURCING: | C  |
| TARGETS (text answer): | Joint work programme for MEAs |
| PLANNED ACTIVITIES (text answer): | • Prepare a Joint work programmes between the National Wetlands programme and the National programmes of the UNCCD and CBD • Integrate wetland issues into the MAB national programme |
• Promote integration of wetland issues into the African Union and New Partnerships for Africa’s Development (NEPAD)

### COP9 REPORT SECTION

**Action 13.1.1.-** Continue to strengthen cooperation and synergy with the Convention on Biological Diversity, the Convention to Combat Desertification, the Convention on Migratory Species and its Agreements, the World Heritage Convention, and the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, in particular through the vehicles of Joint Work Plans and collaboration among the respective subsidiary scientific bodies of the conventions and the secretariats, and at national level among the Ramsar Administrative Authorities and Focal Points of the different MEAs.

**GLOBAL TARGET 2003-2005:** 3rd CBD-Ramsar Joint Work Plan fully implemented. CMS/AEWA Joint Work Plan in place and being implemented. Joint activities developed with UNCCD and UNFCCC, including through participation in the Joint Liaison Group with UNFCCC, CBD and UNCCD.

See action 18.1.1

1. Mechanisms are in place at the national level for collaboration between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the focal points of other multilateral environmental agreements (MEAs)  
   - Choose an answer  
     - A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly/in some cases; E = In progress; F= Being planned;  
     - G = Being updated; H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer

2.- Implementation progress since COP8 [Please include information on the MEAs involved and additional comments you consider relevant.]

The Ministry of Water Lands and Environment has established a coordination unit for MEAs under the Department of Environmental Affairs.

**Action r13.1.iii.-** Make renewed efforts to increase collaboration at the national level between the institutions and focal points responsible for the implementation of MEAs, including through ensuring their participation in National Ramsar Committees, so as to foster synergies and harmonization. (Resolution VIII.5)

See action 18.1.2

1. Amongst other efforts to increase collaboration at the national level between the institutions and focal points responsible for the implementation of MEAs, focal points of other MEAs have been encouraged to participate in the National Ramsar / Wetland Committee

2.- Implementation progress since COP8 [Please include information on the MEAs whose focal points participate in the Ramsar Committee and additional comments you consider relevant.]

The Ministry of Water Lands and Environment is implementing a project to strengthen synergies among the MEAs.

**Action 13.1.2.-** Maintain and, where appropriate, seek opportunities to further promote cooperation with UNESCO, in particular its Programme on Man and the Biosphere (MAB), especially as regards wetlands within Biosphere Reserves, and in the area of the development of curricula to integrate wetland issues.

1. Mechanisms are in place for collaboration between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the National Committees and contacts of UNESCO MAB Programme

2.- Implementation progress since COP8 [Please include additional information on the relevant mechanisms.]
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action 13.1.3.-</th>
<th>Give priority to the implementation of the Memoranda of Cooperation or Understanding with the Convention for the Protection and Development of the Marine Environment of the Wider Caribbean Region (Cartagena Convention), the Convention for the Protection and Development of the Marine Environment of the Mediterranean Sea (Barcelona Convention), the Convention on the Protection of the Marine Environment of the Baltic Sea, other regional seas conventions, and the Danube River Protection Convention, and seek to establish similar cooperative arrangements with other regional instruments, such as the Regional Organization for the Protection of the Marine Environment (ROPME).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **1.** Mechanisms are in place for collaboration between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the focal points of the applicable regional seas conventions | A | Choose an answer  
A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly/ in some cases; E = In progress; F= Being planned; G = Being updated; H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer |
| **2.-** Implementation progress since COP8 | NA |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action 13.1.5.-</th>
<th>Develop closer working relationships with appropriate regional bodies or programmes which have an interest in wetland conservation and wise use, including the Alliance of Small Island States (AOSIS), the Association of South East Asian Nations (ASEAN), the European Union (EU), the Council of Europe, the Southern African Development Community (SADC), the African Union (AU), and the Organization of American States (OAS).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **1.** Mechanisms are in place for collaboration between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and regional bodies or programmes which have interest in wetland conservation and wise use | E | Choose an answer  
A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly/ in some cases; E = In progress; F= Being planned;  
G = Being updated; H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer |
| **2.-** Implementation progress since COP8 | [Please include additional information on the relevant mechanisms.] |

| Action 13.1.6.- | Support and contribute to the development and implementation of the Plan of Action to Implement Africa’s Wetland Management Strategy under the Environmental Initiative of NEPAD, the New Partnership for Africa's Development.  
GLOBAL TARGET 2003-2005: NEPAD’s Action Plan to have fully incorporated Ramsar issues and mechanisms, and being implemented by relevant CPs, in lines with WSSD targets. |
|---|---|
| **1.** The Party has participated in the implementation of the wetland programme under NEPAD | F | Choose an answer  
A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly/ in some cases; E = In progress; F= Being planned;  
G = Being updated; H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer |
| **2.-** Implementation progress since COP8 | [Please include additional comments on the implementation of this action.] |

| Action 13.1.7.- | Continue to contribute, through the application of Ramsar tools and mechanisms, to the implementation of the Barbados Programme of Action for the Sustainable Development of Small Island Developing States.  
GLOBAL TARGET 2003-2005: Review of Ramsar’s contribution to the Barbados Programme of Action, as a contribution to the WSSD target. |
|---|---|
| **1.** The Party has participated in wetland-related activities within the Barbados Programme of Action for the Sustainable Development of Small Island Developing States | A | Choose an answer  
A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly/ in some cases; E = In progress; F= Being planned;  
G = Being updated; H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer |
### Action 13.1.8.- Continue the development and implementation of joint work plans under the Memorandum of Cooperation between the Ramsar Convention and the South Pacific Regional Environment Program (SPREP)

1. The Party has participated in the implementation of the Ramsar/SPREP Joint Work Plan

   **A** Choose an answer  
   
   A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly/in some cases;  
   E = In progress; F= Being planned; G = Being updated;  
   H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer

### Action r13.1.vi.- Make use, as appropriate, of the UNEP Guidelines on Enhancing Compliance with multilateral environmental agreements and on National Enforcement, and International Cooperation in Combating Violations, of Laws Implementing Multilateral Environmental Agreements in enhancing and supporting compliance with multilateral environmental agreements, including the Ramsar Convention. (Resolution VIII.24)

1. The Party has made use of the UNEP guidelines in relation to Ramsar

   **E** Choose an answer  
   
   A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly/in some cases;  
   E = In progress; F= Being planned; G = Being updated;  
   H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer

### OPERATIONAL OBJECTIVE 14. SHARING OF EXPERTISE AND INFORMATION

**PLANNING TOOL SECTION**

**PRIORITY:**  

A  

A = High; B= Medium; C= Low; D= Not relevant; E= No answer

**RESOURCING:**

C  

A= Good; B= Adequate; C= Limiting; D= Severely limiting; E= No answer

**TARGETS (text answer):**

Network of wetland professionals, practitioners and managers established

**PLANNED ACTIVITIES (text answer):**

- Disseminate CEPA activities nationally  
- Develop regional training programmes  
- Promote twining of wetlands and exchange visits among partner states in Africa

**COP9 REPORT SECTION**

Action 14.1.1.- Using mechanisms such as the national focal points for communication, education and public awareness (CEPA) and for the STRP, promote the sharing of knowledge (traditional, indigenous, and more recently derived technologies and methods) at the global, regional, and national levels. (Section D1 of the Guidelines.)

1. Actions have been taken to share knowledge at the global and/or regional and/or national level

   **B** Choose an answer  
   
   A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly/in some cases;  
   E = In progress; F= Being planned; G = Being updated;  
   H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer
Ramsar COP9 National Planning Tool-National Report Format

2. - Implementation progress since COP8 [Please include additional comments on the implementation of this action.]

The Wetlands Inspection Division is coordinating the Ugandan component of the East African Regional Wetlands Support project in collaboration with IUCN.

Action 14.1.2.- Recognize training as an important element of international cooperation under the Convention and provide access to such training, especially for wetland managers, and wetland educators and those others responsible for implementing the Convention, from other countries that would benefit from these opportunities. (Sections D2 and D3 of the Guidelines).

1. The Party has engaged in international cooperation activities related to training on wetland issues

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Choose an answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly/ in some cases; E = In progress; F = Being planned; G = Being updated; H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.- Implementation progress since COP8 [Please include additional comments on the implementation of this action.]


Action 14.1.3.- Develop twinning and/or networks of transboundary wetlands and wetlands sharing common features, as an important mechanism for sharing knowledge and providing training opportunities.

GLOBAL TARGET 2003-2005: At least 75 twinning arrangements to be in place and reported to the Bureau for Web publicity on the Ramsar Web site.

1.- Networks among wetlands sharing common features have been established for knowledge sharing and training

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Choose an answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly/ in some cases; E = In progress; F = Being planned; G = Being updated; H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.- Implementation progress since COP8 [Please include information on the wetland networks established and other comments you consider relevant.]

With the Network of wetland professionals

3.- Twinning arrangements among wetlands sharing common features have been established for knowledge sharing and training (if applicable, please include number of sites involved)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Choose an answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly/ in some cases; E = In progress; F = Being planned; G = Being updated; H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nº wetlands / Ramsar sites</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

4.- Implementation progress since COP8 [Please include information on the twinning arrangement, the sites involved and other comments you consider relevant.]

Not yet

Action 14.1.4.- Further review and develop Internet-based resource kits and knowledge and information sharing, including the Convention’s Wetland Expert Database, on topics including impact assessment, incentives, management planning, river basin management, participation, education and public awareness, and Ramsar sites.

1. Internet-based resource kits for knowledge and information sharing on wetland issues have been developed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Choose an answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly/ in some cases; E = In progress; F = Being planned; G = Being updated; H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.- Implementation progress since COP8 [Please include additional comments on the implementation of this action.]

Not yet

Action r14.1.i.- Share information and experiences on the management, conservation and sustainable use of mountain ecosystems similar to those of the high Andean region. (Resolution VIII.39)
1. Actions have been taken to share information and experiences on wetlands in mountain ecosystems

Choose an answer
A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly/in some cases; E = In progress; F = Being planned; G = Being updated; H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer

2. Implementation progress since COP8 [Please include additional comments on the implementation of this action.]

Not yet

OPERATIONAL OBJECTIVE 15. FINANCING THE CONSERVATION AND WISE USE OF WETLANDS

OPERATIONAL OBJECTIVE 15.1. Promote international assistance to support the conservation and wise use of wetlands

PLANNING TOOL SECTION

| PRIORITY: | A | A = High; B = Medium; C = Low; D = Not relevant; E = No answer |
| RESOURCING: | C | A = Good; B = Adequate; C = Limiting; D = Severely limiting; E = No answer |
| TARGETS (text answer): |  |
| PLANNED ACTIVITIES (text answer): |  |
| • Implementation of the Wetlands Sector Strategic Plan |
| • Develop funding proposals to solicit funds for implementation Ramsar site management plans |

COP9 REPORT SECTION

Action 15.1.1.- Mobilize direct funding support from multilateral and bilateral development assistance agencies in order to assist developing countries and countries whose economies are in transition in the conservation and wise use of wetlands and in implementation of the present Strategic Plan. (Based on Section E1 of the Guidelines).

GLOBAL TARGET 2003-2005: Each CP with a bilateral donor agency to have encouraged it to give priority for funding for wetland conservation and wise use projects in relation to poverty alleviation and other WSSD targets and priorities.

1. (For CPs with development assistance agencies) Funding support has been mobilized from development assistance agency for wetland issues

Choose an answer for each indicator
A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly/in some cases; E = In progress; F = Being planned; G = Being updated; H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer

2. Other forms of funding support have been mobilized for wetland issues

3.- Implementation progress since COP8 [Please include additional comments on the implementation of this action.]

Uganda has received financial support from the Belgium government and World Bank/GEF through the Lake Victoria Environment Management Project

Action r15.1.i.- Encourage bilateral and multilateral donors to assign priority to supporting wetland inventories in developing countries and countries whose economies are in transition in recognition of the importance of inventory as the basis for developing and implementing sustainable use of wetlands. (Resolution VIII.6)
1. The Party has worked with bilateral and multilateral donors to mobilize funding support for wetland inventories B

Choose an answer
A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly/in some cases; E = In progress; F = Being planned; G = Being updated; H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer

2.- Implementation progress since COP8 [Please include additional comments on the implementation of this action.]

Uganda has received financial support from the Belgium government and World Bank/GEF through the Lake Victoria Environment Management Project which includes wetland inventories among others

Action 15.1.2.- Mobilize resources to support the implementation of management plans for Ramsar sites in developing countries and countries whose economies are in transition. (Refer also to Operational Objective 11.1)

1.- The Party has worked with donors to mobilize resources for implementation of Ramsar site management plans (if applicable, please include number of sites) B

Choose an answer
A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly/in some cases; E = In progress; F = Being planned; G = Being updated; H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nº Ramsar sites</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

2.- Implementation progress since COP8 [Please list the sites involved and include additional comments on the implementation of this action.]

Uganda has received financial support from the World Bank/GEF through the Lake Victoria Environment Management Project which supports implementation of Lake Nabugabo Ramsar site management. A craft centre is under construction.

Action r15.1.ii.- Encourage Contracting Parties and donor organizations to give priority for support to the development of coherent national and international networks of Ramsar sites and their effective management, in recognition of their essential values and functions in combating poverty through the sustainable use of their ecosystems and their role in maintaining the quality and quantity of water, including at the basin scale. Resolution VIII.10) (Refer also to Operational Objective 11.1)

1. The Party has worked with donors to mobilize support for the establishment of coherent national and international networks of Ramsar sites and their effective management B

Choose an answer
A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly/in some cases; E = In progress; F = Being planned; G = Being updated; H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer

2.- Implementation progress since COP8 [Please include additional comments on the implementation of this action.]

Uganda has received financial support from the Belgium Government, the Danish Embassy, WWF and RSPB to support the designation of Ramsar sites.

Action r15.1.iii.- Urge multilateral and bilateral donors to ensure that the allocation and management of water for maintaining the ecological functions of wetlands and production potential of wetlands is fully addressed in the design, planning and implementation of river basin and water resource management projects, taking into account the special circumstances and constraints of the concerned countries. (Resolution VIII.1)

1. The Party has worked with donors to ensure that the ecological functions of wetlands are conserved when designing and implementing water projects B

Choose an answer
A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly/in some cases; E = In progress; F = Being planned; G = Being updated; H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer

2.- Implementation progress since COP8 [Please include additional comments on the implementation of this action.]

Uganda has developed EIA guidelines to ensure that wetland issues are taken care of in implementing water projects

Action r15.1.iv.- Continue support, and, as appropriate, increase this support, for wetland-related projects in the Oceania region and extend it to include capacity building activities. (Resolution VIII.42)
### Financial support provided for wetland-related projects in the Oceania region

**Choose an answer**
- **A** Not applicable
- **B** Yes
- **C** No
- **D** Partly/in some cases
- **E** In progress
- **F** Being planned
- **G** Being updated
- **H** Other status (explain below)
- **I** No answer

### Implementation progress since COP8 [Please include additional comments on the implementation of this action.]

**NA**

### Action 15.1.3.-
For those Parties with bilateral development assistance agencies, respond to sections E1, E2, E5, E7, E10, E11, E14 and E15 of the Guidelines for international cooperation under the Ramsar Convention on Wetlands (Ramsar Handbook 9), and especially consider issues such as long-term fund generation mechanisms, appropriate monitoring of projects, training of development assistance agency staff, priority for institutional capacity building, the need for cooperation between development assistance agencies, and the importance of coordination between bilateral development assistance agencies and the Ramsar Administrative Authorities in the donor and recipient countries.

**Action 15.1.4.-** Pursuant to 15.1.3., urge all Parties with bilateral development assistance agencies to report on their performance with regard to wetland-related activities at each COP. (Based on Section E5 of the Guidelines)

**Global Target 2003-2005:** Relevant CPs to report to COP9 on ensuring that multilateral donor agencies afford priority to wetlands within poverty alleviation schemes.

### Implementation progress since COP8 [Please include additional comments on the implementation of this action.]

**Uganda has worked with the World Bank and Environment Donor group chaired by Belgium to ensure that wetland issues receive due attention**
**Action 15.1.6.-** Provide financial support, preferably on a medium and/or long-term basis, to the operations of the Ramsar Small Grants Fund and its Endowment Fund, and the Voluntary Fund for the Convention’s Communication, Education and Public Awareness Programme, seeking to have available a minimum of one million US dollars annually for the former and half a million US dollars for the latter. (Based on Sections E4 and E9 of the Guidelines.)

See action 16.1.2

| 1. Financial support provided to the Ramsar Small Grants Fund | A | Choose an answer for each indicator |
| 2. Financial support provided to the Ramsar Endowment Fund | C |
| 3. Contributions have been made to the Ramsar Voluntary Fund for the Convention's Communication, Education and Public Awareness Programme | C |

4.- Implementation progress since COP8 [Please include additional comments on contributions made to support the funds and services indicated above.]

No direct contributions have been made to the SGF

**Action 15.1.7.-** Mobilize resources to support the development of wetland training and education centres at Ramsar sites and the training of wetland educators in developing countries and countries with economies in transition.

1. Actions have been taken to mobilize resources to support wetland training and education centres at Ramsar sites in developing countries and countries with economies in transition | B |

2.- Implementation progress since COP8 [Please include additional comments on the implementation of this action.]

Uganda has received financial support from the Belgium Government which will be applied to support training and education centres

**Action 15.1.8.-** For those Parties eligible for development assistance, include projects for conservation and wise use of wetlands in national portfolios and plans for consideration by development assistance agencies, and give priority among these for projects to build institutional capacity. (Based on Sections E8 and E12 of the Guidelines)

1. Project proposals related to wetlands have been submitted to development assistance agencies | B |

2.- Implementation progress since COP8 [Please include additional comments on the implementation of this action.]

Uganda has submitted project proposal to various and is currently receiving financial support from the Belgium Government, the World Bank, and the Global Environment Facility

**Action 15.1.9.-** For eligible Contracting Parties of both the Ramsar Convention and the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD), develop wetland conservation and wise use projects suitable for consideration by the Global Environment Facility (GEF), as part of the implementation of the Joint Work Plan with the CBD and in accordance with paragraphs 6 and 7 of Decision IV/4 of CBD’s COP4 relating to inland water ecosystems.

GLOBAL TARGET 2003-2005: Assistance provided to at least 15 countries in preparing projects for submission to the Global Environment Facility.

1. Project proposals related to wetlands have been submitted to the Global Environment Facility (GEF) | B |

Choose an answer

A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly/in some cases; E = In progress; F = Being planned; G = Being updated; H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer
2.- Implementation progress since COP8 [Please include additional comments on the implementation of this action.]

Uganda is in the final stages of preparing a project of Community Based Conservation of Wetland Biodiversity (COBWEB) to be submitted to GEF through UNDP.

**Action 15.1.12.**- Pursue involvement of the private sector (including corporations and foundations) in wetland conservation and seek opportunities for private sector funding of wetland projects under Ramsar.

| 1. Steps have been taken to involve the private sector and private-sector funding in wetland projects | B | Choose an answer  
|---|---|---
| A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly/in some cases;  
| F = In progress; F= Being planned; G = Being updated; H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer  

2.- Implementation progress since COP8 [Please include additional comments on the implementation of this action.]

Uganda Breweries Limited has provided about 25,000 GBP for wetland awareness activities.

**Action 15.1.13.**- Promote establishment of effective mechanisms to encourage environmentally sound trade in wetland products, in particular from Ramsar sites, compatible with international trade agreements.

| 1. Mechanisms have been established to encourage environmentally sound trade of wetland products, in particular from Ramsar sites | F | Choose an answer  
|---|---|---
| A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly/in some cases;  
| E = In progress; F= Being planned; G = Being updated;  
| H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer  

2.- Implementation progress since COP8 [Please include additional comments on the implementation of this action.]

Discussions have been held with UNCTAD.

---

**OPERATIONAL OBJECTIVE 15. FINANCING THE CONSERVATION AND WISE USE OF WETLANDS**

**OPERATIONAL OBJECTIVE 15.2.** Ensure that environmental safeguards and assessments are an integral component of all development projects that affect wetlands, including foreign and domestic investments.

**PLANNING TOOL SECTION**

| PRIORITY: | A | Choose an answer  
|---|---|---
| A= High; B= Medium; C= Low; D= Not relevant; E= No answer  

| RESOURCING: | C | Choose an answer  
|---|---|---
| A= Good; B= Adequate; C= Limiting; D= Severely limiting; E= No answer  

**TARGETS (text answer):**

- Integrate wetland issues into the investment code

**PLANNED ACTIVITIES**

- Integrate wetland issues into the investment code
- Develop guidelines for integrating wetland issues into the EIA process

---

**COP9 REPORT SECTION**

**Action 15.2.1.**- Work with international development agencies, including banks, financial institutions and private investors and developers, to ensure that proposed grants, loans, and development projects include environmental safeguards and environmental assessments of possible impacts upon wetlands. (Based on Section G1 of the Guidelines.)
1. Actions have been taken to work with investors on possible impacts of their projects on wetlands

Choose an answer

A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly/in some cases; E = In progress; F = Being planned; G = Being updated; H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer

2.- Implementation progress since COP8 [Please include additional comments on the implementation of this action.]

The Wetlands Inspection Division reviews EIAs related to wetlands

Action 15.2.3.- Review wetland-related project development approval and consider the introduction of mechanisms to direct resources derived from these activities back to hands-on wetland management in the country. (Based on Section G3 of the Guidelines.)

1. Work has been done to introduce mechanisms to direct resources derived from wetlands back to wetland management

Choose an answer

A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly/in some cases; E = In progress; F = Being planned; G = Being updated; H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer

2.- Implementation progress since COP8 [Please include additional comments on the implementation of this action.]

Wetland issue integrated into the Poverty Eradication Action Plan

OPERATIONAL OBJECTIVE 16. FINANCING OF THE CONVENTION

OPERATIONAL OBJECTIVE 16.1. Provide the financial resources required for the Convention’s governance mechanisms and programmes to achieve the expectations of the Conference of the Contracting Parties

Go to previous Operational Objective Go to next Operational Objective - Go to Table of Contents

PLANNING TOOL SECTION

PRIORITY: A = High; B = Medium; C = Low; D = Not relevant; E = No answer
RESOURCING: C = Good; B = Adequate; C = Limiting; D = Severely limiting; E = No answer

TARGETS (text answer):

- Ensure timely payment of annual contributions
- Mobilise local financial resources to support Convention activities

COP9 REPORT SECTION

Action 16.1.1.- Pay annual contributions to the Convention’s core budget in full and promptly at the beginning of each calendar year.

1. Ramsar dues have been paid in full and in a timely manner

Choose an answer

A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly/in some cases; E = In progress; F = Being planned; G = Being updated; H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer

2.- Implementation progress since COP8 [Please include additional comments on the implementation of this action.]

Uganda is up to date regarding payment of dues

Action 16.1.2.- Consider providing additional voluntary contributions to the Convention to support the Small Grants Fund and its Endowment Fund, the Voluntary Fund for the Convention’s Communication, Education and Public Awareness Programme, and the Training Service, regionally-based initiatives such as MedWet, the undertaking of Ramsar Advisory Missions for sites included in the Montreux Record and other Ramsar sites, and other priority activities as determined by the Strategic Plan.
In addition to any contributions listed under Action 15.1.6 above, voluntary contributions have been made:

1. to the Ramsar Training Service managed by Wetlands International
2. to support regional initiatives under the Convention
3. for Ramsar Advisory Missions related to Ramsar sites in the Montreux Record
4. towards the development and maintenance of logistics of the San José Record
5. to support the work of the Scientific and Technical Review Panel (STRP)
6. to expand the internship programme at the Ramsar Bureau
7. in relation to COP9

Choose an answer for each indicator
A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly/in some cases; E = In progress; F= Being planned; G = Being updated; H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer

8.- Implementation progress since COP8 [Please include additional comments on the contributions made to support the services indicated above.]

Uganda has contributed – UGX 250M/= (equiv. $150,000) towards the preparation and hosting of Ramsar COP9 to be held in Uganda in November 2005

OPERATIONAL OBJECTIVE 17. INSTITUTIONAL MECHANISMS OF THE CONVENTION

OPERATIONAL OBJECTIVE 17.1. Ensure that the Conference of the Contracting Parties, Standing Committee, Scientific and Technical Review Panel, and Ramsar Bureau are operating at a high level of efficiency and effectiveness to support implementation of this Strategic Plan

PLANNING TOOL SECTION

PRIORITY: A A = High; B= Medium; C= Low; D= Not relevant; E= No answer
RESOURCING: D A= Good; B= Adequate; C= Limiting; D= Severely limiting; E= No answer
TARGETS (text answer): Improve implementation of the Convention in Uganda
PLANNED ACTIVITIES (text answer): Nominate national focal point for STRP and two focal points for CEPA

COP9 REPORT SECTION

Action 17.1.6.- Ensure that each Contracting Party has nominated a national focal point for the work of the STRP (as called for by Resolutions VII.2 and VIII.28) and two focal points (one government, one non-government) for the Communication, Education and Public Awareness Programme (as called for by Resolutions VII.9 and VIII.31)

See also Action r9.iii.i. concerning CEPA national focal points.

1. The National Focal Point for the work of STRP has been nominated

Choose an answer
A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly/in some cases; E = In progress; F= Being planned; G = Being updated; H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer

2.- Implementation progress since COP8 [Please include information on the STRP National Focal Point and other comments you consider relevant.]

Dr Frank Kansiime has been nominated to replace Dr Timothy Twongo as the National Focal Point for STRP

Dr Frank Kansiime has been nominated to replace Dr Timothy Twongo as the National Focal Point for STRP
OPERATIONAL OBJECTIVE 18. INSTITUTIONAL CAPACITY OF CONTRACTING PARTIES

OPERATIONAL OBJECTIVE 18.1. Develop the capacity within, and promote cooperation among, institutions in Contracting Parties to achieve conservation and wise use of wetlands

PLANNING TOOL SECTION

PRIORITY: A

RESOURCING: C

TARGETS (text answer): Promote inter-institution cooperation

PLANNED ACTIVITIES (text answer):
- Establish a Secretariat by 2003
- Support work of the National Ramsar Committee
- Prepare joint work programmes with national focal points for MEAs
- Involve other agencies in the National Ramsar Committee
- Develop and implement training programme

COP9 REPORT SECTION

Action 18.1.1.- Encourage the review of existing national institutions responsible for the conservation and wise use of wetlands, and on the basis of such a review, identify and implement measures to:

a) increase cooperation and synergy among institutions with direct or indirect responsibility for wetland issues, especially those responsible for the management of water resources and for biodiversity and wetland conservation and management;
b) promote enhanced cooperation, and where appropriate integrated approaches, among the national focal points of environment-related conventions, and consider establishing a coordinating committee to ensure that integrated approaches are taken;
c) promote enhanced contact, and where appropriate close coordination, between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and national professional, technical, scientific and educational societies and agencies involved in wetland conservation or management, including those involved in social and cultural heritage issues; and
d) provide appropriately trained staff, in adequate numbers, to enable these institutions to implement the Convention to full effect.

1. A review of national institutions responsible for the conservation and wise use of wetlands has been completed

2.- Implementation progress since COP8 [Please include information on the review and other comments you consider relevant.]

A review was carried out with support from IUCN Law Centre

See action 13.1.1

3. A coordinating committee among focal points of environment-related conventions is in place

4.- Implementation progress since COP8 [Please include information on the coordinating committee and other comments you consider relevant.]

The Ministry of Water Lands and Environment is implementing this with support from UNEP
5. Mechanisms are in place to ensure cooperation between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and other national institutions directly or indirectly responsible for wetland issues, in particular water and biodiversity  
6. Mechanisms are in place to ensure cooperation between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and relevant professional, scientific or educational societies and agencies involved in wetland conservation or management, including social and cultural heritage issues

Choose an answer for each indicator
A = Not applicable;  
B = Yes;  
C = No;  
D = Partly/in some cases;  
E = In progress;  
F= Being planned;  
G = Being updated;  
H = Other status (explain below);  
I = No answer

7.- Implementation progress since COP8 [Please include information on the relevant cooperation mechanisms and any other comments you consider relevant.]

The National Environment Management Authority has established the Technical Committee on Biodiversity Conservation which a mechanism for collaboration

Action r18.1.i.- Build and strengthen institutional capacity and synergies between related instruments at the national level in order to address the linkages between climate change and wetlands and report to COP9 on progress on this matter, including achievements and the identification of difficulties encountered (Resolution VIII.3)

1. Work has been done to address the linkages between climate change and wetlands  
2.- Implementation progress since COP8 [Please include additional comments on the implementation of this action.]

This is still under consultation

Action 18.1.2.- Establish National Ramsar/Wetlands Committees to provide the opportunity for input from, and representation of, relevant government agencies, including national water management ministries and/or agencies, where appropriate, and non-governmental organizations, STRP and CEPA National Focal Points, key stakeholders, indigenous people and local communities, the private sector and interest groups, and land use planning and management authorities (Recommendation 5.13). When in place, ensure the proper functioning of these Committees.

See actions r3.4.iii, r13.1.iii

1. A National Ramsar / Wetlands Committee (or equivalent body) is in place  
2. If a Ramsar Committee exists, it is cross-sectoral (relevant ministries and agencies, NGOs, key stakeholders, local communities, private sector, etc.)  
3.- Implementation progress since COP8 [Please include additional comments on the National Ramsar Committee.]

The National Wetlands Advisory Group serves as the National Ramsar Committee

Action 18.1.3.- Review the designated Ramsar national Administrative Authority (and provincial (sub-national) focal points where appropriate) and STRP and CEPA national focal points in each Contracting Party, with a view to ensuring that these positions are being effective in increasing involvement in the work of the Convention of all relevant agencies and organizations concerned with the conservation and wise use of wetlands.

1. The institutional capacity for the effective implementation of the Convention – including the Ramsar Administrative Authority, National Wetland Committee (or equivalent body) and STRP and CEPA focal points– has been assessed  

Choose an answer
A = Not applicable;  
B = Yes;  
C = No;  
D = Partly/in some cases;  
E = In progress;  
F= Being planned;  
G = Being updated;  
H = Other status (explain below);  
I = No answer
2.- Implementation progress since COP8 [Please include additional comments on the implementation of this action.]

To be finalized by December 2005

Action 18.1.4.- Assist in achieving a cohesive and coordinated national effort towards the implementation of the Convention, using Ramsar's national planning tool (based on the National Report Format) as an ongoing planning and monitoring mechanism. Ideally, this tool should be used by all relevant government departments/agencies and include input from National Ramsar/Wetland Committee members and other stakeholders as appropriate.

1. The National Report Format has been used as a national planning tool for the implementation of the Convention

B Choose an answer
A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly/in some cases; E = In progress; F = Being planned; G = Being updated; H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer

2.- Implementation progress since COP8 [Please include additional comments on the implementation of this action.]

This report has been prepared based on the National Report format. NOTE THAT THE FORMAT IS CUMBERSOME.

OPERATIONAL OBJECTIVE 20. TRAINING

OPERATIONAL OBJECTIVE 20.1. Identify the training needs of institutions and individuals concerned with the conservation and wise use of wetlands, particularly in developing countries and countries in transition, and implement appropriate responses

PLANNING TOOL SECTION

| PRIORITY: | A | A = High; B = Medium; C = Low; D = Not relevant; E = No answer |
| RESOURCING: | D | A = Good; B = Adequate; C = Limiting; D = Severely limiting; E = No answer |
| TARGETS (text answer): | Training Needs Assessment done and training plan in place by COP9 |
| PLANNED ACTIVITIES (text answer): | To complete Training needs analysis of all sectors and local governments by December 2003 |

COP9 REPORT SECTION

Action 20.1.1.- Implement, and further develop and publicize, the Convention’s Wetland Training Service.


1. The Party has participated in the development and use of the Ramsar Training Service managed by Wetlands International

D Choose an answer
A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly/in some cases; E = In progress; F = Being planned; G = Being updated; H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer

2.- Implementation progress since COP8 [Please include additional comments on the implementation of this action.]

The Ramsar training service has not been effective

Action 20.1.2.- Identify at national, provincial, and local levels the needs and target audiences for training in implementation of the Convention and, in particular, use of the Wise Use Guidelines and Ramsar Handbooks.

GLOBAL TARGET 2003-2005: At least half of CPs to have assessed national and local training needs.
1. An assessment has been completed of national and local training needs in the implementation of the Convention and use of the Wise Use Handbooks D

| Choose an answer | A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly/in some cases; E = In progress; F= Being planned; G = Being updated; H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer |

2.- Implementation progress since COP8 [Please include additional comments on the implementation of this action.]

Training needs undertaken with support from IUCN

**Action 20.1.3.-** Identify and disseminate, nationally, regionally and globally, information describing the current training opportunities in disciplines essential for the conservation and wise use of wetlands through the further development of the **Bureau’s Ramsar Wise Use Resource Centre** (http://ramsar.org/wurc_index.htm) and the **Directory of Wetland Management Training Opportunities** (http://ramsar.org/wurc_training_directory.htm).

1. The wetland-related training opportunities that exist in the country have been identified B

| Choose an answer | A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly/in some cases; E = In progress; F= Being planned; G = Being updated; H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer |

2.- Implementation progress since COP8 [Please include additional comments on the implementation of this action.]

These have been identified through the Regional Wetlands Initiative

**Action 20.1.5.-** Assemble and make available, or develop, new training activities and general training modules as necessary, for the use of the Ramsar Handbooks, with specialized modules including coverage of the following fields:

a) wetland inventory, assessment and monitoring;
b) national wetland policies and plans;
c) integrated catchment/river basin and coastal zone planning and management;
d) integrated site management planning at the local, provincial or catchment/river basin level;
e) wetland restoration and rehabilitation;
f) invasive alien species;
g) agricultural impacts on wetlands and water resources;
h) impact assessment and strategic environmental assessment;
i) impacts of climate change, and adaptive management and mitigation of such impacts;
j) economic valuation of wetlands;
k) communication, education and public awareness techniques.

1. Training modules and materials on wetland-related issues have been developed E

| Choose an answer | A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly/in some cases; E = In progress; F= Being planned; G = Being updated; H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer |

2.- Implementation progress since COP8 [Please include information on the development of training modules and materials for the issues listed above, and additional comments you consider relevant.]

Training modules developed with support from the Lake Victoria Environment Management Project

**Action 20.1.6.-** Provide opportunities for manager training by:

a) encouraging personnel exchanges for on-the-job training, possibly between twinned sites;
b) holding pilot training courses at specific Ramsar sites;
c) siting wetland manager and wetland educator training facilities at Ramsar sites;
d) obtaining and disseminating information about training courses for wetland managers around the world;
e) establishing further regional training initiatives such as the **Wetlands for the Future Initiative** funded by the Government of the USA for the countries of Latin America and the Caribbean.

1. Opportunities for manager training in the country have been provided B

| Choose an answer | A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly/in some cases; E = In progress; F= Being planned; G = Being updated; H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer |
2.- Implementation progress since COP8 [Please include additional comments on the implementation of this action.]

4 District Wetland Officers have been trained at the International Course on African Wetland Management organized by Kenya Wildlife Service Training Institute.

**Action 20.1.7.** Continue to encourage the development of projects for submission to the Small Grants Fund for support for training activities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. Training-related projects have been submitted to the Small Grants Fund</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>Choose an answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly/ in some cases; E = In progress; F = Being planned; G = Being updated; H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.- Implementation progress since COP8 [Please include additional comments on the implementation of this action.]

Not yet.

**Action 20.1.8.** Encourage further development and use of regional wetland training and research centres, including a Regional Ramsar Centre for Training and Research on Wetlands in the Western Hemisphere (Resolution VII.26) and a Regional Ramsar Centre for Training and Research on Wetlands in Western and Central Asia (Resolution VIII.41).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2.- Support has been provided to the development of regional wetland training and research centres (if applicable, please include number of centres)</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>Choose an answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly/ in some cases; E = In progress; F = Being planned; G = Being updated; H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nº centres</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

3.- Implementation progress since COP8 [Please include the list of centres and other additional comments you consider relevant.]

ICAWM, NAIVASHA.

**Action 20.1.9.** Exchange information, technical assistance and advice, and expertise about training for the conservation and wise use of wetlands through the Communication, Education and Public Awareness Programme, the Bureau, MedWet and the IOPs of the Convention.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. The Party has participated in exchanges of information and expertise related to wetland training issues</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>Choose an answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly/ in some cases; E = In progress; F = Being planned; G = Being updated; H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.- Implementation progress since COP8 [Please include additional comments on the implementation of this action.]

Through the Regional Wetlands Project of IUCN.

**OPERATIONAL OBJECTIVE 21. MEMBERSHIP OF THE CONVENTION**

**OPERATIONAL OBJECTIVE 21.1. Secure the universal membership of the Convention**

- Go to previous Operational Objective
- Go to Feedback on Report Format
- Go to Table of Contents

**PLANNING TOOL SECTION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRIORITY:</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>A = High; B = Medium; C = Low; D = Not relevant; E = No answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RESOURCING:</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>A = Good; B = Adequate; C = Limiting; D = Severely limiting; E = No answer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TARGETS (text answer):</td>
<td>To for accession of neighboring countries</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLANNED ACTIVITIES (text answer):</td>
<td>• To contact at least 2 non Contracting Parties to join the Convention by COP 9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Develop funding proposals to target Secretariats of other conventions
Host one sub-regional workshop by COP9

COP9 REPORT SECTION

Action 21.1.1.- Recruit new Contracting Parties through:

a) seeking direct contact with non-Contracting Parties to provide information on the benefits of membership and advice or assistance in overcoming obstacles;

b) intensifying contacts with the diplomatic representatives of non-Contracting Parties;

c) seeking assistance from the secretariats of other conventions, including the Regional Seas Conventions, and in-country offices of the United Nations Development Programme, the World Bank and others;

d) organizing subregional workshops involving Contracting Parties and non-Contracting Parties;

e) providing assistance for the identification of Wetlands of International Importance that could be listed as Ramsar sites upon accession;

f) encouraging attendance by observers from non-Contracting Parties at regional meetings and meetings of the Conference of the Parties, and

g) publishing and disseminating an accession kit to assist countries in the Oceania region (and Small Island Developing States more generally) through the accession process. (Resolution VIII.42)

1. Actions have been taken to encourage the adhesion to the Convention of new Contracting Parties

Choose an answer
A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly/in some cases; E = In progress; F = Being planned; G = Being updated; H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer

2.- Implementation progress since COP8

Please include additional comments on the implementation of this action.

ETHIOPIA as been contacted and has indicated willingness to ratify the Convention

FEEDBACK ON COP9 NATIONAL REPORT FORMAT

Please include additional comments on the COP9 National Planning Tool, National Report Format. (For instance, adequacy of the questions, the difficulties in completing them, and any further recommendations on how these reporting guidelines could be improved)

This National is comprehensive enough to capture the required information but it is cumbersome to fill and is not user friendly. A much simpler format should be adopted to serve as the format for national reports for COP10 and Uganda would be willing to serve on a task force to this effect.

In case a specific working group would be created, will you be interested in participating in the preparation of the COP10 National Report Format?

Choose an answer
A = Not applicable; B = Yes; C = No; D = Partly/in some cases; E = In progress; F = Being planned; G = Being updated; H = Other status (explain below); I = No answer