Ramsar National Report to COP14

COP14 National Report

Background information

1. The COP14 National Report Format (NRF) has been approved at its 57th meeting (SC57) for the Ramsar Convention's Contracting Parties to complete as their national reporting to the 14th meeting of the Conference of the Contracting Parties of the Convention (China, 2021).

2. The NRF is being issued by the Secretariat in 2019 to facilitate Contracting Parties' implementation planning and preparations for completing the Report. The deadline for submission of national targets is by 24 January 2020 and the deadline for submission of completed National Reports is 21 January 2021 (final dates will be updated once the dates for COP14 are agreed).

3. This COP14 NRF closely follows that of the NRF used for COP13, to permit continuity of reporting and analysis of implementation progress by ensuring that indicator questions are as far as possible consistent with previous NRFs (and especially the COP13 NRF). It is also structured in terms of the Goals and Strategies of the 2016-2024 Ramsar Strategic Plan adopted at COP12 as Resolution XII.2.

4. This COP14 NRF includes 90 indicator questions. In addition, Section 4 is provided as an optional Annex in order to facilitate the task of preparing the Party's National Targets and Actions for the implementation of each of the targets of the Strategic Plan 2016-2024 according to Resolution XII.2.

5. As was the case for previous NRF, the COP14 NRF includes an optional section (Section 5) to permit a Contracting Party to provide additional information, on indicators relevant to each individual Wetland of International Importance (Ramsar Site) within its territory.

6. Note that, for the purposes of this national reporting to the Ramsar Convention, the scope of the term "wetland" is that of the Convention text, i.e. all inland wetlands (including lakes and rivers), all nearshore coastal wetlands (including tidal marshes, mangroves and coral reefs) and human-made wetlands (e.g. rice paddy and reservoirs), even if a national definition of "wetland" may differ from that adopted by the Contracting Parties to the Ramsar Convention.

The purposes and uses of national reporting to the Conference of the Contracting Parties

7. National Reports from Contracting Parties are official documents of the Convention and are made publicly available on the Convention's website.

8. There are seven main purposes for the Convention's National Reports. These are to:

i) provide data and information on how, and to what extent, the Convention is being implemented ii) provide tools for countries for their national planning

iii) capture lessons and experience to help Parties plan future action;

iv) identify emerging issues and implementation challenges faced by Parties that may require further attention from the Conference of the Parties;

v) provide a means for Parties to account for their commitments under the Convention;

vi) provide each Party with a tool to help it assess and monitor its progress in implementing the Convention, and to plan its future priorities; and

vii) provide an opportunity for Parties to draw attention to their achievements during the triennium. 9. The data and information provided by Parties in their National Reports have another valuable purpose as well, since a number of the indicators in the National Reports on Parties' implementation provide key sources of information for the analysis and assessment of the "ecological outcome-oriented indicators of effectiveness of the implementation of the Convention".

10. To facilitate the analysis and subsequent use of the data and information provided by Contracting Parties in their National Reports, the Ramsar Secretariat holds in a database all the information it has received and verified. As for COP13, the COP14 reports will be in an online National Reporting system.

11. The Convention's National Reports are used in a number of ways. These include:

i) providing an opportunity to compile and analyze information that contracting parties can use to inform their national planning and programming.

ii) providing the basis for reporting by the Secretariat to each meeting of the Conference of the Parties on the global, national and regional implementation, and the progress in implementation, of the Convention. This is provided to Parties at the COP as a series of Information Papers, including:

* the Report of the Secretary General on the implementation of the Convention at the global level;

* the Report of the Secretary General pursuant to Article 8.2 (b), (c), and (d) concerning the List of Wetlands of International Importance); and

* the reports providing regional overviews of the implementation of the Convention and its Strategic Plan in each Ramsar region;

iii) providing information on specific implementation issues in support of the provision of advice and decisions by Parties at the COP.

iv) providing the source data for time-series assessments of progress on specific aspects in the implementation of the Convention included in other Convention products. An example is the summary of progress since COP3 (Regina, 1997) in the development of National Wetland Policies, included as Table 1 in Ramsar Wise Use Handbook 2 (4th edition, 2010); and

v) providing information for reporting to the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) on the national implementation of the CBD/Ramsar Joint Work Plan and the Ramsar Convention's lead implementation role on wetlands for the CBD. In particular, the Ramsar Secretariat and STRP used the COP10 NRF indicators extensively in 2009 to prepare contributions to the in-depth review of the CBD programme of work on the biological diversity of inland water ecosystems for consideration by CBD SBSTTA14 and COP10 during 2010 (see UNEP/CBD/SBSTTA/14/3). Similar use of COP13 NRF indicators is anticipated for the CBD's post-2020 global biodiversity framework.

12. The structure of the COP14 National Report Format

Section 1 provides the institutional information about the Administrative Authority and National Focal Points for the national implementation of the Convention.

Section 2 is a 'free-text' section in which the Party is invited to provide a summary of various aspects of national implementation progress and recommendations for the future.

Section 3 provides the 90 implementation indicator questions, grouped under each Convention implementation Goals and Targets in the Strategic Plan 2016-2024, and with an optional 'free-text' section under each indicator question in which the Contracting Party may, if it wishes, add further information on national implementation of that activity.

Section 4 is an optional annex to allow any Contracting Party that has developed national targets to provide information on the targets and actions for the implementation of each of the targets of the Strategic Plan 2016-2024.

In line with Resolution XII.2, which encourages Contracting Parties "to develop and submit to the Secretariat on or before December 2016, and according to their national priorities, capabilities and resources, their own quantifiable and time-bound national and regional targets in line with the targets set in the Strategic Plan", all Parties are encouraged to consider using this comprehensive national planning tool as soon as possible, in order to identify the areas of highest priority for action and the relevant national targets and actions for each target.

The planning of national targets offers, for each of them, the possibility of indicating the national priority for that area of activity as well as the level of resourcing available, or that could be made available during the triennium, for its implementation. In addition, there are specific boxes to indicate the National Targets for implementation by 2021 and the planned national activities that are designed to deliver these targets. Ramsar Strategic Plan 2016-2024 shows the synergies between CBD Aichi Biodiversity Targets and Ramsar Targets. Therefore, the NRF provide an opportunity that Contracting Parties indicate as appropriate how the actions they undertake for the implementation of the Ramsar Convention contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets according to paragraph 51 of Resolution XII.3.

Section 5 is an optional annex to allow any Contracting Party that so wishes to provide additional information regarding any or all of its Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Sites).

General guidance for completing and submitting the COP14 National Report Format

Important - please read this guidance section before starting to complete the National Report form 13. All Sections of the COP14 NRF should be completed in one of the Convention's official languages (English, French, Spanish).

14. The deadline for submission of the completed NRF is January 21st 2021. It will not be possible to

include information from National Reports received after that date in the analysis and reporting on Convention implementation to COP14.

15. The deadline for submission of national targets is by 24 January 2020

16. To help Contracting Parties refer to relevant information they provided in their National Report to COP12, for each appropriate indicator a cross-reference is provided to the equivalent indicator(s) in the COP12 NRF or previous NRF, shown thus: $\{x.x.x\}$

17. For follow up and where appropriate, a cross-reference is also provided to the relevant Key Result Area (KRA) relating to Contracting Parties implementation in the Strategic Plan 2009-2015.

18. Only Strategic Plan 2016-2024 Targets for which there are implementation actions for Contracting Parties are included in this reporting format. Those targets of the Strategic Plan that do not refer directly to Parties are omitted in the National Report Format as the information is provided through the Ramsar Sites Data Base or the Work Plan of the Scientific and Technical Review Panel (e.g. targets 6 and 14).

19. For each indicator question you can choose only one answer. If you wish to provide further information or clarification, do so in the additional information box below the relevant indicator question. Please be as concise as possible (**maximum of 500 words** in each free-text box).

20. The NRF should ideally be completed by the principal compiler in consultation with relevant colleagues in their agency and others within the government and, as appropriate, with NGOs and other stakeholders who might have fuller knowledge of aspects of the Party's overall implementation of the Convention. The principal compiler can save the document at any point and return to it later to continue or to amend answers. Compilers should refer back to the National Report submitted for COP13 to ensure the continuity and consistency of information provided. In the online system there is an option to allow consultation with others.

21. The completed NRF must be accompanied by a letter that can be uploaded in the online system or send by email (nationalreports@ramsar.org) in the name of the Head of Administrative Authority, confirming that this is the Contracting Party's official submission of its COP14 National Report.

If you have any questions or problems, please contact the Ramsar Secretariat for advice (nationalreports@ramsar.org).

Section 1: Institutional Information

Important note: the responses below will be considered by the Ramsar Secretariat as the definitive list of your focal points, and will be used to update the information it holds. The Secretariat's current information about your focal points is available at https://www.ramsar.org/search?f%5B0%5D=type%3Aperson#search-contacts

Name of Contracting Party

The completed National Report **must be accompanied by a letter** in the name of the Head of Administrative Authority, confirming that this is the Contracting Party's official submission of its COP14 National Report. It can be attached to this question using the "Manage documents" function (blue symbol below) > Republic of Uganda

Designated Ramsar Administrative Authority

Name of Administrative Authority > Ministry of Water and Environment

Head of Administrative Authority - name and title > Collins Oloya

Mailing address > Ministry of Water and Environment P. O. Box 20026 Kabalega Crescent plot 37, Luzira KAMPALA

Telephone/Fax > +256 772889622

Email > oloyacollins@gmail.com

Designated National Focal Point for Ramsar Convention Matters

Name and title > Lucy lyango

Mailing address > Ministry of Water and Environment P. O. Box 20026 Kabalega Crescent plot 37, Luzira KAMPALA

Telephone/Fax > +256 772886422

Email > iyangol2010@gmail.com

Designated National Focal Point for Matters Relating to The Scientific and Technical Review Panel (STRP)

Name and title > Kansiime Frank - Professor

Name of organisation > MAKERERE UNIVERSITY COLLEGE OF AGRICULTURE AND ENVIRONMENT SCIENCES

Mailing address > MAKERERE UNIVERSITY COLLEGE OF AGRICULTURE AND ENVIRONMENT SCIENCES P.O. Box 7062, Kampala, Uganda

Telephone/Fax > +256- 414 542277 Email > fkansiime@gmail.com

Designated Government National Focal Point for Matters Relating to The Programme on Communication, Education, Participation and Awareness (CEPA)

Name and title > Barugahare Vincent, Principal Wetlands Officer

Name of organisation > Ministry of Water and Environment

Mailing address > Ministry of Water and Environment P.O. Box 20026, Kampala-UGANDA

Telephone/Fax > +256-774434969

Email > vbarugahare@yahoo.com

Designated Non-Government National Focal Point for Matters Relating to The Programme on Communication, Education, Participation and Awareness (CEPA)

Name and title > Byaruhanga Achilles- Executive Director

Name of organisation > Nature Uganda

Mailing address > Address: Katalima Crescent Lower Naguru, Kampala, Uganda P.O.Box 27034, Kampala

Telephone/Fax > Phone: +256 414 540719

Email > info@natureuganda.org

Section 2: General summary of national implementation progress and challenges

In your country, in the past triennium (i.e., since COP13 reporting)

A. What have been the five most successful aspects of implementation of the Convention?

1)

Demarcation and marking of over 2,000 kilometres of critical wetland boundaries to protect them against encroachment. Most of these wetlands are used as water sources for urban areas.

2)

> Reviewing and updating 5 management plans including Mabamba Bay Ramsar Site Management Plan

3)

Detailed assessment of Lake Wamala wetland system which is being proposed as a Ramsar and the request will later be submitted to the secretariat.

4)

> Restoration of over 10,000 hectares of degraded wetland ecosystems and linking them to mini-irrigation schemes.

5)

> Development of two trans boundary wetland management plans for Sango-Bay Minziro (Uganda and Tanzania) and River Semiliki wetlands (Uganda and Democratic Republic of Congo)

B. What have been the five greatest difficulties in implementing the Convention?

1)

> Increasing population with the associated land requirements for housing estates, agriculture and industrialization.

2)

> Limited awareness among the stakeholders at different levels from regional to local community level.

3)

> Conflicting policies among sectors some of which result in wetland degradation. For example the agriculture and other infrastructure sectors have contributed a great deal to wetland degradation.

4)

> Limited information quantitative data to guide strategic decision making.

5)

> Inadequate funding sources for wetland management interventions for both government and nongovernmental organisations. Under this limitation, research has mainly been affected

C. What are the five priorities for future implementation of the Convention?

1)

Increasing wetland coverage through restoration of degraded wetland areas and putting measures to protect intact ones.

2)

> Reducing further encroachment through demarcating and marking clear boundaries

3)

> Developing management tools including guidelines and management plans to guide wise use interventions

4)

> Finalizing the review of the wetland policy and enacting wetland specific law

5)

> Accrediting at least one town as a Ramsar city

D. Do you (AA) have any recommendations concerning priorities for implementation assistance and

requirements for such assistance from the Ramsar Secretariat? > Continued provision of technical assistance, guidelines and other wetland management tools.

E. Do you (AA) have any recommendations concerning implementation assistance from the Convention's International Organisation Partners (IOPs)? (including ongoing partnerships and partnerships to develop) > Identifying support both financial and human to enhance ongoing wetland management efforts.

F. How can national implementation of the Ramsar Convention be better linked with implementation of other multilateral environmental agreements (MEAs), especially those in the 'biodiversity cluster' (Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD), Convention on Migratory Species (CMS), Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species (CITES), World Heritage Convention (WHC), and United Nations Convention to Combat Desertification (UNCCD) and the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC)?

> By creating information sharing and exchange platforms.

G. How is the Ramsar Convention linked with the implementation of water policy/strategy and other strategies in the country (e.g., on sustainable development, energy, extractive industries, poverty reduction, sanitation, food security, biodiversity) and how this could be improved? > It is now mandatory for all government sectors both national and local to streamline environmental management issues including wetlands into their sector plans, short of which Ministry of finance withholds funding. In addition, all irrigation projects are integrating wetland restoration and protection activities to ensure sustainable water supply.

H. According to paragraph 21 of Resolution XIII.18 on Gender and wetlands, please provide a short description about the balance between men and women participating in wetland-related decisions, programmes and research.

> Wetland related decisions are taken at different levels including national, local government and community level. At the community level, wetland management decisions are taken by either wetland management committees or user associations. It is a requirement for every committee to preserve specific positions for women. At local and national government levels the natural resources committee provide for gender equity while allocating responsibilities.

I. Do you (AA) have any other general comments on the implementation of the Convention? > Apart from the traditional challenges facing the implementation of the convention including population pressures and lack of alternatives by the wetland dependent communities, climate change has also created new pressures on wetlands.

J. Please list the names of the organisations which have been consulted on or have contributed to the information provided in this report

Nature Uganda, Environment Alert, Directorate of Water Resources Management, Uganda Wetlands Forum, Uganda Wildlife Authority, WWF-Uganda Office, Ministry of Agriculture, Animal Industry and Fisheries and a local Community Based Organisation Lutember Ramsar site Wetland Users Association.

Section 3: Indicator questions and further implementation information

Goal 1. Addressing the drivers of wetland loss and degradation

[Reference to Sustainable Development Goals 1, 2, 6, 8, 11, 13, 14, 15]

Target 1

Wetland benefits are featured in national/ local policy strategies and plans relating to key sectors such as water, energy, mining, agriculture, tourism, urban development, infrastructure, industry, forestry, aquaculture, fisheries at the national and local level. [Reference to Aichi Target 2]

1.1 Have wetland conservation and the identification of wetlands benefits been integrated into sustainable approaches to the following national strategies and planning processes, including: $\{1.3.2\}$ $\{1.3.3\}$ KRA 1.3.i

Please select only one per square.

a) National Policy or strategy for wetland management	□ X=Unknown □ D=Planned □ C=Partially □ B=No ☑ A=Yes □ Y=Not Relevant
b) Poverty eradication strategies	□ X=Unknown □ D=Planned □ C=Partially □ B=No ☑ A=Yes □ Y=Not Relevant
c) Water resource management and water efficiency plans	□ X=Unknown □ D=Planned □ C=Partially □ B=No ☑ A=Yes □ Y=Not Relevant
d) Coastal and marine resource management plans	□ X=Unknown □ D=Planned □ C=Partially □ B=No □ A=Yes ☑ Y=Not Relevant
e) Integrated Coastal Zone Management Plan	□ X=Unknown □ D=Planned □ C=Partially □ B=No □ A=Yes ☑ Y=Not Relevant
f) National forest programmes	□ X=Unknown □ D=Planned □ C=Partially □ B=No ☑ A=Yes □ Y=Not Relevant
g) National policies or measures on agriculture	□ X=Unknown □ D=Planned □ C=Partially □ B=No ☑ A=Yes □ Y=Not Relevant
h) National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plans drawn up under the CBD	□ X=Unknown □ D=Planned □ C=Partially □ B=No ☑ A=Yes □ Y=Not Relevant

i) National policies on energy and mining	□ X=Unknown □ D=Planned □ C=Partially □ B=No ☑ A=Yes □ Y=Not Relevant
j) National policies on tourism	□ X=Unknown □ D=Planned □ C=Partially □ B=No ☑ A=Yes □ Y=Not Relevant
k) National policies on urban development	□ X=Unknown □ D=Planned □ C=Partially □ B=No ☑ A=Yes □ Y=Not Relevant
 National policies on infrastructure 	□ X=Unknown □ D=Planned □ C=Partially □ B=No ☑ A=Yes □ Y=Not Relevant
m) National policies on industry	□ X=Unknown □ D=Planned □ C=Partially □ B=No ☑ A=Yes □ Y=Not Relevant
n) National policies on aquaculture and fisheries {1.3.3} KRA 1.3.i	□ X=Unknown □ D=Planned □ C=Partially □ B=No ☑ A=Yes □ Y=Not Relevant
o) National plans of actions (NPAs) for pollution control and management	□ X=Unknown □ D=Planned □ C=Partially □ B=No ☑ A=Yes □ Y=Not Relevant
p) National policies on wastewater management and water quality	□ X=Unknown □ D=Planned □ C=Partially □ B=No ☑ A=Yes □ Y=Not Relevant

1.1 Additional information

> Wetland management has been prioritized in the 3rd National Development Plan

Target 2

Water use respects wetland ecosystem needs for them to fulfil their functions and provide services at the appropriate scale inter alia at the basin level or along a coastal zone.

[Reference to Aichi Targets 7 and 8], [Sustainable Development Goal 6, Indicator 6.3.1]

2.1 Has the quantity and quality of water available to, and required by, wetlands been assessed to support the implementation of the Guidelines for the allocation and management of water for maintaining the ecological functions of wetlands (Resolution VIII.1, VIII.2) ? 1.24. Please select only one option

- \Box A=Yes
- □ B=No ☑ C=Partially
- □ D=Planned

2.1 Additional Information

> In wetlands where restoration has been undertaken the water quality has improved as indicated by presence of birds that have returned.

2.2 Have assessments of environmental flow been undertaken in relation to mitigation of impacts on the ecological character of wetlands (Action r3.4.iv) *Please select only one option*

✓ A=Yes
 □ B=No

 \Box C=Partially

□ D=Planned

2.2 Additional Information

> Under the Green Climate fund project, water balance studies are being undertaken to guide mini-irrigation interventions.

2.3 What, if any, initiatives been taken to improve the sustainability of water use (or allocation of water resources) in the context of ecosystem requirements across major river basins (Resolutions VIII.1 and XII.12)? (Action 3.4.6.)

Please select only one option

☑ A=Yes

□ C=Partially □ D=Planned

 \Box X=Unknown

2.3 Additional Information

> The Directorate of water resources management in the Ministry of water and environment is spearheading that information in all water catchments.

2.4 Have projects that promote and demonstrate good practice in water allocation and management for maintaining the ecological functions of wetlands been developed (Action r3.4.ix.)

Please select only one option ☑ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned

2.4 Additional Information

> A wise use demonstration site has been established at limoto wetland, Pallisa district in Eastern Uganda. This site has a number of linked components including; a restored wetland that has regenerated with sufficient water, a water reservoir, cattle watering troughs, 5 fish ponds and a solar powered mini-irrigation scheme. More two sites are under establishment. This site is currently under the management of the community with oversight provided by the local government.

2.5 Percentage of households linked to sewage system? SDG 6 Target 6.3.1. > 1.3

2.5 Additional Information

> The sewage systems are only located in major towns.

2.6 What is the percentage of sewerage coverage in the country? SDG 6 Target 6.3.1. *Please select only one option*

E=Exact number (percentage)
 1.3%

 \Box F=Less than (percentage)

 \Box G=More than (percentage)

- >
- □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant

2.6 Additional Information

> Most people use either septic tanks or latrines

2.7 What is the percentage of users of septic tank/pit latrine if relevant to your country?
SDG 6 Target 6.3.1.
Please select only one option
Z E=Exact number (percentage)
10.000

> 10.8% \Box F=Less than (percentage)

 \Box G=More than (percentage)

□ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant

2.7 Additional Information> Septic tanks are mainly common in peri-urban areas.

2.8 Does the country use constructed wetlands/ponds as wastewater treatment technology?
SDG 6 Target 6.3.1.
Please select only one option
A=Yes
B=No
C=Partially
D=Planned
X=Unknown
Y=Not Relevant

2.8 Additional Information> These are mainly used by institutions like schools, small towns and hospitals.

2.9 Number of wastewater treatment plants (or volume treated exist at national level)? SDG 6 Target 6.3.1.

Please select only one option ☑ E=Exact number (plants)

> 7.62 million m3/year \Box F=Less than (plants)

 \Box G=More than (plants)

>
 > X=Unknown
 □ Y=Not Relevant

2.9 Additional InformationThe sewage is mainly domestic and industrial

2.10 How is the functional status of the wastewater treatment plants? If relevant to your country SDG 6 Target 6.3.1.

Please select only one option □ A=Good □ B=Not functioning □ C=Functioning □ Q=Obsolete □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant

2.10 Additional Information > Mainly treat domestic and industrial wastes

2.11 The percentage of decentralized wastewater treatment technology, including constructed

wetlands/ponds is? SDG 6 Target 6.3.1. Please select only one option \Box A=Good \Box B=Not Functioning \Box C=Functioning \Box Q=Obsolete \Box X=Unknown \Box Y=Not Relevant

2.11 Additional InformationMost towns in the country have waste water treatment plants.

2.12 Number of wastewater reuse systems (or volume re-used) and purpose? SDG 6 Target 6.3.1. > 0.1

2.12 Additional Information

> Minimum water reuse

2.13 What is the purpose of the wastewater reuse system if relevant to your country ?

SDG 6 Target 6.3.1.
Please select only one option
 R=Agriculture
 S=Landscape
 T=Industrial
 U=Drinking
 X=Unknown
 Y=Not Relevant

2.13 Additional Information

Please indicate if the wastewater reuse system is for free or taxed or add any additonal information. > Free

2.14 Does your country use a wastewater treatment process that utilizes wetlands as a natural filter while preserving the wetland ecosystem?

Please select only one option ☑ A=Yes □ B=No

 \Box X=Unknown

2.14 Additional information: If Yes, please provide an example

> Most urban areas release wastes to wetlands which provide secondary treatment

Target 3

Public and private sectors have increased their efforts to apply guidelines and good practices for the wise use of water and wetlands. {1.10} [Reference to Aichi Targets 3, 4, 7 and 8]

3.1 Is the private sector encouraged to apply the Ramsar wise use principle and guidance (Ramsar handbooks for the wise use of wetlands) in its activities and investments concerning wetlands? {1.10.1} KRA 1.10.i *Please select only one option*

□ A=Yes

□ B=No

☑ C=Partially

D=Planned

3.1 Additional Information

> Limited number apply the wiseuse principles

3.2 Has the private sector undertaken activities or actions for the conservation, wise use and management of {1.10.2} KRA 1.10.ii

Please select only one per square.

a) Ramsar Sites	□ Y=Not relevant □ X=Unknown □ D=Planned □ C=Partially □ B=No ☑ A=Yes
b) Wetlands in general	 □ Y=Not relevant □ X=Unknown □ D=Planned □ C=Partially □ B=No ☑ A=Yes

3.2 Additional information

> More especially civil society and community based organisations

3.3 Have actions been taken to implement incentive measures which encourage the conservation and wise use of wetlands? {1.11.1} KRA 1.11.i

Please select only one option

☑ A=Yes

□ B=No

 \Box C=Partially

 \Box D=Planned

3.3 Additional information

Communities leaving adjacent to wetlands are being provided with alternative livelihoods to minimize dependency on wetlands

3.4 Have actions been taken to remove perverse incentive measures which discourage conservation and wise use of wetlands? $\{1.11.2\}$ KRA 1.11.i

Please select only one option

☑ A=Yes

□ B=No

D=Planned
 Z=Not Applicable

3.4 Additional Information > Through intensified awareness

Target 4

Invasive alien species and pathways of introduction and expansion are identified and prioritized, priority invasive alien species are controlled or eradicated, and management responses are prepared and implemented to prevent their introduction and establishment. {Reference to Aichi Target 9]

4.1 Does your country have a comprehensive national inventory of invasive alien species that currently or potentially impact the ecological character of wetlands? {1.9.1} KRA 1.9.i Please select only one option

☑ A=Yes

□ B=No

□ C=Partially

D=Planned

4.1 Additional information

> Was undertaken by IUCN country office and Ministry of Agriculture, Animal Industry and fisheries.

4.2 Have national policies or guidelines on invasive species control and management been established or reviewed for wetlands? {1.9.2} KRA 1.9.iii *Please select only one option*

- \Box A=Yes
- □ B=No
- ☑ C=Partially
- □ D=Planned

4.2 Additional information > Partially being implemented 4.3. Has your country successfully controlled through management actions invasive species of high risk to wetland ecosystems?

Please select only one option □ A=Yes ☑ B=No

□ X=Unknown

4.3 Additional Information

If 'Yes', please provide examples, including the species name and the successful management action > There is no strategy to effect this

4.4 Are there invasive species of high risk to wetland ecosystems that have not been successfully controlled through management actions?

Please select only one option

☑ A=Yes

□ B=No

□ X=Unknown

4.4 Additional Information

If 'Yes', please provide examples, including the species name and the challenges to management > There is no sufficient information to guide that action

4.5 Have the effectiveness of wetland invasive alien species control programmes been assessed? *Please select only one option*

□ A=Yes
□ B=No
□ C=Partially
□ D=Planned
□ X=Unknown
□ Y=Not Relevant

4.5 Additional Information

It is still a new effort

Goal 2. Effectively conserving and managing the Ramsar Site network

[Reference to Sustainable Development Goals 6, 11, 13, 14, 15]

Target 5

The ecological character of Ramsar Sites is maintained or restored through effective, planning and integrated management {2.1.} [Reference to Aichi Targets 6,11, 12]

5.1 Have a national strategy and priorities been established for the further designation of Ramsar Sites, using the Strategic Framework for the Ramsar List? {2.1.1} KRA 2.1.i *Please select only one option*

☑ A=Yes □ B=No

 \Box C=Partially

 \Box D=Planned

5.1 Additional information

> Included in the National wetland sector strategic plan 2011-2020

5.2 Are the Ramsar Sites Information Service and its tools being used in national identification of further Ramsar Sites to designate? {2.2.1} KRA 2.2.ii *Please select only one option*

☑ A=Yes
□ B=No

 \Box D=Planned

5.2 Additional information

> Potential sites have been identified and what remains to be undertaken is detailed assessments to guide the filling of the RIS

5.3 How many Ramsar Sites have a formal management plan? {2.4.1} KRA 2.4.i

Please select only one option ☑ E=Exact number (sites)

> All the 12 sites
□ F=Less than (sites)

> □ G=More than (sites)

>
 > X=Unknown
 □ Y=Not Relevant

5.4 Of the Ramsar Sites with a formal management plan, for how many of these is the plan being implemented? {2.4.2} KRA 2.4.i *Please select only one option* ☑ E=Exact number (sites)

> Almost all by different stakeholders including tourists, agriculture, communities and private sector \square F=Less than (sites)

› □ G=More than (sites)

□ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant

5.5 Of the Ramsar sites without a formal management plan, for how many is there effective management planning currently being implemented through other relevant means e.g. through existing actions for appropriate wetland management? {2.4.3} KRA 2.4.i *Please select only one option* □ E=Exact number (sites)

□ E=Exact number (sites)

 \Box F=Less than (sites)

 \Box G=More than (sites)

› □ X=Unknown

☑ Y=Not Relevant

5.3 – 5.5 Additional information

> All ramsar sites have management plans implemented at different levels and supervised by local authorities

5.6 Have all Ramsar sites been assessed regarding the effectiveness of their management (i.e. sites with eitheraformal management plan or management via other relevant means where they exist e.g through existing actions for appropriate wetland management)? {1.6.2} KRA 1.6.ii *Please select only one option*

 \square A=Yes

 \square B=No

 \square C=Partially

□ D=Planned

5.6 Additional information

> Partial work is being undertaked by students

› 3

 \Box F=Less than (sites)

 \Box G=More than (sites)

>
 > X=Unknown
 □ Y=Not Relevant

5.7 Additional information

If at least 1 site, please give the name and official number of the site or sites > Lutembe, Mabamba, Nabugabo and Lake George

Target 7

Sites that are at risk of change of ecological character have threats addressed {2.6.}. [Reference to Aichi Targets 5, 7, 11, 12]

7.1 Are mechanisms in place for the Administrative Authority to be informed of negative human-induced changes or likely changes in the ecological character of Ramsar Sites, pursuant to Article 3.2? {2.6.1} KRA 2.6.i

Please select only one option ☑ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Some Sites □ D=Planned

7.1 Additional information

If 'Yes' or 'Some sites', please summarise the mechanism or mechanisms established > Working with partners including water resources fisheries department and nature Uganda to monitor ecological changes

7.2 Have all cases of negative human-induced change or likely change in the ecological character of Ramsar Sites been reported to the Ramsar Secretariat, pursuant to Article 3.2? {2.6.2} KRA 2.6.i Please select only one option

□ A=Yes
☑ B=No
□ C=Some Cases
□ O=No Negative Change

7.2 Additional information

If 'Yes' or 'Some cases', please indicate for which Ramsar Sites the Administrative Authority has made Article 3.2 reports to the Secretariat, and for which sites such reports of change or likely change have not yet been made > Some cases have been reported including Lake George and Murchison Falls and a mission from the secretariat visited the sites to guide on the management of the challenges

7.3 If applicable, have actions been taken to address the issues for which Ramsar Sites have been listed on the Montreux Record, such as requesting a Ramsar Advisory Mission? {2.6.3} KRA 2.6.ii Please select only one option

☑ A=Yes □ B=No

 \Box Z=Not Applicable

7.3 Additional information

If 'Yes', please indicate the actions taken > Corrective measures are being undertaken

Goal 3. Wisely Using All Wetlands

[Reference to Sustainable Development Goals 1, 2, 5, 6, 8, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15]

Target 8

National wetland inventories have been either initiated, completed or updated and disseminated and used for promoting the conservation and effective management of all wetlands {1.1.1} KRA 1.1.i [Reference to Aichi Targets 12, 14, 18, 19]

8.1 Does your country have a complete National Wetland Inventory? $\{1.1.1\}$ KRA 1.1.i

- Please select only one option ☑ A=Yes □ B=No
- □ C=In Progress
- □ D=Planned

8.1 Additional information

> Last updated in 2016

8.2 Has your country updated a National Wetland Inventory in the last decade?

Please select only one option

☑ A=Yes

🗆 B=No

 \Box C=In Progress

 \Box C1=Partially

□ D=Planned

□ X=Unknown

 \Box Y=Not Relevant

8.2 Additional information > Another update is being planned

8.3 Is wetland inventory data and information maintained? {1.1.2} KRA 1.1.ii

Please select only one option

☑ A=Yes

□ B=No

 \Box C=Partially

□ D=Planned

8.3 Additional information

> Have established a National Wetland Information System (NWIS)

8.4 Is wetland inventory data and information made accessible to all stakeholders? {1.1.2} KRA 1.1.ii Please select only one option

□ A=Yes □ B=No

☑ C=Partially

□ D=Planned

8.4 Additional information

> Have so far connected only 20 local governments

8.5 Has the condition* of wetlands in your country, overall, changed during the last triennium? {1.1.3}

Please describe on the sources of the information on which your answer is based in the free- text box below. If there is a difference between inland and coastal wetland situations, please describe. If you are able to, please describe the principal driver(s) of the change(s).

* 'Condition' corresponds to ecological character, as defined by the Convention Please select only one per square.

a) Ramsar Sites	□ P=Status Improved ☑ O=No Change □ N=Status Deteriorated
b) Wetlands generally	□ P=Status Improved ☑ O=No Change □ N=Status Deteriorated

8.5 Additional information on a) and/or b)

> The options above are limited, should have provided more options. There has been some change especially coverage

8.6 Based upon the National Wetland Inventory if available please provide a figure in square kilometres for the extent of wetlands (according to the Ramsar definition) for the year 2020 and provide the relevant disaggregated information in the box below. This Information will also be used to report on SDG 6, Target 6.6, Indicator 6.6.1, for which the Ramsar Convention is a co-custodian. *Please select only one option*

 \square E=Exact Number (km2)

> 26,000 square kilometres; Fresh inland wetlands only \Box G=More than (km2)

>

□ X=Unknown

8.6 Details

According to the Ramsar definition and classification of wetlands, the disaggregated information on wetland extent is as follows.

Note:

The minimum information that should be provided is the total area of wetlands for each of the three major categories; "marine/coastal", "inland" and "human-made".

If the data on inventories are partial or not complete, use the information that is available.

Guidance on information on national wetland extent, to be provided in Target 8 "National Wetlands Inventory" of the National Report Form can be consulted at: https://www.ramsar.org/document/guidance-on-information-on-national-wetland-extent

8.6 Marine/Coastal Wetlands

	Square kilometers (km2)
A Permanent shallow marine waters in most cases less than six metres deep at low tide; includes sea bays and straits.	
B Marine subtidal aquatic beds; includes kelp beds, sea-grass beds, tropical marine meadows.	
C Coral reefs.	
D Rocky marine shores; includes rocky offshore islands, sea cliffs.	
E Sand, shingle or pebble shores; includes sand bars, spits and sandy islets; includes dune systems and humid dune slacks.	
F Estuarine waters; permanent water of estuaries and estuarine systems of deltas.	
G Intertidal mud, sand or salt flats.	
Ga Bivalve (shellfish) reefs.	
H Intertidal marshes; includes salt marshes, salt meadows, saltings, raised salt marshes; includes tidal brackish and freshwater marshes.	
I Intertidal forested wetlands; includes mangrove swamps, nipah swamps and tidal freshwater swamp forests.	
J Coastal brackish/saline lagoons; brackish to saline lagoons with at least one relatively narrow connection to the sea.	
K Coastal freshwater lagoons; includes freshwater delta lagoons.	

8.6 Marine/Coastal Wetlands total (km2)

8.6 Inland Wetlands

>

	Square kilometers (km2)
L Permanent inland deltas.	
M Permanent rivers/streams/creeks; includes waterfalls.	
N Seasonal/intermittent/irre gular rivers/streams/creeks.	
O Permanent freshwater lakes (over 8 ha); includes large oxbow lakes.	
P Seasonal/intermittent freshwater lakes (over 8 ha); includes floodplain lakes.	
Q Permanent saline/brackish/alkaline lakes.	
R Seasonal/intermittent saline/brackish/alkaline lakes and flats.	
Sp Permanent saline/brackish/alkaline marshes/pools.	
Ss Seasonal/intermittent saline/brackish/alkaline marshes/pools.	
Tp Permanent freshwater marshes/pools; ponds (below 8 ha), marshes and swamps on inorganic soils; with emergent vegetation water-logged for at least most of the growing season.	
Ts Seasonal/intermittent freshwater marshes/pools on inorganic soils; includes sloughs, potholes, seasonally flooded meadows, sedge marshes.	
U Non-forested peatlands; includes shrub or open bogs, swamps, fens.	

Va Alpine wetlands; includes alpine meadows, temporary waters from snowmelt.	
Vt Tundra wetlands; includes tundra pools, temporary waters from snowmelt.	
W Shrub-dominated wetlands; shrub swamps, shrub-dominated freshwater marshes, shrub carr, alder thicket on inorganic soils.	
Xf Freshwater, tree- dominated wetlands; includes freshwater swamp forests, seasonally flooded forests, wooded swamps on inorganic soils.	
Xp Forested peatlands; peatswamp forests.	
Y Freshwater springs; oases.	
Zg Geothermal wetlands.	
Zk(b) - Karst and other subterranean hydrological systems, inland.	

8.6 Inland Wetlands total (km2) > 26,000

8.6 Human-made wetlands

	Square kilometers (km2)
1 Aquaculture (e.g., fish/shrimp) ponds.	
2 Ponds; includes farm ponds, stock ponds, small tanks; (generally below 8 ha).	
3 Irrigated land; includes irrigation channels and rice fields.	
4 Seasonally flooded agricultural land (including intensively managed or grazed wet meadow or pasture).	
5 Salt exploitation sites; salt pans, salines, etc.	
6 Water storage areas; reservoirs/barrages/dams /impoundments (generally over 8 ha).	
7 Excavations; gravel/brick/clay pits; borrow pits, mining pools.	

8 Wastewater treatment areas; sewage farms, settling ponds, oxidation basins, etc.	
9 Canals and drainage channels, ditches.	
Zk(c) – Karst and other subterranean hydrological systems, human-made.	

8.6 Human-made wetlands total (km2)

>

8.6 Additional information

Additional information: If the information is available please indicate the % of change in the extent of wetlands over the last three years. Please note: For the % of change in the extent of wetlands, if the period of data covers more than three years, provide the available information, and indicate the period of the change. > The coverage has not been classified. This is an activity that will be undertaken

8.7 Please indicate your needs (in terms of technical, financial or governance challenges)to develop, update or complete a National Wetland Inventory

> Resources especially specialized equipment including computers and soft ware. The cost of soft ware is very high.

Target 9

The wise use of wetlands is strengthened through integrated resource management at the appropriate scale, inter alia, within a river basin or along a coastal zone $\{1.3.\}$. [Reference to Aichi Targets 4, 6, 7]

9.1 Is a Wetland Policy (or equivalent instrument) that promotes the wise use of wetlands in place? {1.3.1} KRA 1.3.i

If 'Yes', please give the title and date of the policy in the green text box *Please select only one option*

☑ A=Yes

□ B=No

 \Box C=In Preparation

□ D=Planned

9.1 Additional information

> Developed in 1995 and currently in the final review

9.2 Have any amendments to existing legislation been made to reflect Ramsar commitments? $\{1.3.5\}\{1.3.6\}$

Please select only one option ☑ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=In Progress □ D=Planned

9.2 Additional information

 Concerning transbounday wetlands and establishment of the center of excellency for capacity building (RAMSAR CENTER FOR EAST AFRICA-RMCEA)

 \square B=No

□ D=Planned

9.3 Additional information

> Have been integrated in the management of water resources at catchment level.

9.4 Have Communication, Education, Participation and Awareness (CEPA) expertise and tools been incorporated into catchment/river basin planning and management (see Resolution X.19)? {1.7.2}{1.7.3} Please select only one option

☑ A=Yes

 \Box D=Planned

9.4 Additional information

> Communication strategy for catchment management has incorporated wetland aspects

9.5 Has your country established policies or guidelines for enhancing the role of wetlands in mitigating or adapting to climate change? {1.7.3} {1.7.5} KRA 1.7.iii *Please select only one option*

 \square A=Yes

 \square B=No

 \Box C=Partially

 \Box D=Planned

9.5 Additional information

> Wetlands have been included in the climate change policy and strategy. Being promoted among ecosystems for climate change adaptation efforts.

9.6 Has your country formulated plans or projects to sustain and enhance the role of wetlands in supporting and maintaining viable farming systems? {1.7.4} {1.7.6} KRA 1.7.v *Please select only one option*

☑ A=Yes
 □ B=No
 □ C=Partial

□ C=Partially

D=Planned

9.6 Additional information

> Have been emphasized in the National Irrigation Master Plan.

9.7 Has research to inform wetland policies and plans been undertaken in your country on:

{1.6.1} KRA 1.6.i Please select only one per square.

	-
a) agriculture-wetland interactions	□ C=Planned □ B=No ☑ A=Yes
b) climate change	□ C=Planned □ B=No ☑ A=Yes
c) valuation of ecoystem services	□ C=Planned □ B=No ☑ A=Yes

9.7 Additional information

> The reports are available and are currently being used to generate guidelines for wise use

9.8 Has your country submitted a request for Wetland City Accreditation of the Ramsar Convention, Resolution XII.10 ?

Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially ☑ D=Planned

9.8 Additional information

If 'Yes', please indicate How many request have been submitted > Initial consultations have started with Masaka District and City Authorities

9.9 Has your country made efforts to conserve small wetlands in line with Resolution XIII. 21? *Please select only one option*

☑ A=Yes
 □ B=No
 □ C=Partially
 □ D=Planned

9.9 Additional information: (If 'Yes', please indicate what actions have been implemented)

If 'Yes', please indicate what actions have been implemented > Community conservation areas targeting small wetlands have been created, Community based action plans have been developed.

Target 10

The traditional knowledge innovations and practices of indigenous peoples and local communities relevant for the wise use of wetlands and their customary use of wetland resources, are documented, respected, subject to national legislation and relevant international obligations and fully integrated and reflected in the implementation of the Convention with a full and effective participation of indigenous and local communities at all relevant levels.

[Reference to Aichi Target 18]

10.1 Have case studies, participation in projects or successful experiences on cultural aspects of wetlands been compiled. Resolution VIII.19 and Resolution IX.21? (Action 6.1.6) *Please select only one option*

☑ A=Yes
□ B=No
□ C=In Preparation

D=Planned

10.1 Additional information

If yes please indicate the case studies or projects documenting information and experiences concerning culture and wetlands

> Under the National Conservation project supported by JICA good experiences were documented.

10.2 Have the guidelines for establishing and strengthening local communities' and indigenous people's participation in the management of wetlands been used or applied such as

(Resolution VII. 8) (Action 6.1.5) *Please select only one per square.*

a) stakeholders, including local communities and indigenous people are represented on National Ramsar Committees or similar bodies	□ D=Planned □ C=In Preparation □ B=No ☑ A=Yes
b) involvement and assistance of indigenous people's and community- based groups, wetland education centres and non-governmental organizations with the necessary expertise to facilitate the establishment of participatory approaches	□ D=Planned □ C=In Preparation □ B=No ☑ A=Yes

10.2 Additional information

If the answer is "yes" please indicate the use or aplication of the guidelines > There a limited number of indigenous people depending on wetlands in Uganda. The majority are traditional local communities.

10.3 Traditional knowledge and management practices relevant for the wise use of wetlands have been documented and their application encouraged (Action 6.1.2)

□ D=Planned

10.3 Additional information

> Working with higher learning institutions to operationalise it.

Target 11

Wetland functions, services and benefits are widely demonstrated, documented and disseminated. {1.4.} [Reference to Aichi Targets 1, 2, 13, 14]

11.1 Have ecosystem benefits/services provided by wetlands been researched in your country, recorded in documents like State of the Environment reporting, and the results promoted? {1.4.1} KRA 1.4.ii *Please select only one option*

☑ A=Yes
 □ B=No
 □ C=In Prop.

 \Box C=In Preparation

 \Box C1=Partially

 \Box D=Planned

 \Box X=Unknown

□ Y=Not Relevant

11.1 Additional information

If 'Yes' or 'Partially', please indicate, how many wetlands and their names > Most of the research work was done 10 years back, little is being undertaken now.

11.2 Have wetland programmes or projects that contribute to poverty alleviation objectives or food and water security plans been implemented? $\{1.4.2\}$ KRA 1.4.i

Please select only one option

☑ A=Yes

□ B=No

□ C=Partially

□ D=Planned

□ X=Unknown

 \Box Y=Not Relevant

11.2 Additional information

> The current project being funded by GCF entitled; Building resilient communities and ecosystems through restoration of wetlands and associated catchments.

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for Ramsar Sites and other wetlands? $\{1.4.3\}$ KRA 1.4.iii

Please select only one option

☑ A=Yes

□ B=No

 \Box C=Partially

□ D=Planned

11.3 Additional information

If 'Yes' or 'Partially', please indicate, if known, how many Ramsar Sites and their names > Any work being under involves a sociologist to guide of socio-economic issues.

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for Ramsar Sites and other wetlands including traditional knowledge for the effective management of sites (Resolution VIII.19)? $\{1.4.3\}\{1.4.4\}$ KRA 1.4.iii

[1.4.3] {1.4.4] KNA 1.4. Please select only one option ☑ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned

11.4 Additional information

If 'Yes' or 'Partially', please indicate, if known, how many Ramsar Sites and their names > Cultural sites are being included in the management of Lake Nabugabo and Sango-Bay Ramsar sites

Target 12

Restoration is in progress in degraded wetlands, with priority to wetlands that are relevant for biodiversity conservation, disaster risk reduction, livelihoods and/or climate change mitigation and adaptation. {1.8.} [Reference to Aichi Targets 14 and 15].

12.1 Have priority sites for wetland restoration been identified? {1.8.1} KRA 1.8.i Please select only one option

Please select o ☑ A=Yes

 \square B=No

∐ B=No □ C Dortioli

 \Box C=Partially \Box D=Planned

 \Box X=Unknown

 \Box Y=Not Relevant

12.1 Additional information

> Under the GCF project, a total of 64,000 hectares of degraded wetland will be restored. These are already identified and mapped.

12.2 Have wetland restoration/rehabilitation programmes, plans or projects been effectively implemented? $\{1.8.2\}$ KRA 1.8.i

Please select only one option ☑ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant

12.2 Additional information

If 'Yes' or 'Partially', please indicate, if available the extent of wetlands restored > The programme is being utilized in the implementation of the GCF funded project

12.3 Have the Guidelines for Global Action on Peatlands and on Peatlands, climate change and wise use (Resolutions VIII.1 and XII.11) been implemented including? *Please select only one per square.*

a) Knowledge of global resources	□ Y=Not relevant □ X=Unknown □ D=Planned □ C=Partially ☑ B=No □ A=Yes
b) Education and public awareness on peatlands	□ Y=Not relevant □ X=Unknown □ D=Planned □ C=Partially □ B=No ☑ A=Yes
c) Policy and legislative instruments	□ Y=Not relevant □ X=Unknown □ D=Planned □ C=Partially □ B=No ☑ A=Yes
d) Wise use of peatlands	 □ Y=Not relevant □ X=Unknown □ D=Planned ☑ C=Partially □ B=No □ A=Yes
e) Research networks, regional centres of expertise, and institutional capacity	□ Y=Not relevant □ X=Unknown □ D=Planned □ C=Partially □ B=No ☑ A=Yes

f) International cooperation	□ Y=Not relevant □ X=Unknown □ D=Planned □ C=Partially □ B=No ☑ A=Yes
g) Implementation and support	□ Y=Not relevant □ X=Unknown □ D=Planned □ C=Partially □ B=No ☑ A=Yes

12.3 Additional Information

If 'Yes' or 'Partially', please indicate, the progress in implementation

> The guidelines have been of great help in the implementation of plans and enactment of policies

Target 13

Enhanced sustainability of key sectors such as water, energy, mining, agriculture, tourism, urban development, infrastructure, industry, forestry, aquaculture and fisheries when they affect wetlands, contributing to biodiversity conservation and human livelihoods [Reference to Aichi Targets 6 and 7]

13.1 Are Strategic Environmental Assessment practices applied when reviewing policies, programmes and plans that may impact upon wetlands? {1.3.3} {1.3.4} KRA 1.3.ii *Please select only one option*

☑ A=Yes

 \Box B=No

 \Box C=Partially

□ D=Planned

13.1 Additional information

> Are being implemented in the Oil graben where Muchison Falls, Rwenzori and Lake George Ramsar sites are located

13.2 Are Environmental Impact Assessments made for any development projects (such as new buildings, new roads, extractive industry) from key sectors such as water, energy, mining, agriculture, tourism, urban development, infrastructure, industry, forestry, aquaculture and fisheries that may affect wetlands? {1.3.4} {1.3.5} KRA 1.3.iii

Please select only one option ☑ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Some Cases

13.2 Additional information> It is now a compulsory requirement by government

Goal 4. Enhancing implementation

[Reference to Sustainable Development Goals 1, 2, 6, 9, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15, 17]

Target 15

Ramsar Regional Initiatives with the active involvement and support of the Parties in each region are reinforced and developed into effective tools to assist in the full implementation of the Convention. {3.2.}

15.1 Have you (AA) been involved in the development and implementation of a Regional Initiative under the framework of the Convention? {3.2.1} KRA 3.2.i

Please select only one option

☑ A=Yes □ B=No

 \Box D=Planned

15.1 Additional information

If 'Yes' or 'Planned', please indicate the regional initiative(s) and the collaborating countries of each initiative > The RAMCEA initiative 15.2 Has your country supported or participated in the development of other regional (i.e., covering more than one country) wetland training and research centres? {3.2.2}
Please select only one option
☑ A=Yes
□ B=No

□ D=Planned

15.2 Additional information

If 'Yes', please indicate the name(s) of the centre(s) > In partnership with the Nile Basin Initiative, trans-boundary management plans have been developed.

Target 16

Wetlands conservation and wise use are mainstreamed through communication, capacity development, education, participation and awareness {4.1} [Reference to Aichi Targets 1 and 18]

16.1 Has an action plan (or plans) for wetland CEPA been established? {4.1.1} KRA 4.1.i

Even if no CEPA plans have been developed, if broad CEPA objectives for CEPA actions have been established, please indicate this in the Additional information section below *Please select only one per square.*

a) At the national level	□ D=Planned □ C=Partially □ B=No ☑ A=Yes □ C=In Progress
b) Sub-national level	□ D=Planned □ C=Partially □ B=No ☑ A=Yes □ C=In Progress
c) Catchment/basin level	□ D=Planned □ C=Partially □ B=No ☑ A=Yes □ C=In Progress
d) Local/site level	□ D=Planned □ C=Partially □ B=No ☑ A=Yes □ C=In Progress

16.1 Additional information

If 'Yes' or 'In progress' to one or more of the four questions above, for each please describe the mechanism, who is responsible and identify if it has involved CEPA NFPs

> Site specific CEPA plans are available at all levels including specific wetland related projects

16.2 How many centres (visitor centres, interpretation centres, education centres) have been established? $\{4.1.2\}$ KRA 4.1.ii

a) at Ramsar Sites Please select only one option ☑ E=Exact Number (centres)

→ 4 □ F=Less than (centres)

.

 \Box G=More than (centres)

C=Partially
 □ X=Unknown
 □ Y=Not Relevant

16.2 How many centres (visitor centres, interpretation centres, education centres) have been established? {4.1.2} KRA 4.1.ii

b) at other wetlands
Please select only one option
□ E=Exact Number (centres)

> F=Less than (centres)
> 1
□ G=More than (centres)

□ C=Partially □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant

16.2 Additional information

If centres are part of national or international networks, please describe the networks > Centres require sufficient resources and are therefore costly to establish

16.3 Does the Contracting Party {4.1.3} KRA 4.1.iii *Please select only one per square.*

a) promote stakeholder participation in decision- making on wetland planning and management	□ D=Planned □ C=Partially □ B=No ☑ A=Yes
b) specifically involve local stakeholders in the selection of new Ramsar Sites and in Ramsar Site management?	□ D=Planned □ C=Partially □ B=No ☑ A=Yes

16.3 Additional information

If 'Yes' or 'Partially', please provide information about the ways in which stakeholders are involved > Initial stages of designating Rasmsar sites are started at local community and local government levels.

16.4 Do you have an operational cross-sectoral National Ramsar/Wetlands Committee? {4.1.6} KRA 4.3.v Please select only one option

☑ A=Yes

 \square B=No

 \Box C=Partially

□ D=Planned

 \Box X=Unknown

□ Y=Not Relevant

16.4 Additional information

If 'Yes', indicate a) its membership; b) number of meetings since COP13; and c) what responsibilities the Committee has

> The National Wetlands Advisory Committee

16.5 Do you have an operational cross-sectoral body equivalent to a National Ramsar/Wetlands

Committee? {4.1.6} KRA 4.3.v Please select only one option

Please selec ☑ A=Yes

 \square B=No

 \Box C=Partially

 \Box D=Planned

 \Box X=Unknown

□ Y=Not Relevant

16.5 Additional information

If 'Yes', indicate a) its membership; b) number of meetings since COP13; and c) what responsibilities the Committee has

> The wetlands technical working group

16.6 Are other communication mechanisms (apart from a national committee) in place to share Ramsar implementation guidelines and other information between the Administrative Authority and a), b) or c) below? {4.1.7} KRA 4.1.vi:

Please select only one per square.

a) Ramsar Site managers	□ D=Planned □ C=Partially □ B=No ☑ A=Yes
b) other MEA national focal points	□ D=Planned □ C=Partially □ B=No ☑ A=Yes
c) other ministries, departments and agencies	□ D=Planned □ C=Partially □ B=No ☑ A=Yes

16.6 Additional information

If 'Yes' or 'Partially', please describe what mechanisms are in place > All local governments have wetland Officers and these double as Ramsar site manager. Most of them have undergone training on management of Ramsar sites.

□ B=No

16.7 Additional information

> Every year since 1994 World Wetland Day celebrations are conducted.

16.8 Have campaigns, programmes, and projects (other than for World Wetlands Day-related activities) been carried out since COP13 to raise awareness of the importance of wetlands to people and wildlife and the ecosystem benefits/services provided by wetlands? {4.1.9} *Please select only one option*

☑ A=Yes

□ B=No □ D=Planned

16.8 Additional information

If these and other CEPA activities have been undertaken by other organizations, please indicate this

Through Radio programmes and TV talk shows and other channels

Target 17

Financial and other resources for effectively implementing the fourth Ramsar Strategic Plan 2016 – 2024 from all sources are made available. {4.2.} [Reference to Aichi Target 20]

17.1a Have Ramsar contributions been paid in full for 2018, 2019 and 2020? {4.2.1} KRA 4.2.i Please select only one option

Please select of ☑ A=Yes

□ B=No

□ Z=Not Applicable

17.1b If 'No' in 17.1 a), please clarify what plan is in place to ensure future prompt payment > The country has been regularly meeting it obligations

17.2 Has any additional financial support been provided through voluntary contributions to non-core funded Convention activities? {4.2.2} KRA 4.2.i *Please select only one option* \square A=Yes \square R=Ne

□ B=No

17.2 Additional information

If 'Yes' please state the amounts, and for which activities $\mbox{\scriptsize >}$ This is paid on top of the mandatory contribution

17.3 [For Contracting Parties with a development assistance agency only ('donor countries')]: Has the agency provided funding to support wetland conservation and management in other countries? {3.3.1} KRA 3.3.i

Please select only one option

 \Box A=Yes \Box B=No

 \square Z=Not Applicable

17.3 Additional information

If 'Yes', please indicate the countries supported since $\ensuremath{\mathsf{COP12}}$

17.4 [For Contracting Parties with a development assistance agency only ('donor countries')]: Have environmental safeguards and assessments been included in development proposals proposed by the agency? {3.3.2} KRA 3.3.ii

Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant □ Z=Not Applicable

17.4 Additional information

>

17.5 [For Contracting Parties that have received development assistance only ('recipient countries')]: Has funding support been received from development assistance agencies specifically for in-country wetland conservation and management? {3.3.3}

Please select only one option ☑ A=Yes □ B=No □ Z=Not Applicable

17.5 Additional information

If 'Yes', please indicate from which countries/agencies since COP12 > Most of the wetland management activities in the country have been undertaken with the biggest funding from donor support

17.6 Has any financial support been provided by your country to the implementation of the Strategic Plan? Please select only one option

☑ A=Yes □ B=No

 \Box Z=Not Applicable

17.6 Additional information

If "Yes" please state the amounts, and for which activities > Every financial government releases funds to implement wetland management activites and the funding has been increasing overtime.

Target 18

International cooperation is strengthened at all levels {3.1}

18.1 Are the national focal points of other MEAs invited to participate in the National Ramsar/Wetland Committee? {3.1.1} {3.1.2} KRAs 3.1.i & 3.1.iv
Please select only one option
☑ A=Yes
□ B=No
□ C=Partially
□ D=Planned

18.1 Additional information> Most of them are members of the Wetlands Advisory Group

18.2 Are mechanisms in place at the national level for collaboration between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the focal points of UN and other global and regional bodies and agencies (e.g. UNEP, UNDP, WHO, FAO, UNECE, ITTO)? {3.1.2} {3.1.3} KRA 3.1.iv *Please select only one option* A=Yes
 B=No
 C=Partially
 D=Planned

18.2 Additional information

> Wetland management staff are nominated to be part of the committees of the UN agencies

18.3 Has your country received assistance from one or more UN and other global and regional bodies and agencies (e.g. UNEP, UNDP, WHO, FAO, UNECE, ITTO) or the Convention's IOPs in its implementation of the Convention? {4.4.1} KRA 4.4.ii.

The IOPs are: BirdLife International, the International Water Management Institute (IWMI), IUCN (International Union for Conservation of Nature), Wetlands International, WWF and Wildfowl & Wetland Trust (WWT). *Please select only one option*

☑ A=Yes
 □ B=No
 □ C=Partially
 □ D=Planned
 □ X=Unknown

□ Y=Not Relevant

18.3 Additional information

If 'Yes' please name the agency (es) or IOP (s) and the type of assistance received > UNDP and UNEP are currently supporting the implementation of wetland management activities

18.4 Have networks, including twinning arrangements, been established, nationally or internationally, for knowledge sharing and training for wetlands that share common features? {3.4.1} *Please select only one option*

☑ A=Yes
 □ B=No
 □ C=Partially

 \Box D=Planned

18.4 Additional information

If 'Yes' or 'Partially', please indicate the networks and wetlands involved > Under RAMCEA, the East African Community member states which share common ecosystems.

18.5 Has information about your country's wetlands and/or Ramsar Sites and their status been made public (e.g., through publications or a website)? {3.4.2} KRA 3.4.iv *Please select only one option*A=Yes
B=No
C=Partially
D=Planned

18.5 Additional information> Publications on the guide to Uganda's Ramsar sites

18.6 Have all transboundary wetland systems been identified? {3.5.1} KRA 3.5.i

Please select only one option

- ☑ A=Yes
- □ B=No

□ D=Planned

□ Z=Not Applicable

18.6 Additional information

> With Tanzania, Kenya, The Democratic Republic of Congo and Rwanda

18.7 Is effective cooperative management in place for shared wetland systems (for example, in shared river basins and coastal zones)? {3.5.2} KRA 3.5.ii
Please select only one option
A=Yes
B=No
C=Partially
D=Planned
Y=Not Relevant

18.7 Additional information

If 'Yes' or 'Partially', please indicate for which wetland systems such management is in place > Limited formal arrangements exist though Nile basin initiative has been promoting it.

18.8 Does your country participate in regional networks or initiatives for wetland-dependent migratory species? {3.5.3} KRA 3.5.iii

Please select only one option ☑ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned □ Z=Not Applicable

18.8 Additional information

> Agreement on the Conservation of African-Eurasian Migratory Waterbirds, Bird International etc.

Target 19

Capacity building for implementation of the Convention and the 4th Ramsar Strategic Plan 2016 – 2024 is enhanced.

[Reference to Aichi Targets 1 and 17]

19.1 Has an assessment of national and local training needs for the implementation of the Convention been made? {4.1.4} KRAs 4.1.iv & 4.1.viii

Please select only one option ☑ A=Yes

□ B=No

 \Box C=Partially \Box D=Planned

19.1 Additional information

> There is a needs assessment report for capacity building for local and national stakeholders.

19.2 Are wetland conservation and wise-use issues included in formal education programmes?

- Please select only one option
- ☑ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ C=Partially
- \Box D=Planned

19.2 Additional information

If you answer yes to the above please provide information on which mechanisms and materials > Have developed teaching guides for different categories including primary, secondary and University.

19.3 How many opportunities for wetland site manager training have been provided since COP13? {4.1.5} KRA 4.1.iv a) at Ramsar Sites

Please select only one option ☑ E=Exact number (opportunities) > 02

 \Box F=Less than (opportunities)

 \Box G=More than (opportunities)

> □ C=Partially □ X=Unknown

□ Y=Not Relevant

19.3 How many opportunities for wetland site manager training have been provided since COP13? {4.1.5} KRA 4.1.iv
b) at other wetlands
Please select only one option
☑ E=Exact number (Opportunities)

over 10 times
 F=Less than (Opportunities)

>

 \Box G=More than (Opportunities)

 \Box C=Partially

□ X=Unknown

 \Box Y=Not Relevant

19.3 Additional information

including whether the Ramsar Wise Use Handbooks were used in the training > Capacity building for wetland officers is regularly conducted to take care of new officers in the field.

19.4 Have you (AA) used your previous Ramsar National Reports in monitoring implementation of the Convention? {4.3.1} KRA 4.3.ii

Please select only one option ☑ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned □ Z=Not Applicable

19.4 Additional information

If 'Yes', please indicate how the Reports have been used for monitoring

> Challenges outlined in the national report have been turned into opportunities to solicit for funding both at local, national and international levels.

Section 4. Optional annex to allow any Contracting Party that has developed national targets to provide information on those

Goal 1

Target 1: Wetland benefits

Wetland benefits are featured in national / local policy strategies and plans relating to key sectors such as water, energy, mining, agriculture, tourism, urban development, infrastructure, industry, forestry, aquaculture, fisheries at the national and local level. [Reference to Aichi Target 2]

Target 1: Wetland benefits - Priority Please select only one option \square A=High \square B=Medium \square C=Low \square D=Not relevant \square E=No answer

Target 1: Wetland benefits - Resourcing Please select only one option □ A=Good ☑ B=Adequate □ C=Limiting □ D=Severely limiting □ E=No answer

Target 1: Wetland benefits - National Targets > Targeting to establish 100 fish ponds for communities depending on wetlands

Target 1: Wetland benefits - Planned activity > Capacity building for local communities in the management wise use interventions

Target 1: Wetland benefits - Outcomes achieved by 2021

Outcomes achieved by 2021 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals

Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2021 > Constructed 20 fish ponds benefiting 25 households

Target 1: Wetland benefits - Additional Information

> Wetland benefits are acting as incentives to sustain restoration efforts

Target 2: Water Use

Water use respects wetland ecosystem needs for them to fulfil their functions and provide services at the appropriate scale inter alia at the basin level or along a coastal zone. {Reference to Aichi Targets 7 and 8], [Sustainable Development Goal 6, Indicator 6.3.1]

Target 2: Water Use - Priority Please select only one option ☑ A=High □ B=Medium □ C=Low □ D=Not relevant □ E=No answer

Target 2: Water Use - Resourcing Please select only one option ☑ A=Good □ B=Adequate □ C=Limiting □ D=Severely limiting □ E=No answer

Target 2: Water Use - National Targets > Set up 24 mini-irrigation schemes in 24 districts

Target 2: Water Use - Planned activity > 2 mini irrigation schemes per year

Target 2: Water Use - Outcomes achieved by 2021

Outcomes achieved by 2021 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals **Note**: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2021 > 4 mini -irrigation schemes

Target 2: Water Use - Additional Information > Schemes have been able to demonstrate the linkage between wetlands and agriculture

Target 3: Public and private sectors

Public and private sectors have increased their efforts to apply guidelines and good practices for the wise use of water and wetlands. {1.10}. [Reference to Aichi Targets 3, 4, 7 and 8]

Target 3: Public and private sectors - Priority

- Please select only one option
- ☑ A=High
- □ B=Medium
- □ C=Low □ D=Not relevant
- \Box E=No answer

Target 3: Public and private sectors - Resourcing Please select only one option \Box A=Good \Box B=Adequate \boxdot C=Limiting \Box D=Severely limiting \Box E=No answer

Target 3: Public and private sectors - National Targets > 10 companies o support wetland restoration efforts

Target 3: Public and private sectors - Planned activity > Involve the private sector in the establishment of wise use demonstration sites

Target 3: Public and private sectors - Outcomes achieved by 2021

Outcomes achieved by 2021 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals

Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2021

> 4 companies supported the establishment of the 4 mini-irrigation schemes

Target 3: Public and private sectors - Additional Information > Level of participation still low
Target 4: Invasive alien species

Invasive alien species and pathways of introduction and expansion are identified and prioritized, priority invasive alien species are controlled or eradicated, and management responses are prepared and implemented to prevent their introduction and establishment. [Reference to Aichi Target 9]

Target 4: Invasive alien species - Priority Please select only one option

Please select only one
☑ A=High
□ B=Medium
□ C=Low
□ D=Not relevant
□ E=No answer

Target 4: Invasive alien species - Resourcing Please select only one option \Box A=Good \Box B=Adequate \supseteq C=Limiting \Box D=Severely limiting \Box E=No answer

Target 4: Invasive alien species - National Targets -> Eliminate invasive species from 4 Ramsar sites

Target 4: Invasive alien species - Planned activity > Support three local governments in the management of invasive species.

Target 4: Invasive alien species - Outcomes achieved by 2021

Outcomes achieved by 2021 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals

Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2021 > none

Target 4: Invasive alien species - Additional Information > Resources to undertake activities have not been identified

Goal 2

Target 5: Ecological character of Ramsar Sites

The ecological character of Ramsar Sites is maintained or restored through effective, planning and integrated management {2.1.}.[Reference to Aichi Target 6,11, 12]

Target 5: Ecological character of Ramsar Sites - Priority

Please select only one option ☑ A=High □ B=Medium □ C=Low □ D=Not relevant □ E=No answer

Target 5: Ecological character of Ramsar Sites - Resourcing Please select only one option \Box A=Good \heartsuit B=Adequate \Box C=Limiting \Box D=Severely limiting \Box E=No answer

Target 5: Ecological character of Ramsar Sites - National Targets > 12% under the national development plan

Target 5: Ecological character of Ramsar Sites - Planned activity > Review of Ramsar site managamenet pland and preparation of action plan for implementation of RAM recommendations.

Target 5: Ecological character of Ramsar Sites - Outcomes achieved by 2021

Outcomes achieved by 2021 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals

Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2021 > Restoration of over 10,000 hectares of degraded wetlands Dermacated over 2000 kilometers

Target 5: Ecological character of Ramsar Sites - Additional Information > 64,000 hectares of degraded wetland areas are planned to be restored by 2025

Target 7: Sites at risk

Sites that are at risk of change of ecological character have threats addressed {2.6.}. [Reference to Aichi Targets 5, 7, 11, 12]

Target 7: Sites at risk - Priority Please select only one option ☑ A=High □ B=Medium □ C=Low □ D=Not relevant □ E=No answer

Target 7: Sites at risk - Resourcing Please select only one option □ A=Good ☑ B=Adequate □ C=Limiting □ D=Severely limiting □ E=No answer

Target 7: Sites at risk - National Targets > site assessment risks to be undertaken for six sites

Target 7: Sites at risk - Planned activity > undertake ecosystem assessments for Ramsar sites

Target 7: Sites at risk - Outcomes achieved by 2021

Outcomes achieved by 2021 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals

Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2021

> Risk assessment has been undertaken for two sites

Target 7: Sites at risk - Additional Information > Technical reports for each site are available

Goal 3

Target 8: National wetland inventories

National wetland inventories have been either initiated, completed or updated and disseminated and used for promoting the conservation and effective management of all wetlands {1.1.1} KRA 1.1.i. [Reference to Aichi Targets 12, 14, 18, 19]

Target 8: National wetland inventories - Priority

- Please select only one option ☑ A=High □ B=Medium □ C=Low □ D=Not relevant
- \Box E=No answer

Target 8: National wetland inventories - Resourcing

Please select only one option \Box A=Good

 \Box B=Adequate

 \square D=Adequat

 \Box D=Severely limiting

 \Box E=No answer

Target 8: National wetland inventories - National Targets > Updating wetland inventory countrywide

Target 8: National wetland inventories - Planned activity > Have been undertaken in phases due to limited funding

Target 8: National wetland inventories - Outcomes achieved by 2021

Outcomes achieved by 2021 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals

Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2021

> 10 District inventories were undertaken

Target 8: National wetland inventories - Additional Information

> Undertaking inventories is an expensive venture and therefore needs more funding

Target 9: Wise Use

The wise use of wetlands is strengthened through integrated resource management at the appropriate scale, inter alia, within a river basin or along a coastal zone {1.3.}. [Reference to Aichi Targets 4, 6, 7]

Target 9: Wise Use - Priority Please select only one option ☑ A=High

- □ B=Medium □ C=Low
- \Box D=Not relevant
- \Box E=No answer

Target 9: Wise Use - Resourcing Please select only one option □ A=Good ☑ B=Adequate □ C=Limiting □ D=Severely limiting □ E=No answer

Target 9: Wise Use - National Targets > Setting up 24 wise use demonstration sites

Target 9: Wise Use - Planned activity > Establish more 4 wise use demonstration sites

Target 9: Wise Use - Outcomes achieved by 2021

Outcomes achieved by 2021 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals

Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2021

> 4 wise use demonstration sites were set up

Target 9: Wise Use - Additional Information > Setting up more wise use demonstration sites require sufficient funding

Target 10: Traditional Knowledge

The traditional knowledge innovations and practices of indigenous peoples and local communities relevant for the wise use of wetlands and their customary use of wetland resources, are documented, respected, subject to national legislation and relevant international obligations and fully integrated and reflected in the implementation of the Convention with a full and effective participation of indigenous and local communities at all relevant levels. [Reference to Aichi Target 18].

Target 10: Traditional Knowledge - Priority

Please select only one option A=High B=Medium C=Low D=Not relevant E=No answer

Target 10: Traditional Knowledge - Resourcing

Please select only one option A=Good B=Adequate C=Limiting D=Severely limiting E=No answer

Target 10: Traditional Knowledge - National Targets > Pilot application of traditional knowledge in wetland management

Target 10: Traditional Knowledge - Planned activity > Engage NGOs and CBOs to undertake this activity

Target 10: Traditional Knowledge - Outcomes achieved by 2021

Outcomes achieved by 2021 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals

Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2021 > Mapping of Potential NGOs for piloting this effort

Target 10: Traditional Knowledge - Additional Information

> There limited documentation about traditional knowledge related to wetland management

Target 11: Wetland functions

Wetland functions, services and benefits are widely demonstrated, documented and disseminated. {1.4.}. [Reference to Aichi Targets 1, 2, 13, 14]

Target 11: Wetland functions - Priority

- Please select only one option ☑ A=High □ B=Medium □ C=Low
- □ D=Not relevant
- □ E=No answer

Target 11: Wetland functions - Resourcing Please select only one option \Box A=Good \boxtimes B=Adequate \Box C=Limiting \Box D=Severely limiting \Box E=No answer

Target 11: Wetland functions - National Targets > Unertake water balance for 24 wetlands earmarked for restoration

Target 11: Wetland functions - Planned activity > Undertake water balance for six wetlands

Target 11: Wetland functions - Outcomes achieved by 2021

Outcomes achieved by 2021 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals

Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2021

> Water balance for four wetlands and associated catchments was undertaken

Target 11: Wetland functions - Additional Information

> There is limited data to develop water balance models

Target 12: Restoration

Restoration is in progress in degraded wetlands, with priority to wetlands that are relevant for biodiversity conservation, disaster risk reduction, livelihoods and/or climate change mitigation and adaptation. {1.8.}. [Reference to Aichi Targets 14 and 15].

Target 12: Restoration - Priority Please select only one option ☑ A=High □ B=Medium □ C=Low □ D=Not relevant □ E=No answer

Target 12: Restoration - Resourcing Please select only one option ☑ A=Good □ B=Adequate □ C=Limiting □ D=Severely limiting □ E=No answer

Target 12: Restoration - National Targets > 100,000 hectares to be restored by 2030

Target 12: Restoration - Planned activity > Restoration of 64,000 hectares by 2025

Target 12: Restoration - Outcomes achieved by 2021

Outcomes achieved by 2021 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals

Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2021 > Over 10,000 hectares have been restored.

Target 12: Restoration - Additional Information

> Restoration has many associated benefits though it an expensive intervention.

Target 13: Enhanced sustainability

Enhanced sustainability of key sectors such as water, energy, mining, agriculture, tourism, urban development, infrastructure, industry, forestry, aquaculture and fisheries when they affect wetlands, contributing to biodiversity conservation and human livelihoods.[Reference to Aichi Targets 6 and 7]

Target 13: Enhanced sustainability - Priority

Please select only one option ☑ A=High □ B=Medium □ C=Low □ D=Not relevant □ E=No answer

Target 13: Enhanced sustainability - Resourcing Please select only one option \Box A=Good \heartsuit B=Adequate \Box C=Limiting \Box D=Severely limiting \Box E=No answer

Target 13: Enhanced sustainability - National Targets > 5 sectors to stream wetland interventions into their plans

Target 13: Enhanced sustainability - Planned activity > Streamline wetland management interventions into 2 sector plans

Target 13: Enhanced sustainability - Outcomes achieved by 2021

Outcomes achieved by 2021 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals

Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2021

> Wetland interventions were integrated into water irrigation plans

Target 13: Enhanced sustainability - Additional Information

> Integrating wetland interventions into water sector plans has helped to ensure sustainable water supply for irrigation and other uses.

Goal 4

Target 15: Regional Initiatives

Ramsar Regional Initiatives with the active involvement and support of the Parties in each region are reinforced and developed into effective tools to assist in the full implementation of the Convention. {3.2.}

Target 15: Regional Initiatives - Priority Please select only one option A=High B=Medium C=Low D=Not relevant E=No answer

Target 15: Regional Initiatives - Resourcing Please select only one option A=Good B=Adequate C=Limiting D=Severely limiting E=No answer

Target 15: Regional Initiatives - National Targets > Undertake capacity building for 5 members states

Target 15: Regional Initiatives - Planned activity > Finalisation of the RAMCEA strategy and CEPA

Target 15: Regional Initiatives - Outcomes achieved by 2021

Outcomes achieved by 2021 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals **Note**: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2021 > Draft RAMCEA strategy and CEPA

Target 15: Regional Initiatives - Additional Information

> RAMCEA initiative has enabled East African member states to share experiences

Target 16: Wetlands conservation and wise use

Wetlands conservation and wise use are mainstreamed through communication, capacity development, education, participation and awareness {4.1}. [Reference to Aichi Targets 1 and 18].

Target 16: Wetlands conservation and wise use - Priority

- Please select only one option
- ☑ A=High
- □ B=Medium
- □ C=Low □ D=Not relevant
- \Box E=No answer

Target 16: Wetlands conservation and wise use - Resourcing Please select only one option \Box A=Good \heartsuit B=Adequate \Box C=Limiting \Box D=Severely limiting \Box E=No answer

Target 16: Wetlands conservation and wise use - National Targets > Designate 5 Ramsar site

Target 16: Wetlands conservation and wise use - Planned activity > Designation of Bunyonyi and Lake Wamala as Rmsar sites

Target 16: Wetlands conservation and wise use - Outcomes achieved by 2021

Outcomes achieved by 2021 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals

Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2021

> Rapid assessments and stakeholder consultation have been initiated

Target 16: Wetlands conservation and wise use - Additional Information > Designation of wetlands as Ramsar sites has enhanced their conservation

Target 17: Financial and other resources

Financial and other resources for effectively implementing the fourth Ramsar Strategic Plan 2016 – 2024 from all sources are made available. {4.2.}.[Reference to Aichi Target 20]

Target 17: Financial and other resources - Priority

- Please select only one option
- ☑ A=High
- □ B=Medium
- □ C=Low □ D=Not relevant
- \Box E=No answer
- □ E=No answer

Target 17: Financial and other resources - Resourcing Please select only one option \Box A=Good \heartsuit B=Adequate \Box C=Limiting \Box D=Severely limiting \Box E=No answer

Target 17: Financial and other resources - National Targets > Fund raise to secure USD 100 Million to restore wetlands

Target 17: Financial and other resources - Planned activity > Develop a bankable proposal for Ramsar sites

Target 17: Financial and other resources - Outcomes achieved by 2021

Outcomes achieved by 2021 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals

Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2021

> Draft proposal discussed by water and Environment Sector Working Group

Target 17: Financial and other resources - Additional Information > Financial and human resources are still inadequate as compared to the required task of wetland management

Target 18: International cooperation

International cooperation is strengthened at all levels {3.1}

Target 18: International cooperation - Priority Please select only one option A=High B=Medium C=Low D=Not relevant E=No answer

Target 18: International cooperation - Resourcing Please select only one option \Box A=Good \Box B=Adequate \supseteq C=Limiting \Box D=Severely limiting \Box E=No answer

Target 18: International cooperation - National Targets > Designate at least one Transboundary Ramsar site

Target 18: International cooperation - Planned activity > Designate Sango-Bay Minziro as a transboundary Ramsar site (Uganda and Tanzania)

Target 18: International cooperation - Outcomes achieved by 2021

Outcomes achieved by 2021 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals

Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2021 > Management plan for the site was developed

Target 18: International cooperation - Additional Information > Transboundary efforts enhance protection of wetland ecosystems

Target 19: Capacity Building

Capacity building for implementation of the Convention and the 4th Ramsar Strategic Plan 2016 – 2024 is enhanced. [Reference to Aichi Targets 1 and 17].

Target 19: Capacity Building - Priority

Please select only one option ☑ A=High □ B=Medium □ C=Low □ D=Not relevant

□ E=No answer

Target 19: Capacity Building - Resourcing Please select only one option \Box A=Good \Box B=Adequate \boxtimes C=Limiting \Box D=Severely limiting \Box E=No answer

Target 19: Capacity Building - National Targets > Train 124 local government staff in wetland management

Target 19: Capacity Building - Planned activity > Training of 20 local government staff

Target 19: Capacity Building - Outcomes achieved by 2021

Outcomes achieved by 2021 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals

Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2021

> 24 local government staff were trained in wetland management

Target 19: Capacity Building - Additional Information > New skills and technologies are required to enhance wetland management efforts

Section 5: Optional annex to enable Contracting Parties to provide additional voluntary information on designated Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Sites)

Guidance for filling in this section

1. Contracting Parties can provide additional information specific to any or all of their designated Ramsar Sites.

2. The only indicator questions included in this section are those from Section 3 of the COP14 NRF which directly concern Ramsar Sites.

3. In some cases, to make them meaningful in the context of reporting on each Ramsar Site separately, some of these indicator questions and/or their answer options have been adjusted from their formulation in Section 3 of the COP14 NRF.

4. Please include information on only one site in each row. In the appropriate columns please add the name and official site number (from the Ramsar Sites Information Service).

5. For each 'indicator question', please select one answer from the legend.

6. A final column of this Annex is provided as a 'free text' box for the inclusion of any additional information concerning the Ramsar Site.

A final column of this Annex is provided as a 'free text' box for the inclusion of any additional information concerning the Ramsar Site.

Uganda

Lake Bisina Wetland System (1633)

5.6 Have all Ramsar sites been assessed regarding the effectiveness of their management (i.e. sites with eitheraformal management plan or management via other relevant means where they exist e.g through existing actions for appropriate wetland management)? {1.6.2} KRA 1.6.ii *Please select only one option*

 \Box A=Yes

 \square B=No

- \Box C=Partially
- □ D=Planned

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option

 \Box A=Yes

- □ B=No
- \Box D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? *Please select only one option*

- \Box A=Yes
- \Box B=No
- \Box C=Partially
- \Box D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No

 \Box C=Partially

□ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option $\Box A=Yes$

- \square B=No
- \Box C=Partially

□ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder

involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
 A=Yes
 B=No
 D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)? *Please select only one option* □ A=Yes

 \square B=No

□ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Lake George (394)

5.6 Have all Ramsar sites been assessed regarding the effectiveness of their management (i.e. sites with eitheraformal management plan or management via other relevant means where they exist e.g through existing actions for appropriate wetland management)? {1.6.2} KRA 1.6.ii *Please select only one option*

Please select only one op $\Box A = Yes$

 \Box A= res

 \Box C=Partially

 \Box D=Planned

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option

 \Box A=Yes

□ B=No

□ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

 \Box A=Yes \Box B=No

□ C=Partially □ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

□ A=Yes

□ B=No

 \Box C=Partially

 \Box Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? *Please select only one option*

□ A=Yes

□ B=No

 \Box C=Partially

□ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? *Please select only one option* □ A=Yes

 \square A= res

 \Box D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)? *Please select only one option* \Box A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Lake Mburo-Nakivali Wetland System (1634)

5.6 Have all Ramsar sites been assessed regarding the effectiveness of their management (i.e. sites with eitheraformal management plan or management via other relevant means where they exist e.g through existing actions for appropriate wetland management)? {1.6.2} KRA 1.6.ii *Please select only one option* \Box A=Yes

□ B=No

 \Box C=Partially

 \Box D=Planned

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option

□ A=Yes

□ B=No

 \Box D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? *Please select only one option*

□ A=Yes

□ B=No

 \Box C=Partially

□ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

□ A=Yes

□ B=No

 \Box C=Partially

Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? *Please select only one option*

□ A=Yes

□ B=No

 \Box C=Partially

 \Box Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option \Box A=Yes

 \Box A= res

□ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option \Box A=Yes

 \Box A= tes

 \Box D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Lake Nabugabo wetland system (1373)

5.6 Have all Ramsar sites been assessed regarding the effectiveness of their management (i.e. sites with eitheraformal management plan or management via other relevant means where they exist e.g through

existing actions for appropriate wetland management)? {1.6.2} KRA 1.6.ii Please select only one option

 \Box A=Yes

 \square B=No

 \Box C=Partially

 \Box D=Planned

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option

□ A=Yes

□ B=No

 \Box D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? *Please select only one option*

□ A=Yes

□ B=No

 \Box C=Partially

□ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

□ A=Yes

□ B=No □ C=Partially

 \Box Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? *Please select only one option*

□ A=Yes

□ B=No

□ C=Partially

Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? *Please select only one option*

□ A=Yes □ B=No

 \Box D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)? *Please select only one option*A=Yes
B=No
D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Lake Nakuwa Wetland System (1635)

5.6 Have all Ramsar sites been assessed regarding the effectiveness of their management (i.e. sites with eitheraformal management plan or management via other relevant means where they exist e.g through existing actions for appropriate wetland management)? {1.6.2} KRA 1.6.ii *Please select only one option*A=Yes
B=No

 \Box C=Partially

□ D=Planned

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
Please select only one option
□ A=Yes
□ B=No

 \Box D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? *Please select only one option*

□ A=Yes

□ B=No

 \Box C=Partially

 \Box D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No

 \Box C=Partially

□ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? *Please select only one option*

□ A=Yes

 $\Box B=No$ $\Box C=Partially$

 \Box Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? *Please select only one option*

□ A=Yes

□ B=No

□ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)? *Please select only one option*

□ A=Yes

□ B=No

 \Box D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

>

Lake Opeta Wetland System (1636)

5.6 Have all Ramsar sites been assessed regarding the effectiveness of their management (i.e. sites with eitheraformal management plan or management via other relevant means where they exist e.g through existing actions for appropriate wetland management)? {1.6.2} KRA 1.6.ii *Please select only one option*

□ A=Yes □ B=No

 \Box C=Partially

□ D=Planned

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option \Box A=Yes

 \square B=No

□ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? *Please select only one option*

□ A=Yes

□ B=No

□ C=Partially

D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar

Site? Please select only one option \Box A=Yes \Box B=No \Box C=Partially \Box Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? *Please select only one option*

□ A=Yes

□ B=No

 \Box C=Partially

□ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? *Please select only one option*

- \Box A=Yes
- \square B=No
- □ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)? *Please select only one option*

□ A=Yes

- \Box B=No
- \Box D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Lutembe Bay Wetland System (1637)

5.6 Have all Ramsar sites been assessed regarding the effectiveness of their management (i.e. sites with eitheraformal management plan or management via other relevant means where they exist e.g through existing actions for appropriate wetland management)? {1.6.2} KRA 1.6.ii *Please select only one option*

- □ A=Yes
- \square B=No
- \Box C=Partially
- □ D=Planned

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

- Please select only one option
- \Box A=Yes
- □ B=No
- \Box D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? *Please select only one option*

- \Box A=Yes
- □ B=No
- \Box C=Partially
- \Box D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

- Please select only one option □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- \Box C=Partially
- □ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? *Please select only one option* □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially□ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? *Please select only one option*A=Yes
B=No

□ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)? Please select only one option

□ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Mabamba Bay Wetland System (1638)

5.6 Have all Ramsar sites been assessed regarding the effectiveness of their management (i.e. sites with eitheraformal management plan or management via other relevant means where they exist e.g through existing actions for appropriate wetland management)? {1.6.2} KRA 1.6.ii *Please select only one option*

□ A=Yes

□ B=No

 \Box C=Partially

□ D=Planned

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option

□ A=Yes

□ B=No

 \Box D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? *Please select only one option*

□ A=Yes

□ B=No

□ C=Partially

□ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

- Please select only one option
- \Box A=Yes
- □ B=No
- \Box C=Partially

 \Box Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? *Please select only one option*

□ A=Yes

□ B=No

□ C=Partially

□ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? *Please select only one option*A=Yes
B=No
D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
Please select only one option
□ A=Yes
□ B=No

 \Box D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Murchison Falls-Albert Delta Wetland System (1640)

5.6 Have all Ramsar sites been assessed regarding the effectiveness of their management (i.e. sites with eitheraformal management plan or management via other relevant means where they exist e.g through existing actions for appropriate wetland management)? {1.6.2} KRA 1.6.ii

Please select only one option \Box A=Yes

 \Box A= tes

⊔ B=N0 □ C-Dartially

□ C=Partially

 \Box D=Planned

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option

□ A=Yes

□ B=No

D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? *Please select only one option*

□ A=Yes

□ B=No

 \Box C=Partially

D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

□ A=Yes

□ B=No

□ C=Partially

□ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? *Please select only one option*

□ A=Yes

🗆 B=No

 \Box C=Partially

□ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? *Please select only one option* \Box A=Yes

 \square B=No

□ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)? *Please select only one option*

 \square A=Yes

 \square B=No

□ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Nabajjuzi Wetland system (1639)
 5.6 Have all Ramsar sites been assessed regarding the effectiveness of their management (i.e. sites with eitheraformal management plan or management via other relevant means where they exist e.g through existing actions for appropriate wetland management)? {1.6.2} KRA 1.6.ii Please select only one option A=Yes B=No C=Partially D=Planned
 5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site? Please select only one option A=Yes B=No D=Planned
 11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option A=Yes B=No C=Partially D=Planned
 11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option A=Yes B=No C=Partially Z=No Management Plan
 11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option A=Yes B=No C=Partially Z=No Management Plan
 16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option A=Yes B=No D=Planned
 16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)? Please select only one option A=Yes B=No D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

>

Rwenzori Mountains Ramsar Site (1861)

5.6 Have all Ramsar sites been assessed regarding the effectiveness of their management (i.e. sites with eitheraformal management plan or management via other relevant means where they exist e.g through existing actions for appropriate wetland management)? {1.6.2} KRA 1.6.ii *Please select only one option*

□ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned 5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option

□ A=Yes

□ B=No

□ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? *Please select only one option*

 \Box A=Yes

 \square B=No

C=Partially

 \Box D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

□ A=Yes

□ B=No

 \Box C=Partially

□ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? *Please select only one option*

 \Box A=Yes

□ B=No

 \Box C=Partially

□ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? *Please select only one option*

□ A=Yes

□ B=No

 \Box D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)? *Please select only one option*

 \square A=Yes

 \square B=No

□ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Sango Bay-Musambwa Island-Kagera Wetland System (SAMUKA) (1641)

5.6 Have all Ramsar sites been assessed regarding the effectiveness of their management (i.e. sites with eitheraformal management plan or management via other relevant means where they exist e.g through existing actions for appropriate wetland management)? {1.6.2} KRA 1.6.ii *Please select only one option*

□ A=Yes

 \square B=No

 \Box C=Partially

D=Planned

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site? *Please select only one option*

□ A=Yes

□ B=No

□ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? *Please select only one option*

□ A=Yes

 \square B=No

 \Box C=Partially

 \Box D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option A=Yes B=No C=Partially Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? *Please select only one option*

□ A=Yes

□ B=No

 \Box C=Partially

 \Box Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? *Please select only one option* \Box A=Yes

 \square B=No

 \Box D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option

□ A=Yes

□ B=No

 \Box D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

>