**Wise Use in Practice: Policies, Laws and Programs influencing links between wetland conservation and poverty eradication**

**Criteria:** Several policies, programs and legislation have an impact on how wetland conservation and poverty eradication can be better linked. Some of these do so intentionally (e.g. wetland policies and wetland/biodiversity legislation, land use plans). Others may have an impact indirectly (e.g. irrigation policies). Some will have positive impacts (e.g. recognizing local resource user management regimes; promoting watershed management), while others will have negative impacts (e.g. laws that prohibit any kind of use; agriculture intensification through chemical inputs). All of these are important as they make clear the opportunities and obstacles to implementing wise in practice in each country. To help the STRP understand these better, please list the policies, laws and programs that you feel have some influence and briefly explain how they have this influence.

**Country:**

| **Policy/Program/Law/ Regulation** | **How does it impact the linking of conservation and poverty eradication in wetlands?** | | **Implementing Agency** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Positive** | **Negative** |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
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