

National planning tool for the implementation of the Ramsar Convention on Wetlands

(And the approved format for National Reports to be submitted for the 8th Meeting of the Conference of the Contracting Parties, Spain, 2002)

file 1

Institutional information

Contracting Party: **SLOVENIA**

Full name of designated Ramsar Administrative Authority: **Ministry of the Environment and Spatial Planning**

Name and title of the head of the designated Ramsar Administrative Authority: **Janez Kopac, M.Sc.**

Mailing address and contact details of the head of the institution: **Dunajska 48, SI-1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia**

Telephone: **+386 1 478.74.00**

Facsimile: **+386 1 478.74.22**

Email: **janez.kopac@gov.si**

Name and title (if different) of the designated national focal point (or “daily contact” in the Administrative Authority) for Ramsar Convention matters: **dr. Gordana Beltram**

Mailing address and contact details of the national focal point: **Vojkova 1b, SI-1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia**

Telephone: **+386 1 478.45.56**

Facsimile: **+386 1 478.40.51**

Email: **gordana.beltram@gov.si**

Name and title of the designated national focal point for matters relating to the Scientific and Technical Review Panel (STRP): **same as above**

Mailing address and contact details of the national STRP focal point: **Vojkova 1b, SI-1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia**

Telephone: **+386 1 478.45.56**

Facsimile: **+386 1 478.40.51**

Email: **gordana.beltram@gov.si**

Name and title of the designated national government focal point for matters relating to the Outreach Programme of the Ramsar Convention: **Minka Vicar**

Mailing address and contact details of the national focal point: **Zavod RS za šolstvo, Parmova 33, SI-1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia**

Telephone: **+386 1 236.31.46**

Facsimile: **+386 1 236.31.50**

Email: **minka.vicar@guest.arnes.si**

Name and title of the designated national non-government (NG) focal point for matters relating to the Outreach Programme of the Ramsar Convention: **Dusan Klenovsek***

***Note: Due to his many activities Dusan asked to be replaced by a new NGO CEPA FP. Currently NRC is in a process of nominating a new candidate.**

Mailing address and contact details of the national focal point: **OS Savo Kladnik, Trg svobode 42, SI-8290 Sevnica, Slovenia**

Telephone: **+386-41-593.929**

Facsimile: **+386 -7- 814 12 02**

Email: **dusan.klenovsek@gust.arnes.si**

Note – Not all actions from the Convention Work Plan 2000-2002 are included here, as some apply only to the Bureau or Conferences of the Contracting Parties. As a result, the numbering system that follows contains some gaps corresponding to those actions that have been omitted.

η η η

GENERAL OBJECTIVE 1

TO PROGRESS TOWARDS UNIVERSAL MEMBERSHIP OF THE CONVENTION

Operational Objective 1.1: To endeavour to secure at least 150 Contracting Parties to the Convention by 2002.

Actions – Global Targets

1.1.1 Recruit new Contracting Parties, especially in the less well represented regions and among states with significant and/or transboundary wetland resources (including shared species), [CPs, SC regional representatives, Bureau, Partners]

- **The gaps remain in Africa, central Asia, the Middle East and the Small Island Developing States. Refer to Recommendation 7.2 relating to Small Island Developing States.**
- **Global Target - 150 CPs by COP8**
- **These are the countries which at present are not CPs of the Convention:**
Afghanistan, Andorra, Angola, Antigua and Barbuda, Azerbaijan, Barbados, Benin, Bhutan, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Brunei Darussalam, Burundi, Cameroon, Cape Verde, Central African Republic, Cook Islands, Cuba, Cyprus, Democratic Republic of Korea, Djibouti, Dominica, Dominican Republic, Equatorial Guinea, Eritrea, Ethiopia, Fiji, Grenada, Guyana, Haiti, Holy See, Iraq, Kazakhstan, Kiribati, Kuwait, Kyrgyzstan, Lao People's Republic, Lesotho, Liberia, Libya, Maldives, Marshall Islands, Mauritius, Federated States of Micronesia, Mozambique, Myanmar, Nauru, Nigeria, Niue, Oman, Palau, Qatar, Republic of Moldova, Rwanda, St Kitts

Operational Objective 2.1: To review and, if necessary, amend national or supra-national (e.g., European Community) legislation, institutions and practices in all Contracting Parties, to ensure that the Wise Use Guidelines are applied.

Actions - Global and National Targets
<p>2.1.1 Carry out a review of legislation and practices, and indicate in National Reports to the COP how the Wise Use Guidelines are applied. [CPs]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> This remains a high priority for the next triennium. The <i>Guidelines for reviewing laws and institutions</i> (Resolution VII.7) will assist these efforts. Global Target – For at least 100 CPs to have comprehensively reviewed their laws and institutions relating to wetlands by COP8.
<p>Has your country completed a review of its laws and institutions relating to wetlands? Yes/No</p>
<p>If No, what are the impediments to this being done? Please elaborate.</p> <p>This task has been undertaken in the preparation of the National Biodiversity Strategy & Action Plan (Biodiversity Conservation Strategy of Slovenia - BCSS) adopted in December 2001. It was a two year project co-financed by the GEF through the World Bank.</p>
<p>If a review is planned, what is the expected timeframe for this being done? </p>
<p>If the review has been completed, did the review result in amendments to laws or institutional arrangements to support implementation of the Ramsar Convention? No</p>
<p>If No, what are the impediments to these amendments being completed? Please elaborate.</p> <p>There has not been enough cooperation on the legal aspect between sectors. However, it is expected sectoral legislation to be amended with the implementation of the National Biodiversity Conservation Strategy (BCSS).</p>
<p>If Yes, and changes to laws and institutional arrangements were made, please describe these briefly.</p> <p>The new Water Act, currently in the governmental procedure for adoption, is based on the Water Framework Directive of the EU, includes wetlands as an essential part of river basins and an integral part of the river basin management plans. Wetlands are considered important for the numerous functions and services they provide, including water ecological status and stability of hydrological regime.</p> <p>Nature Conservation Act (1999) recognises different wetland types for their conservation, ecological and biodiversity values.</p>
<p>Proposed national actions and targets: cooperation between sectors</p>
<p>Ministry, agency/department, or organization responsible for leading on this action: MOP</p>

2.1.2 Promote much greater efforts to develop national wetland policies, either separately or as a clearly identifiable component of other national conservation planning initiatives, such as National Environment Action Plans, National Biodiversity Strategies, or National Conservation Strategies. [CPs, Bureau, Partners]

- **The development and implementation of National Wetland Policies continues to be one of the highest priorities of the Convention, as does the integration of wetland conservation and wise use into broader national environment and water policies. *The Guidelines for developing and implementing National Wetland Policies (Resolution VII.6)* will assist these efforts.**
- **Global Target - By COP8, at least 100 CPs with National Wetland Policies or, where appropriate, a recognized document that harmonizes all wetland-related policies/strategies and plans, and all CPs to have wetlands considered in national environmental and water policies and plans. *The Guidelines for integrating wetland conservation and wise use into river basin management (Resolution VII.18)* will assist these efforts.**

Does your country have **in place** a National Wetland Policy (or similar instrument) which is a comprehensive statement of the Government's intention to implement the provisions of the Ramsar Convention? **Yes**

If **No**, what are the impediments to this being put in place? **Please elaborate.**

If the development of such a Policy is **planned**, what is the expected timeframe for this being done?

Has your country taken its obligations with respect to the Ramsar Convention into consideration in related policy instruments such as National Biodiversity Strategies, National Environmental Action Plans, Water Policies, river basin management plans, or similar instruments? **Yes**

If **No**, what are the impediments to doing so? **Please elaborate.**

If **Yes**, please provide brief details.

It is included in the BCSS adopted in December 2001;

National Environmental Protection Programme under the Biodiversity and Water issues (1999); and water policies (please see 2.1.1.)

Has your government reviewed and modified, as appropriate, its policies that adversely affect intertidal wetlands (COP7 Resolution VII.21)? **No**

If **No**, what has prevented this from happening?

Slovenia has only some 46 kms of coastline. Most of the intertidal wetlands valuable for biodiversity have already been protected.

A problematic issue is a sand dune under threat by the expansion of the Koper harbour planning to develop new docks in that area.

If **Yes**, what were the conclusions of this review? and what actions have been taken

subsequently? **Yes**

Proposed national actions and targets: **evaluation of the intertidal wetlands**

Ministry, agency/department, or organization responsible for leading on this action: **MOP-ARSO, INC**

Operational Objective 2.2: To integrate conservation and wise use of wetlands in all Contracting Parties into national, provincial and local planning and decision-making on land use, groundwater management, catchment/river basin and coastal zone planning, and all other environmental planning and management.

Actions - Global and National Targets

2.2.2 Promote the inclusion of wetlands in national, provincial and local land use planning documents and activities, and in all relevant sectoral and budgetary provisions. [CPs]

- **Achieving integrated and cross-sectoral approaches to managing wetlands within the broader landscape and within river basin/coastal zone plans is another of the Convention's highest priorities in the next triennium.**
- **Global Target - By COP8, all CPs to be promoting, and actively implementing, the management of wetlands as integrated elements of river basins and coastal zones, and to provide detailed information on the outcomes of these actions in the National Reports for COP8.**

Is your country **implementing** integrated river basin and coastal zone management approaches? **Yes/No**

If **No**, what are the impediments to this being done? **Please elaborate.**

With the adoption of the new Water Act legal basis are provided for the implementation of these management approaches. However, pilot projects carried out provide some good examples in individual river sub-basins (the Dragonja, Idrija, Kokra, Kamniška Bistrica, Kolpa and Drava rivers).

The integrated and cross-sectoral approaches are also provided through implementation of the two regional conventions: The Danube catchment is covered by the Danube Convention and the Adriatic drainage area with the Barcelona Convention.

In collaboration with UNEP-MAP preparation of a Coastal Area Management Plan started in 2002.

If integrated management approaches are being applied in part of the country, indicate the approximate percentage of the country's surface area where this is occurring and to which river basins and coastal areas this applies. **The given examples cover about 10 % of the territory of Slovenia**

If **Yes**, are wetlands being given special consideration in such integrated management approaches? **Yes**

If No , what are the impediments to this being done? Please elaborate.
Has your country undertaken any specific pilot projects to implement the <i>Guidelines for integrating wetland conservation and wise use into river basin management</i> (COP7 Resolution VII.18)? No
If Yes , please describe them briefly.
Proposed national actions and targets: adoption of the Water Act and implementation of the river basin management approach
Ministry, agency/department, or organization responsible for leading on this action: MOP

Operational Objective 2.3: To expand the Guidelines and Additional Guidance on Wise Use to provide advice to Contracting Parties on specific issues not hitherto covered, and examples of best current practice.

Actions - Global and National Targets
2.3.1 Expand the Additional Guidance on Wise Use to address specific issues such as oil spill prevention and clean-up, agricultural runoff, and urban/industrial discharges in cooperation with other bodies. [CPs, STRP, Bureau, Partners]
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Global Target - Following COP7, the Bureau, with other appropriate collaborators, will produce a series of Wise Use handbooks, based on the outcomes of Technical Sessions at COP7. • (added by the Ramsar Bureau pursuant to Resolution VII.14 <i>Invasive Species and wetlands</i>) CPs are requested “to provide the Ramsar Bureau with information on databases which exist for invasive species, information on invasive species which pose a threat to wetlands and wetland species, and information on the control and eradication of invasive wetland species.”
Does your country have resource information on the management of wetlands in relation to the following which could be useful in assisting the Convention to develop further guidance to assist other CPs :
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • oil spill prevention and clean-up? No • agricultural runoff? Yes • urban/industrial discharges? Yes • invasive species? Yes • other relevant aspects such as highway designs, aquaculture, etc.? No – Please elaborate.
In each case, if the answer was Yes , has this information been forwarded to the Ramsar Bureau for possible inclusion in the Wise Use Resource Centre (see 2.3.2 below)? No
NOTE:
Some data are collected and included in the Statistical Yearbook of the Republic of Slovenia, for example quantities of fertilizers and pesticides used, livestock, discharge of

waste water from industrial enterprises and public sewage systems, canalisation of watercourses and flood protection concerning surface water. So far, these data have not been directly included in wetland management activities. However, available data have been used for the Ramsar Sites: Škocjan caves Regional Park (PŠJ) and Sečovlje Salinas.

Invasive species are also recorded on individual basis. Informal lists of plant and fresh water fish invasive species are available for wetland managers.

However, a general problem is implementation of legislation, particularly the control measures (the Environmental Protection Act, 1993 and the Nature Conservation Act, 1999).

Proposed national actions and targets: **to include known data affecting wetlands in wetland management, prepare a draft list of invasive species threatening wetlands;**

Ministry, agency/department, or organization responsible for leading on this action: **MOP-ARSO**

2.3.2. Publicize examples of effective application of existing Guidelines and Additional Guidance on Wise Use. [CPs, Bureau, Partners]

- **Promoting and improving the availability of such resource materials is a priority under the *Convention's Outreach Programme* (Resolution VII.9)**
- **Global Target - By COP8, to have included in the Wise Use Resource Centre 500 appropriate references and publications as provided to the Bureau by CPs and other organizations.**

Further to 2.31. above, has your country, as urged by the Outreach Programme of the Convention adopted at COP7 (Resolution VII. 9), **reviewed** its resource materials relating to wetland management policies and practices? **Yes**

If **No**, what has prevented this being done? **Please elaborate.**

If **Yes**, have copies of this information been forwarded to the Ramsar Bureau? **No**

If **No**, what has prevented this being done? **Please elaborate.**

1) All information is available only in Slovenian language.

2) Lack of staff to work further on the issue.

Proposed national actions and targets: **collect the available resource material and make it available to the Bureau**

Ministry, agency/department, or organization responsible for leading on this action: **MOP, NRC**

Operational Objective 2.4: To provide economic evaluations of the benefits and functions of wetlands for environmental planning purposes.

Actions - Global and National Targets
<p>2.4.1 Promote the development, wide dissemination, and application of documents and methodologies which give economic evaluations of the benefits and functions of wetlands. [CPs, Bureau, Partners]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Given the guidelines available for this activity (see below: <i>Economic Valuation of Wetlands</i> handbook), this will be an area of higher priority in the next triennium. • Global Target - By COP8, all CPs to be incorporating economic valuation of wetland services, functions and benefits into impact assessment and decision-making processes related to wetlands.
Does your government require that economic valuations of the full range of services, benefits and functions of wetlands be prepared as part of impact assessments and to support planning decisions that may impact on wetlands? In some cases.
If No , what are the impediments to this being done? Please elaborate.
If this applies in some, but not all cases, what is the expected timeframe for this to be required in all cases? 5 years
If Yes , has the inclusion of economic valuation into impact assessment resulted in wetlands being given special consideration or protection. No If Yes, please elaborate.
Proposed national actions and targets: incorporate economic evaluation in environmental planning processes
Ministry, agency/department, or organization responsible for leading on this action: MOP, (MG)

Operational Objective 2.5: To carry out environmental impact assessments (EIAs) at wetlands, particularly of proposed developments or changes in land/water use which have potential to affect them, notably at Ramsar sites, whose ecological character “is likely to change as the result of technological developments, pollution or other human interference” (Article 3.2 of the Convention).

Actions - Global and National Targets
<p>2.5.2 Ensure that, at Ramsar sites where change in ecological character is likely as a result of proposed developments or changes in land/water use which have potential to affect them, EIAs are carried out (with due consideration of economic valuations of wetland benefits and functions), and that the resulting conclusions are communicated to the Ramsar Bureau and fully taken into account by the authorities concerned. [CPs]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Global Target - In the next triennium, CPs will ensure that EIAs are applied to any such situation and keep the Bureau advised of the issues and the outcomes of these EIAs.
Has an EIA been carried out in all cases where a change in the ecological character of a Ramsar site within your country was likely (or possible) as a result of proposed developments

or changes in land/water use? Yes
If No , what has prevented this from occurring? Please elaborate.
If Yes , has this EIA, or have these EIAs, given due consideration to the full range of environmental, social and economic values of the wetland? (See also 2.4.1 above) No
AND: Have the results of the EIA been transmitted to the Ramsar Bureau? No
If No , what has prevented this from occurring? Please elaborate.
EIA documentation was prepared for the proposed development and enlargement of the airport at Sečovlje which is adjacent to the Ramsar Site. The documents have been prepared only in Slovenian. Additionally, according to EIA no impacts were foreseen on the salina.
There was also one example of involving the PSJ Authorities in an EIA procedure for building industrial facilities in the catchment area of PSJ. With the Park's involvement the original project had to be modified and the technologies changed. A new EIA was carried out according to which no impacts were foreseen on the Škocjan Caves area.
In both cases the documents are available only in Slovenian.
Proposed national actions and targets: implement the law
Ministry, agency/department, or organization responsible for leading on this action: MOP

2.5.3 Carry out EIAs at other important sites, particularly where adverse impact on wetland resources is likely, due to a development proposal or change in land/water use. [CPs]
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Global Target - By COP8, all CPs to require EIAs under legislation for any actions which can potentially impact on wetlands and to provide detailed reports on advances in this area in their National Reports for COP8.
Are EIAs required in your country for all cases where a wetland area (whether a Ramsar site or not) may be adversely impacted due to a development proposal or change in land/water use? No
If No , what are the impediments to this occurring? Please elaborate.
It depends on the planned activity and/or scope of interference if EIAs are carried out (Decree on categories of projects for which an EIA is mandatory; OJ, nos. 66/1996 and 12/2000). However, if an area is protected then EIA is obligatory.
If Yes , are such EIAs required to give due consideration to the full range of environmental, social and economic values of the wetland? (See COP7 Resolution VII.16, also 2.4.1 & 2.5.2 above.) No
Are EIAs “undertaken in a transparent and participatory manner which includes local stakeholders” (COP7 Resolution VII.16)? Yes
If No , what are the impediments to this occurring? Please elaborate.

Proposed national actions and targets: [REDACTED]

Ministry, agency/department, or organization responsible for leading on this action: [REDACTED]

2.5.4 Take account of Integrated Environmental Management and Strategic Environmental Assessment (at local, provincial and catchment/river basin or coastal zone levels) when assessing impacts of development proposals or changes in land/water use. [CPs]

(Refer to 2.5.3 above) In addition to the assessment of the potential impact of specific projects on wetlands, has your country **undertaken** a review of all government plans, programmes and policies which may impact negatively on wetlands? **No**

If **No**, what has prevented this from occurring? **Please elaborate.**

However, proposals for the updated national short and long term land-use/ spatial plans include areas important for nature and biodiversity conservation as well as water management and other economic activities.

Biodiversity or ecologically most important wetland areas are included in either proposed protected areas (PA), ecologically important areas (EPO) or under other categories recognised by the FWD.

If **Yes**, has this review been undertaken as part of preparing a National Wetland Policy or similar instrument? (refer 2.12 above) **Yes/No**

Or as part of other national policy or planning activities? **Yes/No** – **If yes, please elaborate.**

Proposed national actions and targets: **By the end of 2002 the amendments to the Environmental Protection Act (Art. 54) regarding the procedure of the Strategic Environmental Assessment will be prepared and adopted, however subacts regulating the screening and the scoping of the Strategic Environmental Assessment will be prepared and adopted by the end of 2003.**

Ministry, agency/department, or organization responsible for leading on this action: **MOP**

Operational Objective 2.6: To identify wetlands in need of restoration and rehabilitation, and to implement the necessary measures.

Actions - Global and National Targets

2.6.1 Use regional or national scientific inventories of wetlands (Recommendation 4.6), or monitoring processes, to identify wetlands in need of restoration or rehabilitation. [CPs, Partners]

- **The completion of such inventories is a continuing area of priority for the Convention.**
- **Global Target - Restoration/rehabilitation inventories to be completed by at least**

50 CPs by COP8.
Has your country completed an assessment to identify its priority wetlands for restoration or rehabilitation? (COP7 Resolution VII.17) For some places.
If No , what has prevented this from being done? Please elaborate.
If this has been done for only part of the country, please indicate for which areas or river basins. Coastal area, gravel pits in the Danube catchment
If Yes (that is, an assessment has been completed), have actions been taken to undertake the restoration or rehabilitation of these priority sites? Yes/No
If No , what has prevented this from being done? Please elaborate.
If Yes , please provide details. The main wetland restoration project in Slovenia is restoration and rehabilitation of Škocjanski zatok (Škocjan lagoon), a 80 ha nature reserve on the Adriatic coast. The law protecting the area provided the basis for the preparation of restoration and rehabilitation programme. Since 1999, the Slovenian government provided some 330,000 EUROS. Recently, also a Life programme has been approved for the three year period (2002-2004) mainly focusing on raising awareness of the area, preparation of the management plan and cofinancing implementation of the restoration programme. The area is managed by DOPPS-Birdlife Slovenia.
Proposed national actions and targets: prepare a list o priority wetlands for restoration
Ministry, agency/department, or organization responsible for leading on this action: MOP-NRC

2.6.2 Provide and implement methodologies for restoration and rehabilitation of lost or degraded wetlands. [CPs, STRP, Bureau, Partners]
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> There is considerable information resource on this subject, although it is not as readily accessed as desirable. Global Target - The addition of appropriate case studies and information on methodologies, etc., to the Convention's Wise Use Resource Centre (refer to 2.3.2 above also) will be a priority in the next triennium.
Refer to 2.3.1 and 2.3.2. Does your country have resource information on the restoration or rehabilitation of wetlands? Yes
If Yes , has this been forwarded to the Ramsar Bureau for possible inclusion in the Wise Use Resource Centre and for consideration by the STRP Expert Working Group on Restoration? No
If this material has not been forwarded to the Bureau, what has prevented this from occurring? Please elaborate. All information is available in Slovenian.

Proposed national actions and targets: [REDACTED]

Ministry, agency/department, or organization responsible for leading on this action: [REDACTED]

2.6.3 Establish wetland restoration / rehabilitation programmes at destroyed or degraded wetlands, especially in association with major river systems or areas of high nature conservation value (Recommendation 4.1). [CPs]

- **The Convention will continue to promote the restoration and rehabilitation of wetlands, particularly in situations where such actions will help promote or retain the 'health' and productivity of waterways and coastal environments.**
- **Global Target - By COP8, all CPs to have identified their priority sites for restoration or rehabilitation and for projects to be under way in at least 100 CPs.**

Refer to 2.6.1 above.

Operational Objective 2.7: To encourage active and informed participation of local communities, including indigenous people, and in particular women, in the conservation and wise use of wetlands.

Actions - Global and National Targets

2.7.1 Implement Recommendation 6.3 on involving local and indigenous people in the management of wetlands. [CPs, Bureau]

- **Global Target - In the next triennium, the implementation of the Guidelines on local communities' and indigenous people's participation (COP7 Resolution VII.8) is to be one of the Convention's highest priorities. By COP8, all CPs to be promoting local stakeholder management of wetlands.**

Is your government **actively** promoting the involvement of local communities and indigenous people in the management of wetlands? **Yes**

If **No**, what are the impediments to this occurring? **Please elaborate.**

If **Yes**, describe what special actions have been taken (See also 2.7.2, 2.7.3 and 2.7.4 below) (COP7 Resolution VII.8). **Particularly related to the two Ramsar sites where local communities have been involved throughout the process.**

In the Škocjan Caves Regional Park (PŠJ) and the Ramsar Site the major of the commune and local people representatives (stakeholders) are included in the council/board of the park. They can stop or support the management of the Park, including the subterranean wetland area. Local people are also involved in ranger service as volunteers to ensure education, promotion and conservation of the site. Park authority also collaborates with local NGOs to ensure the wise use of resources and sustainable development and implementation of common/traditional activities. Local people are also full- or part- time employees of the organisation managing the area.

The park Authority is cofinancing activities in the Park to support local people.

Proposed national actions and targets: **encourage cooperation**

Ministry, agency/department, or organization responsible for leading on this action: **PSJ**

2.7.2 Encourage site managers and local communities to work in partnership at all levels to monitor the ecological character of wetlands, thus providing a better understanding of management needs and human impacts. [CPs]

- **The Convention's Outreach Programme (COP7 Resolution VII.9) seeks to give such community participation higher priority as an education and empowerment tool of the Convention.**

Does your government **actively encourage or support** site managers and local communities in monitoring the condition (ecological character) of Ramsar sites and other wetlands? (Also refer to Operational Objective 5.1.) **Yes**

If **No**, what prevents this from occurring? **Please elaborate.**

If **Yes**, does this include both site managers and local communities, where they are not the same people? **Yes**

In PSJ local people are included in a ranger service as volunteers and through the NGOs including different activities to monitor the condition of the Ramsar Site and to report to the inspection service.

AND, where such monitoring occurs, are the findings being used to guide management practices? **Yes**

If **No**, what prevents this from happening? **Please elaborate.**

Proposed national actions and targets: **include local people in practical activities**

Ministry, agency/department, or organization responsible for leading on this action: **PSJ, MOP**

2.7.3 Involve local communities in the management of wetlands by establishing wetland management committees, especially at Ramsar sites, on which local stakeholders, landowners, managers, developers and community interest groups, in particular women's groups, are represented. [CPs, Partners]

- **Global Target - Ramsar site management committees operating in at least 100 CPs, and including non-government stakeholder representation.**

Are there wetland site management committees **in place** in your country? **Yes/No**

If **No**, what are the impediments to such being established? **Please elaborate.**

If **Yes**, for how many sites are such committees in place? **1 (PSJ)**

AND: How many of these are Ramsar sites? **1**

AND: Of these committees, how many include representatives of local stakeholders? 1
AND: Of these, how many have women's groups represented? 1
Proposed national actions and targets: encourage involvement of local communities at all planned Ramsar Sites
Ministry, agency/department, or organization responsible for leading on this action: NRC, MOP

<p>2.7.4 Recognize and apply traditional knowledge and management practice of indigenous people and local communities in the conservation and wise use of wetlands. [CPs]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Refer to 2.7.1 above. • Global Target - This will be addressed in the next triennium, possibly in partnership with the Convention on Biological Diversity and Convention to Combat Desertification, which have already initiated work in this area.
Has your government made any special efforts to recognize and see applied traditional knowledge and management practices? Yes
If No , what has prevented this from occurring? Please elaborate.
If Yes , please provide details of how this traditional knowledge was recognized and then put into practice. Two concrete areas of cooperation:
1) agro-environmental programme, particularly for protected areas
2) support to traditional salt making and management of the Sečovlje and Strunjan salinas
Proposed national actions and targets: use of traditional knowledge in management of planned Ramsar Sites, particularly the karst wetlands
Ministry, agency/department, or organization responsible for leading on this action: MOP, MKGP

Operational Objective 2.8: To encourage involvement of the private sector in the conservation and wise use of wetlands.

Actions - Global and National Targets
<p>2.8.1. Encourage the private sector to give increased recognition to wetland attributes, functions and values when carrying out projects affecting wetlands. [CPs, Bureau, Partners]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Global Target - In the next triennium, the efforts to work in partnership with the private sector will be further increased and the Bureau will seek to document and make available case studies on some of the more effective and innovative

<p>approaches. By COP8, the target is to have private sector support for wetlands conservation in more than 100 CPs.</p>
<p>Have special efforts been made to increase the recognition of wetland attributes, functions and values among the private sector in your country? Yes</p>
<p>If No, what has prevented this from happening? Please elaborate.</p>
<p>If Yes, describe these special efforts.</p> <p>-Working with private sector in Sečovelje salina, presently a private organisation is managing the whole Ramsar Site.</p> <p>-Private sector has been involved in preparation of the wetland inventory.</p> <p>-Individual activities within protected areas are involving the private sector.</p> <p>There are few examples of cooperation with private sector in the PSJ catchment area. A good example is a transportation firm. All the precautions have been undertaken and the waste water treatment installed where the trucks are cleaned. The Park Authority recognised the action undertaken to avoid pollution and provided a certificate ISO 9001 as a recognition of the private sector to care for wetland functions and values.</p> <p>A good example is also a visitors centre on Cerknica polje, where V. Kebe, a private investor cooperating with the Ministry, made a model of the functioning of the intermittent lake.</p> <p>- A private firm (Mobitel) is supporting activities of the DOPPS-BirdLife Slovenia. Some of the activities exhibited at the European Regional meeting at Bled (2001). These activities include restoration of Škočjanski zatok (coastal wetland), management of 56 hectares of lagoon area (hand-mowing, water management - flooding the area - and constructing little islands); nesting islands for Common Tern (Sterna hirundo) and mowing of canals all around Slovenia; construction of nesting walls for Sand Martin (Riparia riparia) all over the country in 9 project areas, particularly on the Drava, Mura and Sava rivers.</p> <p>Two private firms (Petrol, Telekom) provided financial support for the European Regional Meeting at Bled (Oct. 2002).</p>
<p>AND: Have these efforts been successful? Yes</p>
<p>If No, why not? Please elaborate.</p>
<p>If Yes, how do you judge this success? Financial support for management or monitoring? Active involvement in management or monitoring? (Refer to 2.8.3 below) Application of Ramsar's Wise Use principles by private sector interests? (Refer to 2.8.2 below)? Other criteria? Please elaborate.</p> <p>A bit of everything. The main issue is willingness to work together and include the wise use principles.</p>
<p>Proposed national actions and targets: strengthen cooperation with private sector</p>
<p>Ministry, agency/department, or organization responsible for leading on this action: Protected</p>

areas Managers, MOP-NRC

2.8.2 Encourage the private sector to apply the Wise Use Guidelines when executing development projects affecting wetlands. [CPs, Bureau, Partners]

- **Global Target - In the next triennium the application of this tool for promoting Wise Use will be a priority under the Convention. By COP8, the target is to have more than 50 CPs which have completed reviews of their incentive measures.**

Refer to 2.8.1 above. Has your government **completed** a review of its “existing, or evolving, policy, legal and institutional frameworks to identify and promote those measures which encourage conservation and wise use of wetlands and to identify and remove measures which discourage conservation and wise use” (COP7 Resolution VII.15)? **Yes/No**

If **No**, what has been the impediment to this being done? **Please elaborate.**

Considered in the BCSS, general measures provided in the strategy document, more detailed in the action plan documents that is in preparation

If **Yes**, what actions have been taken to introduce “incentive measures designed to encourage the wise use of wetlands, and to identify and remove perverse incentives where they exist” (COP7 Resolution VII.15). **Please elaborate.**

AND: Have these actions been effective? **Yes/No**

If **No**, why not? **Please elaborate.**

No results yet

If **Yes**, please describe how.

AND if **Yes**, COP7 Resolution VII.15 requested Parties to share these “experiences and lessons learned with respect to incentive measures and perverse incentives relating to wetlands, biodiversity conservation, and sustainable use of natural resources generally, by providing these to the Ramsar Bureau for appropriate distribution and to be made available through the Wise Use Resource Centre of the Convention’s Web site”. Has this been done?

No

Proposed national actions and targets: **include incentive measures for wetland management in the action plan**

Ministry, agency/department, or organization responsible for leading on this action: **MOP, ARSO, MG**

2.8.3 Encourage the private sector to work in partnership with site managers to monitor the ecological character of wetlands. [CPs]

- **This action will be promoted further in the next triennium.**

Refer to 2.7.2 above. In addition, have **any special efforts** been made to encourage the private sector involvement in monitoring? **Yes**

If No, what has prevented this from happening? Please elaborate.
If Yes, describe these special efforts. Discussions with the water management sector, no practical action undertaken In reference to private sector in the buffer zone of the PSJ and the Ramsar Site, some private industries have done a lot on waste water treatment, yet there are still some that have no interest in taking action, if there is no legal enforcement and pressure made on them.
AND: How successful has this been? In general terms, water and nature sectors have been working together and agreed on issues, but practical action is still lacking. Enforcement in many cases is still mainly "whishes and promises".
Proposed national actions and targets: based on examples of good practice encourage cooperation with private sector
Ministry, agency/department, or organization responsible for leading on this action: MOP, Parks Authorities

2.8.4 Involve the private sector in the management of wetlands through participation in wetland management committees. [CPs]
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Global Target - As indicated under 2.7.2 and 2.7.3 above, the establishment of cross-sectoral and stakeholder management committees for wetlands, and especially Ramsar sites, will be a priority in the next triennium.
Refer to 2.7.3 above

η η η

**GENERAL OBJECTIVE 3
TO RAISE AWARENESS OF WETLAND VALUES AND FUNCTIONS
THROUGHOUT THE WORLD AND AT ALL LEVELS**

Operational Objective 3.1: To support and assist in implementing, in cooperation with partners and other institutions, an international programme of Education and Public Awareness (EPA) on wetlands, their functions and values, designed to promote national EPA programmes.

Actions - Global Targets
3.1.1 Assist in identifying and establishing coordinating mechanisms and structures for the development and implementation of a concerted global programme of EPA on wetlands. [CPs, Bureau, Partners]
Refer to Operational Objectives 3.2 and 3.3 below

3.1.2 Participate in the identification of regional EPA needs and in the establishment of priorities for resource development. [CPs, Bureau, Partners]

Has your country **taken any action** to help with the identification of regional EPA needs and in the establishment of priorities for information/education resource development? **No**

If **No**, what has prevented this from happening? **Please elaborate.**

The country's priority has been to work at the national and local levels.

If **Yes**, please provide details, and as appropriate, provide samples to the Ramsar Bureau for possible inclusion in the Wise Use Resource Centre's clearing house for Wetland Communications, Public Awareness, and Education (CEPA) (COP7 Resolution VII.9). **█**

Proposed national actions and targets: **█**

Ministry, agency/department, or organization responsible for leading on this action: **█**

3.1.3 Assist in the development of international resource materials in support of national EPA programmes [CPs, Bureau, Partners]

Refer to 3.1.2 above also. Has your country **taken any action** to assist with the development of international wetland CEPA resource materials? **No**

If **Yes**, please provide details, and as appropriate, provide samples to the Ramsar Bureau for possible inclusion in the Wise Use Resource Centre's clearing house for Wetland CEPA (COP7 Resolution VII.9). **█**

If **No**, what has prevented this from happening? **Please elaborate.**

Lack of staff.

Proposed national actions and targets: **█**

Ministry, agency/department, or organization responsible for leading on this action: **█**

3.1.4 Support international programmes that encourage transfer of information, knowledge and skills between wetland education centres and educators (e.g., Wetland International's EPA Working Group, Global Rivers Environment Education Network (GREEN), Wetland Link International). [CPs, Bureau, Partners]

Refer to 3.2.4 also. Does your country support any international programmes that encourage transfer of information, knowledge and skills among wetland education centres and educators? **No**

If **No**, what are the impediments to this occurring? **Please elaborate.**

Lack of information

If **Yes**, please provide details. **█**

Is your country specifically supporting the Wetlands Link International initiative (COP7

Resolution VII.9)? No
If No , what is preventing this from happening? Please elaborate. Although this is an important issue, Slovenia is not taking part in it.
If Yes , please provide details.
AND indicate which Wetland Centres (refer 3.2.3 below), museums, zoos, botanic gardens, aquaria and educational environment education centres (refer 3.2.4) are now participating as part of Wetlands Link International.
Proposed national actions and targets:
Ministry, agency/department, or organization responsible for leading on this action:

Operational Objective 3.2: To develop and encourage national programmes of EPA on wetlands, targeted at a wide range of people, including key decision-makers, people living in and around wetlands, other wetland users and the public at large.

Actions - Global and National Targets
3.2.1 Encourage partnerships between governments, non-governmental organizations and other organizations capable of developing national EPA programmes on wetlands. [CPs, Bureau, Partners]
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Global Target - By COP8 to see the global network of proposed CP and non-government focal points for Wetland Communication, Education and Public Awareness (CEPA) in place and functioning effectively in the promotion and execution of the national Outreach Programmes in all CPs. To secure the resources to increase the Bureau's capacity for implementing the Outreach Programme.
Did your Government inform the Ramsar Bureau by 31 December 1999 of the identity of its Government and Non-Government Focal Points for wetland CEPA (COP7 Resolution VII.9)? Yes
If No , what has prevented this from occurring? Please elaborate.
Has your country established an "appropriately constituted Task Forces, where no mechanism exists for this purpose (e.g., National Ramsar Committees), to undertake a review of national needs, capacities and opportunities in the field of wetland CEPA and, based on this, to formulate its National Wetland CEPA Action Plans for priority activities which consider the international, regional, national and local needs" (COP7 Resolution VII.9). No
If No , what has prevented this from occurring? Please elaborate.
If Yes , please provide details of the organizations, ministries, etc., represented on this Task Force.
AND: Has a National Wetland CEPA Action Plan been finalized by 31 December 2000? No

If No , what has prevented this from occurring? Please elaborate. CEPA activities are included in the BCSS.
If Yes , is the Action Plan being implemented effectively? Yes/No
If No , what is preventing this from occurring? Please elaborate. Action plan for CEPA has to be prepared as part of the AP for the implementation of the BCSS. Activities carried out so far are mainly focused on school children - young generations and local people.
If Yes , what are the priority target groups of the Action Plan and the major activities being undertaken?
AND: Has a copy of this plan been provided to the Ramsar Bureau? No
Proposed national actions and targets: preparation of the CEPA Action Plan
Ministry, agency/department, or organization responsible for leading on this action: MOP-ARSO, ZRSŠ (National Institute for Education), NGOs

3.2.2 On the basis of identified needs and target groups, support national programmes and campaigns to generate a positive vision of wetlands and create awareness at all levels of their values and functions. [CPs, Bureau, Partners]

- **Global Target - see 3.2.1 above.**

3.2.3 Encourage the development of educational centres at wetland sites. [CPs, Bureau, Partners]

- **Global Target - The Convention will aim to have more than 150 active education centres (and similar venues - see 3.2.4 below) promoting the principles of the Convention by COP8 and to ensure that all CPs have at least one such centre.**

Has your country **encouraged** the establishment of educational centres at wetland sites? **Yes**

If **No**, what has been the impediment to such action being taken? **Please elaborate.**

If **Yes**, how successful has this been? **The PŠJ authorities have been very successful on establishing nature educational trails. The Centre as such has not been established yet but there are several museum collections displayed in the Park area. There is also visitors information centre, providing general information. Guided visits are organised by the Park staff.**

AND: How many such centres are in place? and at what sites?

There are only two Ramsar Sites in Slovenia, but several wetland sites are used as centres for educational purposes. These include Cerknica lake (Kebe education and information centre), a floodplain forest in Pomurje, Šturmovci on the Drava river, peatland on the Pohorje mountain. Additionally, the botanical gardens in Ljubljana have a special programme on bog areas.

Škocjan Caves education centre has to be established, nevertheless the practical education encouraging young people and children from primary schools is carried out in the whole river catchment and the karst area.

TNP has an information centre that includes information on the waters and wetlands in the park.

An information centre at Škocjanski zatok is to be established, and will include training on wetland issues.

Kozjansko Regional Park information centre covers also wetland issues in their territory.

How many centres are being established? and at what sites? **There are three information centres in the national and regional parks that are used also for education purposes. The Kebe centre is an education centre.**

How many centres are being planned? and at what sites? **3 information and 2 education centres have been planned**

Of the sites in place, how many are participating as part of Wetlands Link International (Refer 3.1.4 above)? and at which sites are they? **None**

Proposed national actions and targets: **to include the centres in WLI**

Ministry, agency/department, or organization responsible for leading on this action: **MOP, Protected areas Authorities**

3.2.4 Work with museums, zoos, botanic gardens, aquaria and environment education centres to encourage the development of exhibits and programmes that support non-formal EPA on wetlands. [CPS, Bureau, Partners]

- **Global Target - see 3.2.3 above**

Do all museums, zoos, botanical gardens and similar facilities in your country have exhibits and/or programmes that support non-formal wetland CEPA? **Only for some facilities**

If No, what are the impediments to this occurring?

If such exhibits or programmes are in place for some facilities, how many and what types of facilities are they? **Data are available on exhibits prepared by Slovenian Museum of Natural History, Regional Museum Koper, Regional museum Kočevje, Tehnical Museum Bistra, Triglav National Park.**

If Yes, how many facilities does this apply to and how many of these are participating as part of Wetlands Link International (Refer 3.1.4 above)? and which facilities are they? **None are included in the WLI**

Proposed national actions and targets:

Ministry, agency/department, or organization responsible for leading on this action:

3.2.5 Encourage the inclusion of modules related to wetlands in the curricula at all levels of education, including tertiary courses and specialized training courses. [CPs, Bureau, Partners]

- **Global Target - By COP8, to see wetland issues incorporated into curricula in over 100 CPs.**

In your country are there modules related to wetlands in the curricula at all levels of education, including tertiary courses and specialized training courses? **Only in some institutions**

If **No**, what is preventing this from occurring? **Please elaborate.**

If this is the case for some levels of education, or some parts of the country, please provide details. **Wetlands are included under the Ecology issues at primary and secondary levels of the formal education process. However, teaching depends on the teachers' knowledge and understanding of wetlands. Therefore the National Institute for Schooling is organising special training courses for teachers on the wetland issues.**

Encouraged by the Official Ramsar CEPA FP, more and more primary and secondary schools are involved in WWD activities. In 2002, school children were invited to locally organise projects and round tables, prepare written papers and carry our research work on functions and values of wetlands and their use in the past and nowadays. Such activities are educative for school children, but also raise local people's awareness on wetlands. Groups or individuals were asked to send reports and material on their activities to the CEPA FP. In May 2002 they are invited on a guided excursion to the Cerknica lake and the education centre to reward their work.

UNESCO Schools are also included in these activities.

On the other hand, vocational schools, taking over the EU education system, have less and less biology and ecology classes in their curricula.

If **Yes**, have samples of this curriculum material been provided to the Ramsar Bureau for possible inclusion in the Wise Use Resource Centre? **No**

Proposed national actions and targets: **training of teachers**

Ministry, agency/department, or organization responsible for leading on this action: **ZRSS, MOP-NRC**

Operational Objective 3.3: To improve the Ramsar Bureau's communications activities and to develop a Convention Communications Strategy, capable of further promoting the Convention and its wider application, and of raising awareness of wetland values and functions.

Actions - Global and National Targets

3.3.1 Review the Bureau's communications activities, especially those related to the creation and functioning of regional and national communication networks; develop new material and use of technology, and improve existing material. [Bureau]

Refer to 3.2.1 “To secure the resources to increase the Bureau’s capacity for implementing the Outreach Programme.”. Has your government provided any voluntary contributions to increase the Bureau’s capacity for implementing the Outreach Programme? **No**

If **Yes**, please provide details. **[REDACTED]**

Proposed national actions and targets: **[REDACTED]**

Ministry, agency/department, or organization responsible for leading on this action: **[REDACTED]**

3.3.4 Seek the support of an electronic communications carrier to provide and maintain an electronic mail network and electronic bulletin board/ mailing lists linking the Contracting Parties, Standing Committee members, the STRP, the Bureau, and partner organizations. [All]

- **Global Target - By COP8, to gain a sponsor(s) for the Convention’s Web site, to ensure that all CPs have Internet access, to increase the use of French and Spanish in the Ramsar Web site, and to see over 300 Ramsar site managers also communicating with the Bureau, and each other, via the Internet.**

The Standing Committee and Bureau will consider the issue of a sponsor for the Convention’s Web site, and increased presence of French and Spanish materials on the Web site.

With respect to Ramsar site managers, has your government taken steps to provide for Internet links for these people? **Yes**

If **No**, what are the impediments to this action being taken? **Please elaborate.**

If **Yes**, how many Ramsar site managers have Internet access? **1**

PŠJ has the Internet access.

AND: Which Ramsar sites have this facility? **1**

PŠJ has also a website with the following address: <http://www.park-skocjanske-jame.si> and <http://www.gov.si/parkskj/>.

Proposed national actions and targets: **[REDACTED]**

Ministry, agency/department, or organization responsible for leading on this action: **[REDACTED]**

η η η

Please go to file 2.

National planning tool for the implementation of the Ramsar Convention on Wetlands

(And the approved format for National Reports to be submitted for the 8th Meeting of the Conference of the Contracting Parties, Spain, 2002)

η η η

file 2

GENERAL OBJECTIVE 4

TO REINFORCE THE CAPACITY OF INSTITUTIONS IN EACH CONTRACTING PARTY TO ACHIEVE CONSERVATION AND WISE USE OF WETLANDS

Operational Objective 4.1: To develop the capacity of institutions in Contracting Parties, particularly in developing countries, to achieve conservation and wise use of wetlands.

Actions - Global and National Targets
4.1.1 Review existing national institutions responsible for the conservation and wise use of wetlands. [CPs]
Has your country reviewed the national institutions responsible for wetland conservation and wise use and the “designated national Administrative Authority for the Convention to ensure [that] these have the necessary resources to support the increasing demands being placed upon them by the growing expectations of the Convention” (COP7 Resolution VII.27)? Yes
If No , what is the impediment to this being done? Please elaborate
If Yes , what were the conclusions and outcomes of the review? (Refer to 4.1.2 also). Please elaborate This review has not been done on its own but within the framework of a GEF/WB project on preparation of the National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan.
Proposed national actions and targets: work closely with the identified institutions
Ministry, agency/department, or organization responsible for leading on this action: MOP

4.1.2 On the basis of such a review, identify and implement measures to:

- **increase cooperation and synergy between institutions;**
 - **promote the continued operation of these institutions;**
 - **provide appropriately trained staff, in adequate numbers, for these institutions.**
- [CPs]**

- **Global Target - By COP8, to see coordinating mechanisms in place in all CPs, and more particularly to see National Ramsar Committees including government and non-government stakeholder representatives, in place in more than 100 CPs. In addition, by COP8, all CPs that have reported the existence of NRCs at COP7 to have evaluated their effectiveness (COP7 Resolution VII.27).**

Refer also to 8.1.9. Does your country have a National Ramsar Committee or similar body?

Yes

If **No**, what has prevented the establishment of such a committee? **Please elaborate.**

If **Yes**, is the committee cross-sectoral, including representatives of appropriate government ministries and non-government expert and stakeholder groups? **Yes**

What is the composition of this Committee?

The National Ramsar Committee (NRC) has been established in May 1994 under the Slovenian co-ordinator for UNESCO, but started to work only in spring 1996 when it was moved to the Ministry of the Environment and Spatial Planning. The Ministry is responsible for implementation of the Convention at the national level. The NRC consists of its President and committee members who should represent different sectors and levels of decision making and are appointed by the Minister of the Environment and Spatial Planning. These members should include:

- Representative(s) of the Ministry of the Environment and Spatial Planning, including representative(s) of the Environmental Agency of the Republic of Slovenia covering nature conservation and water management sectors

- Representative of the National Commission of UNESCO

- Representative of the Regional Institute for Nature Conservation responsible for the Ramsar Site

- Representative(s) of NGOs, DOPPS-BirdLife and other

- Representative of the Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Food

Additionally, individual experts wetland managers or NGOs are invited to the NRC meetings. With listing of new Ramsar Sites new members are joining the NRC.

Meetings are convened as necessary, but at least twice a year.

Currently the NRC consists of the following members:

- Mr. Mladen Berginc, State Under-secretary for Nature, Ministry of the Environment and Spatial Planning – president;

- Ms. Gabrijela Grčar, State Under-secretary for Water, Ministry of the Environment and Spatial Planning – member;

- Ms. Zofija Klemen-Krek, National co-ordinator of the National Commission of UNESCO – member;

- Mr. Borut Stumberger, DOPPS-BirDlife Slovenia – member;

<p>- Ms. Katja Poboljšaj, Societas herpetologica slovenica – the Slovenian Amphibian and Reptile Society – member;</p> <p>- Andrej Sovinc – expert, Water Management Institute, member;</p> <p>- Ms. Gordana Beltram, the Environmental Agency of the Republic of Slovenia, National Ramsar Co-ordinator - member</p> <p>A representative of the Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Food has been identified. Ms. Marta Hrustel-Majcen is to be appointed. The two Slovenian Ramsar Sites send their representatives to meetings.</p>
<p>Has there been an evaluation of the effectiveness of the Committee? No</p>
<p>If No, what has prevented this from happening? Please elaborate</p> <p>An internal evaluation has been made within the Ministry. There has clearly been a need for better cooperation between sectors and new people have been included in the NRC in 2001 and 2002.</p>
<p>If Yes, did the review show the Committee was proving to be effective? Yes/No</p>
<p>If No, why not? Please elaborate.</p>
<p>Refer also to 7.2.1 with reference to coordinating the implementation of international conventions.</p>
<p>Proposed national actions and targets:</p> <p>-Insuring preparation of management plans for the two Ramsar sites;</p> <p>-Preparing RIS for new Ramsar Sites;</p> <p>-Communicating with other state bodies responsible for implementation of the Ramsar Convention and biodiversity related conventions;</p> <p>-Co-operating with national or international organisations on nature conservation, particularly wetlands;</p> <p>-Informing the general public about the Convention, wetland functions and values.</p>
<p>Ministry, agency/department, or organization responsible for leading on this action: MOP-NRC</p>

Operational Objective 4.2: To identify the training needs of institutions and individuals concerned with the conservation and wise use of wetlands, particularly in developing countries, and to implement follow-up actions.

Actions - Global and National Targets

4.2.1 Identify at national, provincial and local level the needs and target audiences for training in implementation of the Wise Use Guidelines. [CPs, Bureau, Partners]

- **Global Target - By COP8, to have training needs analyses completed in more than**

75 CPs.
Has a training needs analysis been completed? Yes/No
If No , what has prevented this from happening? Please elaborate. Training needs were identified and action taken in 1999 when the workshop was organised on preparation of management plans for the Sečovlje salina. Additionally, training needs for biodiversity conservation and sustainable use of its resources were identified in the BCSS. Lack of staff and resources.
If Yes , have the results of this analysis been used to provide direction for training priorities in the future? Yes
If No , why not? Please elaborate. Training activities are continuing in both Ramsar Sites, but there is a gap in organising training in different parts of Slovenia.
If Yes , how has this been done? Please elaborate.
AND: What impact has this had on the national training effort? Please elaborate. Mainly on the management of the protected area and the Ramsar Site Škocjanske jame.
Proposed national actions and targets: training for management of subterranean wetlands
Ministry, agency/department, or organization responsible for leading on this action: PSJ

4.2.2 Identify current training opportunities in disciplines essential for the conservation and wise use of wetlands. [CPs, Bureau, Partners]
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Global Target - By COP8, to have reviews of training opportunities completed in more than 75 CPs.
Has your country completed a review of the training opportunities which exist therein? No
If No , what are the impediments to this being done? Please elaborate.
If Yes , have the results of this review been used to provide direction for training priorities in the future? Yes/No
If No , why not? Please elaborate. Lack of staff.
If Yes , how has this been done? Please elaborate.
AND: What impact has this had on the national training effort? Please elaborate.
Has this information on training opportunities been provided to the Ramsar Bureau for inclusion in the Directory of Wetland Manager Training Opportunities? (Refer to 4.2.3 below)

also) Yes/No
Proposed national actions and targets: complete a review, subterranean wetlands
Ministry, agency/department, or organization responsible for leading on this action: MOP, PŠJ

<p>4.2.3 Develop new training activities and general training modules, for application in all regions, concerning implementation of the Wise Use Guidelines, with specialized modules covering [CPs, Bureau, Partners]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Global Target - To launch a major wetland manager training initiative under the Convention, possibly in partnership with one or more of the Convention's International Organization Partners, which can promote and take advantage of these new training tools. Refer also to 4.2.4 below regarding the <i>Wetlands for the Future Initiative</i>.
Following its review of training needs and opportunities, has your country developed any new training activities, or training modules? No
If Yes, please provide details.
AND: Has information on these training activities and modules been provided to the Ramsar Bureau for inclusion in the Directory of Wetland Manager Training Opportunities and the Wise Use Resource Centre? (Refer to 4.2.2 above also) No
Proposed national actions and targets: find partners, identify needs and provide information to the Bureau, and organise training on subterranean wetlands
Ministry, agency/department, or organization responsible for leading on this action: PŠJ and MOP

<p>4.2.4 Provide opportunities for manager training by: personnel exchanges for on-the-job training; holding pilot training courses at specific Ramsar sites; siting wetland manager training facilities at Ramsar sites; obtaining and disseminating information about training courses for wetland managers around the world. [CPs, Bureau, Partners]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Global Target - Refer to 4.2.3 above. Also to seek the resources from donors or interested CPs to establish <i>Wetlands for the Future Initiatives</i> for the Asia-Pacific, Eastern European, and African regions.
Refer to 4.2.1, 4.2.2, and 4.2.3 above. Has training been provided for wetland managers:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Through personnel exchanges for on-the-job training? No, Please elaborate. Holding pilot training courses at specific Ramsar sites? Yes, Please elaborate. In 1999 a training course was organised on the preparation of a management plan of a wetland site in Slovenia. Secoveljske soline, a Ramsar Site on the Slovenian coast was used a case study. Škocjan caves representative was following the course.

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Siting wetland manager training facilities at Ramsar sites? No, Please elaborate. PŠJ has the possibility for such facilities • Obtaining and disseminating information about training courses for wetland managers? Yes, Please elaborate. • If the information is obtained it is disseminated by the NRC members and on the internet.
Has your country provided resources to support the establishment of <i>Wetlands for the Future</i> style programmes in any part of the world? (COP7 Recommendation 7.4) No
If Yes , please provide details.
Proposed national actions and targets: training of managers on subterranean wetlands
Ministry, agency/department, or organization responsible for leading on this action: PŠJ, MOP

<p>4.2.6 Exchange information, technical assistance and advice, and expertise about the conservation and wise use of wetlands, also with regard to South-South cooperation. [CPs, Bureau, Partners]</p>
Refer to 2.3.1, 2.3.2, 4.2.1-4 above. Has your country specifically undertaken activities as indicated here which could be deemed to be South-South cooperation? No
If No , what has prevented this from happening? Please elaborate. Exchange and cooperation is carried out at a case to case bases, usually related to individual projects or exchange and cooperation between protected areas. It works East-West and East-East.
If Yes , please provide details.
Proposed national actions and targets:
Ministry, agency/department, or organization responsible for leading on this action:

η η η

**GENERAL OBJECTIVE 5
TO ENSURE THE CONSERVATION OF ALL SITES INCLUDED IN THE
LIST OF WETLANDS OF INTERNATIONAL IMPORTANCE (RAMSAR LIST)**

Operational Objective 5.1: To maintain the ecological character of Ramsar sites.

Actions - Global and National Targets
5.1.1 Define and apply the precise measures required to maintain the ecological character of each listed site, in the light of the working definitions of ecological character

adopted at the 6th COP (1996) and amended by by Resolution VII.10 of COP7. [CPs]

- **Global Target - By COP8, each CP will seek to ensure that the measures required to maintain the ecological character of at least half of the Ramsar sites have been documented.**

Have the measures required to maintain the ecological character of Ramsar sites in your country been documented? **Yes/No**

If **No**, what has prevented this being done? **Please elaborate. There are two Ramsar Sites in Slovenia:**

-Secovlje salina, the Ministry is in the process of establishing the management authority

-PŠJ, the management plan will go into the governmental procedure in autumn 2002.

Measures to maintain ecological character are to be included in the documents.

If **Yes**, has this documentation been developed as part of management planning and associated action at the sites? **Yes/No**

AND: Has a copy been provided to the Ramsar Bureau? **No**

Proposed national actions and targets: **application of the law and monitoring of the ecological character of a wetland areas**

Ministry, agency/department, or organization responsible for leading on this action: **MOP, PŠJ Authority, Manager of Sečoveljske soline**

5.1.2 Conduct regular internal reviews to identify potential changes in ecological character, with input from local communities and other stakeholders; take remedial action and/or nominate the site for the Montreux Record. [CPs]

- **Refer to 2.5.2 - In the COP7 National Reports, 35 CPs reported Ramsar sites where some change in ecological character had occurred or was likely to occur in the near future. This was true for 115 sites in 33 CPs, and two other CPs stated that changes had occurred to all or some of their sites. In COP7 Resolution VII.12, these CPs were urged to consider nominating these sites to the Montreux Record.**
- **Global Target – In the period up to COP8, promote the application and benefits of the Montreux Record as a tool of the Convention through disseminating reports and publications on the positive outcomes achieved by a number of countries which have now removed sites from the Record.**

Refer to 2.7.2 and 2.8.3 also. Are regular internal reviews undertaken to identify factors potentially altering the ecological character of Ramsar sites? **Yes**

If **No**, what are the impediments to this occurring? **Please elaborate.**

If **Yes**, have these reviews detected situations where changes in ecological character have occurred or may occur? **No**

If **Yes**, for how many sites was this case, which sites were they, and what actions were taken

to address these threats? Please elaborate.
AND: Were these sites where change in ecological character was detected, or may occur, added to the Montreux Record? Yes/No
If No , why not? Please elaborate.
Proposed national actions and targets: -
Ministry, agency/department, or organization responsible for leading on this action: -

5.1.3 Review and regularly update the Montreux Record (Resolutions 5.4, 5.5, and VI.1). [CPs, STRP, Bureau]
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Global Target - CPs with Ramsar sites in the Montreux Record, and for which Ramsar Advisory Missions (RAMs) have been completed prior to COP7, are expected to have taken the actions necessary to warrant their removal from the Record before COP8.
For those CPs with a site, or sites, included in the Montreux Record, and for which RAMs (previously Management Guidance Procedures, MGPs) have been completed, have all actions recommended by the RAM been undertaken for each site? No Reply
If No , what are the impediments to this occurring? Please elaborate.
If Yes , have these actions resulted in a restoration of the ecological character? Yes/No
AND: If Yes , has the site been removed from the Montreux Record following the completion of the necessary questionnaire (COP6 Resolution VI.1)? Yes/No Additional comment?
Proposed national actions and targets: -
Ministry, agency/department, or organization responsible for leading on this action: -

Operational Objective 5.2: To develop and implement management plans for all Ramsar sites, consistent with the Convention's Guidelines on Management Planning and emphasizing involvement of local communities and other stakeholders.

Actions - Global and National Targets
5.2.3 Ensure that, by the 8th COP (2002), management plans or other mechanisms are in preparation, or in place, for at least half of the Ramsar sites in each Contracting Party, beginning with pilot programmes at selected sites with input from local communities and other stakeholders. [CPs, Partners]
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Global Target - By COP8, management plans will be in preparation, or in place, for at least three-quarters of the Ramsar sites in each CP and all CPs will seek to ensure that these are being implemented in full.
Do all the Ramsar sites in your country have management plans in place ? No
If No , how many sites do not have management plans in place and which sites are they? For

the two Ramsar Sites in Slovenia MPs are at different stages of the preparation process

If plans are being prepared for some sites, please indicate which sites these are. /

For those sites where management plans are in place, how many of these are being implemented fully, and which sites are they? /

Where plans are not in place, or not being fully implemented, what has prevented this from being done? **Please elaborate.**

MPs are including all parties involved. Coordination of interests and procedures are therefore time consuming. MP for the PŠJ is in the governmental procedure.

Proposed national actions and targets: **Finalise, adopt and implement the MPs**

Ministry, agency/department, or organization responsible for leading on this action: **MOP, Park Authorities**

5.2.4 Promote the establishment and implementation of zoning measures related to larger Ramsar sites, wetland reserves and other wetlands (Kushiro Recommendation 5.3). [CPs, Partners]

For those sites where it is warranted, are zoning measures being used to regulate the activities allowed in different parts of the wetlands? **Yes**

If **No**, what is preventing these from being implemented? **Please elaborate.**

If **Yes**, for which sites are these in place?

Sečovlje salina, Škocjan caves

AND: Are they proving a successful management tool? **Please elaborate.**

By the Decree on Sečovlje salina three zones have been established providing different conservation measures for use of natural resources and protection of biodiversity.

The Law on Škocjan caves provided conservation measures for the core area as well as guidance for the use of entire catchment area.

Have you provided the Ramsar Bureau with information regarding such cases of zoning for possible inclusion in the Wise Use Resource Centre? **No**

Proposed national actions and targets: **implementation, working with local people**

Ministry, agency/department, or organization responsible for leading on this action: **Parks' authorities, local communities**

5.2.5 Promote the establishment and implementation of strict protection measures for certain Ramsar sites and other wetlands of small size and/or particular sensitivity (Recommendation 5.3). [CPs, Partners]

- **This aspect of Ramsar site management was not considered in the COP7 National**

<p>Reports and will have to be reviewed in time for COP8.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Global Target - Provide for consideration at COP8 detailed information on the implementation of strict protection measures at small and/or sensitive sites.
For those sites where it is warranted, are strict protection measures being used to regulate the activities allowed in different parts of the wetlands? Yes
If No , what is preventing these from being implemented? Please elaborate.
If Yes , for which sites are these in place? Sečovlje salina, Škocjan caves
AND: Is this proving to be a successful management tool? Please elaborate.
Yes; guiding use and development of the areas; protecting the most sensitive parts of a wetland
Have you provided the Ramsar Bureau with information regarding such cases for possible inclusion in the Wise Use Resource Centre? No
Proposed national actions and targets: -
Ministry, agency/department, or organization responsible for leading on this action: -

Operational Objective 5.3: To obtain regularly updated information on wetlands of international importance, in accordance with the approved standard format.

<p>Actions - Global and National Targets</p>
<p>5.3.1 Ensure that the maps and descriptions of Ramsar sites submitted to the Ramsar Database by the Contracting Parties at the time of designation are complete, in the approved standard format of the Information Sheet on Ramsar Wetlands, and provide sufficient detail to be used for management planning and monitoring of ecological character. [CPs, Bureau, Wetlands International]</p>
<p>5.3.2 Ensure that missing or incomplete data sheets and/or maps of listed sites are submitted as a matter of priority and in the shortest possible time, as a means to enhance the relevance and use of the Ramsar Database. [CPs]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Global Target – By the end of 1999, for there to be no Ramsar sites for which appropriate sites descriptions and maps are still required.
<p>If yours is one of the CPs referred to in COP7 Resolution VII.12 as not having provided a Ramsar (Site) Information Sheet in the approved format, with a suitable map, in one of three working languages of the Convention, has this now been rectified? No</p>
<p>If No, what is preventing this from being done? Please elaborate.</p> <p>The RIS on Sečovlje salina needs to be updated and a new map of the site has been prepared. Translated decree on the protection measures of the site and the map will be sent to the Ramsar Bureau before COP8.</p>

5.3.3 Ensure that data sheets on Ramsar Sites are regularly updated, at least for every second meeting of the COP, so that they can be used for reviewing the achievements of the Convention, for future strategic planning, for promotional purposes, and for site, regional and thematic analysis (Resolution VI.13). [CPs, STRP, Bureau, Wetlands International]

- **Global Target - By the end of 1999, for there to be no Ramsar sites designated before 31 December 1990 for which updated site descriptions are still required.**

If yours is one of the CPs referred to in COP7 Resolution VII.12 as not having provided an updated Ramsar (Site) Information Sheet for sites designated before 31 December 1990, has this now be rectified? **Does Not Apply**

If **No**, what is preventing this from being done? **Please elaborate.**

Proposed national actions and targets: **-**

Ministry, agency/department, or organization responsible for leading on this action: **-**

Operational Objective 5.4: To keep under review the content and structure, as well as the hardware and software, of the Ramsar Database, in order to ensure that it retains its relevance in light of evolving information and communication technology.

Actions - Global and National Targets

5.4.1 Assess data currently available in the database and identify any gaps in the data provided by Contracting Parties. [CPs, STRP, Bureau, Wetlands International]

Refer to 5.2.2, 5.2.3, and 5.2.4 above.

5.4.4 Support the establishment of national wetland databases compatible with the Ramsar Database and develop a common protocol to facilitate exchange and interaction. [CPs, Partners]

- **Global Target - By COP8, to have national wetland databases in over 50 CPs which are accessible globally.**

Refer also to 6.1.1 and 6.1.2. Does your country have a national wetland database? **Yes**

If **No**, what is preventing such a database being established? **Please elaborate.**

If **Yes**, is this database generally available for reference and application by all ministries and stakeholders? **No**

If **No**, why not? **Please elaborate.**

Technical problems in using the database.

AND: Is it available through the Internet? (COP7 Resolution VII.20) **No**

If **Yes**, please provide details. **-**

If **No**, why not? **Technical problems in using the database.**

AND: Is it available on CD-Rom? (COP7 Resolution VII.20) Yes
If Yes , please provide details. A copy has been sent to the Ramsar Bureau
If No , why not?
Proposed national actions and targets: revision and evaluation of the wetlands included
Ministry, agency/department, or organization responsible for leading on this action: MOP-ARSO

η η η

GENERAL OBJECTIVE 6

TO DESIGNATE FOR THE RAMSAR LIST THOSE WETLANDS WHICH MEET THE CONVENTION'S CRITERIA, ESPECIALLY WETLAND TYPES STILL UNDER-REPRESENTED IN THE LIST AND TRANSFRONTIER WETLANDS

Operational Objective 6.1: To identify those wetlands that meet the Ramsar criteria, and to give due consideration to their designation for the List.

Actions - Global and National Targets
6.1.1 Develop, regularly update -- especially in the case of Africa -- and disseminate regional wetland directories, which identify potential Ramsar sites. [CPs, Partners]
Refer to 6.1.2 and 6.2.1. Does there exist for your country a directory or similar listing of sites which are potential Ramsar sites? Yes
If No , what are the impediments to such a list of sites being prepared? Please elaborate.
If Yes , when was it prepared and was it prepared taking into consideration the <i>Strategic Framework and guidelines for the future development of the List of Wetlands of International Importance</i> (COP7 Resolution VII.11)? 2000 Yes/No
AND: How many potential Ramsar sites are identified within the important sites directory for your country? 7-8
Proposed national actions and targets: preparation of RIS and provide legal status
Ministry, agency/department, or organization responsible for leading on this action: MOP, NRC

6.1.2 Establish, update and disseminate national scientific inventories of wetlands which identify potential Ramsar sites and wetlands of provincial or local importance in the territory of each Contracting Party. [CPs, Partners]

- **Global Target - By COP8, to have national wetland inventories completed by over 50 CPs and the information housed in databases (Refer to 5.4.4) which are accessible globally**

Does there exist a comprehensive national inventory (as opposed to a directory of important sites; see 6.1.1 above) for your country? No
If No , what are the impediments to such an inventory being prepared? Please elaborate. A comprehensive inventory has been established but not all the requested data are available for all the listed sites. At the catchment level data have been included, but additional data are needed at the site, habitat and species level.
If only some parts of the country have had inventories completed, please indicate which parts these are.
AND: What is the likely timeframe for completing the national inventory? 3 years
If a national inventory has already been completed, when was it finalized?
AND: Is the information housed where it is accessible to stakeholders and the international community? (COP7 Resolution VII.20) No
If No , what are the impediments to this occurring? Please elaborate. On request, data is available, but needs to be completed.
Has national/subnational inventory information been provided to the Ramsar Bureau (if it is not accessible through the Internet)? Yes
Proposed national actions and targets: provide the missing data, establish the monitoring system
Ministry, agency/department, or organization responsible for leading on this action: MOP-ARSO

6.1.4 Support the work of Wetlands International and IUCN in updating information on population sizes of waterfowl and other taxa, and utilize these data in identification of potential Ramsar sites. [CPs, Bureau, Partners]
Does your country regularly gather waterbird population data? Yes
If No , what prevents this from happening? Please elaborate.
If Yes , is this information provided to Wetlands International? Yes
If No , why not? Please elaborate.
Proposed national actions and targets:
Ministry, agency/department, or organization responsible for leading on this action: DOPPS-BirdLife Slovenia.

Operational Objective 6.2: To increase the area of wetland designated for the List of Wetlands of International Importance, particularly for wetland types that are under-represented either at global or national level.

Actions - Global and National Targets
<p>6.2.1 Promote the designation for the Ramsar List of an increased area of wetland, through listing by new Contracting Parties, and through further designations by current Contracting Parties, in particular developing countries, in order to ensure the listing of a representative range of wetland types in the territory of each Contracting Party and in each Ramsar region. [CPs, Bureau, Partners]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Global Target - As proposed in the Strategic Framework, the short-term target of the Ramsar List should be to achieve the designation of 2000 sites, in accordance with the systematic approach advocated therein, by the time of COP9 in the year 2005. In addition, by COP8 the target is to have at least 20 CPs that are applying a systematic approach to site selection nationally.
Refer also to 6.1.1, 6.1.2, and 6.2.3. Has your country taken a systematic approach to identifying its future Ramsar sites (as promoted in the <i>Strategic Framework for the List – COP7 Resolution VII.11</i>)? Yes
If No , what are the impediments to this being done? Please elaborate.
If Yes , has this included considerations to ensure the designation of a representative range of wetland types? Yes
If No , why not? Please elaborate.
If Yes , has this resulted in the designation of a representative range of wetland types? Yes/No
Proposed national actions and targets: designation of representative range of wetland types
Ministry, agency/department, or organization responsible for leading on this action: MOP
<p>6.2.3 Give priority attention to the designation of new sites from wetland types currently under-represented on the Ramsar List, and in particular, when appropriate, coral reefs, mangroves, sea-grass beds and peatlands. [CPs]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Global Targets - The long-term targets are set by the <i>Strategic Framework and guidelines for the future development of the List of Wetlands of International Importance</i> (COP7 Resolution VII.11). Based on this, short-term targets for each wetland type will be derived [by the STRP].
Further to 6.2.1 above: If your territory includes under-represented wetland types, has special attention been given to identifying suitable sites for designation? Yes
If No , what has prevented this from occurring? Please elaborate.
<p>If Yes, has this included designations of wetlands including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> coral reefs? Yes/No mangroves? Yes/No seagrass beds? Yes/No peatlands? Yes intertidal wetlands? (COP7 Resolution VII.21) Yes/No

Proposed national actions and targets: **include underrepresented types on the Ramsar list**

Ministry, agency/department, or organization responsible for leading on this action: **MOP-ARSO**

6.2.4 Pay particular attention to the designation of new sites currently enjoying no special conservation status at national level, as a first step towards developing measures for their conservation and wise use. [CPs]

- **This question was not considered in the National Reports for COP7. It will be included for consideration in the NRs for COP8.**
- **Global Target - All CPs to consider this approach to ensuring the long-term conservation and wise use of wetlands that are subject to intense human use.**

Has your country designated wetland sites for the Ramsar List which previously had no special conservation status? **No**

If **No**, what has prevented this from happening? **Please elaborate.**

The Ministry of Environment and Spatial Planning is responsible for implementation of the Ramsar Convention. According to national legislation, management plans can be prepared for any type of protected areas.

If **Yes**, please provide details.

AND: Are there plans for further such designations? **No**

If **No**, why not? **Please elaborate.**

We are working on case by case basis.

If **Yes**, please elaborate.

Proposed national actions and targets:

Ministry, agency/department, or organization responsible for leading on this action:

6.2.5 Consider as a matter of priority the designation of transfrontier wetland sites. [CPs]

- **The issue of transfrontier or shared wetlands is addressed in the *Guidelines for international cooperation under the Ramsar Convention (COP7 Resolution VII.19)* and the *Guidelines for integrating wetlands into river basin management (COP7 Resolution VII.18)*.**
- **Global Target - By COP8, for there to be over 50 transfrontier wetland sites designated under the Convention.**

For those CPs which 'share' wetlands with other CPs, have all suitable sites been designated under the Convention? **No**

If No , what has prevented this action being taken? Please elaborate.
Mainly due to different development priorities in neighbouring countries.
If Yes , are there arrangements in place between the CPs sharing the wetland for the cooperative management of the site? Yes/No
If No , what has prevented such arrangements from being introduced? Please elaborate.
Proposed national actions and targets: coordination between counties and establishment of a transboundary wetland sites
Ministry, agency/department, or organization responsible for leading on this action: MOP, local commues, NGOs

η η η

GENERAL OBJECTIVE 7

TO PROMOTE INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION AND MOBILIZE FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE FOR WETLAND CONSERVATION AND WISE USE IN COLLABORATION WITH OTHER CONVENTIONS AND AGENCIES, BOTH GOVERNMENTAL AND NON-GOVERNMENTAL

Operational Objective 7.1: To identify international and/or regional needs for managing shared wetlands and shared catchments, and develop and implement common approaches.

Actions - Global and National Targets
7.1.1 Identify transfrontier wetlands of international importance (including those within shared catchment/river basins), and encourage preparation and implementation of joint plans for such sites, using a “catchment approach” (Recommendation 5.3). [CPs, Partners]
Refer to 6.2.5 above.
7.1.2 Encourage twinning of transfrontier wetlands, and of other wetlands with similar characteristics, and use successful cases for illustrating the benefits of international cooperation. [CPs, Bureau, Partners]
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Both the <i>Guidelines for international cooperation under the Ramsar Convention</i> (COP7 Resolution VII.19) and the <i>Convention’s Outreach Programme</i> (COP7 Resolution VII.9) promote site twinning as a mechanism for accelerating the flow of knowledge and assistance and promoting training opportunities. • Global Target - By COP8 to have in place over 100 Ramsar site twinning arrangements. The Bureau will keep a record of which sites are twinned and make this available through the Convention’s Web site.
Does your country have Ramsar sites twinned with those in other CPs? Yes/No

<p>If No, what has prevented this from happening? Please elaborate.</p> <p>PŠJ are the underground wetland with specific demands and issues and since the site was established two more subterranean wetlands have been established in Europe.</p>
<p>If Yes, please note how many such twinning arrangements are in place and indicate which sites are involved. </p>
<p>AND: Do these arrangements involve:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • sharing of information resources? Yes/No • transfer of financial resources? Yes/No • exchanges of personnel? Yes/No • other activities? Please elaborate.
<p>Proposed national actions and targets: establish twinning with a subterranean Ramsar Site</p>
<p>Ministry, agency/department, or organization responsible for leading on this action: PŠJ, MOP-NRC</p>

Operational Objective 7.2: To strengthen and formalize linkages between Ramsar and other international and/or regional environmental conventions and agencies, so as to advance the achievement of shared goals and objectives relating to wetland species or issues.

<p>Actions - Global and National Targets</p>
<p>7.2.1 Participate in, or initiate, consultations with related conventions to foster information exchange and cooperation, and develop an agenda for potential joint actions. [SC, Bureau]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Global Target - A Joint Work Plan between the Ramsar Convention and the Convention to Combat Desertification which encourages cooperative implementation of both at the international, national and local levels.
<p>Refer also to 4.2.1. Does there exist a mechanism (such as an inter-ministry committee) at the national level with the charter of coordinating/integrating the implementation of international/regional conventions/treaties to which your country is a signatory? Yes/No</p>
<p>If No, what are the impediments to such a mechanism being introduced? Please elaborate.</p> <p>Although there is cooperation and exchange of information between National Focal Points of different biodiversity related conventions, there is no official mechanism established as a working body for implementation of these conventions.</p> <p>Ministers are included in a Council for Sustainable Development.</p> <p>In addition to the main biodiversity related conventions integration and cooperation are necessary for the implementation of the two regional conventions related to water and wetland conservation and use: the Danube Convention and the Barcelona Convention. Therefore, nature conservation sector is involved in the implementation of the two</p>

treaties.
If Yes , describe the mechanism and the conventions/treaties it is expected to consider. ██████████
AND: Has the mechanism proven to be effective? Yes/No
If No , why not? Please elaborate.
If Yes , please elaborate. ██████████
Proposed national actions and targets: strengthen coordination for implementation of biodiversity related conventions, UNCCC, UNCD, Helsinki, as well as Danube and Barcelona Conventions
Ministry, agency/department, or organization responsible for leading on this action: MOP

7.2.2 Prepare project proposals together with other conventions and partner organizations, and submit them jointly to potential funding agencies. [CPs, SC, Bureau, Partners]
For eligible countries, have there been project proposals prepared and submitted to funding agencies which were intended to assist with implementation of the Ramsar Convention? Yes
If No , what has prevented this from happening? Please elaborate.
If Yes , were such proposals successful in gaining funds? Yes - Please elaborate.
Sečovlje salina, GEF refused funding due to the fact that the area is on the boarder with Croatia where the boarder-line has not been delineated so far.
All about salt (ALAS) project funded by the PHARE has been successfully implemented in the salina, bringing together stakeholders, local community , NGOS and the Ministry of the Environment and Spatial Planning.
PSJ, had some PHARE funds for: 1) cooperation on the water issues (The Reka River - from Snežnik to the Sea); 2) archaeological findings in the area of the Škocjanske jame Regional Park; 3) nature trail in the Regional Park.
Currently, a proposal has been submitted for the improvement of infrastructure in the caves. Proposal for enlargement of the protected area and the Ramsar Site has started, but no funds have been requested yet.
Proposed national actions and targets: ██████████
Ministry, agency/department, or organization responsible for leading on this action: ██████████

7.2.3 Strengthen cooperation and synergy with the Convention on Biological Diversity, in particular as regards inclusion of wetland concerns in national biodiversity strategies, and planning and execution of projects affecting wetlands. [CPs, Bureau, Partners]
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Global Target - To see the Joint Work Plan implemented in full and resulting in

cooperative implementation of both Conventions at the international, national and local levels.
Further to 7.2.1 above: Has there been a review completed of the Joint Work Plan between Ramsar and Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) to establish the areas of priority for cooperative implementation of these Conventions? Yes
If No , what has prevented such a review being done? Please elaborate.
If Yes , what are the areas established as priorities for national cooperation between Ramsar and CBD implementing agencies/focal points? Wetland sites are biodiversity rich areas, therefore it is part of the State of Biological and Landscape Diversity in Slovenia. Priority areas are transboundary wetlands, karst wetlands (underrepresented wetlands on the List) and biodiversity rich wetland sites.
Proposed national actions and targets:
Ministry, agency/department, or organization responsible for leading on this action:

7.2.4 Develop cooperation with the World Heritage Convention and UNESCO's Programme on Man and the Biosphere (MAB), especially as regards wetlands designated as World Heritage sites, Biosphere Reserves and/or Ramsar sites. [CPs, Bureau, Partners]
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Global Target - A Memorandum of Cooperation with the Man and the Biosphere Programme, leading to Joint Work Plans with the MAB Programme and with the World Heritage Convention which encourages cooperative implementation of both at the international, national and local, levels.
Refer to 7.2.1 above.
7.2.5 Enhance Ramsar's contribution to international cooperation on shared wetland species, notably through cooperative arrangements with the Convention on Migratory Species, flyway agreements, networks and other mechanisms dealing with migratory species (Recommendation 6.4). [CPs, Bureau, Partners]
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The <i>Guidelines for international cooperation under the Ramsar Convention</i> propose an increase in the joint efforts between Ramsar and CMS (COP7 Resolution VII.19) Global Target - A Joint Work Plan between the Conventions which encourages cooperative implementation of both at the international, regional and national and local levels.
Refer to 7.2.1 above.
7.2.6 Develop Ramsar's contribution to wildlife trade issues affecting wetlands, through increased interaction with CITES. [Bureau]
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The <i>Guidelines for international cooperation under the Ramsar Convention</i> propose an increase in the joint efforts between Ramsar and CITES (COP7 Resolution

<p>VII.19)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Global Target - A Memorandum of Cooperation with CITES, leading to a Joint Work Plan between the Conventions which sees cooperative implementation of both at the international, national and local levels.
Refer to 7.2.1 above
<p>7.2.7 Initiate links with the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, in view of the potential impacts on wetlands of climate change. [CP, Bureau]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Global Target - A Memorandum of Cooperation with UNFCCC, leading to a Joint Work Plan between the Conventions which encourages cooperative implementation of both at the international, national and local levels.
Refer to 7.2.1 above.
<p>7.2.8 Extend cooperation with conventions and agencies concerned with conservation and wise use of wetlands at regional level, and in particular: with the European Community, as regards application of its Habitats Directive to wetlands, and adoption and application of measures like the Habitats Directive for wetlands outside the states of the European Union; with the Council of Europe (Bern) Convention on the conservation of European wildlife and natural habitats as regards the Pan-European Biological and Landscape Diversity Strategy; with the Barcelona Convention and Mediterranean Action Plan in relation to the MedWet initiative; with the Western Hemisphere Convention; with UNEP programmes, in particular the Regional Seas Conventions; and with the South Pacific Regional Environment Programme (SPREP). [CPs, Bureau]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Global Target - With the European Commission and SPREP, develop and sign a Memorandum of Cooperation and prepare and implement a Joint Work Plan. For Medwet, secure the long-term funding base for this important initiative and continue to develop new programmes of regional action. For the others referred to, and others which are appropriate, develop an appropriate working relationship.
Refer to 7.2.1 above.
<p>7.2.9 Develop relationships with other specialized agencies that deal with wetland-related issues, such as the International Coral Reef Initiative (ICRI) and the World Water Council (COP7 Resolution VI.23). [Bureau]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Global Target - To progress to closer working relations with these and other relevant initiatives, as appropriate.
Refer to 7.2.1 above.

Operational Objective 7.3: To ensure that the development assistance community, and multinational corporations, follow improved wetland practices such as the Wise Use Guidelines in developing countries and countries whose economies are in transition.

Actions - Global and National Targets

7.3.2 Work with multilateral and bilateral development agencies and multinational corporations towards a full recognition of wetland values and functions (Recommendation 4.13), and assist them to improve their practices in favor of wetland conservation and wise use taking account of the *Guidelines for Aid Agencies for Improved Conservation and Sustainable Use of Tropical and Sub-Tropical Wetlands*, published by OECD's Development Assistance Committee (Recommendation 6.16). [Bureau, Partners]

- **Global Target - At the Bureau level, to consider ways and means to increase its ability to work more systematically in this area, so as to increase the level of donor agency support for wetland conservation and wise use activities, and to see an increasing number of multinational corporations adopting voluntary codes of conduct for protecting wetlands.**

While this action is directed at the Bureau principally, CPs also have a role to play in this area; refer to 7.4.2 below with respect to bilateral development agencies. For the multilateral donors: Is your government represented on the governing bodies or scientific advisory bodies of the multilateral donors, or the GEF? **No**

If **Yes**, has this person/agency/ministry been briefed on the obligations of your country under the Ramsar Convention, and the relevant expectations raised of each CP by the Strategic Plan and COP decisions? **Yes/No**

Additional comments?

7.3.3 Interact with multilateral development agencies and through bilateral development programmes, to assist developing countries in meeting their Ramsar obligations, and report on actions taken and results achieved (Recommendation 5.5). [CPs]

Refer to 7.4.2 to 7.4.6 below.

Proposed national actions and targets: |

Ministry, agency/department, or organization responsible for leading on this action: |

Operational Objective 7.4: To obtain funds to fulfil obligations contracted under the Convention, notably for developing countries and countries whose economies are in transition.

Actions - Global and National Targets

7.4.1 Allocate funds for conservation and wise use of wetlands in the budget of each Contracting Party. [CPs]

- **Global Target - By COP8, to see allocations for wetlands made by all CPs and also for specific wetland programmes in more than 40 CPs.**

Does your government allocate funds for wetland conservation and wise use activities? **Yes**

If No , what are the impediments to this happening? Please elaborate.
If Yes , is this: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> As a separate allocation to a Wetlands Programme (or similar)? No As part of a broader allocation for the environment? Yes As part of the programmes maintained by a range of Ministries? Yes/No
AND: What mechanisms are in place for determining priorities and coordinating the expenditure of these funds? Please elaborate.
Different budget lines within the MOP-ARSO are allocation funds for action. A special budget line is used for biodiversity conservation (including all the biodiversity related conventions) and through protected areas individual budget lines are for Škocjan Caves and for Sečovlje salinas.
Through water-management activities - river basin management plans
MKGP runs Agro-environmental Programme in Slovenia where farmers in protected areas get additional support to manage their land in a sustainable way.
Is it linked to a National Wetland Policy, Biodiversity Plan, Catchment Plan or something similar? Yes/No Please elaborate.
Preparation of BCSS was the basis for identifying priority activities of the main budget line related to wetland conservation and wise use.
Proposed national actions and targets:
Ministry, agency/department, or organization responsible for leading on this action:

7.4.2 Include projects for conservation and wise use of wetlands in development plans funded by development assistance agencies, and ensure the latter consult the Ramsar administrative authority in each Contracting Party. [CPs]
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Global Target - To see this trend continue such that all eligible CPs are receiving donor support for a range of major wetland-related projects by the time of COP8. In particular, to see this support being provided, as appropriate, for the priority areas of policy development, legal and institutional reviews, inventory and assessments, the designation and management of Ramsar sites, training and communications.
If your country has a bilateral development assistance programme, does it allocate funds for wetland-related projects on a regular basis? Yes
If No , what are the impediments to this occurring? Please elaborate.
If Yes , are these projects subjected to rigorous impact assessment procedures, which take account of the full environmental, social and economic values of wetlands? No
If No , why not? Please elaborate.
EIA is undertaken if necessary according to the EIA legal provisions.

If Yes , is the Ramsar Administrative Authority consulted during the screening and assessment phases of the projects? Yes
If No , why not? Please elaborate.
AND: Is there a formal consultative process in place (such as a National Ramsar Committee) which ensures that the development assistance agency is fully aware of the Ramsar Convention obligations of the country with respect to international cooperation? Yes
If No , why not?
If Yes , please elaborate.
Proposed national actions and targets:
Ministry, agency/department, or organization responsible for leading on this action:

<p>7.4.4 Mobilize direct funding support from multilateral and bilateral development assistance agencies to assist developing countries and countries whose economy is in transition, in the conservation and wise use of wetlands and in implementation of the present Strategic Plan. [CPs. Bureau]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Global Target - By COP8 for all the bilateral donors from appropriate CPs to have funds earmarked for wetland projects, and for all of these CPs to have in place mechanisms for consultation between the development assistance agency and their Ramsar Administrative Authority. <p>Refer to 7.4.2 above</p>
--

η η η

**GENERAL OBJECTIVE 8
TO PROVIDE THE CONVENTION WITH THE REQUIRED INSTITUTIONAL
MECHANISMS AND RESOURCES**

Operational Objective 8.1: To maximize achievement of Ramsar’s mission and objectives by evaluating and, if necessary, modifying the Convention’s institutions and management structures.

Actions - Global and National Targets
<p>8.1.9 Promote the establishment of National Ramsar Committees to provide the opportunity for input from, and representation of, governmental and non-governmental organizations, key stakeholders, indigenous people, the private sector and interest groups, and land use planning and management authorities (Recommendation 5.13). [CPs, Bureau, Partners]</p> <p>Refer to 4.1.2.</p> <p>8.1.10 Review the designated national focal point in each Contracting Party, with a view</p>

to increasing involvement in the work of the Convention from all agencies concerned with the conservation and wise use of wetlands. [CPs]

Refer to 4.1.1

Operational Objective 8.2: To provide the financial resources required to carry out Ramsar activities.

Actions - Global and National Targets

8.2.1 Pay invoiced contributions to the Convention's core budget in full, and promptly at the beginning of each calendar year. [CPs]

- **Global Target - During this triennium to achieve full and timely payment of all dues by all CPs. The SC to prepare a proposal on sanctions for non-payment for consideration at COP8 (COP7 Resolution VII.28).**

Is your country completely up to date with its annual contributions to the core budget of the Convention? **Yes**

If **No**, what is the impediment to this being done? **Please elaborate.**

Proposed national actions and targets:

Ministry, agency/department, or organization responsible for leading on this action:

8.2.4 Give priority to funding for training programmes, education and public awareness work, development of the Ramsar Database, and the Convention's Communications Strategy. [CPs, Bureau, Partners]

- **Global Target - To secure the resources needed to establish regional training initiatives (like *Wetlands for the Future*) in other regions, to allow the Bureau to progress the implementation of the Outreach Programme, and to support the proposed developments for the Ramsar Sites Database into a fully online and Web-based promotional and planning tool of the Convention.**

Refer to 3.3.1 (Convention Outreach Programme), 4.2.4 (Wetlands for the Future).

Operational Objective 8.3: To maximize the benefits of working with partner organizations.

Actions - Global and National Targets

8.3.1 Strengthen cooperative planning mechanisms with the partners and improve communications and information exchange, including exchange of staff. [CPs, Bureau, Partners]

Refer to 3.2.1 and 4.1.2. Does your country include representatives of the Convention's official International Organisation Partners (BirdLife International, IUCN, WWF, Wetlands

International) on its National Ramsar Committees or similar bodies, where they exist? Yes
If No , what prevents this from occurring? Please elaborate.
Proposed national actions and targets: █
Ministry, agency/department, or organization responsible for leading on this action: █

Operational Objective 8.4: To secure at least one million US dollars per annum for the Ramsar Small Grants Fund for Wetlands Conservation and Wise Use (Resolutions 5.8 and VI.6) and to allocate these funds effectively.

Actions - Global and National Targets
8.4.1 Develop a strategy for securing at least one million US dollars annually for the Ramsar Small Grants Fund, to be approved by the first full meeting of the Standing Committee after the 6th COP (1996) and proceed immediately to its implementation. [Bureau, SC, CPs, Partners]
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Global Target - To establish a mechanism to ensure one million US dollars annually for the Ramsar Small Grants Fund (COP7 Resolution VII.28).
Refer also to 8.2.4. For developed countries, do you provide additional voluntary contributions to support the Small Grants Fund? Yes/No
If No , what prevents this from happening? Please elaborate.
If Yes , is an irregular or regular voluntary contribution? █
Proposed national actions and targets: █
Ministry, agency/department, or organization responsible for leading on this action: █