COP13 National Report

Background information
1. The COP13 National Report Format (NRF) has been approved by the Standing Committee 52 for the Ramsar Convention’s Contracting Parties to complete as their national reporting to the 13th meeting of the Conference of the Contracting Parties of the Convention (United Arab Emirates, 2018).

2. The Standing Committee through Decision SC52-07 has also agreed that an online National Reporting format could be made available to Parties by keeping the off-line system and requested the Secretariat to present an evaluation for the next COP regarding the use of the on-line system.

3. The National Report Format is being issued by the Secretariat in 2016 to facilitate Contracting Parties’ implementation planning and preparations for completing the Report. The deadline for submission of national targets is by 30 November 2016 and the deadline for submission of completed National Reports is January 21st 2018.

4. Following Standing Committee discussions, this COP13 NRF closely follows that of the NRF used for COP12, to permit continuity of reporting and analysis of implementation progress by ensuring that indicator questions are as far as possible consistent with previous NRFS (and especially the COP12 NRF). It is also structured in terms of the Goals and Strategies of the 2016-2024 Ramsar Strategic Plan adopted at COP12 as Resolution XII.2.

5. This COP13 NRF includes 92 indicator questions. In addition, Section 4 is provided as an optional Annex in order to facilitate the task of preparing the Party’s National Targets and Actions for the implementation of each of the targets of the Strategic Plan 2016-2024 according to Resolution XII.2.

6. As was the case for previous NRF, the COP13 Format includes an optional section (Section 5) to permit a Contracting Party to provide additional information, on indicators relevant to each individual Wetland of International Importance (Ramsar Site) within its territory.

7. Note that, for the purposes of this national reporting to the Ramsar Convention, the scope of the term “wetland” is that of the Convention text, i.e. all inland wetlands (including lakes and rivers), all nearshore coastal wetlands (including tidal marshes, mangroves and coral reefs) and human-made wetlands (e.g. rice paddy and reservoirs), even if a national definition of “wetland” may differ from that adopted by the Contracting Parties to the Ramsar Convention.

The purposes and uses of national reporting to the Conference of the Contracting Parties

8. National Reports from Contracting Parties are official documents of the Convention and are made publicly available on the Convention’s website.

9. There are seven main purposes for the Convention’s National Reports. These are to:
   i) provide data and information on how, and to what extent, the Convention is being implemented
   ii) provide tools for countries for their national planning
   iii) capture lessons and experience to help Parties plan future action;
   iv) identify emerging issues and implementation challenges faced by Parties that may require further attention from the Conference of the Parties;
   v) provide a means for Parties to account for their commitments under the Convention;
   vi) provide each Party with a tool to help it assess and monitor its progress in implementing the Convention, and to plan its future priorities; and
   vii) provide an opportunity for Parties to draw attention to their achievements during the triennium.

10. The data and information provided by Parties in their National Reports have another valuable purpose as well, since a number of the indicators in the National Reports on Parties’ implementation provide key sources of information for the analysis and assessment of the “ecological outcome-oriented indicators of
effectiveness of the implementation of the Convention”.

11. To facilitate the analysis and subsequent use of the data and information provided by Contracting Parties in their National Reports, the Ramsar Secretariat holds in a database all the information it has received and verified. The COP13 reports will be in an online National Reporting system.

12. The Convention’s National Reports are used in a number of ways. These include:
   i) providing an opportunity to compile and analyze information that contracting parties can use to inform their national planning and programming.
   ii) providing the basis for reporting by the Secretariat to each meeting of the Conference of the Parties on the global, national and regional implementation, and the progress in implementation, of the Convention. This is provided to Parties at the COP as a series of Information Papers, including:
      * the Report of the Secretary General on the implementation of the Convention at the global level;
      * the Report of the Secretary General pursuant to Article 8.2 (b), (c), and (d) concerning the List of Wetlands of International Importance; and
      * the reports providing regional overviews of the implementation of the Convention and its Strategic Plan in each Ramsar region;
   iii) providing information on specific implementation issues in support of the provision of advice and decisions by Parties at the COP.
   iv) providing the source data for time-series assessments of progress on specific aspects in the implementation of the Convention included in other Convention products. An example is the summary of progress since COP3 (Regina, 1997) in the development of National Wetland Policies, included as Table 1 in Ramsar Wise Use Handbook 2 (4th edition, 2010); and
   v) providing information for reporting to the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) on the national implementation of the CBD/Ramsar Joint Work Plan and the Ramsar Convention’s lead implementation role on wetlands for the CBD. In particular, the Ramsar Secretariat and STRP used the COP10 NRF indicators extensively in 2009 to prepare contributions to the in-depth review of the CBD programme of work on the biological diversity of inland water ecosystems for consideration by CBD SBSTTA14 and COP10 during 2010 (see UNEP/CBD/SBSTTA/14/3). Similar use of COP12 NRF indicators is anticipated for the CBD’s next such in-depth review.

The structure of the COP13 National Report Format
Section 1 provides the institutional information about the Administrative Authority and National Focal Points for the national implementation of the Convention.
Section 2 is a ‘free-text’ section in which the Party is invited to provide a summary of various aspects of national implementation progress and recommendations for the future.
Section 3 provides the 92 implementation indicator questions, grouped under each Convention implementation Goals and Targets in the Strategic Plan 2016-2024, and with an optional ‘free-text’ section under each indicator question in which the Contracting Party may, if it wishes, add further information on national implementation of that activity.
Section 4 is an optional annex to allow any Contracting Party that has developed national targets to provide information on the targets and actions for the implementation of each of the targets of the Strategic Plan 2016-2024.
In line with Resolution XII.2, which encourages Contracting Parties “to develop and submit to the Secretariat on or before December 2016, and according to their national priorities, capabilities and resources, their own quantifiable and time-bound national and regional targets in line with the targets set in the Strategic Plan”, all Parties are encouraged to consider using this comprehensive national planning tool as soon as possible, in order to identify the areas of highest priority for action and the relevant national targets and actions for each target.

The planning of national targets offers, for each of them, the possibility of indicating the national priority for that area of activity as well as the level of resourcing available, or that could be made available during the triennium, for its implementation. In addition, there are specific boxes to indicate the National Targets for implementation by 2018 and the planned national activities that are designed to deliver these targets. Ramsar Strategic Plan 2016-2024 shows the synergies between CBD Aichi Biodiversity Targets and Ramsar Targets. Therefore, the NRF provide an opportunity that Contracting Parties indicate as appropriate how the actions they undertake for the implementation of the Ramsar Convention contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets according to paragraph 51 of Resolution XII.3.

Section 5 is an optional annex to allow any Contracting Party that so wishes to provide additional information regarding any or all of its Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Sites).
General guidance for completing and submitting the COP13 National Report Format
All Sections of the COP13 NRF should be completed in one of the Convention’s official languages (English, French, Spanish).

The deadline for submission of the completed NRF is January 21st 2018. It will not be possible to include information from National Reports received after that date in the analysis and reporting on Convention implementation to COP13.

The deadline for submission of national targets is by 30 November 2016
To help Contracting Parties refer to relevant information they provided in their National Report to COP12, for each appropriate indicator a cross-reference is provided to the equivalent indicator(s) in the COP12 NRF or previous NRF, shown thus: {x.x.x}

For follow up and where appropriate, a cross-reference is also provided to the relevant Key Result Area (KRA) relating to Contracting Parties implementation in the Strategic Plan 2009-2015.

Only Strategic Plan 2016-2024 Targets for which there are implementation actions for Contracting Parties are included in this reporting format; those targets of the Strategic Plan that do not refer directly to Parties are omitted (e.g. targets 6 and 14).

For each indicator question you can choose only one answer. If you wish to provide further information or clarification, do so in the additional information box below the relevant indicator question. Please be as concise as possible (maximum of 500 words in each free-text box).

The NRF should ideally be completed by the principal compiler in consultation with relevant colleagues in their agency and others within the government and, as appropriate, with NGOs and other stakeholders who might have fuller knowledge of aspects of the Party’s overall implementation of the Convention. The principal compiler can save the document at any point and return to it later to continue or to amend answers. Compilers should refer back to the National Report submitted for COP12 to ensure the continuity and consistency of information provided.

If you have any questions or problems, please contact the Ramsar Secretariat for advice (nationalreports@ramsar.org).
Section 1: Institutional Information

Important note: the responses below will be considered by the Ramsar Secretariat as the definitive list of your focal points, and will be used to update the information it holds. The Secretariat’s current information about your focal points is available at http://www.ramsar.org/search-contact.

Name of Contracting Party

The completed National Report must be accompanied by a letter in the name of the Head of Administrative Authority, confirming that this is the Contracting Party’s official submission of its COP13 National Report. It can be attached to this question using the "Manage documents" function (blue symbol below)

› United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

You have attached the following documents to this answer.
UK_Ramsar_Report_2018_accompanying_letter_signed.docx - Accompanying letter to confirm official submission

Designated Ramsar Administrative Authority

Name of Administrative Authority
› Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs

Head of Administrative Authority - name and title
› Cheryl Case

Mailing address
› Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs, Area 2E Nobel House, 17 Smith Square, London, SW1P 2AL. United Kingdom.

Telephone/Fax
› +44 (0)208 026 2888

Email
› Cheryl.Case@defra.gsi.gov.uk

Designated National Focal Point for Ramsar Convention Matters

Name and title
› Katie Beckett

Mailing address
› Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs, Area 2E Nobel House, 17 Smith Square, London, SW1P 2AL. United Kingdom.

Telephone/Fax
› +44 (0)208 225 8259

Email
› Katie.Beckett@defra.gsi.gov.uk

Designated National Focal Point for Matters Relating to The Scientific and Technical Review Panel (STRP)

Name and title
› Mr David Stroud

Name of organisation
› Joint Nature Conservation Committee

Mailing address
› Monkstone House, City Road, Peterborough. PE1 1JY. United Kingdom.

Telephone/Fax
› +44 (0)1733 866810

Email
› david.stroud@jncc.gov.uk
**Designated Government National Focal Point for Matters Relating to The Programme on Communication, Education, Participation and Awareness (CEPA)**

Name and title
› Katie Beckett

Name of organisation
› UK Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs

Mailing address
› Area 2E Nobel House, 17 Smith Square, London, SW1P 2AL. United Kingdom.

Telephone/Fax
› +44 (0)208 225 8259

Email
› Katie.Beckett@defra.gsi.gov.uk

**Designated Non-Government National Focal Point for Matters Relating to The Programme on Communication, Education, Participation and Awareness (CEPA)**

Name and title
› Mr Chris Rostron

Name of organisation
› Wildfowl & Wetlands Trust (WWT)

Mailing address
› Slimbridge, Gloucestershire, GL2 7BT. United Kingdom

Telephone/Fax
› +44 (0)1453 891214

Email
› Chris.Rostron@wwt.org.uk
Section 2: General summary of national implementation progress and challenges

In your country, in the past triennium (i.e., since COP12 reporting)

A. What have been the five most successful aspects of implementation of the Convention?


2) Good implementation of the EU Water Framework Directive (WFD) and the EU Nature Directives; and integration of the global Aichi Targets into national biodiversity strategies. The manner in which these are implemented in the UK contributes strongly to “wise use” principles as required by the Convention.

3) A third review of the UK Special Protection Area network, which given the degree of overlap provides a de facto review of the UK Ramsar Site network. These site networks protect 70% of all UK’s breeding seabirds (2,471,000 pairs) and 37% of all non-breeding waterbirds (2,487,000 individuals).

4) Development of an updated management plan for Tristan da Cunha Gough and Inaccessible Islands (2015-20). The Tristan da Cunha Government is working closely with stakeholders and partners to implement the plan’s high priority actions to protect the special values of the Ramsar Sites.

5) Successful movement of the Akrotiri Environmental Education Centre on the Cyprus Sovereign Base Area to its permanent premises which offer extensive opportunities for education and awareness on the importance of the designated sites. Implementation of a Darwin Plus project for the restoration of the Akrotiri Ramsar site (http://www.darwininitiative.org.uk/documents/DPLUS034/23916/DPLUS034%20AR1%20-%20edited.pdf) between 2015-2017, including practical measures such as removing invasive species and blocking access points.

B. What have been the five greatest difficulties in implementing the Convention?

1) Implementation of Ramsar in the UK is largely achieved through delivery of the EU Nature Directives and the EU Water Framework Directive. While this approach is very successful, these Directives tend to overshadow Ramsar and reduce its visibility as a key driver for wetland conservation. Accordingly, it can be a challenge to raise the profile of the Convention in some sectors.

2) Site monitoring and surveillance is resource intensive. Accordingly resourcing can be a challenge and we are therefore exploring ways to rationalise our approach, making the best use of existing resources and, where possible, exploiting new technologies.

3) Enforcement to tackle illegal and damaging activities can be difficult because the issues being addressed are complex and multifaceted.

4) Many of the UK Ramsar sites are located in Overseas Territories which have small populations and therefore limited capacity for management. These islands are therefore reliant on applying for external funding and securing additional expertise from external sources.

5) Control of invasive species across all protected sites is resource intensive which is challenging in terms of capacity for this activity.

C. What are the five priorities for future implementation of the Convention?

1) To maintain existing levels of integration as the UK leaves the EU. Ramsar sites in Metropolitan UK are, as a matter of government policy, afforded the same protection as Natura 2000 sites designated under the EU
Nature Directives. The European Union (Withdrawal) Bill will ensure that the whole body of existing EU environmental law, including the Habitats and Wild Birds Directives, continues to have effect in UK law, providing businesses, communities and stakeholders with maximum certainty as we leave the EU. The UK has a long history of environmental protection and we will safeguard and improve on this record. We will also continue to uphold all our obligations under international environmental treaties.

2) A restoration programme on Gough Island (Tristan da Cunha), including the eradication of house mice Mus musculus which are negatively impacting populations of globally threatened seabird species. Improving biosecurity at the Ramsar Sites on Tristan da Cunha for the long-term protection of native biodiversity from new introductions of invasive alien species.

3) On Bermuda, action is being taken to create management plans and stop encroachment on the boundaries of protected areas.

4) On the Cyprus Sovereign Base Area the management plan for the Ramsar sites at Akrotiri is expected to be completed soon after the completion of the non-military development process (entailing the introduction of development zones at Akrotiri), together with the promotion of sustainable management opportunities to local communities, and where necessary the making of management orders to enhance enforcement.

5) For the Isle of Man, assessment of further designated sites and pollution control/water quality are key projects.

D. Do you (AA) have any recommendations concerning implementation assistance from the Ramsar Secretariat?

�� Whilst the development of the online RIS portal has been an excellent development, there still remains no possibility of database to database transfer of information as requested by COP in 2002. For countries like the UK with multiple Ramsar Sites, the manual input of data, on a site by site basis, remains a major constraint to our ability to provide data and information on our Site network. Delivery of the data vision requested in 2002 would be of enormous value.

E. Do you (AA) have any recommendations concerning implementation assistance from the Convention’s International Organisation Partners (IOPs)? (including ongoing partnerships and partnerships to develop)

�� UK government and its agencies already work closely with the UK BirdLife International partner (RSPB) and the Wildfowl and Wetlands Trust.

F. How can national implementation of the Ramsar Convention be better linked with implementation of other multilateral environmental agreements (MEAs), especially those in the ‘biodiversity cluster’ (Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD), Convention on Migratory Species (CMS), Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species (CITES), World Heritage Convention (WHC), and United Nations Convention to Combat Desertification (UNCCD) and the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC)?

1) The Convention’s ‘wise use’ principles are echoed in most wetland related policies such as River Basin Management Plans and National Biodiversity Strategies, the latter of which maps wetland related outputs to global objectives e.g. delivery of Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals. However, the tangible benefits of so-doing may be elusive.

2) Sustainable management of natural resources requires join-up across government policies. This is easy to say, but hard to implement. Enhanced synergies between MEAs should help to facilitate implementation by Contracting Parties. Within the UK, teams responsible for different MEAs are working together to address cross-cutting issues. An example of such implementation in the UK is the Environment (Wales) Act 2016 Part 1, which provides provision for Wales’ resources to be managed in a more proactive, sustainable and joined-up way. The Welsh Government’s Natural Resources Policy, under the Environment (Wales) Act, will embed this approach in cross cutting policy which applies across the Government’s remit. Ramsar Convention work is already closely linked with implementation of the Habitats and Birds Directives, which in turn constitute a significant element of EU Member States’ implementation of the CBD. For example, Welsh Government policy is that Ramsar Sites have the same high degree of protection from damaging development as Natura 2000 sites.

3) The designation of Gough and Inaccessible Islands as Ramsar Sites does not have the same profile and visibility on Tristan da Cunha as their World Heritage Site status. The cross-over and similarities of common aim between MEAs could be highlighted. For a small territory with limited capacity for conservation, reducing the duplication of effort in terms of reporting on progress with fulfilling obligations under different MEAs would be of benefit.
4) Linking the use of Ramsar Sites with the species listed by the Convention on Migratory Species (CMS) (and its daughter ‘agreements’ / memoranda of understanding) and/or by the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species (CITES) could, where relevant, help make more visible that site based implementation benefits the aims of all three Conventions.

5) Related to bullet 2 – Parties to the CBD are sharing experiences in enhancing synergies among biodiversity-related conventions at the national level. This work should be further shared in a Ramsar context.

G. How can implementation of the Ramsar Convention be better linked with the implementation of water policy/strategy and other strategies in the country (e.g., on sustainable development, energy, extractive industries, poverty reduction, sanitation, food security, biodiversity)?

- See comments above.

The United Kingdom is made up of four countries: England, Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland. The development and implementation of biodiversity policy in the UK is largely devolved and delivered through country plans and strategies. Action is coordinated through the UK post-2010 Biodiversity Framework which sets out how the countries work together to meet the CBD Aichi targets and those of the EU Biodiversity Strategy and through the UK Marine Strategy which provides a framework country implementation in the marine environment.

H. Do you (AA) have any other general comments on the implementation of the Convention?

- Streamlining reporting to multiple MEAs, including the Ramsar Convention, is important to focus on active on-the-ground conservation work – it is after all the same biodiversity and the same Parties.

I. Please list the names of the organisations which have been consulted on or have contributed to the information provided in this report

- References within this report to the ‘UK’ or ‘mainland UK’ refer to implementation within the administrations of England, Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland. References within this report to the UKOTs & CDs refer to implementation within the UK Overseas Territories and Crown Dependencies.

UK Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (Defra)
UK Foreign and Commonwealth Office (FCO)
UK Ministry of Defence (MOD)
Scottish Government
Welsh Government, Department for Economy, Skills and Natural Resources,
Department of Agriculture, Environment and Rural Affairs (DAERA), (Northern Ireland)
Joint Nature Conservation Committee (JNCC)
Natural England (NE)
Natural Resources Wales (NRW)
Scottish Natural Heritage (SNH)
Northern Ireland Environment Agency (NIEA)
Environment Agency (EA)
Scottish Environment Protection Agency (SEPA)
The Crown Estate
Animal and Plant Health Agency (APHA)
States of Guernsey
States of Guernsey
States of Alderney
Isle of Sark Chief Pleas
States of Jersey
Isle of Man Government
Government of Anguilla
Ascension Island Government
Government of the British Virgin Islands
Cyprus Sovereign Base Areas Administration
Falkland Islands Government
Cayman Islands Government
Government of the British Indian Ocean Territory
Government of Gibraltar
St Helena Government
Tristan da Cunha Government
Government of Bermuda (Bermuda Government Department of Environment and Natural Resources)
Government of the Turks & Caicos Islands
Government of Montserrat
Government of the Pitcairn Islands
Government of South Georgia & the South Sandwich Islands
Amphibian and Reptile Conservation Trust
Bermuda Audubon Society
Bermuda Government Department of Parks
### Section 3: Indicator questions and further implementation information

#### Goal 1. Addressing the drivers of wetland loss and degradation

##### Target 1

Wetland benefits are featured in national/local policy strategies and plans relating to key sectors such as water, energy, mining, agriculture, tourism, urban development, infrastructure, industry, forestry, aquaculture, fisheries at the national and local level.

1.1 Have wetland issues/benefits been incorporated into other national strategies and planning processes, including: {1.3.2} {1.3.3} KRA 1.3.i

*Please select only one per square.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a) National Policy or strategy for wetland management</th>
<th>☐ A=Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ B=No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ C=Partially</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ D=Planned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ X=Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Y=Not Relevant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>b) Poverty eradication strategies</th>
<th>☐ A=Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ B=No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ C=Partially</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ D=Planned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ X=Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Y=Not Relevant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>c) Water resource management and water efficiency plans</th>
<th>☐ A=Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ B=No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ C=Partially</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ D=Planned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ X=Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Y=Not Relevant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>d) Coastal and marine resource management plans</th>
<th>☐ A=Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ B=No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ C=Partially</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ D=Planned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ X=Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Y=Not Relevant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>e) Integrated Coastal Zone Management Plan</th>
<th>☐ A=Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ B=No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ C=Partially</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ D=Planned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ X=Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Y=Not Relevant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>f) National forest programmes</th>
<th>☐ A=Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ B=No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ C=Partially</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ D=Planned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ X=Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Y=Not Relevant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>g) National policies or measures on agriculture</th>
<th>☐ A=Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ B=No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ C=Partially</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ D=Planned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ X=Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Y=Not Relevant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>h) National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plans drawn up under the CBD</th>
<th>☐ A=Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ B=No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ C=Partially</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ D=Planned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ X=Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Y=Not Relevant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>i) National policies on energy and mining</th>
<th>☐ A=Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ B=No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ C=Partially</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ D=Planned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ X=Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Y=Not Relevant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>j) National policies on tourism</td>
<td>☐ A=Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k) National policies on urban development</td>
<td>☐ A=Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l) National policies on infrastructure</td>
<td>☐ A=Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m) National policies on industry</td>
<td>☐ A=Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n) National policies on aquaculture and fisheries (1.3.3) KRA 1.3.1</td>
<td>☐ A=Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o) National plans of actions (NPAs) for pollution control and management</td>
<td>☐ A=Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p) National policies on wastewater management and water quality</td>
<td>☐ A=Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 1.1 Additional information

For the UK as a whole, as indicated in the statements above, wetlands are integrated in a diverse range of policy and planning areas. A selection of examples from around the UK are provided below:

**Wales:**
Under the Environment (Wales) Act 2016 Welsh Government has published the Natural Resources Policy which recognises delivering nature based solutions, including developing resilient ecological networks, and maintaining, enhancing and restoring floodplains and hydrogeological systems, as a National Priority. As Welsh Ministers must take all reasonable steps to implement the policy. The Environment (Wales) Act 2016 is relevant to the first of the “three pillars” of the Convention, ‘work towards the wise use of... wetlands’. This Act brings in the objective of securing the sustainable management of natural resources in Wales and sustainable use is central to this. http://www.legislation.gov.uk/anaw/2016/3/contents/enacted. The pursuit of sustainable management of natural resources, including freshwaters, will contribute to the sustainable development goals of the Wellbeing of Future Generations Act (Wales). This mirrors the recognition of the link between the Ramsar Convention and the UN Sustainable Development Goals, at the national level, (see point 3 of The Ramsar Strategic Plan 2016-2024).

The Ramsar Strategic Plan, point 8, stresses to address ‘the drivers of wetland loss and degradation... and promoting the wise use of all wetlands’. The Environment Act ensures that freshwaters, as one of the 8 UK Broad Habitats, will be reported on every five years. This assessment will be in terms of their state, condition and resilience, as well as the ecosystem service benefits and pressures from the use of freshwaters. The assessment will then inform Welsh Government policy responses and implementation by a range of actors, assisted by the provision of evidence at a regional level, in ‘Area Statements’, to help identify key actions to address any freshwater issues.

All public authorities in Wales have a Biodiversity and Resilience of Ecosystems Duty, also under the Environment (Wales) Act 2016, to seek to maintain and enhance biodiversity through all their functions and in so doing promote ecosystem resilience. This duty covers wetlands as they have high biodiversity value and...
are key to ecosystem resilience. The legislation seeks to embed biodiversity requirements into all decision-making and planning processes at an early stage.

Natural Resources Wales (NRW) provides Welsh Government with Ramsar data/maps, which has assisted them with screening cases under the EIA Agriculture Regulation; protecting the sites from potentially negative impacts of agriculture intensification projects.

Specific examples of the inclusion of wetlands within National strategies are listed below:


which sets out a 50 year strategy for woodlands and forests, is supported by a suite of policy position statements, including those for ‘Biodiversity’ and “Water and soils’ which explains how outcomes will be delivered in relation to these. The strategy also promotes the UK Forestry Standard (UKFS), which sets out the approach of the UK governments to sustainable forest management. The standard contains guidelines on how to meet the UKFS requirements on a range of elements, including biodiversity, soil and water.

The Welsh Government’s Glastir agri-environmental schemes provide several elements which include interventions for wetlands and water quality. Glastir Entry was a whole farm scheme designed to deliver general environmental improvements throughout Wales. This closed in new entrants after 2015, however, interventions on existing contracts are still being delivered. Where Glastir Entry was a pre-requisite to enable access Glastir Advanced, the interventions have been extended until the Glastir Advanced contracts expire. Glastir Advanced includes specific interventions taking place in targeted geographical areas to address key issues including those for wetlands. Since 2015 landowners / occupiers have been able to apply directly to Glastir Advanced without having to be in Glastir Entry.


In addition, the Wales Biodiversity Partnership provides comprehensive information at http://www.biodiversitywales.org.uk/Ecosystems-Approach.

Welsh Government’s commitment to ensure all semi-natural peatlands in Wales are subject to sustainable management by 2020 is encouraging an important new focus on all of the Welsh peatland habitats by a range of partners represented on the Welsh Government Peat Stakeholders Group. The inclusion of ecosystem profiles for all of the main Welsh peatland habitats for each of the Area Statements will provide important evidence to support NRWs new duties under the Environment (Wales) Act to promote and ensure the sustainable management of natural resources.

Government Agencies have worked with IUCN to highlight the benefits of river restoration:


In England, key examples include the National Planning Policy Framework and River Basin Management Plans, both of which recognise the need to protect Ramsar sites and promote the sustainable use of wetland resources. In order to contribute to the achievement of objectives under the Ramsar Convention, in England, as a matter of Government policy, Ramsar sites are treated the same as protected areas designated under Article 6 and annex IV of the Water Framework Directive.

In Northern Ireland, an example of measures on agriculture is the Department for Agriculture, Environment and Rural Affairs (DAERA) Environmental Farming Scheme (https://www.daera-ni.gov.uk/articles/environmental-farming-scheme-efs) which opened in February 2017 and supports farmers and land managers to carry out environmentally beneficial farming practices on agricultural land. One of the aims of the Scheme is to ‘improve water management and water quality’. The Scheme consists of three different levels of support according to the expected environmental outcomes. The higher level scheme is primarily aimed at site specific environmental improvements at strategically important sites, and for priority habitats and species. These strategically important sites listed in the Scheme include Special Areas of Conservation (SACS), Special Protection Areas (SPAs) and Ramsar sites, and these are ranked highest in the priority ordering of applications.

In the UK, the requirement for Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) comes from the EU SEA Directive (European Directive 2001/42/EC, on the assessment of the effects of certain plans and programmes on the environment). SEAs are applied when reviewing policies, programmes and plans that may impact upon wetlands, where these fall within the prescribed thresholds of the UK’s transposing Regulations. Relevant Agencies must take account of SEA obligations when publishing Significant Water Management Issues in River Basin Management Planning.

Isle of Man: The Department of Environment, Food and Agriculture (DEFA) is working towards Forestry Stewardship Council accreditation of its forests which will embed standards and create new forest plans, accounting for wetlands and biodiversity. Water protection zones are required within the Agricultural Development Scheme.


The Island Strategic Plan protects watercourses and wetlands from demonstrable harm.
The Future Fisheries strategy, for the sustainable development of the Isle of Man’s sea fisheries and marine environment, includes an ecosystem approach to safeguarding the marine environment, and aims to achieve sustainable fish stocks and marine development and exploitation in harmony with the environment.

Jersey, Guernsey, Alderney and Sark: In September 2017 La Société Sercquaise (the NGO that takes practical responsibility for monitoring the Ramsar site on Sark) hosted an Inter-Island Environmental Meeting, at which the representatives of the Channel Islands resolved to set up a Channel Islands Ramsar Group. Since then, Guernsey has drawn up a Channel Island Ramsar Code of Conduct for comment. It is anticipated that all of the Channel Islands will become a signatory to this.

Target 2

Water use respects wetland ecosystem needs for them to fulfil their functions and provide services at the appropriate scale inter alia at the basin level or along a coastal zone

2.1 Has the quantity and quality of water available to, and required by, wetlands been assessed to support the implementation of the Guidelines for the allocation and management of water for maintaining the ecological functions of wetlands (Resolution VIII.1, VIII.2) ? 1.24.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☑ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

2.1 Additional Information

› Most of the Ramsar sites in metropolitan UK are also protected areas under the Habitats and Birds Directives (Special Areas of Conservation and Special Protection Areas) the quality and quantity of water will be assessed as part of the status assessments for Water Framework Directive. However, these sites are a subset of the UK’s wetlands, hence the ‘partially’ answer.

2.2 Have assessments of environmental flow been undertaken in relation to mitigation of impacts on the ecological character of wetlands (Action r3.4.iv)

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☑ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

2.2 Additional Information

› Most of the Ramsar sites in metropolitan UK are also protected areas under the Habitats and Birds Directives (Special Areas of Conservation and Special Protection Areas) the quality and quantity of water will be assessed as part of the status assessments for Water Framework Directive. However, these sites are a subset of the UK’s wetlands, hence the ‘partially’ answer.


2.3 Have Ramsar Sites improved the sustainability of water use in the context of ecosystem requirements?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☑ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned
☐ O=No Change
☐ X=Unknown

2.3 Additional Information

› Where Ramsar sites are assessed as favourable or unfavourable recovering (currently around 94% of the England Ramsar network - see https://designatedsites.naturalengland.org.uk/ReportConditionSummary.aspx?SiteType=RAMSAR) there is a general assumption that those sites will contribute to a range of ecosystem services. Degraded sites also contribute to the provision of such services, but to a lesser degree.
2.4 Have the Guidelines for allocation and management of water for maintaining ecological functions of wetlands (Resolutions VIII.1 and XII.12) been used/applied in decision-making processes. (Action 3.4.6.)

Please select only one option
☑ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

2.4 Additional Information
› The principles are similar to the Water Framework Directive guidance which is applied.

2.5 Have projects that promote and demonstrate good practice in water allocation and management for maintaining the ecological functions of wetlands been developed (Action r3.4.ix.)

Please select only one option
☑ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

2.5 Additional Information

2.6 How many household/municipalities are linked to sewage system? SDG Target 6.3.1.

Please select only one option
☐ E=Exact number (households/municipalities)
☐ F=Less than (households/municipalities)
☐ G=More than (households/municipalities)
☐ X=Unknown
☑ Y=Not Relevant

2.6 Additional Information
› The answer ‘Y’ (Not relevant), has been chosen as 99% or more of properties in Metropolitan UK are connected to the sewerage system (see question 2.7 below). Converting this to a number of households / municipalities is therefore somewhat of an irrelevance.

England and Wales: From 1 October 2011, ownership of private sewers moved from homeowners to English and Welsh sewerage companies, with responsibility for repair of collapsed and blocked, formerly private, sewers now resting with sewerage companies. The ten English and Welsh sewerage companies were previously responsible for approximately 323,000km of public sewers. With the transfer they are now responsible for a further 184,000km of private sewers and 36,000km of private ‘lateral’ sewers connecting to and affecting the public system but which had no planned operational maintenance regime. This results in a total of 543,000km of sewerage under English and Welsh sewerage companies’ ownership. Source https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/69592/pb13811-waste-water-2012.pdf.

2.7 What is the percentage of sewerage coverage in the country? SDG Target 6.3.1.

Please select only one option
☑ E=Exact number (percentage)
> 99
☐ F=Less than (percentage)
> ☐ G=More than (percentage)
> ☐ X=Unknown
2.7 Additional Information
› In 2014, the United Kingdom had 1,820 urban waste water agglomerations of more than 2,000 population equivalent (p.e). These agglomerations generated a total load of 70,882,026 p.e., 99% of this load is connected to collecting systems and 1% addressed through Individual and Appropriate Systems (for example, storage or septic tanks, micro-stations). These agglomerations are connected to 0 primary treatment plant, 1,147 secondary treatment plants and 698 more stringent treatment plants. All these treatment plants have a total design capacity of 90,609,320 p.e. Source http://uwwtd.oieau.fr/United-Kindom/.

2.8 What is the percentage of users of septic tank/pit latrine? SDG Target 6.3.1.
*Please select only one option*
☑ E=Exact number (percentage)
☑ 1  
☑ F=Less than (percentage)  
☐ G=More than (percentage)  
☐ X=Unknown  
☐ Y=Not Relevant

2.8 Additional Information
› In 2014, the United Kingdom had 1,820 urban waste water agglomerations of more than 2,000 population equivalent (p.e). These agglomerations generated a total load of 70,882,026 p.e., 99% of this load is connected to collecting systems and 1% addressed through Individual and Appropriate Systems (for example, storage or septic tanks, micro-stations). These agglomerations are connected to 0 primary treatment plant, 1,147 secondary treatment plants and 698 more stringent treatment plants. All these treatment plants have a total design capacity of 90,609,320 p.e. Source http://uwwtd.oieau.fr/United-Kindom/.
Bermuda: 100% of new build houses must have septic tanks as specified in the building code.

2.9 Does the country use constructed wetlands/ponds as wastewater treatment technology? SDG Target 6.3.1.
*Please select only one option*
☑ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ D=Planned  
☐ X=Unknown  
☐ Y=Not Relevant

2.9 Additional Information
› Wales: Constructed wetlands have been employed within the Anglesey & Llyn Fens Ramsar site through the Anglesey & Llyn Fens LIFE project, and have proved effective in reducing inorganic nitrogen loadings to the sites. There is significant potential for their wider application within these sites in the after-LIFE phase. Constructed wetlands are used extensively for the treatment of abandoned mine waters. In the UK, 75 plants treat metal rich mine water, protecting or improving 350 km of rivers and regionally important aquifers. The majority of the plants are built and operated by the UK Coal Authority - https://www2.groundstability.com/services/treating-mine-water-pollution/

2.10 How do the country use constructed wetlands/ponds as wastewater treatment technology perform? SDG Target 6.3.1.
*Please select only one option*
☑ A=Good  
☐ B=Not Functioning  
☐ C=Functioning  
☐ Q=Obsolete  
☐ X=Unknown  
☐ Y=Not Relevant

2.10 Additional Information
2.11 How many centralised wastewater treatment plants exist at national level? SDG Target 6.3.1.

Please select only one option
- E=Exact number (plants)
- ~9,000
- F=Less than (plants)
- G=More than (plants)
- X=Unknown
- Y=Not Relevant

2.11 Additional Information
- The largest collection systems in the UK are linked to around 9,000 waste water treatment plants. Approximately 1,900 of these plants serve agglomerations of greater than 2,000 p.e., above which the Urban Waste Water Treatment Directive’s secondary treatment standards applies to discharges from agglomerations made to freshwaters and estuaries, and to discharges from agglomerations of greater than 10,000 p.e. made to coastal waters. The Urban Waste Water Directive and other water quality directives may also require tertiary treatment to protect waters sensitive to discharges of waste water treated to secondary standards alone.

Wales: 818 centralised wastewater treatment plants are operated by the statutory water undertaker - Dŵr Cymru.

2.12 How is the functional status of the wastewater treatment plants? SDG Target 6.3.1.

Please select only one option
- A=Good
- B=Not functioning
- C=Functioning
- Q=Obsolete
- X=Unknown
- Y=Not Relevant

2.12 Additional Information
- Wales: in 2016 98% of centralised wastewater treatment plants were compliant with the conditions of their permits.

2.13 The percentage of decentralized wastewater treatment technology, including constructed wetlands/ponds is? SDG Target 6.3.1.

Please select only one option
- A=Good
- B=Not Functioning
- C=Functioning
- Q=Obsolete
- X=Unknown
- Y=Not Relevant

2.13 Additional Information
- Wales: 90% of wastewater treatment plants in Wales are decentralised i.e. not managed by commercial water companies. The great majority are single household plants.

2.14 Is there a wastewater reuse system? SDG Target 6.3.1.

Please select only one option
- A=Yes
- B=No
- C=Partially
- D=Planned
- X=Unknown
- Y=Not Relevant
2.14 Additional Information
› Wales: Treated wastewater from the Llanelli Wastewater Treatment plant is reused at the Wildfowl and Wetlands Trust’s (WWT) National Wetland Centre for Wales, Llanelli, South Wales. Other systems have provided water for industrial use and golf-course irrigation.

England: At WWT’s Washington site, water discharged from a Northumbrian Water treatment facility is used as a source of water. Several other WWT sites also treat and reuse water onsite.

2.15 What Is the purpose of the wastewater reuse system? SDG Target 6.3.1.

Please select only one option
☑ R=Agriculture
☐ S=Landscape
☐ T=Industrial
☐ U=Drinking
☐ X=Unknown
☐ Y=Not Relevant

2.15 Additional Information

Please indicate if the wastewater reuse system is for free or taxed or add any additional information.
› Answer is T/R/S; one answer is not sufficient.

Wales: The treated wastewater is a source of water supply for the wetlands at the National Wetland Centre for Wales. The use of the wastewater through the wetlands also provides tertiary treatment for the wastewater before ultimate discharge into the Burry Inlet (Ramsar Site, Special Area of Conservation and Special Protection Area).

Target 3
Public and private sectors have increased their efforts to apply guidelines and good practices for the wise use of water and wetlands. {1.10}

3.1 Is the private sector encouraged to apply the Ramsar wise use principle and guidance (Ramsar handbooks for the wise use of wetlands) in its activities and investments concerning wetlands? {1.10.1}

KRA 1.10.i

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☑ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

3.1 Additional Information

3.2 Has the private sector undertaken activities or actions for the conservation, wise use and management of {1.10.2} KRA 1.10.ii

Please select only one per square.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a) Ramsar Sites</th>
<th>☐ A=Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ B=No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ C=Partially</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ D=Planned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ X=Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Y=Not Relevant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>b) Wetlands in general</th>
<th>☐ A=Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ B=No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ C=Partially</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ D=Planned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ X=Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Y=Not Relevant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2 Additional information
› There are a number of private sector initiatives in the UK, examples of where these have a particular focus on wetlands are outlined below:

England: building on the success of the Sustainable Catchment Management Programme, developed by United Utilities in association with the Royal Society for the Protection of Birds (RSPB), and which aims to apply an integrated approach to catchment management in two key areas of United Utilities land in England (Ballowland and the Peak District area – note these are not Ramsar sites), the partnership has demonstrated recent success in blanket bog restoration. The project has been recognised under the European Commission’s
3.3 Have actions been taken to implement incentive measures which encourage the conservation and wise use of wetlands? {1.11.1} KRA 1.11.i

Please select only one option
- A=Yes
- B=No
- C=Partially
- D=Planned

3.3 Additional information

In the UK there are various incentive measures to deliver wetland ‘wise use’ objectives, although these are not necessarily wetland specific. Examples include agri-environment schemes that provide funding to farmers and other land managers to deliver effective environmental management for their land. Another positive example for wetlands is the application of measures under the EU Nitrates Directive. These include no spread zones in Nitrate Vulnerable Zones (NVZs) in which the application of fertilizer/manure close to watercourses, boreholes, wells and springs is prohibited and farmers must comply with other mandatory rules to tackle nitrate loss from agriculture. See also the Biodiversity Agri-environment indicators: http://jncc.defra.gov.uk/page-4243


Scotland: The Scottish Rural Development Programme (2014-2020) includes a number of options to help the restoration and management of wetlands to deliver biodiversity, landscape and flood management benefits. The Agri Environment and Climate Scheme (AECS) has a section dedicated to supporting the management of wetlands and lowland bogs (through the options ‘Wetland Management’, ‘Lowland Bog Management’ and ‘Management of Buffer Areas for Fens and Lowland Bogs’). There is also a core option to manage grazing on peatlands and restore peatlands in moorland areas (under the ‘Moorland Management’ option which can cover either/both livestock and deer, and be combined with additional management such as ‘Stock Disposal’). There are a large range of capital items available which can be combined with the management options and help maintain water levels, restore peat, or manage sites. Capital items include ditch blocking, wetland creation, moving or realigning ditches, matting to prevent damage to bogs, stock bridges and control of scrub or woody vegetation. There are also capital items available under woodland clearance options to assist the felling of trees or removal of seedlings to restore the hydrology of bog habitats.

Wales: Ditch blocking campaigns have been employed widely across Wales, with numerous grips blocked to-date which contributes towards the estimated drainage on deep peat soils in Wales. Natural Resources Wales (NRW) is currently analysing the extent of grip blocking to-date in Wales and will be able to provide updated figures of the total length of grips blocked and an estimate of how much drained deep peat in Wales still remains by late October 2018.

Northern Ireland: The Department of Agriculture, Environment and Rural Affairs (DAERA) Environmental Farming Scheme is a voluntary scheme that supports farmers and land managers to carry out environmentally beneficial farming practices on agricultural land (https://www.daera-ni.gov.uk/articles/environmental-farming-scheme-efs). The higher level scheme is for land that is within environmentally designated sites or contains priority habitats or species. This higher scheme requires farmers to submit site specific remedial management
plans which detail the management options and non-productive investments. Management options are based on the description of the habitat involved. Non-productive investments that are available include; creation of wetlands, creation of scrapes, structures/work to raise water levels, and ditch blocking. The wider scheme includes measures to protect surface waters through the installation of fencing and buffer strips to reduce diffuse pollution and run off.

3.4 Have actions been taken to remove perverse incentive measures which discourage conservation and wise use of wetlands? {1.11.2} KRA 1.11.i

Please select only one option
☑ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned
☐ Z=Not Applicable

3.4 Additional Information
- The UK has taken a broad approach to identifying and removing perverse incentives. We are currently working towards implementing the reformed Common Agricultural Policy (CAP) in a way that will have a positive impact on Ramsar sites, for example, where these include grazing marshes. Management agreements and other stewardship schemes also work to address this.

4.1 Does your country have a comprehensive national inventory of invasive alien species that currently or potentially impact the ecological character of wetlands? {1.9.1} KRA 1.9.i

Please select only one option
☑ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

4.1 Additional information
- In the UK, the Non-native Species Information Portal provides access to distribution data for over 3,000 non-native species as well as additional information such as place or origin, date of introduction and methods of introduction (http://www.nonnativespecies.org/factsheet/index.cfm). For 300 species, more detailed information is provided, including information on identification, impacts and control methods.

The UK biodiversity indicator on invasive species (http://jncc.defra.gov.uk/page-4246) notes that of the 3,056 non-native species in Great Britain, 1,957 are considered to be established, and of those 183 are considered to be exerting a negative impact on native biodiversity in Great Britain. The pressure of invasive species is increasing in terrestrial freshwater and marine environments.

Bermuda: Bermuda has a comprehensive biodiversity database, which records all known species on the island, including invasive. This data is held at the Bermuda Natural History Museum.

Cyprus SBAs: Alien invasive species (mainly Acacia) have been mapped at the Ramsar sites as well as on the Special Areas of Conservation and Special Protection Areas.

4.2 Have national policies or guidelines on invasive species control and management been established or reviewed for wetlands? {1.9.2} KRA 1.9.iii

Please select only one option
☑ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

4.2 Additional information
- The GB Invasive Non-native Species Framework Strategy (2008, updated 2015) provides the framework within which actions of UK and devolved governments, their related bodies and key stakeholders can be better coordinated. England, Scotland and Wales work together through a GB Programme Board developing both tools and measures while also pursuing individual priorities. Under the Strategy Defra has supported the development of a generic GB risk assessment mechanism (with assessments published on the GB Non-native Species Secretariat website at www.nonnativespecies.org) and the GB Non-native Species Information Portal (see 1.9.1). A rapid response agreement between the GB governments and their agencies has been developed together with joint information and awareness raising campaigns.

England & Wales: The Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 is the legal instrument that deals with non-native species generally and this covers non-native species that are associated with wetlands.
The Wales Biodiversity Partnership INNS Working Group acts as a source of INNS expertise in Wales promoting awareness, best practice, data sharing and action to tackle INNS in Wales.

Scotland: In Scotland, provision is provided by amendments made to the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 by the Wildlife and Natural Environment (Scotland) Act 2011. This enables the adoption of the internationally recognised 3-stage approach to dealing with invasive non-native species.

Northern Ireland: In 2017 DAERA published a progress report on the 2013 Invasive Alien Species Strategy for Northern Ireland (https://www.daera-ni.gov.uk/sites/default/files/publications/daera/Final%20Progress%20Report%20on%20the%20Invasive%20Alien%20Species%20Strategy%20for%20Northern%20Ireland.pdf). This reported on key actions set out in the Implementation Plan. This Plan identified key actions to help address threats posed by invasive alien species. Since 2013, the report found good progress underpinned by the Strategy with the majority of the targets being achieved and steady progress being made against targets that are non time limited. Bermuda has a national invasive species strategy in preparation which includes all habitats.

4.3 How many invasive species are being controlled through management actions.

Please select only one option

☐ E=Exact number (species)

☐ F=Less than (species)

☐ G=More than (species)

☐ C=Partially

☐ X=Unknown

☐ Y=Not Relevant

4.3 Additional information

If ‘Yes’, please indicate the year of assessment and the source of the information

Many invasive non-native species require management to limit their deleterious effects and much mitigation and control work is currently being carried out by a wide range of organisations in Britain. This work ranges from action at a very local scale (for example nature reserves), through catchment-scale or island-scale work to work at a national or even international level. It also involves individual land owners or managers in protecting their private interests and preventing nuisance for owners of neighbouring land.

UK is close to the national eradication of the Ruddy Duck Oxyura jamacensis – a long-term programme (http://www.nonnativespecies.org/index.cfm?pageid=244) that has aimed to eliminate this invasive non-native waterbird.

Bermuda: Two species:
- There is also an active pest bird shooting programme. One priority of that programme is removing pigeons from the cliffs where seabirds nest at the Spittal Pond Ramsar site.

Tristan da Cunha
A restoration programme on Gough Island Ramsar Site includes the eradication of house mice Mus musculus which are negatively impacting populations of globally threatened seabird species (See https://www.rspb.org.uk/our-work/conservation/projects/gough-island-restoration-programme).

Cyprus SBAs: Acacia saligna is removed on a regular basis from all designated sites (Ramsar, Special Areas of Conservation and Special Protection Areas) and the intention is to eventually remove all of it in order to safeguard the conservation status of the designated sites.

4.4 Have the effectiveness of wetland invasive alien species control programmes been assessed?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes

☐ B=No

☐ C=Partially

☐ D=Planned

☐ X=Unknown

☐ Y=Not Relevant

4.4 Additional information

Effectiveness assessment is always a component of the management of relevant species programmes. Such information is sometimes reported publically – e.g. see http://www.nonnativespecies.org/index.cfm?pageid=244 for regular bulletins related to the eradication of Ruddy Duck Oxyura jamacensis.

Mitigation and control action is often site-specific but it is important that knowledge of best practice is shared
and captured as a valuable resource. To facilitate this knowledge-sharing the Non Native Species Secretariat are currently compiling a database of management (and research) projects on non-native species being carried out in GB http://www.nonnativespecies.org/maps/index.cfm.

**Goal 2. Effectively conserving and managing the Ramsar Site network**

**Target 5**
The ecological character of Ramsar Sites is maintained or restored through effective, planning and integrated management {2.1.}

5.1 Have a national strategy and priorities been established for the further designation of Ramsar Sites, using the Strategic Framework for the Ramsar List? {2.1.1} KRA 2.1.i

*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☑ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

5.1 Additional information
› Whilst there is no specific strategy for Ramsar Site designation, the UK Government, and relevant national, regional and local NGOs continue to work with Overseas Territories and Crown Dependencies to help facilitate further site designations as requested.

5.2 Are the Ramsar Sites Information Service and its tools being used in national identification of further Ramsar Sites to designate? {2.2.1} KRA 2.2.ii

*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☑ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.2 Additional information
› More detailed information is available via UK and GB-wide information sources such as The Wetland Bird Survey (http://www.bto.org/volunteer-surveys/webs) and The National Biodiversity Network Atlas (https://nbnatlas.org/) as well as national sources outlined below.

England: The main national information source on freshwater sites is held on a database called Conservation Management of Sites international (CMSi).

Wales: Under the Environment (Wales) Act 2016, Natural Resources Wales published a national evidence base, the State of Natural Resources Report, in autumn 2016, which includes an assessment of biological diversity in Wales. A local evidence base on biodiversity and natural resources will be compiled through the development of Area Statements by the end of 2019.

Northern Ireland: The national information source is Northern Ireland Environment Agency, specific data can be found on a number of sites; https://www.daera-ni.gov.uk/topics/biodiversity-land-and-landscapes/protected-areas

You have attached the following documents to this answer.

Site Spreadsheet - final for submission.xlsx - Spreadsheet of site by site questions - it is not reasonable to expect this amount of detail to be input question by question in the optional section 5.

5.3 How many Ramsar Sites have an effective, implemented management plan? {2.4.1} KRA 2.4.i

*Please select only one option*
☑ E=Exact number (sites)
› 118
☐ F=Less than (sites)

☐ G=More than (sites)

☐ X=Unknown
☐ Y=Not Relevant

5.4 For how many of the Ramsar Sites with a management plan is the plan being implemented? {2.4.2} KRA 2.4.i

*Please select only one option*
☑ E=Exact number (sites)
› 128
5.5 For how many Ramsar Sites is effective management planning currently being implemented (outside of formal management plans)? \{2.4.3\} KRA 2.4.i

Please select only one option

☐ E=Exact number (sites)

☐ F=Less than (sites)

☐ G=More than (sites)

☐ X=Unknown

☐ Y=Not Relevant

5.3 – 5.5 Additional information

Note that these answers may differ from those provided in the last report partly due to different interpretation of the questions, and partly because the distinction between the questions, especially between 5.3 and 5.4, is not at all clear.

5.6 Have all Ramsar sites been assessed regarding the effectiveness of their management (through formal management plans where they exist or otherwise through existing actions for appropriate wetland management)? \{1.6.2\} KRA 1.6.ii

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes

☐ B=No

☐ C=Partially

☐ D=Planned

5.6 Additional information

In the UK, all wetland management plans are underpinned by national (Special Sites of Scientific Interest/ Areas of Special Scientific Interest) legislation and/or EU legislation are based on sound scientific research. In England, Defra, the Environment Agency and Natural England are working to update plans for Natura 2000 sites which are not meeting their water quality objectives, some of which are also Ramsar sites. This is in response to a Judicial Review brought by WWF, Angling Trust and the Royal Society for the Protection of Birds (RSPB). As part of this the use of Water Protection Zones in these areas is being considered. A Water Protection Zone is a legal instrument which can ban or place restriction on activities in the catchment which impact the water quality.

5.7 How many Ramsar Sites have a cross-sectoral management committee? \{2.4.4\} \{2.4.6\} KRA 2.4.iv

Please select only one option

☐ E=Exact number (sites)

☐ F=Less than (sites)

☐ G=More than (sites)

☐ X=Unknown

☐ Y=Not Relevant

5.7 Additional information

If at least 1 site, please give the name and official number of the site or sites

66 Cors Fochno & Dyfi
67 Severn Estuary
534 Llyn Idwal
562 Burry Inlet
984 Spittal Pond, Bermuda
985 Somerset Long Bay Pond, Bermuda
990 Paget Marsh, Bermuda
1043 South East Coast of Jersey, Channel Islands
1104 Sea Lion Island, Falkland Islands
1375 Akrotiri, Cyprus Sovereign Base Area
1455 Les Écrehous & Les Dirouilles, Jersey
1456 Les Minquiers, Jersey
1457 Les Pierres de Lecq, Jersey
1868 Gough Island, Tristan da Cunha
1869 Inaccessible Island, Tristan da Cunha

5.8 For how many Ramsar Sites has an ecological character description been prepared (see Resolution X.15)? \{2.4.5\} \{2.4.7\} KRA 2.4.v

Please select only one option
☑ E=Exact number (sites)
  > 2
☐ F=Less than (sites)
  >
☐ G=More than (sites)
  >
☐ C=Partially
☐ X=Unknown
☐ Y=Not Relevant

5.8 Additional information
If at least 1 site, please give the name and official number of the site or sites
   > Ecological Character descriptions have been prepared and published in 2012-format Ramsar Sheets for
     Dungeness, Romney Marsh and Rye Bay, England, and Herm, Jethou and The Humps, Guernsey. Further
     Ecological Character descriptions are currently being prepared and will be included in updated Ramsar
     Information Sheets for other Ramsar Sites as these are finalised. Initially these will be for sites in the
     Overseas Territories and Crown Dependencies.

5.9 Have any assessments of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management been made? \{2.5.1\} KRA 2.5.i

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☑ C=Some Sites

5.9 Additional information
If ‘Yes’ or ‘Some sites’, please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT,
   Resolution XII.15, and the source of the information
   > Effectiveness of management is assessed through the normal review and feedback processes associated
     with site management planning.
     Three sites in Wales – Burry Inlet, Severn Estuary and Dee Estuary – underwent an OSPAR Management
     Effectiveness assessment in 2016. Assessments are planned for a further four sites on Jersey.

Target 7
Sites that are at risk of change of ecological character have threats addressed \{2.6.\}.

7.1 Are mechanisms in place for the Administrative Authority to be informed of negative human-induced
   changes or likely changes in the ecological character of Ramsar Sites, pursuant to Article 3.2? \{2.6.1\} KRA
   2.6.i

Please select only one option
☑ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Some Sites
☐ D=Planned

7.1 Additional information
If ‘Yes’ or ‘Some sites’, please summarise the mechanism or mechanisms established
   > Most Ramsar sites are also designated as Natura 2000 sites under the EU Birds and Habitats Directives,
     which provides mechanisms analogous to Ramsar Article 3.2 provisions – i.e. under Articles 6(2), 6(3) and 6(4)
     of the EU Habitats Directive and, where applicable, Article 4(4) of the EU Birds Directive.
     In December 2015, the UK submitted revised EC Natura 2000 Standard Data Forms to the European
Commission for all UK Natura 2000 sites. The new forms include lists of positive and negative Threats, Pressures and Activities for each site.

Common Standards Monitoring is important for detecting the condition of protected areas http://jncc.defra.gov.uk/page-2219.

Since monitoring started in 1947, the scheme which started as the National Wildfowl Counts has developed and grown over the 70 years to include all waterbirds. The methodology has remained largely unchanged throughout. The primary objectives of what is now the Wetland Bird Survey (WeBS) were established from the early days of the survey: determining trends in numbers of wintering waterbirds, identifying important sites and determining population size. Over 7,500 UK wetlands have been counted at least once, with typically 2,500 being covered each winter in recent times.

The Improvement Plans for England’s N2K Sites project (IPENS) funded by the EU’s LIFE programme has led to the development of Site Improvement Plans for all Natura 2000 sites in England. These plans will have incorporated the requirements of the majority of Ramsar sites as well (though not necessarily all Ramsar features). The project also developed strategic themed plans on hydrological functioning and diffuse water pollution which set out strategic approaches to those key wetland pressures. These are also reflected in Natural England’s ‘freshwater and wetland habitat narrative’ http://publications.naturalengland.org.uk/publication/652443387749376.

Atmospheric pollution impacts on wetlands (and other sensitive habitats) is not well-assessed in relation to Ramsar site condition, but the IPENS atmospheric nitrogen theme plan sets out an approach for tackling this strategically with partners at the England regional level.

7.2 Have all cases of negative human-induced change or likely change in the ecological character of Ramsar Sites been reported to the Ramsar Secretariat, pursuant to Article 3.2? {2.6.2} KRA 2.6.i

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Some Cases
☐ O=No Negative Change

7.2 Additional information

If ‘Yes’ or ‘Some cases’, please indicate for which Ramsar Sites the Administrative Authority has made Article 3.2 reports to the Secretariat, and for which sites such reports of change or likely change have not yet been made

Negative human-induced change can be at a variety of scales and have varying implications for the maintenance of the ecological character of a site. Their consequences can range from essentially short-term and local, to sufficiently significant to warrant listing a site on the Montreux Record.

Where appropriate, cases of human-induced negative change have been reported to the Secretariat (see 7.3 below). The UK tracks whether change occurs through its statutory site monitoring protocols and in most cases, believes that management action and the regulatory systems in place will be sufficient to remedy issues which have been observed.

7.3 If applicable, have actions been taken to address the issues for which Ramsar Sites have been listed on the Montreux Record, including requesting a Ramsar Advisory Mission? {2.6.3} KRA 2.6.ii

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ Z=Not Applicable

7.3 Additional information

If ‘Yes’, please indicate the actions taken

The UK is making good progress to address the issues affecting the sites it has listed on the Montreux Record (Ouse Washes and Dee Estuary).

Currently Natural Resources Wales and Natural England are undertaking a substantial review of the issues raised by the Ramsar Secretariat on the reasons behind the listing of the Dee Estuary Ramsar Site on the Montreux Record. This review is in the final phase of clarifying historical evidence to substantiate comments within the report which will be presented to Governments for discussion in the near future. The report considers the issues raised originally and provides an update on progress in addressing those issues in light of our current knowledge.

The habitat creation project to improve the conservation condition of the Ouse Washes SPA/SAC/Ramsar site has been underway since 2007 led by the Environment Agency (EA), balancing the site’s flood control and conservation interests. Planning permission for the first habitat creation site at Coveney, Cambridgeshire, was secured in September 2013 and construction of the first phase completed at the end of October 2015. The EA will continue to progress a second phase of habitat creation at Coveney plus an additional site at Sutton, Cambridgeshire. Together, these projects could deliver around 320 ha of functioning habitat over the next three to five years, which is expected to make a significant contribution toward offsetting the observed deterioration.
Goal 3. Wisely Using All Wetlands

Target 8
National wetland inventories have been either initiated, completed or updated and disseminated and used for promoting the conservation and effective management of all wetlands {1.1.1} KRA 1.1.i

8.1 Does your country have a complete National Wetland Inventory? {1.1.1} KRA 1.1.i

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☑ B=No
☐ C=In Progress
☐ D=Planned

8.1 Additional information
› In the UK, the Countryside Survey provides a land cover map and associated statistics. While it is not a full site-related inventory, it does provide information on broad scale changes (http://www.countrysidesurvey.org.uk/). As part of the 2007 Countryside Survey, a Land Cover Map was created and published in June 2011. This provides continuous vector (land parcel) and 25m raster coverage for UK Broad Habitats, including bog, freshwater and littoral habitats. The map is derived from satellite data and uses a minimum mappable unit of 0.5 hectares. There are national inventories for specific wetland types, such as peatlands, saltmarshes, and estuaries. These inventories give more detail and precise data on the distribution of these wetland types. These datasets are available through the National Biodiversity Network (www.nbn.org.uk). Extent and precision of habitat mapping is increasing across the UK. All four countries, and many of the Overseas Territories and Crown Dependencies, are delving into the potential to map detailed habitats using Earth Observation techniques. Challenges remain in relating the habitats that can be resolved to those used by the Ramsar Convention. The Isle of Man has created a wetland inventory (2007), held by Department of Environment, Food and Agriculture (DEFA).

In the UK Overseas Territories, there tend not to be systematic wetland inventories. However, examples exist of particular project work:
• In Cyprus a wetland inventory has been completed by an NGO (Terra Cypria) which has also included wetlands within the SBAs.
• Bermuda does not have a systematic inventory. However, preparations are being made for a coastal sensitivity mapping exercise in support of oil spill response preparations that will provide data on coastal wetlands.
• The Cayman Islands’ wetlands were comprehensively mapped in 1988 and the remaining wetland land cover is updated by the Cayman Islands Department of Environment approximately every five years, using periodically updated aerial imagery.

8.2 Has your country updated a National Wetland Inventory in the last decade?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☑ B=No
☐ C=In Progress
☐ C1=Partially
☐ D=Planned
☐ X=Unknown
☐ Y=Not Relevant

8.2 Additional information

8.3 Is wetland inventory data and information maintained? {1.1.2} KRA 1.1.ii

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☑ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

8.3 Additional information

› The UK has a comprehensive inventory of designated Ramsar sites, which can be found at: http://www.jncc.gov.uk/page-1389. However, there is no specific inventory of wetland data. Further information is available for the UK through the National Biodiversity Network (https://data.nbn.org.uk/), with additional information on the distribution and status of wetlands in England and Wales available through the multi-partner Wetland Vision project.
Bermuda had island-wide high resolution aerial photos taken in 2012 — this forms the basis of a government GIS system that includes habitats. It has not been analysed systematically to map wetlands. Wetland species data is held in the biodiversity database and natural history library at the Bermuda Natural History Museum.

8.4 Is wetland inventory data and information made accessible to all stakeholders? {1.1.2} KRA 1.1.ii
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

8.4 Additional information
See the response to question 8.3.
Public authorities in the UK have a legal obligation to proactively disseminate environmental information that they hold, including data from monitoring of activities that could affect the environment, to the public by electronic means.
The UK is a signatory to the Open Data Charter, which sets out international principles for the availability of public data. Government has made wide-ranging policy commitments to promote open accessibility and reuse of public sector information. As a matter of policy formal outputs from publicly funded scientific research are made freely available in open access publications.
JNCC and the UK’s statutory nature conservation bodies are working towards a 2020 target to make all of their biodiversity data openly accessible, at the level of detail originally captured except where there are legitimate reasons not to publish.

8.5 Has the condition* of wetlands in your country, overall, changed during the last triennium? {1.1.3}
Please describe on the sources of the information on which your answer is based in the free-text box below. If there is a difference between inland and coastal wetland situations, please describe. If you are able to, please describe the principal driver(s) of the change(s).
* ‘Condition’ corresponds to ecological character, as defined by the Convention
Please select only one per square.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a) Ramsar Sites</th>
<th>☐ N=Status Deteriorated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ O=No Change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ P=Status Improved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Wetlands generally</td>
<td>☐ N=Status Deteriorated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ O=No Change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ P=Status Improved</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8.5 Additional information on a) and/or b)
See for both a) and b) the answer is 'Unknown'.
UK wetlands generally:
These assessments relate to a small number of wetland species and a larger number of wetland habitats listed on the Annexes of the Habitats Directive. For species in particular, these are a tiny fraction of all wetland species in the UK, for most of which we have no measure of their status and trends.
Overall Conservation Status Wetland Species Wetland Habitats
2007 2013 2007 2013
Favourable 15 (25.9%) 19 (32.8%) 3 (6.3%) 1 (2.9%)
Unfavourable 31 (53.4%) 27 (46.6%) 41 (85.4%) 45 (93.8%)
Unknown 12 (20.7%) 12 (20.7%) 4 (8.3%) 2 (4.2%)
Based on analysis of the 2013 UK report under the EU Habitats Directive (on which the data above are based), 93% of wetland habitats listed on Annex I of the Habitats Directive are currently in an unfavourable condition, a slight increase from 2007. There is an improving trend for a small number of wetland species listed on Annex II in favourable conditions, with an increase of 7%. (Please note that these statistics refer to features derived from Annexes I, II, IV and V of the EU Habitats Directive only and thus do not include the waterbirds reported under Article 12 of the EU Birds’ Directive.) For further details, please see: http://jncc.defra.gov.uk/page-6387.

8.6 Based upon the National Wetland Inventory if available please provide a baseline figure in square kilometres for the extent of wetlands (according to the Ramsar definition) for the year 2017. SDG Target 6.6
Please select only one option
☐ E=Exact Number (km²)
8.6 Additional information

If the information is available please indicate the % of change in the extent of wetlands over the last three years.

Given the lack of a comprehensive wetland inventory this is unknown.
Isle of Man: freshwater and marine total 186.59 km2 of which 75% is shallow marine water and 9% is intertidal.

**Target 9**
The wise use of wetlands is strengthened through integrated resource management at the appropriate scale, inter alia, within a river basin or along a coastal zone {1.3.}.

9.1 Is a Wetland Policy (or equivalent instrument) that promotes the wise use of wetlands in place? {1.3.1} KRA 1.3.i

If ‘Yes’, please give the title and date of the policy in the green text box

*Please select only one option*
- ☐ A=Yes
- ☐ B=No
- ☐ C=In Preparation
- ☐ D=Planned

9.1 Additional information

The UK takes a holistic approach to the development of biodiversity objectives in order to ensure greater efficiency of delivery. Whilst the UK as a whole does not have a specific national policy for wetlands, England and Wales have produced Ramsar policy statements, these can be found at the links below:


Wales: The Environment (Wales) Act 2016 includes a requirement for Natural Resources Wales (NRW) to embed the principles of sustainable management of natural resources (SMNR) throughout the way we work. Through applying these principles we can maximise our contribution, and the contribution of Welsh ecosystems and natural resources, to the Welsh Government’s well-being goals and the statutory responsibilities for sustainable development established through the Wales Wellbeing of Future Generations Act 2016. Our priority under this legislation is to ensure that Wales has healthy functioning wetland ecosystems that support social, economic and ecological resilience and the capacity to adapt to change, including climate change. Ramsar Policy Statement: [http://archive.ramsar.org/cda/en/ramsar-documents-list-ramsar-sites-inwales/main/ramsar/1-31-218%5E21170_4000_0__](http://archive.ramsar.org/cda/en/ramsar-documents-list-ramsar-sites-inwales/main/ramsar/1-31-218%5E21170_4000_0__)

Scotland: In Scotland, Ramsar sites are recognised within Scottish Planning Policy, [http://www.scotland.gov.uk/Topics/archive/National-Planning-Policy/newSPP](http://www.scotland.gov.uk/Topics/archive/National-Planning-Policy/newSPP). All Ramsar sites in Scotland are also Natura sites and/or Sites of Special Scientific Interest and, as such, are protected under the relevant statutory regimes.

Northern Ireland: In Northern Ireland, Ramsar sites are recognised within the Planning Policy Statement ‘Natural Heritage’, further details can be found at the link below.
[http://www.planningni.gov.uk/index/policy/policy_publications/planning_statements/pps2.htm](http://www.planningni.gov.uk/index/policy/policy_publications/planning_statements/pps2.htm), though part of the Lough Neagh and Beg Ramsar lies outwith Natura or ASSI boundaries.

Bermuda: Under the Bermuda Plan 2008, all areas of mangroves, the main freshwater marshes and a number of uninhabited offshore islets have been zoned with a Nature Reserve Zoning – regardless of whether they are actual protected areas or not. This protective zoning is quite strict.

Cayman Islands: The National Conservation Law requires local planning authorities to consult with the National Conservation Council on planning applications affecting primary habitats, which include mangrove wetlands. EIAs now have a formal legal framework in the Cayman Islands, again under the National Conservation Law.

9.2 Have any amendments to existing legislation been made to reflect Ramsar commitments? {1.3.5} {1.3.6}

*Please select only one option*
9.2 Additional information

- England: In England, there is a statement of intent in the National Planning Policy Framework, published in 2012, that provides additional clarification that listed or proposed Ramsar sites should be given the same protection as European sites designated under the EU Nature Directives:

- Wales:

- Northern Ireland: In Northern Ireland, within the Planning Policy Statement ‘Natural Heritage’ (https://www.planningni.gov.uk/index/policy/planning_statements_and_supplementary_planning_guidance/pps 2.htm), listed or proposed Ramsar sites are given the same protection as Special Areas of Conservation and Special Protection Areas designated under the EC Habitats and Birds Directives. The Statement specifically states ‘as a matter of policy, the UK Government has chosen to apply the procedures under the Habitats Regulations in respect of Ramsar sites. This position is the stated policy within this PPS’.

  The Scottish Government maintains a similar policy.

- Cayman Islands: The National Conservation Law was passed in the Cayman Islands in 2013. Under Part 3 - Conservation of Land - 'The Cabinet may, after consultation with the Council and adjoining land owners, by order designate any area of Crown Land or Cayman waters as a protected area in accordance with this Law.' Sections 7-14 are relevant to Ramsar and set out the process alluded to above and expands it to include purchasing or entering into management agreements for private land. If a Ramsar candidate area included land that was both public and private, by virtue of the National Conservation Law, the entirety of the area could be managed and protected by the government as part of the Ramsar protected area. More detail of the Cayman Islands legislation can be found here:

- Bermuda: The Bermuda National Parks Act was updated in 2017, and a number of wetland sites added to the National Parks System as protected areas. The Protected Species Amendment Order 2016 included all locally reported mangrove, sea grass and coral species. The protection of these habitat-building species will serve to protect coastal habitats.

9.3 Do your country’s water governance and management systems treat wetlands as natural water infrastructure integral to water resource management at the scale of river basins? {1.7.1} {1.7.2} KRA 1.7.ii

Please select only one option

☑ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

9.3 Additional information

- In the UK the key delivery mechanisms analogous to the ‘wise use’ principles, are mainly delivered through national implementation of the EU Water Framework Directive and the Birds and Habitats Directives. The UK takes a strategic approach to ensure that the implementation of these Directives is complementary, to deliver common objectives. The UK government has been working with its delivery agencies to ensure that the Water Framework Directive objectives in relation to Natura 2000 sites, many of which are also Ramsar sites, are met.

  Progress on making operational the measures in the 2015 River Basin Management Plans – to deliver the objectives for Natura 2000 sites – is expected to be reported at the end of 2018.

  The Flood Risk Management (Scotland) Act 2009 introduced a more sustainable and modern approach to flood risk management, suited to the needs of the 21st century and to the impact of climate change. It also created a more joined up and coordinated process to manage flood risk at a national and local level.

  Specific measures within 2009 Act include:
  • A framework for coordination and cooperation between all organisations involved in flood risk management
  • Assessment of flood risk and preparation of flood risk management plans
  • New responsibilities for SEPA, Scottish Water and local authorities in relation to flood risk management
  • A revised, streamlined process for flood protection schemes
  • New methods to enable stakeholders and the public to contribute to managing flood risk, and;
  • A single enforcement authority for the safe operation of Scotland’s reservoirs (SEPA).

  In England a £10 million flood scheme at Salford, which will protect almost 2,000 homes and businesses, hold more than 250 Olympic-sized swimming pools of water during a flood, and includes more than 5 hectares of urban wetland habitat, was officially completed by the Environment Agency in February 2018 to coincide with World Wetlands Day. The Salford scheme delivers on a long-held vision to not only create a flood storage basin in Salford – to reduce the risk of flooding from the River Irwell – but also to provide a boost to local wildlife populations by including a high quality urban wetland habitat.
Cayman Islands: Water governance is focused on provision of desalinised municipal water to population centres.

Bermuda: The Water Resources Act 1975 defines “public water” as underground water and freshwater ponds. The Environment Authority grants water rights to extract, use and store ‘public water’ through well digging and extraction from underground freshwater lenses.

9.4 Have Communication, Education, Participation and Awareness (CEPA) expertise and tools been incorporated into catchment/river basin planning and management (see Resolution X.19)? {1.7.2} {1.7.3}

**Please select only one option**

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

9.4 Additional information

› Communication and educational work has been carried out throughout the UK. Examples include the Environment Agencies’ Ecological Guidelines and UK Fen Management Handbooks.

WWT’s Flight of the Swans project followed a paramotorist’s journey along the Bewick’s swan (Cygnus columbianus bewickii) flyway from North East Russia, culminating the arrival in the UK, and return to WWT Slimbridge. The project included involvement of local schools, and press and social media activity to highlight the issues facing Bewick’s swans and wetlands in the UK and along their migration route.

Wales: Stakeholder engagement is a key element in Natural Resource Wales’ approach to delivering and developing the River Basin Management Plans. The River Basin District Liaison Panels have cross-sectoral representation (land managers, local government, water companies, conservation, recreation and business) and play an important role in developing the River Basin Management Plans.

Northern Ireland: Every six years, the Department for Agriculture, Environment and Rural Affairs (DAERA) in partnership with the Department for Infrastructure (DFI), produces a river basin management plan for each river basin district within Northern Ireland. Northern Ireland has a layered approach to public involvement. The NI Water Framework Directive (WFD) Stakeholder Forum will be invited to join the DAERA WFD Strategic Planning and Resources Group to review progress on an annual basis. River basin planning also involves anyone who is interested in, or may be affected by, the water environment and the way in which it is managed. Catchment Officers continually work with stakeholders across the River Basin Districts (https://www.daera-ni.gov.uk/articles/delivery-and-public-participation).

England: Under the auspices of the England Terrestrial Biodiversity Group and the Catchment Based Approach Support Group, guidance is being produced to help catchment partnerships to incorporate freshwater and wetland biodiversity planning into their catchment management plans.

9.5 Has your country established policies or guidelines for enhancing the role of wetlands in mitigating or adapting to climate change? {1.7.3} {1.7.5} KRA 1.7.iii

**Please select only one option**

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

9.5 Additional information


England: More geographically explicit guidance on the challenges and opportunities for all landscapes in England is provided by ‘statements of environmental opportunity’ for all of the 159 National Character Areas. These are set in the context of recent landscape and ecological change, including climate change, to suggest actions and opportunities: http://publications.naturalengland.org.uk/publication/5629923804839936.

Wales:

Natural Resources Wales’s (NRW) Peat Position Statement and the Technical Guidance Note on the Assessing the Impacts of Windfarm Developments on Peatlands in Wales both value the carbon and habitat resources that peatlands represent. Afforested peatlands in Wales have been identified and prioritised for restoration by Natural Resources Wales using the Forest Research Report “A Strategic Assessment of the Afforested Peat Resource in Wales” (2012) http://www.forestry.gov.uk/fr/INFD-8Z7BSH. A £5 million peatland restoration LIFE project in Wales is expected to yield further benefits in carbon management and the Carbon Positive project has been assessing the net carbon status of NRWs Estate including its peatlands, while the Glastir Monitoring and Evaluation Project project has undertaken an assessment of carbon status of peatlands across Wales. NRW will be shortly assessing the mitigation potential that peatland restoration measures can deliver on the NRW Estate and their delivery. It is also intended that consideration of carbon and reduction of impact risk from climate change are to be addressed through the Area Statements that are being produced by NRW to inform delivery of sustainable management of natural resources. Welsh Governments commitment to ensure...
all semi-natural peatlands in Wales are subject to sustainable management by 2020 is based on the multiple benefits principle and is aimed at reducing carbon emissions and restoring peatland biodiversity. Northern Ireland: The Northern Ireland Climate Change Adaption Programme (2014) states that the ‘restoration of habitats is a vital management tool to enable the natural environment to cope with the additional stress caused by climate change’. A ‘key activity’ includes ‘support the restoration of priority habitats, peatlands, wetlands through EU integrated projects’ (http://www.nienvironmentlink.org/cmsfiles/policy-hub/files/documentation/Climate/ni_climate_change_adaptation_programme__niap__-_pdf_for_web_page_-jan_2014.pdf).

UK Overseas Territories: In 2008, the Joint Nature Conservation Committee published a range of materials related to climate change adaptation, mitigation and ecosystem services in the UK Overseas Territories. These materials can be found at http://jncc.defra.gov.uk/default.aspx?page=4362 and are relevant to other small island states.

Bermuda: The Bermuda National Trust produced a national report on climate change in 2008. It outlines the role of wetlands in mitigating climate change, particularly on the coast. A youth outreach document was also developed. Details at: https://www.bnt.bm/images/newslettersandreports/Climate%20Change%20Report%202008.pdf.

9.6 Has your country formulated plans or projects to sustain and enhance the role of wetlands in supporting and maintaining viable farming systems? {1.7.4} {1.7.6} KRA 1.7.v

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

9.6 Additional information

› Country Specific Examples

England: one example of a viable farming system is the England Catchment Sensitive Farming (CSF) initiative, which delivers practical solutions and targeted support to enable farmers and land managers to take voluntary action to reduce diffuse water pollution from agriculture thereby protecting water bodies and the environment. https://www.gov.uk/guidance/catchment-sensitive-farming-reduce-agricultural-water-pollution. CSF, in partnership with WWT, has also produced specific guidance on the use of constructed farm wetlands (http://www.wwt.org.uk/uploads/documents/1429707026_WWTConstructedFarmWetlands150422.pdf).

Wales:

The First Milk co-operative worked with the regulator Natural Resources Wales (NRW) to develop a nutrient offsetting scheme to maintain the viability of a local creamery and reduce the environmental impact of dairy farming practices in the adjacent river and estuary. The First Milk Haverfordwest Creamery opened a new water treatment plant in 2014 to deal with the effluent from its cheese production. This meant discharging increased levels of treated waste water into the Western Cleddau river and Milford Haven Waterway Site of Special Scientific Interest - part of the Pembrokeshire Marine Special Area of Conservation. In order to offset the increase in nutrient loadings the creamery are working with dairy farmers to improve nutrient management at the farm scale.

NRW is working to develop a national position statement to set standards and guide its regulatory approach to nutrient offsetting.

9.7 Has research to inform wetland policies and plans been undertaken in your country on:

{1.6.1} KRA 1.6.i

Please select only one per square.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a) agriculture-wetland interactions</th>
<th>☐ A=Yes</th>
<th>☐ B=No</th>
<th>☐ D=Planned</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>b) climate change</td>
<td>☐ A=Yes</td>
<td>☐ B=No</td>
<td>☐ D=Planned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) valuation of ecosystem services</td>
<td>☐ A=Yes</td>
<td>☐ B=No</td>
<td>☐ D=Planned</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9.7 Additional information

› b) Climate change

UK: A major review of the impacts of climate change on the UK Special Protection Area (SPA) network (which is largely the same as the UK Ramsar network) was funded by Defra: see Ramsar National Report to COP13 [Katie Beckett]
These results were also summarised by: Johnston, A. et al. 2013. Observed and predicted effects of climate change on species abundance in protected areas. Nature Climate Change 3: 1055-1061. doi:10.1038/nclimate2035. The key conclusion is that: ‘...future climate change resulting in 4°C global warming was projected to cause declines of at least 25% for more than half of the internationally important populations considered. Nonetheless, most EU Special Protection Areas in the UK were projected to retain species in sufficient abundances to maintain their legal status, and generally sites that are important now were projected to be important in the future. The biological and legal resilience of this network of protected areas is derived from the capacity for turnover in the important species at each site as species’ distributions and abundances alter in response to climate. Current protected areas are therefore predicted to remain important for future conservation in a changing climate.’


c) The UK has done extensive work on the valuation of ecosystem services. A summary can be found in the UK national ecosystem assessment Synthesis Document for 2011 available here http://uknea.unep-wcmc.org/. In addition to this there is a specific chapter for fresh water including wetlands (chapter 9) and coastal areas including salt planes, in chapter 11 (all within the same document). There is also further work on coastal and marine ecosystem services in the National Ecosystem Assessment follow on (work package 4) also on the same website.

Isle of Man: a flooding coastal protection risk assessment has just been undertaken to prioritise sites where there are issues. Catchment considerations are expected. An assessment of predicted climate change effects was produced by Acclimatise, as an addition to the UK assessment, and community discussions followed. Preliminary ecosystem services assessments have been made for the Isle of Man as a whole.

Bermuda: See

9.8 Has your country submitted a request for Wetland City Accreditation of the Ramsar Convention, Resolution XII.10 ?

☐ A=Yes
☑ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

9.8 Additional information

If ‘Yes’, please indicate How many request have been submitted

Target 10

The traditional knowledge innovations and practices of indigenous peoples and local communities relevant for the wise use of wetlands and their customary use of wetland resources, are documented, respected, subject to national legislation and relevant international obligations and fully integrated and reflected in the implementation of the Convention with a full and effective participation of indigenous and local communities at all relevant levels.

10.1 Have the guiding principles for taking into account the cultural values of wetlands including traditional knowledge for the effective management of sites (Resolution VIII.19) been used or applied?.(Action 6.1.2/6.1.6)

☐ A=Yes
☑ B=No
☐ C=In Preparation
☐ D=Partially
☐ D=Planned
☐ X=Unknown
☐ Y=Not Relevant
10.1 Additional information

10.2 Have case studies, participation in projects or successful experiences on cultural aspects of wetlands been compiled. Resolution VIII.19 and Resolution IX.21? (Action 6.1.6)
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=In Preparation
☐ D=Planned

10.2 Additional information
If yes please indicate the case studies or projects documenting information and experiences concerning culture and wetlands
> Northern Ireland: The cultural importance of Lough Beg is recognised in the poetry of the late poet Seamus Heaney who was born nearby. This cultural relationship with the landscape has been recorded in recent conservation projects in Lough Neagh and Lough Beg; e.g. ‘Lough Beg Futurescapes project’ (https://www.rspb.org.uk/Images/Futurescapes%20-%20Lough%20Beg%20Wetlands_tcm9-253586.pdf), and peatland and wetland habitat restoration around the Lough Neagh basin through support for ‘Rebuilding the Countryside Programme’ (https://www.daera-ni.gov.uk/publications/report-implementation-2015-northern-ireland-biodiversity-strategy-valuing-nature).

10.3 Have the guidelines for establishing and strengthening local communities’ and indigenous people’s participation in the management of wetlands been used or applied. (Resolution VII. 8) (Action 6.1.5)
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=In Preparation
☐ D=Planned

10.3 Additional information
If the answer is “yes” please indicate the use or application of the guidelines
>

10.4 Traditional knowledge and management practices relevant for the wise use of wetlands have been documented and their application encouraged (Action 6.1.2)
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=In Preparation
☐ D=Planned

10.4 Additional information
> Northern Ireland: Lough Neagh Landscape Partnership, working in partnership with government, environmental organisations and the local community secured a £2.49 million grant through a Heritage Lottery Fund Landscape Partnership programme to deliver 27 integrated projects around the lough shore over five years (underway October 2016). ‘Landscape Partnership schemes put heritage conservation at the heart of rural regeneration, -by conserving habitats at landscape-scale, promoting joined-up management, and reviving long-lost skills’ (http://www.discoverloughneagh.com/landscapepartnershipunderway/).
The RSPB Reedbed management handbook includes detail of traditional reed-cutting techniques.

Target 11
Wetland functions, services and benefits are widely demonstrated, documented and disseminated. {1.4.}

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by Ramsar Sites and other wetlands? {1.4.1} KRA 1.4.ii
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=In Preparation
☐ C1=Partially
☐ D=Planned
☐ X=Unknown
☐ Y=Not Relevant
11.1 Additional information
If ‘Yes’ or ‘Partially’, please indicate, how many Ramsar Sites and their names

› England: In 2011, Defra commissioned a study to explore the range of valuable ecosystem services that the network of Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSIs) provide, noting that these sites underpin most of England’s Ramsar sites. This study estimated monetary values for the benefits derived from protecting biodiversity and clearly showed that such sites provide significantly higher benefits than the costs required to maintain them:


The Natural Capital Committee estimates that improvements on around 140,000ha of upland peatland could deliver benefits through avoided carbon losses of approximately £560 million over 40 years (in net present value terms) (Natural Capital Committee, 2015 The State of Natural Capital: Protecting and Improving Natural Capital for Prosperity and Wellbeing Third report).

An assessment of ecosystem services has been made for 76 UK Ramsar sites (see list of sites in Annex).

11.2 Have wetland programmes or projects that contribute to poverty alleviation objectives or food and water security plans been implemented? {1.4.2} KRA 1.4.i

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☑ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned
☐ X=Unknown
☐ Y=Not Relevant

11.2 Additional information
› No specific action has been taken within the UK as a whole, however, with regard to Ramsar Sites, the current UK policy is to designate sites based on conservation (natural science) values only.

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for Ramsar Sites and other wetlands? {1.4.3}{1.4.4} KRA 1.4.iii

Please select only one option
☑ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Additional information
If ‘Yes’ or ‘Partially’, please indicate, if known, how many Ramsar Sites and their names

› In the UK most Ramsar sites are either Natura sites under the EU Birds and Habitats Directives and/or Sites/Areas of Special Scientific Interest (S/ASSI) and as such are protected under relevant statutory regimes. In line with the site protection provisions of Natura 2000 sites, the UK allows socioeconomic factors to be considered when developing management measures for sites and/or avoiding site deterioration. In this respect, relevant authorities are able to tailor their arrangements to suit local circumstances. Socio-economic values have been included in the management planning of 95 UK Ramsar sites.

One example is Cors Fochno and Dyfi Ramsar site in Wales (UK14004), which includes an estuary, adjacent dunes and a lowland raised mire. It is also a Natura 2000 site, a National Nature Reserve under UK legislation and lies within a larger UNESCO Biosphere Reserve which includes much of the surrounding catchment. The management of the Ramsar Site within this wider context is enabling a wide range of ecological, socioeconomic and cultural values to be recognised, with particular emphasis placed on local stakeholder engagement. http://www.biosfferdyfi.org.uk.

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for Ramsar Sites and other wetlands? {1.4.3}{1.4.4} KRA 1.4.iii

Please select only one option
☑ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.4 Additional information
If ‘Yes’ or ‘Partially’, please indicate, if known, how many Ramsar Sites and their names

› In the UK the majority of Ramsar sites are either Natura sites under the EU Birds and Habitats Directives and/or Sites/Areas of Special Scientific Interest (S/ASSI) and as such are protected under relevant statutory regimes. In line with the site protection provisions of Natura 2000 sites, the UK allows socioeconomic factors to be considered when developing management
measures for sites and/or avoiding site deterioration. In this respect, relevant authorities are able to tailor their arrangements to suit local circumstances. Cultural values have been included in the management of 96 UK Ramsar sites.

One example is Cors Fochno and Dyfi Ramsar Site in Wales (UK14004), which includes an estuary, adjacent dunes and a lowland raised mire. It is also a Natura 2000 site, a National Nature Reserve under UK legislation and lies within a larger UNESCO Biosphere Reserve which includes much of the surrounding catchment. The management of the Ramsar site within this wider context is enabling a wide range of ecological, socioeconomic and cultural values to be recognised, with particular emphasis placed on local stakeholder engagement. http://www.biosfferdyfi.org.uk.

Target 12
Restoration is in progress in degraded wetlands, with priority to wetlands that are relevant for biodiversity conservation, disaster risk reduction, livelihoods and/or climate change mitigation and adaptation. {1.8.}

12.1 Have priority sites for wetland restoration been identified? {1.8.1} KRA 1.8.i

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned
☐ X=Unknown
☐ Y=Not Relevant

12.1 Additional information
› In the UK many wetland habitats are included within Sites/Areas of Special Scientific Interest and Natura 2000 sites. Where such wetlands are considered to be in an unfavourable condition, by being included in designated sites they can be considered as priorities for restoration.

England & Wales: The ‘Wetland Vision’ partnership sets out a 50-year vision for freshwater wetlands and shows where new wetlands could be created and current wetlands restored (http://www.wetlandvision.org.uk/). At a regional level, the Severn Vision Project (http://severnvision.org/) has developed a long-term vision for the future of this transboundary landscape (and Ramsar site) which included mapping work on both threats to existing wetlands and wetland restoration opportunities.

Natural England’s Improvement Programme for England Natura 2000 Sites (IPENS) has developed a strategic approach to achieving favourable condition on England Natura 2000 sites. Key outputs include:
• Site Improvement Plans: identifies potential mechanisms to bring sites and species into favourable condition; and
• Theme Plans: developing strategic plans to address issues that affect multiple sites.

Given that most Ramsar sites in England are also Natura 2000, these sites will also benefit from IPENS (https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/improvement-programme-for-englands-natura-2000-sites-ipens) depending on the availability of funding to implement recommendations.

Wales:
The Welsh equivalent to IPENS is the NRW LIFE N2K project: https://www.naturalresources.wales/about-us/our-projects/nature-projects/life-n2k-wales/life-n2k-reports/?lang=en which covered key wetland sites in Wales. NRW’s Peatland Survey Programme has identified restoration priorities.

Bermuda: NGO-land owners in Bermuda have identified and carried out wetland restorations. The Bermuda Audubon Society carried out a significant restoration at Seymour’s Pond in 2011. The Buy Back Bermuda campaign of the Bermuda National Trust and the Bermuda Audubon Society crowdfunded the purchase of Eve’s Pond in 2008. The former pond was filled with dredged material in the early 20th century. A plan is in preparation in 2018 to re-dig the pond and restore wetland vegetation.

12.2 Have wetland restoration/rehabilitation programmes, plans or projects been effectively implemented? {1.8.2} KRA 1.8.i

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned
☐ X=Unknown
☐ Y=Not Relevant

12.2 Additional information
› Conservation Evidence (https://www.conservationevidence.com/?utm_source=IUCN+UK+Peatland+Programme+Master+List&utm_campaign=f6f1a8ee6b-EMAIL_CAMPAIGN_2018_02_20&utm_medium=email&utm_term=0_7872ad6518-
f6f1a8ee6b-290316237) has launched the peatland synopsis; a free, online resource examining the impact of 125 conservation activities on the vegetation of fens, bogs and peat-swamp forests. This resource collects together and summarises the scientific literature, allowing practitioners to quickly get an overview of how well different interventions have worked without hours of searching.

There have been a number of wetland restoration/rehabilitation programmes implemented within the UK, country specific examples are given below:

**England:**
The ‘Moors for the Future’ extends from the Yorkshire Dales to the Peak District, carrying out work on seven active projects on Sites of Special Scientific Interest and undertaking management on more than 30 sites. Work focuses on encouraging responsible use and care; restoring peatland and conserving important recreational and natural moorland resources; and developing expertise on sustainable management. [http://www.moorsforthefuture.org.uk/](http://www.moorsforthefuture.org.uk/).


Over 400 hectares of wetland habitat has been created by the Environment Agency, in partnership with WWT, on the Steart Peninsula in Somerset (https://www.wwt.org.uk/wetland-centres/steart-marshes/). The scheme was delivered as part of efforts to compensate for the loss of habitat elsewhere in the Severn Estuary. Managed realignment has become an increasingly common mechanism to increase the efficiency and sustainability of flood defences, reduce defence costs or compensate for habitat losses. A recent study (Nunn, A. D., Clifton-Dey, D., & Cowx, I. G. 2016. [http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0925857415302718](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0925857415302718)) investigated the use by fishes of a new intertidal habitat, created by managed realignment, intended to compensate for the loss of mudflat associated with a major port development. The study showed differences in fish species, but concluded that the habitat was still developing.

**Scotland:**

Restoration work is carried out on the important peatland sites, through a number of projects including; the ‘Green Stimulus Peatland Restoration Project’ 2013-2015 which aims to reduce carbon release by restoring peatlands. [http://www.snh.gov.uk/climatechange/what-snh-is-doing/peatland-action/](http://www.snh.gov.uk/climatechange/what-snh-is-doing/peatland-action/) and a second project works on Flow Country, one of the world’s largest Atlantic blanket bogs and a peatland of international importance [http://www.snh.gov.uk/publications-data-and-research/publications/searchthe-catalogue/publication-detail/?id=400](http://www.snh.gov.uk/publications-data-and-research/publications/searchthe-catalogue/publication-detail/?id=400).

**Wales:**
The extent to which this has been achieved (and will be achieved in the near future) is severely resource constrained, and many sites remain un-restored. In July 2017, NRW was awarded a significant LIFE project for restoring active and degraded raised bog across seven SACs and two Ramsar sites in Wales and further large-scale project applications are both necessary and planned.

**Northern Ireland:**

**Cyprus SBAs:**
A Darwin Plus project on the restoration of Akrotiri Marsh was completed in March 2017. The project’s aim was to restore the area’s biodiversity through practical actions and raise awareness on its importance. The project also aimed at providing sustainable economic opportunities to the people of Akrotiri Community. In addition to a Ramsar site it is also a Special Protection Area and a Special Area of Conservation.

**Target 13**
Enhanced sustainability of key sectors such as water, energy, mining, agriculture, tourism, urban development, infrastructure, industry, forestry, aquaculture and fisheries when they affect wetlands, contributing to biodiversity conservation and human livelihoods

13.1 Have actions been taken to enhance sustainability of key sectors such as water, energy, mining, agriculture, tourism, urban development, infrastructure, industry, forestry, aquaculture and fisheries when they affect wetlands?

*Please select only one option*

☑ A=Yes
13.1 Additional information

If ‘Yes’, please indicate the actions taken

› Bermuda: Work has been undertaken in Bermuda to improve water quality in ponds and wetlands affected by road runoff. The placement of docks, pipelines and cables in the nearshore marine area is carefully vetted by the Department of Environment and Natural Resources to minimize disturbance of shallow marine habitats and coastal mangroves.

13.2 Are Strategic Environmental Assessment practices applied when reviewing policies, programmes and plans that may impact upon wetlands? {1.3.3} {1.3.4} KRA 1.3.ii

Please select only one option

☑ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

13.2 Additional information

› In Metropolitan UK, the requirement for Strategic Environmental Assessments (SEAs) comes from the EU SEA Directive (European Directive 2001/42/EC, on the assessment of the effects of certain plans and programmes on the environment). SEAs are applied when reviewing policies, programmes and plans that may impact upon wetlands, where these fall within the prescribed thresholds of the UK’s transposing Regulations. No information is available for UK Overseas territories or Crown dependencies.

Northern Ireland: The requirements of the Strategic Environmental Assessment Directive (2001/42/EC) are transposed into Northern Irish law through the Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2004 and are managed by the consultation body DAERA.

13.3 Are Environmental Impact Assessments made for any development projects (such as new buildings, new roads, extractive industry) from key sectors such as water, energy, mining, agriculture, tourism, urban development, infrastructure, industry, forestry, aquaculture and fisheries that may affect wetlands? {1.3.4} {1.3.5} KRA 1.3.iii

Please select only one option

☑ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Some Cases

13.3 Additional information

› In the UK, the requirement for Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) comes from the EU EIA Directive (European Directive 85/337/EEC, on the assessment of the effects of certain public and private projects on the environment). EIAs are applied when reviewing policies, programmes and plans that may impact upon wetlands, where these fall within the prescribed thresholds of the UK’s transposing Regulations.

Northern Ireland: The Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2017 transpose amendments to the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) regime by Directive 2014/52/EU. In Schedule 3 of these Regulations wetlands are listed as environmentally sensitive areas to be considered with regard to the location of developments. In addition, the use of natural resources, water and biodiversity is considered during screening and within the EIA. The Roads (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2017 amended the Roads (Northern Ireland) Order 1993 for the EIA to include assessments on biodiversity with particular attention to species and habitats protected under Directive 92/43/EEC and water. Similar provisions exist under the relevant legislation for England, Scotland and Wales.

UK Overseas Territories: Environment Impact Assessments are not generally mandatory although some UK OTs have developed Environmental Impact Assessments (EIAs).

Cayman Islands: In the Cayman Islands mandatory EIA’s may be required at the discretion of the National Conservation Council, under provisions in the National Conservation Law. At the request of UK Government [Foreign and Commonwealth Office], the UK Overseas Territories Conservation Forum has provided and sourced pro bono specialist guidance on the use of EIAs and the integration of environmental aspects into physical planning for some Overseas Territories.

Bermuda’s planning statement contains designated Water Resources Conservation Areas and Cave Protection Areas to protect groundwater. Planning applications for all developments inside these zones are vetted by the Government Hydrogeologist.

Goal 4. Enhancing implementation

Target 15
Ramsar Regional Initiatives with the active involvement and support of the Parties in each region are
reinforced and developed into effective tools to assist in the full implementation of the Convention. {3.2.}

15.1 Have you (AA) been involved in the development and implementation of a Regional Initiative under the framework of the Convention? {3.2.1} KRA 3.2.i

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes  ☑ B=No  ☐ D=Planned

15.1 Additional information
If ‘Yes’ or ‘Planned’, please indicate the regional initiative(s) and the collaborating countries of each initiative

15.2 Has your country supported or participated in the development of other regional (i.e., covering more than one country) wetland training and research centres? {3.2.2}

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes  ☑ B=No  ☐ D=Planned

15.2 Additional information
If ‘Yes’, please indicate the name(s) of the centre(s)

Target 16
Wetlands conservation and wise use are mainstreamed through communication, capacity development, education, participation and awareness {4.1}

16.1 Has an action plan (or plans) for wetland CEPA been established? {4.1.1} KRA 4.1.i

Even if no CEPA plans have been developed, if broad CEPA objectives for CEPA actions have been established, please indicate this in the Additional information section below

Please select only one per square.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a) At the national level</th>
<th>☐ A=Yes  ☑ B=No  ☐ C=In Progress  ☐ D=Planned</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>b) Sub national level</th>
<th>☐ A=Yes  ☑ B=No  ☐ C=In Progress  ☐ D=Planned</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>c) Catchement/basin level</th>
<th>☐ A=Yes  ☑ B=No  ☐ C=In Progress  ☐ D=Planned</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>d) Local/site level</th>
<th>☐ A=Yes  ☑ B=No  ☐ C=In Progress  ☐ D=Planned</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

16.1 Additional information
If ‘Yes’ or ‘In progress’ to one or more of the four questions above, for each please describe the mechanism, who is responsible and identify if it has involved CEPA NFPs

UK: The ‘UK Biodiversity Framework’ is designed to identify the activities needed to galvanise and complement country strategies, in pursuit of the Aichi targets http://jncc.defra.gov.uk/page-6189. Wales: The Environment (Wales) Act 2016 sets out the principles and legislative framework for achieving the sustainable management of natural resources (SMNR). Communication, education, participation, awareness raising and capacity building are built into the SMNR principles within the Act: http://www.legislation.gov.uk/anaw/2016/3/section/4/enacted. The State of Natural Resources Report and the Area Statements, required under the Act, allow information on the state of the environment and its benefits, both intrinsic and wellbeing, to be set out as the starting point for cross sectoral and multi organisation working on the issues identified. Further information on the Environment (Wales) Act can be found at http://gov.wales/topics/environmentcountryside/consmanagement/natural-resources-
16.2a How many centres (visitor centres, interpretation centres, education centres) have been established? {4.1.2} KRA 4.1.ii

a) at Ramsar Sites

Please select only one option

☑ E=Exact Number (centres)

☐ F=Less than (centres)

☐ G=More than (centres)

☐ C=Partially

☐ X=Unknown

☐ Y=Not Relevant

16.2b How many centres (visitor centres, interpretation centres, education centres) have been established? {4.1.2} KRA 4.1.ii

b) at other wetlands

Please select only one option

☐ E=Exact Number (centres)

☐ F=Less than (centres)

☐ G=More than (centres)

☐ C=Partially

☐ X=Unknown

☐ Y=Not Relevant

16.2 Additional information

If centres are part of national or international networks, please describe the networks

› a) There are a number of visitor/educational centres in the UK that include a focus on wetlands, for example the Wildfowl and Wetland Trust (WWT) runs wetland centres at Arundel, London, Slimbridge, Welney, Caerlaverock, Llanelli, Castle Espie, Washington and Martin Mere (see http://www.wwt.org.uk/learn/). WWT also hosts the Wetland Link International project, an international support network for wetland centres. Isle of Man: interpretation centres, manned by Manx Wildlife Trust volunteers, are provided at two coastal sites that are not Ramsar sites. Signage is provided at key sites elsewhere and further signage is planned. A Whale Trail (coastal biodiversity signage and telescopes) have been provided at key sites. Alderney: Alderney is pioneering the Living Islands initiative (http://www.visitalderney.com/alderney/community/) to help island economies. The concept of "Living Islands" emphasises the links between the natural environment and built heritage to an island’s quality of life and economic development. The programme has been created as part of the British Wildlife Trusts Living Landscapes Scheme.

Cayman Islands: The National Trust for the Cayman Island’s visitor centre on Little Cayman serves as a visitor and educational centre for the Booby Pond and Rookery

Bermuda: Visitor centres cannot be built in any of Ramsar Sites because they are zoned as Nature Reserves and this zoning prevents the construction of buildings and roads within the Sites. Signs are permitted, and a number have been installed – for example, new interpretive signs were installed at Spittal Pond Nature Reserve in 2017. The signs were a collaboration between the Bermuda National Trust and Bermuda Government. Upgrades to the boardwalk at Paget Marsh were carried out by the Bermuda National Trust since the last report.

Cyprus SBAs: The Akrotiri Environmental Education Centre (http://akrotirienvironment.com) moved to its permanent premises in 2014 and is part of the Cyprus Network of Environmental Education Centres.

16.3 Does the Contracting Party {4.1.3} KRA 4.1.iii

Please select only one per square.
16.3 Additional information

If ‘Yes’ or ‘Partially’, please provide information about the ways in which stakeholders are involved.

› In the UK, public consultation precedes all Ramsar site designations, which are selected on scientific criteria only. Public participation is central to the development of site management plans, which may take account of socioeconomic and cultural factors. Stakeholders are involved in decision making at 141 UK Ramsar sites.

16.4 Do you have an operational cross-sectoral National Ramsar/Wetlands Committee? {4.1.6} KRA 4.3.v

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned
☐ X=Unknown
☐ Y=Not Relevant

16.5 Do you have an operational cross-sectoral body equivalent to a National Ramsar/Wetlands Committee? {4.1.6} KRA 4.3.v

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned
☐ X=Unknown
☐ Y=Not Relevant

16.6 Are other communication mechanisms (apart from a national committee) in place to share Ramsar implementation guidelines and other information between the Administrative Authority and a), b) or c) below? {4.1.7} KRA 4.1.vi:

Please select only one per square.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>☐ A=Yes</th>
<th>☐ B=No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Ramsar Site managers</td>
<td>☐ C=Partially</td>
<td>☐ D=Planned</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
16.6 Additional information
If ‘Yes’ or ‘Partially’, please describe what mechanisms are in place

› Focal points (both wetland specific and broader conservation) are established throughout the UK administrations and its agencies and have established networks to ensure joined up implementation of ‘wise use’ principles.

The Joint Nature Conservation Committee, the Government’s advisor on nature conservation issues, provides a coordination role for the UK nature conservation agencies. Communication mechanisms are in place for 83 of the UK’s Ramsar sites (see annex for a list of the sites).

16.7 Have Ramsar-branded World Wetlands Day activities (whether on 2 February or at another time of year), either government and NGO-led or both, been carried out in the country since COP12? {4.1.8}  
Please select only one option  
☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ D=Planned

16.7 Additional information
› In the UK events take place through the Wildfowl and Wetlands Trust centres.

England: Fenn’s, Whixall & Bettisfield Mosses National Nature Reserve held a Whixall Wet Welly Weekend in celebration of World Wetlands Day, together with a Wings over Whixall event the following weekend, plus an illustrated talk for visitors.

Wales: Newport Wetlands reserve has been arranging a guided walk looking at the various species of birds around the reserve to mark Ramsar World Wetlands Day annually. The reserve overlooks the Severn Estuary Ramsar Site.

Northern Ireland: RSPB, Seamus Heaney HomePlace and the Lough Neagh Landscape Partnership joined together to celebrate World Wetlands Day in 2017 by organising a World Wetlands Day Walk at Lough Beg for the public, which involved birdwatching along its shores and learning about the important conservation work taking place to look after the wetland (http://www.walkni.com/events/56319/world-wetlands-day-walk/).

Cyprus SBAs: The Akrotiri Environmental Education Centre hosts special events to celebrate WWD every year aiming specifically at involving the local communities. Events promote sustainable uses of wetlands (such as basketry from the collection of plants from the local wetlands), raise awareness on the importance of the wetlands, and host plant identification and bird watching fieldtrips in the designated wetlands.

Turks & Caicos Islands: The Department of Environment and Coastal Resources (DECR), together with the Turks & Caicos National Trust (TCNT), hosted Wetland Clean-ups to commemorate the day, and also took the opportunity to teach the community at large, about the importance of conserving wetlands in the Turks & Caicos Islands. DECR held an event on Saturday, 3 February, at Wheeland Pond, Providenciales, North Creek and at North Creek in Grand Turk to promote conservation of wetlands for a sustainable urban future. See https://gov.tc/pressoffice/1070-world-wetlands-day.

16.8 Have campaigns, programmes, and projects (other than for World Wetlands Day-related activities) been carried out since COP12 to raise awareness of the importance of wetlands to people and wildlife and the ecosystem benefits/services provided by wetlands? {4.1.9}  
Please select only one option  
☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ D=Planned

16.8 Additional information
If these and other CEPA activities have been undertaken by other organizations, please indicate this

› Wales: Newport Wetlands Reserve has been arranging a guided walk looking at the various species of birds around the reserve to mark Ramsar World Wetlands Day annually. The reserve overlooks the Severn Estuary Ramsar site.

Isle of Man: Ramsey Marine Nature Reserve Week.

Bermuda: The Bermuda National Trust holds an annual children’s walk each February at Spittal Pond nature reserve. Groups of school children are guided around the reserve and stop at interactive interpretation stations manned by local experts on nature and culture.
Cyprus SBAs: The Akrotiri Environmental Education Centre delivers specialised programmes on the importance of the local wetlands. In addition and in cooperation with other partners such as universities, NGOs, the community and government departments there are ongoing presentations, educational campaigns and research projects in an effort to gain more expert knowledge, to educate people and to conserve the important wetlands.

**Target 17**
Financial and other resources for effectively implementing the fourth Ramsar Strategic Plan 2016 – 2024 from all sources are made available. {4.2.}

17.1a Have Ramsar contributions been paid in full for 2015, 2016 and 2017? {4.2.1} KRA 4.2.i

*Please select only one option*
- ☑ A=Yes
- ☐ B=No
- ☐ Z=Not Applicable

17.1b If ‘No’ in 17.1 a), please clarify what plan is in place to ensure future prompt payment

> 17.2 Has any additional financial support been provided through voluntary contributions to non-core funded Convention activities? {4.2.2} KRA 4.2.i

*Please select only one option*
- ☐ A=Yes
- ☑ B=No

17.2 Additional information
If ‘Yes’ please state the amounts, and for which activities

> 17.3 [For Contracting Parties with a development assistance agency only (‘donor countries’)]: Has the agency provided funding to support wetland conservation and management in other countries? {3.3.1} KRA 3.3.i

*Please select only one option*
- ☑ A=Yes
- ☐ B=No
- ☐ Z=Not Applicable

17.3 Additional information
If ‘Yes’, please indicate the countries supported since COP12

> The Darwin Initiative is a UK government grants scheme that helps to protect biodiversity and the natural environment by funding projects in developing countries and UK Overseas Territories. Over the years there have been various projects with wetland focus or providing benefits to wetland areas. Darwin-funded projects usually aim to help preserve biodiversity and the local community that lives alongside it. Most projects will include one or more of:

- building environmental knowledge;
- capacity building;
- research;
- implementing international biodiversity agreements.

Darwin projects are monitored and evaluated to ensure that they will have a lasting impact and legacy on biodiversity in host countries and helping them meet their obligations under the priority biodiversity conventions. See https://www.gov.uk/government/groups/the-darwin-initiative for details.

A list of Darwin projects relevant to wetlands is available at http://www.darwininitiative.org.uk/project/ecosystems-biomes/wetlands/. Wetland projects running within the current reporting period included:

- Conserving biodiversity and reducing poverty through wildlife-friendly farming in Cambodia
- Pesticide impacts on biodiversity in Ethiopia & agro-ecological solutions
- Sustainable ranching and participatory land use planning in Bolivia and Paraguay
- Livelihoods in the balance - protecting Cambodia’s remaining seasonally-inundated grasslands
- Balancing development and conservation in Kenya’s largest freshwater wetland
- Establishing Sustainable Management of the Lake Sofia Catchment Madagascar
- Conserving biodiversity by improving farming practises and livelihoods in Hoima
- Supporting community conserved areas in Uganda for biodiversity and livelihoods

Support from UK Government to conservation in the UK Overseas Territories and Crown Dependencies is complemented by major contributions of personnel, expertise and other resources from non-governmental organisations and universities in the UK and from the Territories and Dependencies themselves.
Cyprus SBAs: A Darwin Plus project on the restoration of Akrotiri Marsh (http://www.akrotirimarsh.org/en/home) has been successfully completed. The project was a collaboration between Birdlife Cyprus, the RSPB, Akrotiri Community and the Akrotiri Environmental Education Centre (which is part of the Sovereign Base Areas Administration Environment Department). A new Darwin project researching invasive species at Akrotiri (including the Ramsar sites) was launched in April 2017 and is looking at the impacts of invasive alien species in a variety of habitats (terrestrial, freshwater and marine) and will run for two years. Link to the project’s website: http://www.ris-ky.eu/home.

17.4 [For Contracting Parties with a development assistance agency only (‘donor countries’)]: Have environmental safeguards and assessments been included in development proposals proposed by the agency? {3.3.2} KRA 3.3.ii

Please select only one option
☑ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ X=Unknown
☐ Y=Not Relevant
☐ Z=Not Applicable

17.4 Additional information

17.5 [For Contracting Parties that have received development assistance only (‘recipient countries’)]: Has funding support been received from development assistance agencies specifically for in-country wetland conservation and management? {3.3.3}

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☑ B=No
☐ Z=Not Applicable

17.5 Additional information

If ‘Yes’, please indicate from which countries/agencies since COP12

17.6 Has any financial support been provided by your country to the implementation of the Strategic Plan?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☑ B=No
☐ Z=Not Applicable

17.6 Additional information

If “Yes” please state the amounts, and for which activities

Target 18

International cooperation is strengthened at all levels {3.1}

18.1 Are the national focal points of other MEAs invited to participate in the National Ramsar/Wetland Committee? {3.1.1} {3.1.2} KRAs 3.1.i & 3.1.iv

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☑ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

18.1 Additional information

› Focal points in the UK work closely through various mechanisms and fora to identify cross cutting issues and promote synergies.

See also the response to questions 16.4, 16.5 and 16.6.

18.2 Are mechanisms in place at the national level for collaboration between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the focal points of UN and other global and regional bodies and agencies (e.g. UNEP, UNDP, WHO, FAO, UNECE, ITTO)? {3.1.2} {3.1.3} KRA 3.1.iv

Please select only one option
☑ A=Yes
18.2 Additional information

18.3 Has your country received assistance from one or more UN and other global and regional bodies and agencies (e.g. UNEP, UNDP, WHO, FAO, UNECE, ITTO) or the Convention’s IOPs in its implementation of the Convention? {4.4.1} KRA 4.4.ii.

The IOPs are: BirdLife International, the International Water Management Institute (IWMI), IUCN (International Union for Conservation of Nature), Wetlands International, WWF and Wildfowl & Wetland Trust (WWT).

Please select only one option
☑ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned
☐ X=Unknown
☐ Y=Not Relevant

18.3 Additional information

If ‘Yes’ please name the agency (es) or IOP (s) and the type of assistance received

The UK works closely with IOPs in implementing the ‘wise use’ principles of the Convention and to collect information on bird distribution and abundance.

The UK BirdLife International partner (the Royal Society for the Protection of Birds) and the Wildfowl and Wetland Trust (WWT) are members of the SPA and Ramsar Scientific Working Group which co-ordinates guidance on a range of Ramsar related technical issues.

18.4 Have networks, including twinning arrangements, been established, nationally or internationally, for knowledge sharing and training for wetlands that share common features? {3.4.1}

Please select only one option
☑ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

18.4 Additional information

If ‘Yes’ or ‘Partially’, please indicate the networks and wetlands involved

Twinning arrangements exist for some sites in the UK, for example between the Wash and Waddensee (Netherlands) and between Strangford Lough and sites in Canada and Iceland.

Networks have also been established through Wetland Link International (WLI), a support network for wetland centres which provide education and visitor activities on site. The project is run from the Wildfowl and Wetland Trust in the UK, and has around 350 members across six continents (http://www.wwt.org.uk/wli). Particularly relevant is the MBP (Migratory Birds for People) network which links wetland centres along the East Atlantic Flyway, including West Africa and western Europe. Centres regularly communicate and take part in joint projects and an annual meeting.

18.5 Has information about your country’s wetlands and/or Ramsar Sites and their status been made public (e.g., through publications or a website)? {3.4.2} KRA 3.4.iv

Please select only one option
☑ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

18.5 Additional information

The Joint Nature Conservation Committee’s web-site provides a range of information about UK Ramsar Sites (http://jncc.defra.gov.uk/page-1388) and information on the status of UK wetlands is widely available through the UK’s National Biodiversity Network (http://www.nbn.org.uk).

England: Information is also available through initiatives such as the England ‘Wetland Vision’ work (http://www.wetlandvision.org.uk/) and Natural England

Wales: Natural Resources Wales has produced a State of Natural Resources Report, which also includes wetlands (Chapter 3.10): https://naturalresources.wales/evidence-and-data/research-and-reports/the-state-of-natural-
Scotland: Information is available via Scottish Natural Heritage: http://www.snh.gov.uk/protecting-scotlands-nature/protected-areas/internationaldesignations/ramsar-sites/.
Northern Ireland: Information can be found at:https://www.daera-ni.gov.uk/topics/land-and-landscapes/ramsar-sites.
A report giving information on all wetlands qualifying as Ramsar Sites in the Overseas Territories and Crown Dependencies was commissioned from UK Overseas Territories Conservation Forum by DEFRA and is available at http://www.ukotcf.org/pubs/ramsarReview.htm.
Bermuda: see http://environment.bm/ramsar-sites

18.6 Has information about your country’s wetlands and/or Ramsar Sites been transmitted to the Ramsar Secretariat for dissemination? {3.4.3} KRA 3.4.ii
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

18.6 Additional Information

18.7 Have all transboundary wetland systems been identified? {3.5.1} KRA 3.5.i
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned
☐ Z=Not Applicable

18.7 Additional information

18.8 Is effective cooperative management in place for shared wetland systems (for example, in shared river basins and coastal zones)? {3.5.2} KRA 3.5.ii
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned
☐ Y=Not Relevant

18.8 Additional information
If ‘Yes’ or ‘Partially’, please indicate for which wetland systems such management is in place
› Northern Ireland: Co-operation occurs through a number of mechanisms.
Three International River Basin Districts are shared between Northern Ireland and the Republic of Ireland. The River Basin Management Plans for these were produced in 2009 and apply to groundwater, all surface water bodies, transitional and coastal waters out to one nautical mile, as well as wetlands which are directly associated with ground or surface water and address cross-border considerations. Northern Ireland plans were updated in 2015. As the 20 Ramsar sites in Northern Ireland are also protected areas under the Habitats and Birds Directives (Special Areas of Conservation and Special Protection Areas) they will be included in these plans.
In addition to other government agencies, a cross-border body, The Loughs Agency, addresses protection and management of those cross-border catchments centred on shared coastal areas (Lough Foyle and Carlingford Lough - both Ramsar sites) and their associated catchments.

18.9 Does your country participate in regional networks or initiatives for wetland-dependent migratory species? {3.5.3} KRA 3.5.iii
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned
☐ Z=Not Applicable
18.9 Additional information
› The UK is Party to, and active participant in, a number of Agreements and Memoranda of Understanding under the Convention on Migratory Species which have implications for the conservation of wetland-dependent species. These include:
• Agreement on the Conservation of African-Eurasian Migratory Waterbirds
• Agreement on the Conservation of Albatrosses & Petrels
• Agreement on the Conservation of Small Cetaceans of the Baltic & North Seas
• MoU on the Aquatic Warbler
• MoU on the Conservation and Management of Marine Turtles in the Indian Ocean and South East Asia
• MoU on Migratory Birds of Prey in Africa and Eurasia
• Sharks MoU

Target 19
Capacity building for implementation of the Convention and the 4th Ramsar Strategic Plan 2016 – 2024 is enhanced.

19.1 Has an assessment of national and local training needs for the implementation of the Convention been made? {4.1.4} KRAs 4.1.iv & 4.1.viii
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☑ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

19.1 Additional information
› Training needs in the UK are assessed on an organisation by organisation basis.

19.2 Are wetland conservation and wise-use issues included in formal education programmes?
Please select only one option
☑ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

19.2 Additional information
If you answer yes to the above please provide information on which mechanisms and materials
› Postgraduate degree programmes in wetland conservation are available in the UK such as the MSc in Wetland Science and Conservation at Bangor University (https://www.bangor.ac.uk/courses/postgraduate/wetland-science-and-conservation-msc).
Northern Ireland Water (a government owned company, set up to provide water and sewerage services in Northern Ireland) provide a ‘Water Bus’ service. This is a double decker bus which has been transformed into a popular mobile education unit. It concentrates on many aspects of water and is aimed at Key Stage 2 pupils. Pupils learn about a range of water issues such as the water cycle and water conservation (https://www.niwater.com/water-bus/).
Cyprus SBAs: Programmes on wetland conservation are delivered by the Akrotiri Environmental Education Centre on a regular basis to school children from the whole of Cyprus (about 10,000 school children visit the Centre every year). The Centre is part of the Cyprus Network of Environmental Education Centres and school visits are part of the national school curriculum.
Turks & Caicos Islands: A curriculum-integrated course “Wonderful Water”, with strong components on local wetlands, was developed, at the request of the TCI Director of Education, by UK Overseas Territories Conservation Forum working with local schools. This is used in all state, and some private, schools, as well as in some higher courses.

19.3a How many opportunities for wetland site manager training have been provided since COP12? {4.1.5} KRA 4.1.iv
a) at Ramsar Sites
Please select only one option
☐ E=Exact number (opportunities)
☐ F=Less than (opportunities)
☐ G=More than (opportunities)
☐ C=Partially
19.3b How many opportunities for wetland site manager training have been provided since COP12? {4.1.5} KRA 4.1.iv
b) at other wetlands
Please select only one option
☐ E=Exact number (Opportunities)
☐ F=Less than (Opportunities)
☐ G=More than (Opportunities)
☐ C=Partially
☐ X=Unknown
☐ Y=Not Relevant

19.3 Additional information
including whether the Ramsar Wise Use Handbooks were used in the training
> It is not possible in the UK to give a precise figure as such opportunities are assessed on an organisation by organisation basis with no central co-ordination.

19.4 Have you (AA) used your previous Ramsar National Reports in monitoring implementation of the Convention? {4.3.1} KRA 4.3.ii
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☑ B=No
☐ D=Planned
☐ Z=Not Applicable

19.4 Additional information
If ‘Yes’, please indicate how the Reports have been used for monitoring
Section 4. Optional annex to allow any Contracting Party that has developed national targets to provide information on those

Goal 1

Target 1: Wetland benefits
Wetland benefits are featured in national / local policy strategies and plans relating to key sectors such as water, energy, mining, agriculture, tourism, urban development, infrastructure, industry, forestry, aquaculture, fisheries at the national and local level. Contributes to Aichi Target 2

Target 1: Wetland benefits - Priority
Please select only one option
☐ A=High
☐ B=Medium
☐ C=Low
☐ D=Not relevant
☐ E=No answer

Target 1: Wetland benefits - Resourcing
Please select only one option
☐ A=Good
☐ B=Adequate
☐ C=Limiting
☐ D=Severely limiting
☐ E=No answer

Target 1: Wetland benefits - National Targets

Target 1: Wetland benefits - Planned activity

Target 1: Wetland benefits - Outcomes achieved by 2018
Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals
Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018

Target 1: Wetland benefits - Additional Information
**Target 2: Water Use**

Water use respects wetland ecosystem needs for them to fulfil their functions and provide services at the appropriate scale inter alia at the basin level or along a coastal zone. Contributes to Aichi Targets 7 and 8 and Sustainable Development Goal 6.3.1

**Target 2: Water Use - Priority**

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=High
☐ B=Medium
☐ C=Low
☐ D=Not relevant
☐ E=No answer

**Target 2: Water Use - Resourcing**

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Good
☐ B=Adequate
☐ C=Limiting
☐ D=Severely limiting
☐ E=No answer

**Target 2: Water Use - National Targets**

>

**Target 2: Water Use - Planned activity**

>

**Target 2: Water Use - Outcomes achieved by 2018**

Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals

**Note:** this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018

>

**Target 2: Water Use - Additional Information**

>
Target 3: Public and private sectors
Public and private sectors have increased their efforts to apply guidelines and good practices for the wise use of water and wetlands. {1.10}. Contributes to Aichi Targets 3, 4, 7 and 8.

Target 3: Public and private sectors - Priority
Please select only one option
☐ A=High
☐ B=Medium
☐ C=Low
☐ D=Not relevant
☐ E=No answer

Target 3: Public and private sectors - Resourcing
Please select only one option
☐ A=Good
☐ B=Adequate
☐ C=Limiting
☐ D=Severely limiting
☐ E=No answer

Target 3: Public and private sectors - National Targets

Target 3: Public and private sectors - Planned activity

Target 3: Public and private sectors - Outcomes achieved by 2018
Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals
Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018

Target 3: Public and private sectors - Additional Information


**Target 4: Invasive alien species**

Invasive alien species and pathways of introduction and expansion are identified and prioritized, priority invasive alien species are controlled or eradicated, and management responses are prepared and implemented to prevent their introduction and establishment. Contributes to Aichi Target 9.

**Target 4: Invasive alien species - Priority**

*Please select only one option*

- A=High
- B=Medium
- C=Low
- D=Not relevant
- E=No answer

**Target 4: Invasive alien species - Resourcing**

*Please select only one option*

- A=Good
- B=Adequate
- C=Limiting
- D=Severely limiting
- E=No answer

**Target 4: Invasive alien species - National Targets**

›

**Target 4: Invasive alien species - Planned activity**

›

**Target 4: Invasive alien species - Outcomes achieved by 2018**

Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals

**Note:** this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018

›

**Target 4: Invasive alien species - Additional Information**

›
Goal 2

Target 5: Ecological character of Ramsar Sites
The ecological character of Ramsar Sites is maintained or restored through effective, planning and integrated management {2.1.}. Contributes to Aichi Target 6,11, 12.

Target 5: Ecological character of Ramsar Sites - Priority
Please select only one option
☐ A=High
☐ B=Medium
☐ C=Low
☐ D=Not relevant
☐ E=No answer

Target 5: Ecological character of Ramsar Sites - Resourcing
Please select only one option
☐ A=Good
☐ B=Adequate
☐ C=Limiting
☐ D=Severely limiting
☐ E=No answer

Target 5: Ecological character of Ramsar Sites - National Targets

Target 5: Ecological character of Ramsar Sites - Planned activity

Target 5: Ecological character of Ramsar Sites - Outcomes achieved by 2018
Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals

Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018

Target 5: Ecological character of Ramsar Sites - Additional Information

>
Target 7: Sites at risk
Sites that are at risk of change of ecological character have threats addressed {2.6.}. Contributes to Aichi Targets 5, 7, 11, 12.

Target 7: Sites at risk - Priority
Please select only one option
☐ A=High
☐ B=Medium
☐ C=Low
☐ D=Not relevant
☐ E=No answer

Target 7: Sites at risk - Resourcing
Please select only one option
☐ A=Good
☐ B=Adequate
☐ C=Limiting
☐ D=Severely limiting
☐ E=No answer

Target 7: Sites at risk - National Targets
>

Target 7: Sites at risk - Planned activity
>

Target 7: Sites at risk - Outcomes achieved by 2018
Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals
Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018
>

Target 7: Sites at risk - Additional Information
>
Goal 3

Target 8: National wetland inventories
National wetland inventories have been either initiated, completed or updated and disseminated and used for promoting the conservation and effective management of all wetlands {1.1.1} KRA 1.1.i. Contributions to Aichi Targets 12, 14, 18, 19.

Target 8: National wetland inventories - Priority
Please select only one option
☐ A=High
☐ B=Medium
☐ C=Low
☐ D=Not relevant
☐ E=No answer

Target 8: National wetland inventories - Resourcing
Please select only one option
☐ A=Good
☐ B=Adequate
☐ C=Limiting
☐ D=Severely limiting
☐ E=No answer

Target 8: National wetland inventories - National Targets

Target 8: National wetland inventories - Planned activity

Target 8: National wetland inventories - Outcomes achieved by 2018
Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals
Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018

Target 8: National wetland inventories - Additional Information

Target 9: Wise Use
The wise use of wetlands is strengthened through integrated resource management at the appropriate scale, inter alia, within a river basin or along a coastal zone {1.3.}. Contributes to Aichi Targets 4, 6, 7.

Target 9: Wise Use - Priority
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=High
☐ B=Medium
☐ C=Low
☐ D=Not relevant
☐ E=No answer

Target 9: Wise Use - Resourcing
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Good
☐ B=Adequate
☐ C=Limiting
☐ D=Severely limiting
☐ E=No answer

Target 9: Wise Use - National Targets
>

Target 9: Wise Use - Planned activity
>

Target 9: Wise Use - Outcomes achieved by 2018
Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals
**Note:** this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018
>

Target 9: Wise Use - Additional Information
>
**Target 10: Traditional Knowledge**

The traditional knowledge innovations and practices of indigenous peoples and local communities relevant for the wise use of wetlands and their customary use of wetland resources, are documented, respected, subject to national legislation and relevant international obligations and fully integrated and reflected in the implementation of the Convention with a full and effective participation of indigenous and local communities at all relevant levels. Contributes to Aichi Target 18.

**Target 10: Traditional Knowledge - Priority**
*Please select only one option*
- □ A=High
- □ B=Medium
- □ C=Low
- □ D=Not relevant
- □ E=No answer

**Target 10: Traditional Knowledge - Resourcing**
*Please select only one option*
- □ A=Good
- □ B=Adequate
- □ C=Limiting
- □ D=Severely limiting
- □ E=No answer

**Target 10: Traditional Knowledge - National Targets**

**Target 10: Traditional Knowledge - Planned activity**

**Target 10: Traditional Knowledge - Outcomes achieved by 2018**

Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals

*Note:* this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018

**Target 10: Traditional Knowledge - Additional Information**


**Target 11: Wetland functions**

Wetland functions, services and benefits are widely demonstrated, documented and disseminated. {1.4.}. Contributes to Aichi Targets 1, 2, 13, 14.

**Target 11: Wetland functions - Priority**

*Please select only one option*

- ☐ A=High
- ☐ B=Medium
- ☐ C=Low
- ☐ D=Not relevant
- ☐ E=No answer

**Target 11: Wetland functions - Resourcing**

*Please select only one option*

- ☐ A=Good
- ☐ B=Adequate
- ☐ C=Limiting
- ☐ D=Severely limiting
- ☐ E=No answer

**Target 11: Wetland functions - National Targets**

>

**Target 11: Wetland functions - Planned activity**

>

**Target 11: Wetland functions - Outcomes achieved by 2018**

Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals

**Note**: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018

>

**Target 11: Wetland functions - Additional Information**

>
Target 12: Restoration
Restoration is in progress in degraded wetlands, with priority to wetlands that are relevant for biodiversity conservation, disaster risk reduction, livelihoods and/or climate change mitigation and adaptation. {1.8.}. Contributes to Aichi Targets 14 and 15.

Target 12: Restoration - Priority
Please select only one option
☐ A=High
☐ B=Medium
☐ C=Low
☐ D=Not relevant
☐ E=No answer

Target 12: Restoration - Resourcing
Please select only one option
☐ A=Good
☐ B=Adequate
☐ C=Limiting
☐ D=Severely limiting
☐ E=No answer

Target 12: Restoration - National Targets

Target 12: Restoration - Planned activity

Target 12: Restoration - Outcomes achieved by 2018
Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals
Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018

Target 12: Restoration - Additional Information

Ramsar National Report to COP13 [Katie Beckett]
Target 13: Enhanced sustainability
Enhanced sustainability of key sectors such as water, energy, mining, agriculture, tourism, urban development, infrastructure, industry, forestry, aquaculture and fisheries when they affect wetlands, contributing to biodiversity conservation and human livelihoods. Contributes to Aichi Targets 6 and 7.

Target 13: Enhanced sustainability - Priority
Please select only one option
☐ A=High
☐ B=Medium
☐ C=Low
☐ D=Not relevant
☐ E=No answer

Target 13: Enhanced sustainability - Resourcing
Please select only one option
☐ A=Good
☐ B=Adequate
☐ C=Limiting
☐ D=Severely limiting
☐ E=No answer

Target 13: Enhanced sustainability - National Targets

Target 13: Enhanced sustainability - Planned activity

Target 13: Enhanced sustainability - Outcomes achieved by 2018
Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals
Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018

Target 13: Enhanced sustainability - Additional Information
Goal 4

Target 15: Regional Initiatives
Ramsar Regional Initiatives with the active involvement and support of the Parties in each region are reinforced and developed into effective tools to assist in the full implementation of the Convention. {3.2.}

Target 15: Regional Initiatives - Priority
Please select only one option
☐ A=High
☐ B=Medium
☐ C=Low
☐ D=Not relevant
☐ E=No answer

Target 15: Regional Initiatives - Resourcing
Please select only one option
☐ A=Good
☐ B=Adequate
☐ C=Limiting
☐ D=Severely limiting
☐ E=No answer

Target 15: Regional Initiatives - National Targets

Target 15: Regional Initiatives - Planned activity

Target 15: Regional Initiatives - Outcomes achieved by 2018
Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals
Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018

Target 15: Regional Initiatives - Additional Information


**Target 16: Wetlands conservation and wise use**

Wetlands conservation and wise use are mainstreamed through communication, capacity development, education, participation and awareness (4.1). Contributes to Aichi Target 1 and 18.

**Target 16: Wetlands conservation and wise use - Priority**

*Please select only one option*

- A=High
- B=Medium
- C=Low
- D=Not relevant
- E=No answer

**Target 16: Wetlands conservation and wise use - Resourcing**

*Please select only one option*

- A=Good
- B=Adequate
- C=Limiting
- D=Severely limiting
- E=No answer

**Target 16: Wetlands conservation and wise use - National Targets**

> 

**Target 16: Wetlands conservation and wise use - Planned activity**

> 

**Target 16: Wetlands conservation and wise use - Outcomes achieved by 2018**

Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals

*Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018*

> 

**Target 16: Wetlands conservation and wise use - Additional Information**

>
Target 17: Financial and other resources

Financial and other resources for effectively implementing the fourth Ramsar Strategic Plan 2016 – 2024 from all sources are made available. {4.2.}. Contributes to Aichi Target 20.

Target 17: Financial and other resources - Priority

Please select only one option

☐ A=High
☐ B=Medium
☐ C=Low
☐ D=Not relevant
☐ E=No answer

Target 17: Financial and other resources - Resourcing

Please select only one option

☐ A=Good
☐ B=Adequate
☐ C=Limiting
☐ D=Severely limiting
☐ E=No answer

Target 17: Financial and other resources - National Targets

>

Target 17: Financial and other resources - Planned activity

>

Target 17: Financial and other resources - Outcomes achieved by 2018

Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals

**Note:** this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018

>

Target 17: Financial and other resources - Additional Information

>
**Target 18: International cooperation**

International cooperation is strengthened at all levels {3.1}

**Target 18: International cooperation - Priority**

*Please select only one option*

- A=High
- B=Medium
- C=Low
- D=Not relevant
- E=No answer

**Target 18: International cooperation - Resourcing**

*Please select only one option*

- A=Good
- B=Adequate
- C=Limiting
- D=Severely limiting
- E=No answer

**Target 18: International cooperation - National Targets**

> 

**Target 18: International cooperation - Planned activity**

> 

**Target 18: International cooperation - Outcomes achieved by 2018**

Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals

*Note:* this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018

> 

**Target 18: International cooperation - Additional Information**

>
**Target 19: Capacity Building**
Capacity building for implementation of the Convention and the 4th Ramsar Strategic Plan 2016 – 2024 is enhanced. Contributes to Aichi Targets 1 and 17.

**Target 19: Capacity Building - Priority**
*Please select only one option*
- ☐ A=High
- ☐ B=Medium
- ☐ C=Low
- ☐ D=Not relevant
- ☐ E=No answer

**Target 19: Capacity Building - Resourcing**
*Please select only one option*
- ☐ A=Good
- ☐ B=Adequate
- ☐ C=Limiting
- ☐ D=Severely limiting
- ☐ E=No answer

**Target 19: Capacity Building - National Targets**

**Target 19: Capacity Building - Planned activity**

**Target 19: Capacity Building - Outcomes achieved by 2018**
Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals
*Note:* this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018

**Target 19: Capacity Building - Additional Information**
Section 5: Optional annex to allow any Contracting Party that so wishes to provide additional information regarding any of all of its designated Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Sites)

Guidance for filling in this section

1. Contracting Parties can provide additional information specific to any or all of their designated Ramsar Sites, given that the situation and status of individual Ramsar Sites can differ greatly within the territory of a Contracting Party.
2. The only indicator questions included in this section are those from Section 3 of the COP13 NRF which directly concern Ramsar Sites.
3. In some cases, to make them meaningful in the context of reporting on each Ramsar Site separately, some of these indicator questions and/or their answer options have been adjusted from their formulation in Section 3 of the COP13 NRF.
4. Please include information on only one site in each row. In the appropriate columns please add the name and official site number (from the Ramsar Sites Information Service).
5. For each ‘indicator question’, please select one answer from the legend.
6. A final column of this Annex is provided as a ‘free text’ box for the inclusion of any additional information concerning the Ramsar Site.

A final column of this Annex is provided as a ‘free text’ box for the inclusion of any additional information concerning the Ramsar Site.

**United Kingdom**

**Abberton Reservoir (220)**

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

*Please select only one option*

- [ ] A=Yes
- [ ] B=No
- [ ] D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

*Please select only one option*

- [ ] A=Yes
- [ ] B=No
- [ ] D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

- [ ] A=Yes
- [ ] B=No
- [ ] C=Partially
- [ ] D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

- [ ] A=Yes
- [ ] B=No
- [ ] C=Partially
- [ ] Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

- [ ] A=Yes
- [ ] B=No
- [ ] C=Partially
- [ ] Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder
involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar
Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Akrotiri (1375)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the
year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of
the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar
Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder
involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar
Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
Any additional comments/information about the site

Alde-Ore Estuary (862)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Alderney West Coast and the Burhou Islands (1587)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Arun Valley (1011)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  
*Please select only one option*  
☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  
*Please select only one option*  
☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?  
*Please select only one option*  
☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?  
*Please select only one option*  
☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

**Avon Valley (926)**

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?  
*Please select only one option*  
☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.  
*Please select only one option*  
☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?  
*Please select only one option*  
☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  
*Please select only one option*  
☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ Z=No Management Plan
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Ballaugh Curragh (1642)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Ballynahone Bog (967)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site
Belfast Lough (958)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Benfleet & Southend Marshes (648)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of
the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information. Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)? Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Bertha's Beach (1103)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site? Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information. Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

> Black Bog (1032)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Blackwater Estuary (543)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

> Booby Pond and Rookery (702)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

> Breydon Water (821)
5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site? 

Please select only one option
- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information. 

Please select only one option
- A=Yes
- B=No

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? 

Please select only one option
- A=Yes
- B=No
- C=Partially
- D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? 

Please select only one option
- A=Yes
- B=No
- C=Partially
- Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? 

Please select only one option
- A=Yes
- B=No
- C=Partially
- Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? 

Please select only one option
- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)? 

Please select only one option
- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Bridgend Flats, Islay (403)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site? 

Please select only one option
- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information. 

Please select only one option
- A=Yes
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*
- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ C=Partially
- □ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*
- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ C=Partially
- □ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*
- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ C=Partially
- □ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*
- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

*Please select only one option*
- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

**Broadland (68)**

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

*Please select only one option*
- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

*Please select only one option*
- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*
- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ C=Partially
- □ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes  ☐ B=No  ☐ C=Partially  ☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes  ☐ B=No  ☐ C=Partially  ☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes  ☐ B=No  ☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Burry Inlet (562)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes  ☐ B=No  ☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes  ☐ B=No  ☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes  ☐ B=No  ☐ C=Partially  ☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes  ☐ B=No  ☐ C=Partially  ☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes  ☐ B=No  ☐ C=Partially
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?  
*Please select only one option*  
☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?  
*Please select only one option*  
☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site:

*Cairngorm Lochs (216)*

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?  
*Please select only one option*  
☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.  
*Please select only one option*  
☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?  
*Please select only one option*  
☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  
*Please select only one option*  
☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  
*Please select only one option*  
☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?  
*Please select only one option*  
☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
Caithness & Sutherland Peatlands (971)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Caithness Lochs (928)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Cameron Reservoir (650)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Carlingford Lough (936)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Castle Loch, Lochmaben (796)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Chesil Beach & The Fleet (300)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
Chichester and Langstone Harbours (378)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
*Please select only one option*
- [ ] A=Yes
- [ ] B=No
- [ ] D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.
*Please select only one option*
- [ ] A=Yes
- [ ] B=No
- [ ] D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
- [ ] A=Yes
- [ ] B=No
- [ ] C=Partially
- [ ] D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
- [ ] A=Yes
- [ ] B=No
- [ ] C=Partially
- [ ] Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
- [ ] A=Yes
- [ ] B=No
- [ ] C=Partially
- [ ] Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
- [ ] A=Yes
- [ ] B=No
- [ ] D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
*Please select only one option*
- [ ] A=Yes
- [ ] B=No
- [ ] D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Chippenham Fen (544)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
*Please select only one option*
- [ ] A=Yes
- [ ] B=No
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Claish Moss (218)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Coll (723)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

> Colne Estuary (665)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Cors Caron (570)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned
Any additional comments/information about the site

Cors Fochno & Dyfi (66)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Corsydd Mon a Llyn (927)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the
year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Cromarty Firth (1001)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Crouch & Roach Estuaries (721)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

- A=Yes
- B=No
- C=Partially
- Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

*Please select only one option*

- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

---

**Crymlyn Bog (608)**

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

*Please select only one option*

- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

*Please select only one option*

- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

- A=Yes
- B=No
- C=Partially
- D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

- A=Yes
- B=No
- C=Partially
- Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

- A=Yes
- B=No
- C=Partially
- Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

- A=Yes
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Cuilcagh Mountain (968)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? 

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site
Deben Estuary (794)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Dengie (651)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of analysis.
the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

**Please select only one option**
- ☐ A=Yes
- ☐ B=No
- ☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
**Please select only one option**
- ☐ A=Yes
- ☐ B=No
- ☐ C=Partially
- ☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
**Please select only one option**
- ☐ A=Yes
- ☐ B=No
- ☐ C=Partially
- ☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
**Please select only one option**
- ☐ A=Yes
- ☐ B=No
- ☐ C=Partially
- ☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
**Please select only one option**
- ☐ A=Yes
- ☐ B=No
- ☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
**Please select only one option**
- ☐ A=Yes
- ☐ B=No
- ☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

> **Dersingham Bog (751)**

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
**Please select only one option**
- ☐ A=Yes
- ☐ B=No
- ☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.
**Please select only one option**
- ☐ A=Yes
- ☐ B=No
- ☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
**Please select only one option**
- ☐ A=Yes
- ☐ B=No
- ☐ C=Partially
- ☐ D=Planned
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Diego Garcia (1077)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?  
*Please select only one option*  
☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?  
*Please select only one option*  
☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site:

Din Moss - Hoselaw Loch (405)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?  
*Please select only one option*  
☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.  
*Please select only one option*  
☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?  
*Please select only one option*  
☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  
*Please select only one option*  
☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  
*Please select only one option*  
☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?  
*Please select only one option*  
☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ D=Planned
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

> Dornoch Firth and Loch Fleet (897)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

> Dorset Heathlands (964)
5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Duddon Estuary (938)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

**East Sanday Coast (917)**

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Eilean Na Muice Duibhe (402)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Esthwaite Water (536)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
**Exe Estuary (542)**

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
- **A=Yes**
- **B=No**
- **D=Planned**

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
- **A=Yes**
- **B=No**
- **D=Planned**

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
- **A=Yes**
- **B=No**
- **C=Partially**
- **D=Planned**

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
- **A=Yes**
- **B=No**
- **C=Partially**
- **D=Planned**

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
- **A=Yes**
- **B=No**
- **C=Partially**
- **D=Planned**

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
- **A=Yes**
- **B=No**
- **D=Planned**

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
- **A=Yes**
- **B=No**
- **D=Planned**

Any additional comments/information about the site

**Fairy Water Bogs (1033)**

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
- **A=Yes**
- **B=No**
- **D=Planned**

Any additional comments/information about the site


5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
- [ ] A=Yes
- [ ] B=No
- [ ] D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
- [ ] A=Yes
- [ ] B=No
- [ ] C=Partially
- [ ] D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
- [ ] A=Yes
- [ ] B=No
- [ ] C=Partially
- [ ] Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
- [ ] A=Yes
- [ ] B=No
- [ ] C=Partially
- [ ] Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
- [ ] A=Yes
- [ ] B=No
- [ ] D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
- [ ] A=Yes
- [ ] B=No
- [ ] D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Fala Flow (465)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
- [ ] A=Yes
- [ ] B=No
- [ ] D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
- [ ] A=Yes
- [ ] B=No
- [ ] D=Planned
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site:

Fardrum and Roosky Turloughs (1177)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  
*Please select only one option*
- [ ] A=Yes
- [ ] B=No
- [ ] C=Partially
- [ ] Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?  
*Please select only one option*
- [ ] A=Yes
- [ ] B=No
- [ ] D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?  
*Please select only one option*
- [ ] A=Yes
- [ ] B=No
- [ ] D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

**Firth of Forth (1111)**

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?  
*Please select only one option*
- [ ] A=Yes
- [ ] B=No
- [ ] D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.  
*Please select only one option*
- [ ] A=Yes
- [ ] B=No
- [ ] D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?  
*Please select only one option*
- [ ] A=Yes
- [ ] B=No
- [ ] C=Partially
- [ ] D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  
*Please select only one option*
- [ ] A=Yes
- [ ] B=No
- [ ] C=Partially
- [ ] Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  
*Please select only one option*
- [ ] A=Yes
- [ ] B=No
- [ ] C=Partially
- [ ] Z=No Management Plan
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes

☐ B=No

☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes

☐ B=No

☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Firth of Tay and Eden Estuary (1034)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes

☐ B=No

☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes

☐ B=No

☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes

☐ B=No

☐ C=Partially

☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes

☐ B=No

☐ C=Partially

☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes

☐ B=No

☐ C=Partially

☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes

☐ B=No

☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes
Any additional comments/information about the site

Foulness (861)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Garron Plateau (969)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Any additional comments/information about the site

Garry Bog (1042)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Gibraltar Point (589)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Gladhouse Reservoir (404)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Gough Island (1868)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned
Any additional comments/information about the site

**Greenlaw Moor (795)**

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

**Gruinart Flats, Islay (401)**

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the
5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Hamford Water (607)

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
- [□] A=Yes
- [□] B=No
- [□] C=Partially
- [□] Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
- [□] A=Yes
- [□] B=No
- [□] C=Partially
- [□] Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
- [□] A=Yes
- [□] B=No
- [□] D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
*Please select only one option*
- [□] A=Yes
- [□] B=No
- [□] D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site:

Holburn Lake & Moss (302)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
*Please select only one option*
- [□] A=Yes
- [□] B=No
- [□] D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.
*Please select only one option*
- [□] A=Yes
- [□] B=No
- [□] D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
- [□] A=Yes
- [□] B=No
- [□] C=Partially
- [□] D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
- [□] A=Yes
- [□] B=No
- [□] C=Partially
- [□] Z=No Management Plan
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Humber Estuary (663)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

**Hungry Bay Mangrove Swamp (987)**

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
- A=Yes
- B=No
- C=Partially
- D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
- A=Yes
- B=No
- C=Partially
- Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
- A=Yes
- B=No
- C=Partially
- Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site
Inaccessible Island (1869)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Inner Clyde Estuary (1036)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of
the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Inner Moray Firth (1002)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  
*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
- B=No
- C=Partially
- Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  
*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
- B=No
- C=Partially
- Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?  
*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?  
*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Irthinghead Mires (303)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?  
*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.  
*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?  
*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
- B=No
- C=Partially
- D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  
*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
- B=No
- C=Partially
- Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  
*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

**Isles of Scilly (1095)**

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

*Please select only one option*

- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

> 

**Kintyre Goose Roosts (966)**

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

*Please select only one option*

- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

*Please select only one option*

- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ C=Partially
- □ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ C=Partially
- □ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ C=Partially
- □ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

*Please select only one option*

- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

>

**Larne Lough (895)**
5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Lee Valley (1037)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
- B=No
- C=Partially
- D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
- B=No
- C=Partially
- Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
- B=No
- C=Partially
- Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Leighton Moss (323)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.
*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
- B=No
- C=Partially
- D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar...
Site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

> **Les Écrehous & Les Dirouilles, Jersey (1455)**

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Les Minquiers, Jersey (1456)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
Les Pierres de Lecq (1457)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
[ ] A=Yes
[ ] B=No
[ ] D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.
[ ] A=Yes
[ ] B=No
[ ] D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
[ ] A=Yes
[ ] B=No
[ ] C=Partially
[ ] D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
[ ] A=Yes
[ ] B=No
[ ] C=Partially
[ ] Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
[ ] A=Yes
[ ] B=No
[ ] C=Partially
[ ] Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
[ ] A=Yes
[ ] B=No
[ ] D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
[ ] A=Yes
[ ] B=No
[ ] D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Lewis Peatlands (1046)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
[ ] A=Yes
[ ] B=No
[ ] D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Lewis Peatlands (1046)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
[ ] A=Yes
[ ] B=No
[ ] D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Any additional comments/information about the site

Lihou Island and l'Erée Headland, Guernsey (1608)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Lindisfarne (70)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Llyn Idwal (534)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site:

Llyn Tegid (535)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
- B=No
- C=Partially
- D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
- B=No
- C=Partially
- Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
- B=No
- C=Partially
- Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
Loch an Duin (468)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

- A=Yes
- B=No
- C=Partially
- D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

- A=Yes
- B=No
- C=Partially
- Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

- A=Yes
- B=No
- C=Partially
- Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

Loch Eye (340)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

- A=Yes
- B=No
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Loch Ken & River Dee Marshes (568)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
- [ ] A=Yes
- [ ] B=No
- [ ] C=Partially
- [ ] D=Planned

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
- [ ] A=Yes
- [ ] B=No
- [ ] C=Partially
- [ ] D=Planned

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
- [ ] A=Yes
- [ ] B=No
- [ ] D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
- [ ] A=Yes
- [ ] B=No
- [ ] D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

> Loch Leven (72)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
- [ ] A=Yes
- [ ] B=No
- [ ] D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
- [ ] A=Yes
- [ ] B=No
- [ ] D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
- [ ] A=Yes
- [ ] B=No
- [ ] C=Partially
- [ ] D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
- [ ] A=Yes
- [ ] B=No
- [ ] C=Partially
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Loch Lomond (73)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Any additional comments/information about the site

Loch Maree (700)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
Any additional comments/information about the site

Loch of Inch & Torrs Warren (972)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Loch of Kinnordy (652)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the
year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Loch of Lintrathen (217)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

> **Loch of Skene (339)**

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

**Loch of Strathbeg (778)**

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Loch Ruthven (855)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site
Loch Spynie (569)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Lough Foyle (974)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of...
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Lough Neagh & Lough Beg (74)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*
- [ ] A=Yes
- [ ] B=No
- [ ] C=Partially
- [ ] Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*
- [ ] A=Yes
- [ ] B=No
- [ ] C=Partially
- [ ] Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*
- [ ] A=Yes
- [ ] B=No
- [ ] C=Partially
- [ ] D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

*Please select only one option*
- [ ] A=Yes
- [ ] B=No
- [ ] C=Partially
- [ ] D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Lover's Lake Nature Reserve (989)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

*Please select only one option*
- [ ] A=Yes
- [ ] B=No
- [ ] D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

*Please select only one option*
- [ ] A=Yes
- [ ] B=No
- [ ] D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*
- [ ] A=Yes
- [ ] B=No
- [ ] C=Partially
- [ ] D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*
- [ ] A=Yes
- [ ] B=No
- [ ] C=Partially
- [ ] Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*
- [ ] A=Yes
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site:

Lower Derwent Valley (301)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

> Magheraveely Marl Loughs (1717)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

> Malham Tarn (634)
5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

> **Martin Mere (324)**

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?  
*Please select only one option*
- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ C=Partially
- □ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  
*Please select only one option*
- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ C=Partially
- □ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  
*Please select only one option*
- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ C=Partially
- □ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?  
*Please select only one option*
- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?  
*Please select only one option*
- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

*Medway Estuary & Marshes (645)*

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?  
*Please select only one option*
- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.  
*Please select only one option*
- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?  
*Please select only one option*
- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ C=Partially
- □ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  
*Please select only one option*
- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ C=Partially
- □ Z=No Management Plan
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  
*Please select only one option*
- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ C=Partially
- □ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?  
*Please select only one option*
- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?  
*Please select only one option*
- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Mersey Estuary (785)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?  
*Please select only one option*
- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.  
*Please select only one option*
- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?  
*Please select only one option*
- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ C=Partially
- □ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  
*Please select only one option*
- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ C=Partially
- □ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  
*Please select only one option*
- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ C=Partially
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Midland Meres & Mosses (653)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
Midland Meres and Mosses Phase 2 (891)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Minsmere - Walberswick (75)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

**Please select only one option**

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

**Please select only one option**

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

**Please select only one option**

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

**Please select only one option**

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

**Please select only one option**

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

**Please select only one option**

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

> Montrose Basin (716)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

**Please select only one option**

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

**Please select only one option**

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Moray and Nairn Coast (890)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Morecambe Bay (863)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site:

**Muir of Dinnet (1003)**

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
- B=No
- C=Partially
- D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
- B=No
- C=Partially
- Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
- B=No
- C=Partially
- Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
Any additional comments/information about the site

Nene Washes (587)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.
*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
- B=No
- C=Partially
- D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
- B=No
- C=Partially
- Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
- B=No
- C=Partially
- Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

North Norfolk Coast (76)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
- B=No
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

North Uist Machair and Islands (1004)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

North, Middle & East Caicos Islands (493)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
   Please select only one option
   ☐ A=Yes
   ☐ B=No
   ☐ C=Partially
   ☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
   Please select only one option
   ☐ A=Yes
   ☐ B=No
   ☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
   Please select only one option
   ☐ A=Yes
   ☐ B=No
   ☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Northumbria Coast (1019)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
   Please select only one option
   ☐ A=Yes
   ☐ B=No
   ☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.
   Please select only one option
   ☐ A=Yes
   ☐ B=No
   ☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
   Please select only one option
   ☐ A=Yes
   ☐ B=No
   ☐ C=Partially
   ☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
   Please select only one option
   ☐ A=Yes
   ☐ B=No
   ☐ C=Partially
   ☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
   Please select only one option
   ☐ A=Yes
   ☐ B=No
   ☐ C=Partially
   ☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

**Ouse Washes (77)**

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned
Any additional comments/information about the site

**Paget Marsh (990)**

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

*Please select only one option*

- ☐ A=Yes
- ☐ B=No
- ☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

*Please select only one option*

- ☐ A=Yes
- ☐ B=No
- ☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

- ☐ A=Yes
- ☐ B=No
- ☐ C=Partially
- ☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

- ☐ A=Yes
- ☐ B=No
- ☐ C=Partially
- ☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

- ☐ A=Yes
- ☐ B=No
- ☐ C=Partially
- ☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

- ☐ A=Yes
- ☐ B=No
- ☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

*Please select only one option*

- ☐ A=Yes
- ☐ B=No
- ☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

> 

**Pagham Harbour (396)**

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

*Please select only one option*

- ☐ A=Yes
- ☐ B=No
- ☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the
year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of
the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Pembroke Marsh East (988)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  
*Please select only one option*  
☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  
*Please select only one option*  
☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?  
*Please select only one option*  
☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?  
*Please select only one option*  
☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site: 

**Pettigoe Plateau (872)**

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?  
*Please select only one option*  
☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.  
*Please select only one option*  
☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?  
*Please select only one option*  
☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  
*Please select only one option*  
☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ Z=No Management Plan
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

> Pevensey Levels (973)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Poole Harbour (1005)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site
**Portsmouth Harbour (720)**

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.
*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
- B=No
- C=Partially
- D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
- B=No
- C=Partially
- Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
- B=No
- C=Partially
- Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

> **Rannoch Moor (78)**

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of
the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Redgrave and South Lopham Fens (513)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Ribble & Alt Estuaries (325)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site:

Rinns of Islay (466)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

River Spey - Insh Marshes (889)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Ronas Hill - North Roe & Tingon (916)
5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Rostherne Mere (221)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

- **A=Yes**
- **B=No**
- **C=Partially**
- **D=Planned**

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

- **A=Yes**
- **B=No**
- **C=Partially**
- **Z=No Management Plan**

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

- **A=Yes**
- **B=No**
- **C=Partially**
- **Z=No Management Plan**

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

- **A=Yes**
- **B=No**
- **D=Planned**

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

*Please select only one option*

- **A=Yes**
- **B=No**
- **D=Planned**

Any additional comments/information about the site

> Roydon Common (588)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

*Please select only one option*

- **A=Yes**
- **B=No**
- **D=Planned**

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

*Please select only one option*

- **A=Yes**
- **B=No**
- **D=Planned**

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

- **A=Yes**
- **B=No**
- **C=Partially**
- **D=Planned**

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Rutland Water (533)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site:

**Sea Lion Island (1104)**

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
- B=No
- C=Partially
- D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
- B=No
- C=Partially
- Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
- B=No
- C=Partially
- Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
Severn Estuary (67)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Silver Flowe (219)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Any additional comments/information about the site
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Slieve Beagh (1035)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  
Please select only one option  
☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?  
Please select only one option  
☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?  
Please select only one option  
☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Solent and Southampton Water (965)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?  
Please select only one option  
☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.  
Please select only one option  
☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?  
Please select only one option  
☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  
Please select only one option  
☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  
Please select only one option  
☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ Z=No Management Plan
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Somerset Levels and Moors (914)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
Any additional comments/information about the site

**Somerset Long Bay Pond (985)**

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?  
*Please select only one option*  
☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.  
*Please select only one option*  
☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?  
*Please select only one option*  
☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  
*Please select only one option*  
☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  
*Please select only one option*  
☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?  
*Please select only one option*  
☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?  
*Please select only one option*  
☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

**South East Coast of Jersey, Channel Islands (1043)**

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?  
*Please select only one option*  
☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

South Tayside Goose Roosts (601)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

> South Uist Machair & Lochs (71)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  
*Please select only one option*
- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ C=Partially
- □ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?  
*Please select only one option*
- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?  
*Please select only one option*
- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

**South West London Waterbodies (1038)**

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?  
*Please select only one option*
- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.  
*Please select only one option*
- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?  
*Please select only one option*
- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ C=Partially
- □ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  
*Please select only one option*
- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ C=Partially
- □ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  
*Please select only one option*
- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ C=Partially
- □ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Spittal Pond (984)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned
Any additional comments/information about the site

Stodmarsh (646)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Stour & Orwell Estuaries (662)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the
year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Strangford Loch (937)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
- [ ] A=Yes
- [ ] B=No
- [ ] C=Partially
- [ ] Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
- [ ] A=Yes
- [ ] B=No
- [ ] C=Partially
- [ ] Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
- [ ] A=Yes
- [ ] B=No
- [ ] D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
*Please select only one option*
- [ ] A=Yes
- [ ] B=No
- [ ] D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site:

**Teesmouth & Cleveland Coast (741)**

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
*Please select only one option*
- [ ] A=Yes
- [ ] B=No
- [ ] D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.
*Please select only one option*
- [ ] A=Yes
- [ ] B=No
- [ ] D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
- [ ] A=Yes
- [ ] B=No
- [ ] C=Partially
- [ ] D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
- [ ] A=Yes
- [ ] B=No
- [ ] C=Partially
- [ ] Z=No Management Plan
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Thames Estuary and Marshes (1025)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Thanet Coast & Sandwich Bay (664)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site
The Dee Estuary (298)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

The Mersey Narrows and North Wirral Foreshore (2202)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of
the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

The New Forest (622)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

The Swale (299)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

The Wash (395)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Thursley & Ockley Bog (647)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Turmennan Lough (1178)
5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

- Please select only one option
  - ☐ A=Yes
  - ☐ B=No
  - ☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

- Please select only one option
  - ☐ A=Yes
  - ☐ B=No
  - ☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

- Please select only one option
  - ☐ A=Yes
  - ☐ B=No
  - ☐ C=Partially
  - ☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

- Please select only one option
  - ☐ A=Yes
  - ☐ B=No
  - ☐ C=Partially
  - ☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

- Please select only one option
  - ☐ A=Yes
  - ☐ B=No
  - ☐ C=Partially
  - ☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

- Please select only one option
  - ☐ A=Yes
  - ☐ B=No
  - ☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

- Please select only one option
  - ☐ A=Yes
  - ☐ B=No
  - ☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site:

---

Upper Lough Erne (896)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

- Please select only one option
  - ☐ A=Yes
  - ☐ B=No
  - ☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

- Please select only one option
  - ☐ A=Yes
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Upper Nene Valley Gravel Pits (2023)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
- Yes
- No
- Partially
- No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
- Yes
- No
- Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
- Yes
- No
- Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Upper Solway Flats & Marshes (341)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
- Yes
- No
- Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
- Yes
- No
- Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
- Yes
- No
- Partially
- Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
- Yes
- No
- Partially
- No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
- Yes
- No
- Partially
- No Management Plan
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site:

Walmore Common (538)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
Warwick Pond (986)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Any additional comments/information about the site

Western Salt Ponds of Anegada (983)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Any additional comments/information about the site

Westwater (780)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Wicken Fen (752)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Woodwalton Fen (753)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site:

Ythan Estuary & Meikle Loch (939)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
Any additional comments/information about the site

Gouliot Caves and Headland, Sark (2276)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Herm, Jethou and The Humps (2277)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Killough Bay (2278)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

☐ A=Yes  ☐ B=No  ☐ C=Partially  ☐ D=Planned

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

☐ A=Yes  ☐ B=No  ☐ C=Partially  ☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

☐ A=Yes  ☐ B=No  ☐ C=Partially  ☐ D=Planned

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

☐ A=Yes  ☐ B=No  ☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

☐ A=Yes  ☐ B=No  ☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

☐ A=Yes  ☐ B=No  ☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

> Outer Ards (2279)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

☐ A=Yes  ☐ B=No  ☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

☐ A=Yes  ☐ B=No  ☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

☐ A=Yes  ☐ B=No  ☐ C=Partially  ☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

☐ A=Yes  ☐ B=No  ☐ C=Partially
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

- [ ] A=Yes
- [ ] B=No
- [ ] C=Partially
- [ ] Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

- [ ] A=Yes
- [ ] B=No
- [ ] D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

*Please select only one option*

- [ ] A=Yes
- [ ] B=No
- [ ] D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site