Section 1: Institutional Information

Important note: the responses below will be considered by the Ramsar Secretariat as the definitive list of your focal points, and will be used to update the information it holds. The Secretariat's current information about your focal points is available at https://www.ramsar.org/search?f%5B0%5D=type%3Aperson#search-contacts

Name of Contracting Party
The completed National Report must be accompanied by a letter in the name of the Head of Administrative Authority, confirming that this is the Contracting Party's official submission of its COP14 National Report. It can be attached to this question using the "Manage documents" function (blue symbol below)

› Greenland

You have attached the following documents to this answer.
Letter of confirmation, Greenland signed 14012021.pdf - Letter of Confirmation, Greenland

Designated Ramsar Administrative Authority

Name of Administrative Authority
› Naalakkersuisut (the government of Greenland), Ministry of Research and Environment

Head of Administrative Authority - name and title
› Mette Skarregaard Pedersen, Deputy Minister

Mailing address
› Ministry of Research and Environment
Imaneq 1A, 8th floor
3900 Nuuk
Greenland

Telephone/Fax
› 00299 - 345000

Email
› pan@nanoq.gl

Designated National Focal Point for Ramsar Convention Matters

Name and title
› Palle Smedegaard Nielsen, Head of Section

Mailing address
› Department of Nature, Research and Environment
Ministry of Research and Environment
Imaneq 1A, 8th floor
3900 Nuuk
Greenland

Telephone/Fax
› 00299 - 346452

Email
› pasn@nanoq.gl

Designated National Focal Point for Matters Relating to The Scientific and Technical Review Panel (STRP)

Name and title
› Lars Dinesen
Name of organisation
› IPBES Denmark

Mailing address
› IPBES i Danmark
c/o Center for Makroøkologi, Evolution og Klima
Universitetsparken 15
2100 København
Danmark

Telephone/Fax
› +45 93509570

Email
› lars.dinesen@sund.ku.dk

**Designated Government National Focal Point for Matters Relating to The Programme on Communication, Education, Participation and Awareness (CEPA)**

Name and title
› Palle Smedegaard Nielsen, Head of Section

Name of organisation
› Ministry of Research and Environment, Government of Greenland

Mailing address
› Ministry of Research and Environment
Imaneq 1A, 8th floor
3900 Nuuk
Greenland

Telephone/Fax
› 00299 - 346452

Email
› pasn@nanoq.gl
Section 2: General summary of national implementation progress and challenges

In your country, in the past triennium (i.e., since COP13 reporting)

A. What have been the five most successful aspects of implementation of the Convention?

1) The Government of Greenland has produced a draft of a new National Strategy for Biodiversity 2030. The strategy is expected to be approved during Q1 2021 and will have implications for Greenland's Ramsar sites.

2) Following an official monitoring of Arctic terns in 2018 at Ramsar site 384 and an unofficial monitoring trip in 2020, actions have been taken to improve status of the Arctic tern with the aim of lowering the pressure from Arctic fox.

3) New surveys have been undertaken on seabirds in the Greenland Sea, see https://dce2.au.dk/pub/SR375.pdf.

4) Greenland is actively taking part in Conservation of Arctic Flora and Fauna's (CAFF) project "Resilience and Management of Arctic Wetlands".

5) Steps have been taken to develop a spatial map in an appropriate resolution to create a national wetlands inventory. This is not expected to be finished within the next triennial.

B. What have been the five greatest difficulties in implementing the Convention?

1) The major obstacles to be encountered in the implementation of the Convention are due to lack of resources/manpower. This is a concern in relation to implementation of international agreements, development and implementation of comprehensive monitoring programmes for protected areas and resources etc.

2) Since many of the Greenlandic Ramsar sites are remote and difficult to access monitoring of conservation status, ecosystem status, species population status and trends in the areas are very expensive and difficult.

C. What are the five priorities for future implementation of the Convention?

1) To further take part in the CAFF project "Resilience and Management of Arctic Wetlands".

2) Follow through with the aims from the new national biodiversity strategy's goals regarding wetlands and Ramsar sites.

3) Continues the development of a monitoring plan for Ramsar site 384 Kitsissunnguit.

4) Continued work on data foundation for development of spatial data model for the entire ice-free area of Greenland to properly assess the extend of wetlands and ultimately complete a wetlands inventory.

F. How can national implementation of the Ramsar Convention be better linked with implementation of other multilateral environmental agreements (MEAs), especially those in the 'biodiversity cluster' (Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD), Convention on Migratory Species (CMS), Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species (CITES), World Heritage Convention (WHC), and United Nations Convention to Combat Desertification (UNCCD) and the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC)?

The Ministry of Research and Environment is, beside Ramsar, also responsible for implementation of CBD and CITES as well as the Arctic Council working groups Conservation of Flora and Fauna (CAFF), Arctic Monitoring and Assessment Programme (AMAP) and Protection of the Arctic Marine Environment (PAME) and OSPAR. In that matter the Ministry of Research and Environment seeks to link the efforts nationally.
G. How is the Ramsar Convention linked with the implementation of water policy/strategy and other strategies in the country (e.g., on sustainable development, energy, extractive industries, poverty reduction, sanitation, food security, biodiversity) and how this could be improved?
› By coordination of nature protection initiatives with the Office of Regional Development in the Government of Greenland. One of the goals for this Office is to secure sustainable development.

H. According to paragraph 21 of Resolution XIII.18 on Gender and wetlands, please provide a short description about the balance between men and women participating in wetland-related decisions, programmes and research.
› There is no data available to provide insights into gender and wetlands.

J. Please list the names of the organisations which have been consulted on or have contributed to the information provided in this report
› Greenland Institute of Natural Resources, Aarhus University - Danish Centre for Climate and Energy (DCE) and all relevant ministries in the Government of Greenland have been heard.
**Section 3: Indicator questions and further implementation information**

**Goal 1. Addressing the drivers of wetland loss and degradation**

[Reference to Sustainable Development Goals 1, 2, 6, 8, 11, 13, 14, 15]

**Target 1**

Wetland benefits are featured in national/local policy strategies and plans relating to key sectors such as water, energy, mining, agriculture, tourism, urban development, infrastructure, industry, forestry, aquaculture, fisheries at the national and local level.

[Reference to Aichi Target 2]

1.1 Have wetland conservation and the identification of wetlands benefits been integrated into sustainable approaches to the following national strategies and planning processes, including: {1.3.2} {1.3.3} KRA 1.3.i

*Please select only one per square.*

<p>| | | | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) National Policy or strategy for wetland management</td>
<td>☐ X=Unknown</td>
<td>☐ D=Planned</td>
<td>☐ C=Partially</td>
<td>☐ B=No</td>
<td>☐ A=Yes</td>
<td>☐ Y=Not Relevant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Poverty eradication strategies</td>
<td>☐ X=Unknown</td>
<td>☐ D=Planned</td>
<td>☐ C=Partially</td>
<td>☐ B=No</td>
<td>☐ A=Yes</td>
<td>☐ Y=Not Relevant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Water resource management and water efficiency plans</td>
<td>☐ X=Unknown</td>
<td>☐ D=Planned</td>
<td>☐ C=Partially</td>
<td>☐ B=No</td>
<td>☐ A=Yes</td>
<td>☐ Y=Not Relevant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Coastal and marine resource management plans</td>
<td>☐ X=Unknown</td>
<td>☐ D=Planned</td>
<td>☐ C=Partially</td>
<td>☐ B=No</td>
<td>☐ A=Yes</td>
<td>☐ Y=Not Relevant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) Integrated Coastal Zone Management Plan</td>
<td>☐ X=Unknown</td>
<td>☐ D=Planned</td>
<td>☐ C=Partially</td>
<td>☐ B=No</td>
<td>☐ A=Yes</td>
<td>☐ Y=Not Relevant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f) National forest programmes</td>
<td>☐ X=Unknown</td>
<td>☐ D=Planned</td>
<td>☐ C=Partially</td>
<td>☐ B=No</td>
<td>☐ A=Yes</td>
<td>☐ Y=Not Relevant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g) National policies or measures on agriculture</td>
<td>☐ X=Unknown</td>
<td>☐ D=Planned</td>
<td>☐ C=Partially</td>
<td>☐ B=No</td>
<td>☐ A=Yes</td>
<td>☐ Y=Not Relevant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h) National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plans drawn up under the CBD</td>
<td>☐ X=Unknown</td>
<td>☐ D=Planned</td>
<td>☐ C=Partially</td>
<td>☐ B=No</td>
<td>☐ A=Yes</td>
<td>☐ Y=Not Relevant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1.1 Additional information


National policies on energy, tourism, waste management and water quality, as well as water resource management and water efficiency plans and national plans of actions (NPAs) for pollution control and management have been conducted, but not with a focus on wetlands. The legal basis governing mineral resources in Greenland is the Mineral Resources Act and provisions laid down under the Act.

Target 2

Water use respects wetland ecosystem needs for them to fulfil their functions and provide services at the appropriate scale inter alia at the basin level or along a coastal zone.

[Reference to Aichi Targets 7 and 8], [Sustainable Development Goal 6, Indicator 6.3.1]

2.1 Has the quantity and quality of water available to, and required by, wetlands been assessed to support the implementation of the Guidelines for the allocation and management of water for maintaining the ecological functions of wetlands (Resolution VIII.1, VIII.2) ? 1.24.

☑ B=No
2.2 Have assessments of environmental flow been undertaken in relation to mitigation of impacts on the ecological character of wetlands (Action r3.4.iv)
☑ C=Partially

2.3 What, if any, initiatives been taken to improve the sustainability of water use (or allocation of water resources) in the context of ecosystem requirements across major river basins (Resolutions VIII.1 and XII.12)? (Action 3.4.6.)
☑ B=No

2.4 Have projects that promote and demonstrate good practice in water allocation and management for maintaining the ecological functions of wetlands been developed (Action r3.4.ix.)
☑ B=No

2.5 Additional Information
› Unknown

2.6 What is the percentage of sewerage coverage in the country?
SDG 6 Target 6.3.1.
☑ F=Less than (percentage)
› 65%

2.6 Additional Information
› The calculated sewerage coverage contains large uncertainties. The municipalities use different methods for collecting and calculating the data and the final overview is not yet complete.

2.7 What is the percentage of users of septic tank/pit latrine if relevant to your country?
SDG 6 Target 6.3.1.
☑ G=More than (percentage)
› 35%

2.7 Additional Information
› The percentage is calculated from the percentage of sewerage coverage. Dry toilets with bags are included in this definition ‘septic tank/pit latrine’. Dry toilets with bags are the most widespread use of non-sewerage-toilets.

2.8 Does the country use constructed wetlands/ponds as wastewater treatment technology?
SDG 6 Target 6.3.1.
☑ B=No

2.8 Additional Information
› More or less all wastewater is discharged directly to the ocean.

2.9 Number of wastewater treatment plants (or volume treated exist at national level)?
SDG 6 Target 6.3.1.
☑ E=Exact number (plants)
› 0

2.9 Additional Information
› A few factories and communal sewerage pipes are installed with some simple mechanical treatment solutions.

2.10 How is the functional status of the wastewater treatment plants? If relevant to your country
SDG 6 Target 6.3.1.
☑ Y=Not Relevant

2.11 The percentage of decentralized wastewater treatment technology, including constructed wetlands/ponds is?
SDG 6 Target 6.3.1.
☑ X=Unknown

2.12 Number of wastewater reuse systems (or volume re-used) and purpose?
SDG 6 Target 6.3.1.
> 0

2.13 What is the purpose of the wastewater reuse system if relevant to your country?
SDG 6 Target 6.3.1.
☑ Y=Not Relevant

2.14 Does your country use a wastewater treatment process that utilizes wetlands as a natural filter while preserving the wetland ecosystem?
☑ B=No

**Target 3**
Public and private sectors have increased their efforts to apply guidelines and good practices for the wise use of water and wetlands. {1.10}
[Reference to Aichi Targets 3, 4, 7 and 8]

3.1 Is the private sector encouraged to apply the Ramsar wise use principle and guidance (Ramsar handbooks for the wise use of wetlands) in its activities and investments concerning wetlands? {1.10.1} KRA 1.10.i
☑ B=No

3.2 Has the private sector undertaken activities or actions for the conservation, wise use and management of {1.10.2} KRA 1.10.ii

*Please select only one per square.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a) Ramsar Sites</th>
<th>☐ Y=Not relevant</th>
<th>☐ X=Unknown</th>
<th>☐ D=Planned</th>
<th>☐ C=Partially</th>
<th>☐ B=No</th>
<th>☐ A=Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>b) Wetlands in general</td>
<td>☐ Y=Not relevant</td>
<td>☐ X=Unknown</td>
<td>☐ D=Planned</td>
<td>☐ C=Partially</td>
<td>☐ B=No</td>
<td>☐ A=Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2 Additional information
> The private sector has funded monitoring efforts in relation to mining activities. These also constitute a certain part relating to wetlands in general.

3.3 Have actions been taken to implement incentive measures which encourage the conservation and wise use of wetlands? {1.11.1} KRA 1.11.i
☑ C=Partially

3.4 Have actions been taken to remove perverse incentive measures which discourage conservation and wise use of wetlands? {1.11.2} KRA 1.11.i
☑ B=No

**Target 4**
Invasive alien species and pathways of introduction and expansion are identified and prioritized, priority invasive alien species are controlled or eradicated, and management responses are prepared and implemented to prevent their introduction and establishment.
{Reference to Aichi Target 9}

4.1 Does your country have a comprehensive national inventory of invasive alien species that currently or potentially impact the ecological character of wetlands? {1.9.1} KRA 1.9.i
☑ B=No
4.2 Have national policies or guidelines on invasive species control and management been established or reviewed for wetlands? {1.9.2} KRA 1.9.iii
☑ C=Partially

4.3. Has your country successfully controlled through management actions invasive species of high risk to wetland ecosystems?
☒ X=Unknown

4.4 Are there invasive species of high risk to wetland ecosystems that have not been successfully controlled through management actions?
☒ B=No

4.5 Have the effectiveness of wetland invasive alien species control programmes been assessed?
☒ B=No

**Goal 2. Effectively conserving and managing the Ramsar Site network**
[Reference to Sustainable Development Goals 6, 11, 13, 14, 15]

**Target 5**
The ecological character of Ramsar Sites is maintained or restored through effective, planning and integrated management {2.1.}
[Reference to Aichi Targets 6,11, 12]

5.1 Have a national strategy and priorities been established for the further designation of Ramsar Sites, using the Strategic Framework for the Ramsar List? {2.1.1} KRA 2.1.i
☑ D=Planned

5.2 Are the Ramsar Sites Information Service and its tools being used in national identification of further Ramsar Sites to designate? {2.2.1} KRA 2.2.ii
☑ B=No

5.3 How many Ramsar Sites have a formal management plan? {2.4.1} KRA 2.4.i
☑ E=Exact number (sites)
> 0

5.4 Of the Ramsar Sites with a formal management plan, for how many of these is the plan being implemented? {2.4.2} KRA 2.4.i
☑ Y=Not Relevant

5.5 Of the Ramsar sites without a formal management plan, for how many is there effective management planning currently being implemented through other relevant means e.g. through existing actions for appropriate wetland management? {2.4.3} KRA 2.4.i
☑ E=Exact number (sites)
> 1

5.6 Have all Ramsar sites been assessed regarding the effectiveness of their management (i.e. sites with either a formal management plan or management via other relevant means where they exist e.g through existing actions for appropriate wetland management)? {1.6.2} KRA 1.6.ii
☑ C=Partially

5.7 How many Ramsar Sites have a cross-sectoral management committee? {2.4.4} {2.4.6} KRA 2.4.iv
☑ E=Exact number (sites)
> 1

5.7 Additional information
If at least 1 site, please give the name and official number of the site or sites
> Site nr 384 Kitsissunnguit

**Target 7**
Sites that are at risk of change of ecological character have threats addressed {2.6.}.
[Reference to Aichi Targets 5, 7, 11, 12]

7.1 Are mechanisms in place for the Administrative Authority to be informed of negative human-induced
changes or likely changes in the ecological character of Ramsar Sites, pursuant to Article 3.2? {2.6.1} KRA 2.6.i
☑ C=Some Sites

7.1 Additional information
If ‘Yes’ or ‘Some sites’, please summarise the mechanism or mechanisms established
> Irregular monitoring has been carried out at ramsar site nr. 384 Kitsissunnguit. In the field report potential negative human-induced effect have been described. No formalised mechanism is in place.

7.2 Have all cases of negative human-induced change or likely change in the ecological character of Ramsar Sites been reported to the Ramsar Secretariat, pursuant to Article 3.2? {2.6.2} KRA 2.6.i
☑ A=Yes

7.2 Additional information
If ‘Yes’ or ‘Some cases’, please indicate for which Ramsar Sites the Administrative Authority has made Article 3.2 reports to the Secretariat, and for which sites such reports of change or likely change have not yet been made
> no formalised mechanism is in place. With the data received no trend can be established.

7.3 If applicable, have actions been taken to address the issues for which Ramsar Sites have been listed on the Montreux Record, such as requesting a Ramsar Advisory Mission? {2.6.3} KRA 2.6.ii
☑ Z=Not Applicable

Goal 3. Wisely Using All Wetlands
[Reference to Sustainable Development Goals 1, 2, 5, 6, 8, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15]

Target 8
National wetland inventories have been either initiated, completed or updated and disseminated and used for promoting the conservation and effective management of all wetlands {1.1.1} KRA 1.1.i
[Reference to Aichi Targets 12, 14, 18, 19]

8.1 Does your country have a complete National Wetland Inventory? {1.1.1} KRA 1.1.i
☑ B=No

8.1 Additional information
> Steps have been taken to develop a spatial data service to assess national wetland extend.

8.2 Has your country updated a National Wetland Inventory in the last decade?
☑ B=No

8.3 Is wetland inventory data and information maintained? {1.1.2} KRA 1.1.ii
☑ C=Partially

8.4 Is wetland inventory data and information made accessible to all stakeholders? {1.1.2} KRA 1.1.ii
☑ A=Yes

8.5 Has the condition* of wetlands in your country, overall, changed during the last triennium? {1.1.3}
Please describe on the sources of the information on which your answer is based in the free-text box below. If there is a difference between inland and coastal wetland situations, please describe. If you are able to, please describe the principal driver(s) of the change(s).
* ‘Condition’ corresponds to ecological character, as defined by the Convention
Please select only one per square.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>a) Ramsar Sites</th>
<th>b) Wetlands generally</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ P=Status Improved</td>
<td>☐ P=Status Improved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ O=No Change</td>
<td>☐ O=No Change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ N=Status Deteriorated</td>
<td>☐ N=Status Deteriorated</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8.5 Additional information on a) and/or b)
> Indication of change is not possible due to lack of systematic monitoring.

8.6 Based upon the National Wetland Inventory if available please provide a figure in square kilometres for Ramsar National Report to COP14 [Palle Smedegaard Nielsen]
the extent of wetlands (according to the Ramsar definition) for the year 2020 and provide the relevant disaggregated information in the box below. This information will also be used to report on SDG 6, Target 6.6, Indicator 6.6.1, for which the Ramsar Convention is a co-custodian.
☑ X=Unknown

8.7 Please indicate your needs (in terms of technical, financial or governance challenges) to develop, update or complete a National Wetland Inventory
> No spatial data exists to assess the extent of wetlands in Greenland.

**Target 9**
The wise use of wetlands is strengthened through integrated resource management at the appropriate scale, inter alia, within a river basin or along a coastal zone {1.3.}.
[Reference to Aichi Targets 4, 6, 7]

9.1 Is a Wetland Policy (or equivalent instrument) that promotes the wise use of wetlands in place? {1.3.1} KRA 1.3.i
If ‘Yes’, please give the title and date of the policy in the green text box
☑ B=No

9.2 Have any amendments to existing legislation been made to reflect Ramsar commitments? {1.3.5} {1.3.6}
☑ B=No

9.3 Are wetlands treated as natural water infrastructure integral to water resource management at the scale of river basins? {1.7.1} {1.7.2} KRA 1.7.ii
☑ A=Yes

9.4 Have Communication, Education, Participation and Awareness (CEPA) expertise and tools been incorporated into catchment/river basin planning and management (see Resolution X.19)? {1.7.2} {1.7.3}
☑ B=No

9.5 Has your country established policies or guidelines for enhancing the role of wetlands in mitigating or adapting to climate change? {1.7.3} {1.7.5} KRA 1.7.iii
☑ B=No

9.6 Has your country formulated plans or projects to sustain and enhance the role of wetlands in supporting and maintaining viable farming systems? {1.7.4} {1.7.6} KRA 1.7.v
☑ B=No

9.7 Has research to inform wetland policies and plans been undertaken in your country on:
{1.6.1} KRA 1.6.i
Please select only one per square.

| a) agriculture-wetland interactions | ☐ C=Planned  
☐ B=No  
☐ A=Yes |
| b) climate change | ☐ C=Planned  
☐ B=No  
☐ A=Yes |
| c) valuation of ecosystem services | ☐ C=Planned  
☐ B=No  
☐ A=Yes |

9.8 Has your country submitted a request for Wetland City Accreditation of the Ramsar Convention, Resolution XII.10? 
☑ B=No

9.9 Has your country made efforts to conserve small wetlands in line with Resolution XIII.21?
☑ B=No

**Target 10**
The traditional knowledge innovations and practices of indigenous peoples and local communities relevant
for the wise use of wetlands and their customary use of wetland resources, are documented, respected, subject to national legislation and relevant international obligations and fully integrated and reflected in the implementation of the Convention with a full and effective participation of indigenous and local communities at all relevant levels.

[Reference to Aichi Target 18]

10.1 Have case studies, participation in projects or successful experiences on cultural aspects of wetlands been compiled. Resolution VIII.19 and Resolution IX.21? (Action 6.1.6)
☑ A=Yes

10.1 Additional information
If yes please indicate the case studies or projects documenting information and experiences concerning culture and wetlands
› In connection with management of Kitsissunnguit

10.2 Have the guidelines for establishing and strengthening local communities’ and indigenous people’s participation in the management of wetlands been used or applied such as (Resolution VII. 8) (Action 6.1.5)

Please select only one per square.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a) stakeholders, including local communities and indigenous people are represented on National Ramsar Committees or similar bodies</th>
<th>☐ D=Planned ☐ C=In Preparation ☐ B=No ☑ A=Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>b) involvement and assistance of indigenous people’s and community-based groups, wetland education centres and non-governmental organizations with the necessary expertise to facilitate the establishment of participatory approaches</td>
<td>☐ D=Planned ☐ C=In Preparation ☑ B=No ☑ A=Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10.3 Traditional knowledge and management practices relevant for the wise use of wetlands have been documented and their application encouraged (Action 6.1.2)
☑ A=Yes

**Target 11**

Wetland functions, services and benefits are widely demonstrated, documented and disseminated. {1.4.}

[Reference to Aichi Targets 1, 2, 13, 14]

11.1 Have ecosystem benefits/services provided by wetlands been researched in your country, recorded in documents like State of the Environment reporting, and the results promoted? {1.4.1} KRA 1.4.ii
☐ C1=Partially

11.1 Additional information
If ‘Yes’ or ‘Partially’, please indicate, how many wetlands and their names
› Greenland is subject to many research sites, including flux-measures/modelling in wetland/fen areas. No specific reports on the “service” provided by ramsar sites have been produced

11.2 Have wetland programmes or projects that contribute to poverty alleviation objectives or food and water security plans been implemented? {1.4.2} KRA 1.4.i
☑ B=No

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for Ramsar Sites and other wetlands? {1.4.3} {1.4.4} KRA 1.4.iii
☑ B=No

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for Ramsar Sites and other wetlands including traditional knowledge for the effective management of sites (Resolution VIII.19)?
11.4 Additional information
If ‘Yes’ or ‘Partially’, please indicate, if known, how many Ramsar Sites and their names
> 1. ramsar site 384 Kitsissunguit

Target 12
Restoration is in progress in degraded wetlands, with priority to wetlands that are relevant for biodiversity conservation, disaster risk reduction, livelihoods and/or climate change mitigation and adaptation. [Reference to Aichi Targets 14 and 15].

12.1 Have priority sites for wetland restoration been identified? {1.8.1} KRA 1.8.i ☑ Y=Not Relevant

12.2 Have wetland restoration/rehabilitation programmes, plans or projects been effectively implemented? {1.8.2} KRA 1.8.i ☑ Y=Not Relevant

12.3 Have the Guidelines for Global Action on Peatlands and on Peatlands, climate change and wise use (Resolutions VIII.1 and XII.11) been implemented including?
*Please select only one per square.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a) Knowledge of global resources</th>
<th>☐ Y=Not relevant</th>
<th>☐ X=Unknown</th>
<th>☐ D=Planned</th>
<th>☑ C=Partially</th>
<th>☐ B=No</th>
<th>☐ A=Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>b) Education and public awareness on peatlands</td>
<td>☐ Y=Not relevant</td>
<td>☐ X=Unknown</td>
<td>☐ D=Planned</td>
<td>☑ C=Partially</td>
<td>☐ B=No</td>
<td>☐ A=Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Policy and legislative instruments</td>
<td>☐ Y=Not relevant</td>
<td>☐ X=Unknown</td>
<td>☐ D=Planned</td>
<td>☑ C=Partially</td>
<td>☐ B=No</td>
<td>☐ A=Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Wise use of peatlands</td>
<td>☐ Y=Not relevant</td>
<td>☐ X=Unknown</td>
<td>☐ D=Planned</td>
<td>☑ C=Partially</td>
<td>☐ B=No</td>
<td>☐ A=Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) Research networks, regional centres of expertise, and institutional capacity</td>
<td>☐ Y=Not relevant</td>
<td>☐ X=Unknown</td>
<td>☐ D=Planned</td>
<td>☑ C=Partially</td>
<td>☐ B=No</td>
<td>☐ A=Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f) International cooperation</td>
<td>☐ Y=Not relevant</td>
<td>☐ X=Unknown</td>
<td>☐ D=Planned</td>
<td>☑ C=Partially</td>
<td>☐ B=No</td>
<td>☐ A=Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g) Implementation and support</td>
<td>☐ Y=Not relevant</td>
<td>☐ X=Unknown</td>
<td>☐ D=Planned</td>
<td>☑ C=Partially</td>
<td>☐ B=No</td>
<td>☐ A=Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Target 13
Enhanced sustainability of key sectors such as water, energy, mining, agriculture, tourism, urban development, infrastructure, industry, forestry, aquaculture and fisheries when they affect wetlands, contributing to biodiversity conservation and human livelihoods

[Reference to Aichi Targets 6 and 7]

13.1 Are Strategic Environmental Assessment practices applied when reviewing policies, programmes and plans that may impact upon wetlands? {1.3.3} {1.3.4} KRA 1.3.ii
☑ A=Yes

13.1 Additional information
› Prior to opening up new areas for hydrocarbon exploration and exploitation licensing rounds, a Strategic Environmental Impact Assessments (SEIA) for the region is completed.
The environmental impact assessments are prepared and updated by the Danish Centre for Environment and Energy (DCE) and Pinngortitaleriffik, the Greenland Institute of Natural Resources (GINR), on behalf of the Environmental Agency for Mineral Resource Activities (EAMRA). Since the last reporting in 2017, the strategic environmental assessment of oil and gas activities in the Greenland Sea have been updated. The East Greenland Ramsar sites are mentioned here. It is available here: https://dce2.au.dk/pub/SR375.pdf
The two strategic environmental assessments of oil and gas activities covering the Disko West areas and the Davis Strait area are presently undergoing an update and will be available later.

13.2 Are Environmental Impact Assessments made for any development projects (such as new buildings, new roads, extractive industry) from key sectors such as water, energy, mining, agriculture, tourism, urban development, infrastructure, industry, forestry, aquaculture and fisheries that may affect wetlands? {1.3.4} {1.3.5} KRA 1.3.iii
☑ A=Yes

13.2 Additional information
› Specifically regarding EIAs for companies seeking to exploit mineral resources:
In the EIA, the company must describe how they will organize their mining project, including the expected environmental impacts.
As part of the EIA, the company must describe how they will limit potential environmental impacts through the use of environmentally friendly technology, best practices and mitigating measures, as prescribed in the Mineral Resources Act.
The mitigating measures are incorporated as conditional provisions in the subsequent activity approval (for construction and operation).
The EIA is therefore used as a basis for formulating terms and conditions, which the company must comply with in order to counteract potential environmental impacts.

Goal 4. Enhancing implementation
[Reference to Sustainable Development Goals 1, 2, 6, 9, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15, 17]

Target 15
Ramsar Regional Initiatives with the active involvement and support of the Parties in each region are reinforced and developed into effective tools to assist in the full implementation of the Convention. {3.2.}

15.1 Have you (AA) been involved in the development and implementation of a Regional Initiative under the framework of the Convention? {3.2.1} KRA 3.2.i
☑ A=Yes

15.1 Additional information
If ‘Yes’ or ‘Planned’, please indicate the regional initiative(s) and the collaborating countries of each initiative
› CAFF’s project "Resilience and Management of Arctic Wetlands"

15.2 Has your country supported or participated in the development of other regional (i.e., covering more than one country) wetland training and research centres? {3.2.2}
☑ B=No

Target 16
Wetlands conservation and wise use are mainstreamed through communication, capacity development, education, participation and awareness {4.1}
[Reference to Aichi Targets 1 and 18]

16.1 Has an action plan (or plans) for wetland CEPA been established? {4.1.1} KRA 4.1.i
Even if no CEPA plans have been developed, if broad CEPA objectives for CEPA actions have been established, please indicate this in the Additional information section below

Please select only one per square.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a) At the national level</th>
<th>☐ D=Planned</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ C=Partially</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ B=No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☑ A=Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ C=In Progress</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>b) Sub-national level</th>
<th>☐ D=Planned</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ C=Partially</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ B=No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ A=Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ C=In Progress</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>c) Catchment/basin level</th>
<th>☐ D=Planned</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ C=Partially</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ B=No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ A=Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ C=In Progress</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>d) Local/site level</th>
<th>☐ D=Planned</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ C=Partially</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ B=No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☑ A=Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ C=In Progress</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

16.1 Additional information

If ‘Yes’ or ‘In progress’ to one or more of the four questions above, for each please describe the mechanism, who is responsible and identify if it has involved CEPA NFPs

> Some communication efforts have been undertaken for ramsar site 384 Kitsissunnguit. all material produced by the Government of Greenland

16.2 How many centres (visitor centres, interpretation centres, education centres) have been established? {4.1.2} KRA 4.1.ii

a) at Ramsar Sites

> 0

16.2 Additional information

If centres are part of national or international networks, please describe the networks

> The World Heritage Site Ilullissat Icefjord

16.3 Does the Contracting Party {4.1.3} KRA 4.1.iii

Please select only one per square.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a) promote stakeholder participation in decision-making on wetland planning and management</th>
<th>☐ D=Planned</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ C=Partially</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ B=No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☑ A=Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>b) specifically involve local stakeholders in the selection of new Ramsar Sites and in Ramsar Site management?</th>
<th>☐ D=Planned</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ C=Partially</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ B=No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☑ A=Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

16.4 Do you have an operational cross-sectoral National Ramsar/Wetlands Committee? {4.1.6} KRA 4.3.v

☑ B=No
16.5 Do you have an operational cross-sectoral body equivalent to a National Ramsar/Wetlands Committee? {4.1.6} KRA 4.3.v
☐ B=No

16.6 Are other communication mechanisms (apart from a national committee) in place to share Ramsar implementation guidelines and other information between the Administrative Authority and a), b) or c) below? {4.1.7} KRA 4.1.vi:
Please select only one per square.

| a) Ramsar Site managers | ☐ D=Planned | ☐ C=Partially | ☐ B=No | ☐ A=Yes |
| b) other MEA national focal points | ☐ D=Planned | ☐ C=Partially | ☐ B=No | ☐ A=Yes |
| c) other ministries, departments and agencies | ☐ D=Planned | ☐ C=Partially | ☐ B=No | ☐ A=Yes |

16.6 Additional information
If ‘Yes’ or ‘Partially’, please describe what mechanisms are in place
A cross-ministerial working group on management of the ramsar site nr. 384 Kitsissunnguit is active.

16.7 Have Ramsar-branded World Wetlands Day activities (whether on 2 February or at another time of year), either government and NGO-led or both, been carried out in the country since COP13? {4.1.8} ☐ A=Yes

16.8 Have campaigns, programmes, and projects (other than for World Wetlands Day-related activities) been carried out since COP13 to raise awareness of the importance of wetlands to people and wildlife and the ecosystem benefits/services provided by wetlands? {4.1.9} ☐ A=Yes

16.8 Additional information
If these and other CEPA activities have been undertaken by other organizations, please indicate this
A youth biodiversity workshop has been held which amongst many other things also touched upon wetlands and their ecosystem services.

**Target 17**
Financial and other resources for effectively implementing the fourth Ramsar Strategic Plan 2016 – 2024 from all sources are made available. {4.2.}
[Reference to Aichi Target 20]

17.1a Have Ramsar contributions been paid in full for 2018, 2019 and 2020? {4.2.1} KRA 4.2.i ☐ Z=Not Applicable

17.2 Has any additional financial support been provided through voluntary contributions to non-core funded Convention activities? {4.2.2} KRA 4.2.i ☐ B=No

17.6 Has any financial support been provided by your country to the implementation of the Strategic Plan? ☐ Z=Not Applicable

**Target 18**
International cooperation is strengthened at all levels {3.1}

18.1 Are the national focal points of other MEAs invited to participate in the National Ramsar/Wetland Committee? {3.1.1} {3.1.2} KRAs 3.1.i & 3.1.iv ☐ B=No

18.2 Are mechanisms in place at the national level for collaboration between the Ramsar Administrative
Authority and the focal points of UN and other global and regional bodies and agencies (e.g. UNEP, UNDP, WHO, FAO, UNECE, ITTO)? {3.1.2} {3.1.3} KRA 3.1.iv
☑ C=Partially

18.3 Has your country received assistance from one or more UN and other global and regional bodies and agencies (e.g. UNEP, UNDP, WHO, FAO, UNECE, ITTO) or the Convention’s IOPs in its implementation of the Convention? {4.4.1} KRA 4.4.ii.

The IOPs are: BirdLife International, the International Water Management Institute (IWMI), IUCN (International Union for Conservation of Nature), Wetlands International, WWF and Wildfowl & Wetland Trust (WWT).
☑ B=No

18.4 Have networks, including twinning arrangements, been established, nationally or internationally, for knowledge sharing and training for wetlands that share common features? {3.4.1}
☑ A=Yes

18.4 Additional information

If ‘Yes’ or ‘Partially’, please indicate the networks and wetlands involved
› In the CAFF project “Resilience and Management of Arctic Wetlands”.

18.5 Has information about your country’s wetlands and/or Ramsar Sites and their status been made public (e.g., through publications or a website)? {3.4.2} KRA 3.4.iv
☑ C=Partially

18.6 Have all transboundary wetland systems been identified? {3.5.1} KRA 3.5.i
☑ Z=Not Applicable

18.7 Is effective cooperative management in place for shared wetland systems (for example, in shared river basins and coastal zones)? {3.5.2} KRA 3.5.ii
☑ Y=Not Relevant

18.8 Does your country participate in regional networks or initiatives for wetland-dependent migratory species? {3.5.3} KRA 3.5.iii
☑ A=Yes

18.8 Additional information
› In the CAFF project “Resilience and Management of Arctic Wetlands”.

**Target 19**

Capacity building for implementation of the Convention and the 4th Ramsar Strategic Plan 2016 – 2024 is enhanced.
[Reference to Aichi Targets 1 and 17]

19.1 Has an assessment of national and local training needs for the implementation of the Convention been made? {4.1.4} KRAs 4.1.iv & 4.1.viii
☑ B=No

19.2 Are wetland conservation and wise-use issues included in formal education programmes?
☑ B=No

19.3 How many opportunities for wetland site manager training have been provided since COP13? {4.1.5} KRA 4.1.iv
a) at Ramsar Sites
☑ Y=Not Relevant

19.3 How many opportunities for wetland site manager training have been provided since COP13? {4.1.5} KRA 4.1.iv
b) at other wetlands
☑ Y=Not Relevant

19.4 Have you (AA) used your previous Ramsar National Reports in monitoring implementation of the Convention? {4.3.1} KRA 4.3.ii
☑ A=Yes
Section 4. Optional annex to allow any Contracting Party that has developed national targets to provide information on those

Goal 1

Target 4: Invasive alien species
Invasive alien species and pathways of introduction and expansion are identified and prioritized, priority invasive alien species are controlled or eradicated, and management responses are prepared and implemented to prevent their introduction and establishment. [Reference to Aichi Target 9]

Target 4: Invasive alien species - Priority
☐ C=Low

Target 4: Invasive alien species - Resourcing
☐ D=Severely limiting

Target 4: Invasive alien species - National Targets
› None

Target 4: Invasive alien species - Planned activity
› None

Target 4: Invasive alien species - Outcomes achieved by 2021
Outcomes achieved by 2021 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals
Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2021
› None

Target 4: Invasive alien species - Additional Information
› Very few invasive species have been identified in the Greenland environment so far. However, Lupinus nootkatensis has been introduced, and is feared to be invasive in short time. See also this report: Gustavson K., Wegeberg S., Christiansen T. & Geertz-Hansen O. 2020. Identification and risk assessment of potential invasive species in Greenland waters. Aarhus University, DCE – Danish Centre for Environment and Energy, 38 pp. Scientific Report No. 391. https://dce2.au.dk/pub/SR391.pdf
Goal 2

**Target 5: Ecological character of Ramsar Sites**
The ecological character of Ramsar Sites is maintained or restored through effective, planning and integrated management {2.1.}.[Reference to Aichi Target 6,11, 12]

**Target 5: Ecological character of Ramsar Sites - Priority**
☑ C=Low

**Target 5: Ecological character of Ramsar Sites - Resourcing**
☑ D=Severely limiting

**Target 5: Ecological character of Ramsar Sites - National Targets**
› none

**Target 5: Ecological character of Ramsar Sites - Planned activity**
› none

**Target 5: Ecological character of Ramsar Sites - Outcomes achieved by 2021**
Outcomes achieved by 2021 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals

**Note:** this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2021
› none
**Target 7: Sites at risk**
Sites that are at risk of change of ecological character have threats addressed {2.6}. [Reference to Aichi Targets 5, 7, 11, 12]

**Target 7: Sites at risk - Priority**
☐ C=Low

**Target 7: Sites at risk - Resourcing**
☒ D=Severely limiting

**Target 7: Sites at risk - National Targets**
› none

**Target 7: Sites at risk - Planned activity**
› none

**Target 7: Sites at risk - Outcomes achieved by 2021**
Outcomes achieved by 2021 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals

**Note:** this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2021
› none

**Target 7: Sites at risk - Additional Information**
› No sites are in immediate risk. However, one site Heden (389) are close to a planned mining site, and will be reduced in area, if the plans are manifested. The Ramsar-site 2021, was designated as a replacement for the reduced area,
Goal 3

Target 8: National wetland inventories
National wetland inventories have been either initiated, completed or updated and disseminated and used for promoting the conservation and effective management of all wetlands {1.1.1} KRA 1.1.i. [Reference to Aichi Targets 12, 14, 18, 19]

Target 8: National wetland inventories - Priority
☑ C=Low

Target 8: National wetland inventories - Resourcing
☑ D=Severely limiting

Target 8: National wetland inventories - National Targets
› none

Target 8: National wetland inventories - Planned activity
› none

Target 8: National wetland inventories - Outcomes achieved by 2021
Outcomes achieved by 2021 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals
Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2021
› none
**Target 9: Wise Use**

The wise use of wetlands is strengthened through integrated resource management at the appropriate scale, inter alia, within a river basin or along a coastal zone {1.3.}. [Reference to Aichi Targets 4, 6, 7]

**Target 9: Wise Use - Priority**

☑ B=Medium

**Target 9: Wise Use - Resourcing**

☑ C=Limiting

**Target 9: Wise Use - Additional Information**

› Both fishery and hunting regulations aim generally at wise use of living resources
**Target 10: Traditional Knowledge**

The traditional knowledge innovations and practices of indigenous peoples and local communities relevant for the wise use of wetlands and their customary use of wetland resources, are documented, respected, subject to national legislation and relevant international obligations and fully integrated and reflected in the implementation of the Convention with a full and effective participation of indigenous and local communities at all relevant levels. [Reference to Aichi Target 18].

**Target 10: Traditional Knowledge - Priority**
☑️ B=Medium

**Target 10: Traditional Knowledge - Resourcing**
☑️ C=Limiting
**Target 11: Wetland functions**

Wetland functions, services and benefits are widely demonstrated, documented and disseminated. {1.4.}. [Reference to Aichi Targets 1, 2, 13, 14]

Target 11: Wetland functions - Priority
☑️ C=Low

Target 11: Wetland functions - Resourcing
☑️ D=Severely limiting

Target 11: Wetland functions - National Targets
› none

Target 11: Wetland functions - Planned activity
› none
**Target 12: Restoration**

Restoration is in progress in degraded wetlands, with priority to wetlands that are relevant for biodiversity conservation, disaster risk reduction, livelihoods and/or climate change mitigation and adaptation. [Reference to Aichi Targets 14 and 15].

Target 12: Restoration - Priority
☑️ D=Not relevant

Target 12: Restoration - Resourcing
☑️ E=No answer

Target 12: Restoration - National Targets
› none

Target 12: Restoration - Planned activity
› none

Target 12: Restoration - Outcomes achieved by 2021

Outcomes achieved by 2021 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals

**Note:** this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2021
› none

Target 12: Restoration - Additional Information
› Nor really relevant in a Greenland context, as wetlands are not degraded on the same scale as in other parts of the world
Target 13: Enhanced sustainability
Enhanced sustainability of key sectors such as water, energy, mining, agriculture, tourism, urban development, infrastructure, industry, forestry, aquaculture and fisheries when they affect wetlands, contributing to biodiversity conservation and human livelihoods.[Reference to Aichi Targets 6 and 7]

Target 13: Enhanced sustainability - Priority
☑ B=Medium

Target 13: Enhanced sustainability - Resourcing
☑ C=Limiting

Target 13: Enhanced sustainability - Additional Information
› Fishery and hunting regulation aim at sustainable harvest of living resources
Section 5: Optional annex to enable Contracting Parties to provide additional voluntary information on designated Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Sites)

Guidance for filling in this section

1. Contracting Parties can provide additional information specific to any or all of their designated Ramsar Sites.
2. The only indicator questions included in this section are those from Section 3 of the COP14 NRF which directly concern Ramsar Sites.
3. In some cases, to make them meaningful in the context of reporting on each Ramsar Site separately, some of these indicator questions and/or their answer options have been adjusted from their formulation in Section 3 of the COP14 NRF.
4. Please include information on only one site in each row. In the appropriate columns please add the name and official site number (from the Ramsar Sites Information Service).
5. For each ‘indicator question’, please select one answer from the legend.
6. A final column of this Annex is provided as a ‘free text’ box for the inclusion of any additional information concerning the Ramsar Site.

A final column of this Annex is provided as a ‘free text’ box for the inclusion of any additional information concerning the Ramsar Site.

**Denmark (Greenland)**

**Aqajarua, Qaamassoq and Sullorsuaq (381)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator Question</th>
<th>Answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.6 Have all Ramsar sites been assessed regarding the effectiveness of their management (i.e. sites with either a formal management plan or management via other relevant means where they exist e.g. through existing actions for appropriate wetland management)?</td>
<td>☑ B=No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?</td>
<td>☑ B=No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?</td>
<td>☑ B=No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?</td>
<td>☑ B=No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?</td>
<td>☑ B=No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?</td>
<td>☑ B=No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?</td>
<td>☑ B=No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Any additional comments/information about the site
RIS was updated and published on the website July 2019

**Eqalummiut Nunaat and Nassuttuup Nunaq (386)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator Question</th>
<th>Answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.6 Have all Ramsar sites been assessed regarding the effectiveness of their management (i.e. sites with either a formal management plan or management via other relevant means where they exist e.g. through existing actions for appropriate wetland management)?</td>
<td>☑ B=No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?</td>
<td>☑ B=No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? ☐ B=No

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? ☐ B=No

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? ☐ B=No

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? ☐ B=No

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)? ☐ B=No

Any additional comments/information about the site

› RIS was updated and published on the website July 2019

**Heden (389)**

5.6 Have all Ramsar sites been assessed regarding the effectiveness of their management (i.e. sites with either a formal management plan or management via other relevant means where they exist e.g through existing actions for appropriate wetland management)? {1.6.2} KRA 1.6.ii ☐ B=No

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site? ☐ B=No

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? ☐ B=No

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? ☐ B=No

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? ☐ B=No

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? ☐ B=No

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)? ☐ B=No

Any additional comments/information about the site

› RIS was updated and published on the website July 2019

**Hochstetter Forland (390)**

5.6 Have all Ramsar sites been assessed regarding the effectiveness of their management (i.e. sites with either a formal management plan or management via other relevant means where they exist e.g through existing actions for appropriate wetland management)? {1.6.2} KRA 1.6.ii ☐ B=No

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site? ☐ B=No

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? ☐ B=No
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
☐ B=No

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
☐ B=No

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
☐ B=No

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
☐ B=No

Any additional comments/information about the site
> RIS was updated and published on the website July 2019

**Ikattoq and adjacent archipelago (387)**

5.6 Have all Ramsar sites been assessed regarding the effectiveness of their management (i.e. sites with either a formal management plan or management via other relevant means where they exist e.g through existing actions for appropriate wetland management)? {1.6.2} KRA 1.6.ii
☐ B=No

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
☐ B=No

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
☐ B=No

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
☐ B=No

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
☐ B=No

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
☐ B=No

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
☐ B=No

Any additional comments/information about the site
> RIS was updated and published on the website July 2019

**Kilen (391)**

5.6 Have all Ramsar sites been assessed regarding the effectiveness of their management (i.e. sites with either a formal management plan or management via other relevant means where they exist e.g through existing actions for appropriate wetland management)? {1.6.2} KRA 1.6.ii
☐ B=No

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
☐ B=No

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
☐ B=No

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
☐ B=No
Site?
☑ B=No

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
☑ B=No

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
☑ B=No

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
☑ B=No

Any additional comments/information about the site
› RIS was updated and published on the website July 2019

Kitsissunnguit (384)

5.6 Have all Ramsar sites been assessed regarding the effectiveness of their management (i.e. sites with either a formal management plan or management via other relevant means where they exist e.g. through existing actions for appropriate wetland management)? {1.6.2} KRA 1.6.ii
☑ B=No

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
☑ A=Yes

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
☑ C=Partially

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
☑ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
☑ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
☑ A=Yes

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
☑ B=No

Any additional comments/information about the site
› A cross-sectorial management group has been established and given advice on mitigating actions to improve ground-nesting birds status on the islands. No formal management plan has been accepted. RIS was updated and published on the website July 2019

Kitsissut Avalliit (388)

5.6 Have all Ramsar sites been assessed regarding the effectiveness of their management (i.e. sites with either a formal management plan or management via other relevant means where they exist e.g. through existing actions for appropriate wetland management)? {1.6.2} KRA 1.6.ii
☑ B=No

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
☑ B=No

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
☑ B=No

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? ☐ B=No

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? ☐ B=No

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)? ☐ B=No

Any additional comments/information about the site
> RIS was updated and published on the website July 2019

**Kuannersuit Kuussuat (383)**

5.6 Have all Ramsar sites been assessed regarding the effectiveness of their management (i.e. sites with either a formal management plan or management via other relevant means where they exist e.g. through existing actions for appropriate wetland management)? {1.6.2} KRA 1.6.ii ☐ B=No

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site? ☐ B=No

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? ☐ B=No

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? ☐ B=No

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? ☐ B=No

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? ☐ B=No

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)? ☐ B=No

Any additional comments/information about the site
> RIS was updated and published on the website July 2019

**Naturnaq (385)**

5.6 Have all Ramsar sites been assessed regarding the effectiveness of their management (i.e. sites with either a formal management plan or management via other relevant means where they exist e.g. through existing actions for appropriate wetland management)? {1.6.2} KRA 1.6.ii ☐ B=No

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site? ☐ B=No

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? ☐ B=No

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? ☐ B=No

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?  ☑ B=No

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?  ☑ B=No

Any additional comments/information about the site
› RIS was updated and published on the website July 2019

Ørsted Dal, Pingel Dal and Enhjørningen Dal (2021)

5.6 Have all Ramsar sites been assessed regarding the effectiveness of their management (i.e. sites with either a formal management plan or management via other relevant means where they exist e.g. through existing actions for appropriate wetland management)?  {1.6.2} KRA 1.6.ii  ☑ B=No

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?  ☑ B=No

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?  ☑ B=No

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  ☑ B=No

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  ☑ B=No

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?  ☑ B=No

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?  ☑ B=No

Any additional comments/information about the site
› RIS was updated and published on the website July 2019

Qinnquata Marraa and Kuussuq (382)

5.6 Have all Ramsar sites been assessed regarding the effectiveness of their management (i.e. sites with either a formal management plan or management via other relevant means where they exist e.g. through existing actions for appropriate wetland management)?  {1.6.2} KRA 1.6.ii  ☑ B=No

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?  ☑ B=No

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?  ☑ B=No

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  ☑ B=No

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  ☑ B=No

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?  ☑ B=No
involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
☑ B=No

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
☑ B=No

Any additional comments/information about the site
› RIS was updated and published on the website July 2019