COP13 National Report

Background information
1. The COP13 National Report Format (NRF) has been approved by the Standing Committee 52 for the Ramsar Convention’s Contracting Parties to complete as their national reporting to the 13th meeting of the Conference of the Contracting Parties of the Convention (United Arab Emirates, 2018).

2. The Standing Committee through Decision SC52-07 has also agreed that an online National Reporting format could be made available to Parties by keeping the off-line system and requested the Secretariat to present an evaluation for the next COP regarding the use of the on-line system.

3. The National Report Format is being issued by the Secretariat in 2016 to facilitate Contracting Parties’ implementation planning and preparations for completing the Report. The deadline for submission of national targets is by 30 November 2016 and the deadline for submission of completed National Reports is January 21st 2018.

4. Following Standing Committee discussions, this COP13 NRF closely follows that of the NRF used for COP12, to permit continuity of reporting and analysis of implementation progress by ensuring that indicator questions are as far as possible consistent with previous NRFS (and especially the COP12 NRF). It is also structured in terms of the Goals and Strategies of the 2016-2024 Ramsar Strategic Plan adopted at COP12 as Resolution XII.2.

5. This COP13 NRF includes 92 indicator questions. In addition, Section 4 is provided as an optional Annex in order to facilitate the task of preparing the Party’s National Targets and Actions for the implementation of each of the targets of the Strategic Plan 2016-2024 according to Resolution XII.2.

6. As was the case for previous NRF, the COP13 Format includes an optional section (Section 5) to permit a Contracting Party to provide additional information, on indicators relevant to each individual Wetland of International Importance (Ramsar Site) within its territory.

7. Note that, for the purposes of this national reporting to the Ramsar Convention, the scope of the term “wetland” is that of the Convention text, i.e. all inland wetlands (including lakes and rivers), all nearshore coastal wetlands (including tidal marshes, mangroves and coral reefs) and human-made wetlands (e.g. rice paddy and reservoirs), even if a national definition of “wetland” may differ from that adopted by the Contracting Parties to the Ramsar Convention.

The purposes and uses of national reporting to the Conference of the Contracting Parties

8. National Reports from Contracting Parties are official documents of the Convention and are made publicly available on the Convention’s website.

9. There are seven main purposes for the Convention’s National Reports. These are to:
   i) provide data and information on how, and to what extent, the Convention is being implemented
   ii) provide tools for countries for their national planning
   iii) capture lessons and experience to help Parties plan future action;
   iv) identify emerging issues and implementation challenges faced by Parties that may require further attention from the Conference of the Parties;
   v) provide a means for Parties to account for their commitments under the Convention;
   vi) provide each Party with a tool to help it assess and monitor its progress in implementing the Convention, and to plan its future priorities; and
   vii) provide an opportunity for Parties to draw attention to their achievements during the triennium.

10. The data and information provided by Parties in their National Reports have another valuable purpose as well, since a number of the indicators in the National Reports on Parties’ implementation provide key sources of information for the analysis and assessment of the “ecological outcome-oriented indicators of
effectiveness of the implementation of the Convention”.

11. To facilitate the analysis and subsequent use of the data and information provided by Contracting Parties in their National Reports, the Ramsar Secretariat holds in a database all the information it has received and verified. The COP13 reports will be in an online National Reporting system.

12. The Convention’s National Reports are used in a number of ways. These include:
   i) providing an opportunity to compile and analyze information that contracting parties can use to inform their national planning and programming.
   ii) providing the basis for reporting by the Secretariat to each meeting of the Conference of the Parties on the global, national and regional implementation, and the progress in implementation, of the Convention. This is provided to Parties at the COP as a series of Information Papers, including:
      * the Report of the Secretary General on the implementation of the Convention at the global level;
      * the Report of the Secretary General pursuant to Article 8.2 (b), (c), and (d) concerning the List of Wetlands of International Importance); and
      * the reports providing regional overviews of the implementation of the Convention and its Strategic Plan in each Ramsar region;
   iii) providing information on specific implementation issues in support of the provision of advice and decisions by Parties at the COP.
   iv) providing the source data for time-series assessments of progress on specific aspects in the implementation of the Convention included in other Convention products. An example is the summary of progress since COP3 (Regina, 1997) in the development of National Wetland Policies, included as Table 1 in Ramsar Wise Use Handbook 2 (4th edition, 2010); and
   v) providing information for reporting to the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) on the national implementation of the CBD/Ramsar Joint Work Plan and the Ramsar Convention’s lead implementation role on wetlands for the CBD. In particular, the Ramsar Secretariat and STRP used the COP10 NRF indicators extensively in 2009 to prepare contributions to the in-depth review of the CBD programme of work on the biological diversity of inland water ecosystems for consideration by CBD SBSTTA14 and COP10 during 2010 (see UNEP/CBD/SBSTTA/14/3). Similar use of COP12 NRF indicators is anticipated for the CBD’s next such in-depth review.

The structure of the COP13 National Report Format

Section 1 provides the institutional information about the Administrative Authority and National Focal Points for the national implementation of the Convention.

Section 2 is a ‘free-text’ section in which the Party is invited to provide a summary of various aspects of national implementation progress and recommendations for the future.

Section 3 provides the 92 implementation indicator questions, grouped under each Convention implementation Goals and Targets in the Strategic Plan 2016-2024, and with an optional ‘free-text’ section under each indicator question in which the Contracting Party may, if it wishes, add further information on national implementation of that activity.

Section 4 is an optional annex to allow any Contracting Party that has developed national targets to provide information on the targets and actions for the implementation of each of the targets of the Strategic Plan 2016-2024.

In line with Resolution XII.2, which encourages Contracting Parties "to develop and submit to the Secretariat on or before December 2016, and according to their national priorities, capabilities and resources, their own quantifiable and time-bound national and regional targets in line with the targets set in the Strategic Plan", all Parties are encouraged to consider using this comprehensive national planning tool as soon as possible, in order to identify the areas of highest priority for action and the relevant national targets and actions for each target.

The planning of national targets offers, for each of them, the possibility of indicating the national priority for that area of activity as well as the level of resourcing available, or that could be made available during the triennium, for its implementation. In addition, there are specific boxes to indicate the National Targets for implementation by 2018 and the planned national activities that are designed to deliver these targets. Ramsar Strategic Plan 2016-2024 shows the synergies between CBD Aichi Biodiversity Targets and Ramsar Targets. Therefore, the NRF provide an opportunity that Contracting Parties indicate as appropriate how the actions they undertake for the implementation of the Ramsar Convention contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets according to paragraph 51 of Resolution XII.3.

Section 5 is an optional annex to allow any Contracting Party that so wishes to provide additional information regarding any or all of its Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Sites).
General guidance for completing and submitting the COP13 National Report Format
All Sections of the COP13 NRF should be completed in one of the Convention’s official languages (English, French, Spanish).

The deadline for submission of the completed NRF is January 21st 2018. It will not be possible to include information from National Reports received after that date in the analysis and reporting on Convention implementation to COP13.

The deadline for submission of national targets is by 30 November 2016

To help Contracting Parties refer to relevant information they provided in their National Report to COP12, for each appropriate indicator a cross-reference is provided to the equivalent indicator(s) in the COP12 NRF or previous NRF, shown thus: {x.x.x}

For follow up and where appropriate, a cross-reference is also provided to the relevant Key Result Area (KRA) relating to Contracting Parties implementation in the Strategic Plan 2009-2015.

Only Strategic Plan 2016-2024 Targets for which there are implementation actions for Contracting Parties are included in this reporting format; those targets of the Strategic Plan that do not refer directly to Parties are omitted (e.g. targets 6 and 14).

For each indicator question you can choose only one answer. If you wish to provide further information or clarification, do so in the additional information box below the relevant indicator question. Please be as concise as possible (maximum of 500 words in each free-text box).

The NRF should ideally be completed by the principal compiler in consultation with relevant colleagues in their agency and others within the government and, as appropriate, with NGOs and other stakeholders who might have fuller knowledge of aspects of the Party’s overall implementation of the Convention. The principal compiler can save the document at any point and return to it later to continue or to amend answers. Compilers should refer back to the National Report submitted for COP12 to ensure the continuity and consistency of information provided.

If you have any questions or problems, please contact the Ramsar Secretariat for advice (nationalreports@ramsar.org).
Section 1: Institutional Information

Important note: the responses below will be considered by the Ramsar Secretariat as the definitive list of your focal points, and will be used to update the information it holds. The Secretariat’s current information about your focal points is available at http://www.ramsar.org/search-contact.

Name of Contracting Party

The completed National Report must be accompanied by a letter in the name of the Head of Administrative Authority, confirming that this is the Contracting Party’s official submission of its COP13 National Report. It can be attached to this question using the "Manage documents" function (blue symbol below)

Ukraine

You have attached the following documents to this answer.

letter_to_RS_NR_2018.pdf

Designated Ramsar Administrative Authority

Name of Administrative Authority

Ministry of Ecology and Natural Resources of Ukraine

Head of Administrative Authority - name and title

Minister Mr Ostap Semerak

Mailing address

35 Mytropolita Vasylia Lypkivs’kogo Str., Kyiv, 03035, Ukraine

Telephone/Fax

tel.: +380 44 206 31 00; fax: +380 44 31 00

Email

secretar@menr.gov.ua

Designated National Focal Point for Ramsar Convention Matters

Name and title

Dr. Viktor Klid, Director of the Department of Econet and Protected Areas of the Ministry of Ecology and Natural Resources of Ukraine

Mailing address

35 Vasiliya Lipkivs’kogo Str., Kyiv, 03035, Ukraine

Telephone/Fax

tel: +380 44 206 21 62; fax: +380 44 206 31 19

Email

vikklid@ukr.net

Designated National Focal Point for Matters Relating to The Scientific and Technical Review Panel (STRP)

Name and title

Dr. Vasyl Kostyushyn, Head of Department of Monitoring and Conservation of Animals

Name of organisation

I.I. Schmalhausen Institute of Zoology of National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine

Mailing address

15 B. Khmelnytskogo Str., Kyiv, 01601, Ukraine

Telephone/Fax

+ 380 44 235 51 87

Email

kost@izan.kiev.ua

Designated Government National Focal Point for Matters Relating to The Programme
on Communication, Education, Participation and Awareness (CEPA)

Name and title
› Dr. Olesya Petrovych, Chief Specialist

Name of organisation
› Department of Econet and Protected Areas of the Ministry of Ecology and Natural Resources of Ukraine

Mailing address
› 35 Mytropolyta Vasylia Lypkiuv’s’kogo Str., Kyiv, 03035, Ukraine

Telephone/Fax
› tel./fax: +380 44 206 21 93

Email
› petrovych.o@gmail.com

Designated Non-Government National Focal Point for Matters Relating to The Programme on Communication, Education, Participation and Awareness (CEPA)

Name and title
› Ms. Olena Marushevska, project manager

Name of organisation
› NGO Zakarpattya Region Branch of All-Ukrainian Ecological League

Mailing address
› Pushkinska Str. 11, app.17, Kyiv, 01001, Ukraine

Telephone/Fax
› +380 67 9 062 061

Email
› Bluerivers.ukraine@gmail.com
Section 2: General summary of national implementation progress and challenges

In your country, in the past triennium (i.e., since COP12 reporting)

A. What have been the five most successful aspects of implementation of the Convention?

1) By Order of the Ministry from 04.03.2016 № 85 the Plan of measures for implementation of Ramsar Convention in Ukraine for the period 2016-2021 was stated and the list of members of the National Committee on Conservation, Sustainable Use and Restoration of Wetlands of Ukraine (the National Ramsar Committee) was updated.

2) Activization of the work of the National Ramsar Committee, involving into issues for implementation of Ramsar Convention a wide range of representatives of different state authorities and NGOs, scientists and stakeholders.

3) Creation of new Ramsar Sites and Protected Areas

4) Organization of annual information companies dedicated to the World Wetland Day.

5) Organizing and conducting of regular scientific researches and monitoring of conditions of most Ramsar Sites within Protected Areas

B. What have been the five greatest difficulties in implementing the Convention?

1) Imbalance of governance system and changes in powers of responsible state authorities due to the administrative reform.

2) Imperfections of legislation in the environmental protection and wetlands management sphere.

3) Lack of special funding support of activities in the domain of wetlands conservation limits the possibility of conducting necessary events, among others on update renovation and correction of information sheets of Ramsar Sites.

4) Prioritization of economic interests and low level of cross-sectoral cooperation.

5) Violation of legislation on natural resources conservation and use

C. What are the five priorities for future implementation of the Convention?


2) Creation of new Ramsar Sites, Protected Areas and improvement of integrated management for wetland conservation.

3) Update and revision of information sheets of Ramsar sites.

4) Improving the education system and the system of informing stakeholders on conservation and sustainable use of Ramsar Sites.
5) Strengthening the cooperation inside regional initiatives (Azov-Black Sea and Carpathian regions).

D. Do you (AA) have any recommendations concerning implementation assistance from the Ramsar Secretariat?
   Regular organization of information campaigns on various aspects and resolutions of the Ramsar Convention is required.

E. Do you (AA) have any recommendations concerning implementation assistance from the Convention’s International Organisation Partners (IOPs)? (including ongoing partnerships and partnerships to develop)
   No

F. How can national implementation of the Ramsar Convention be better linked with implementation of other multilateral environmental agreements (MEAs), especially those in the ‘biodiversity cluster’ (Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD), Convention on Migratory Species (CMS), Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species (CITES), World Heritage Convention (WHC), and United Nations Convention to Combat Desertification (UNCCD) and the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC)?

   Harmonization of data requirements for biodiversity and monitoring can be useful and facilitate the organization of work in the field and contribute to make an informed decision. Creating synergistic approaches with implementation of other MEAs at the international level will facilitate simplification and more effective work within countries. The Ministry of Ecology and Natural Resources of Ukraine is an executive body of such MEAs as CITES, Ramsar, CBD, Bonn, Bern, Carpathian, Danube and Black Sea conventions. Focal points of these conventions work as heads (directors) of departments (divisions) in the Ministry of Ecology and Natural Resources of Ukraine and they undertake to coordinate among themselves their actions (proposals/projects/recommendations, etc.) according to national legislation and international commitments. Adapting Ukrainian legislation to EU legislation, especially on directives concerning birds and habitats supports combination of efforts for biodiversity conservation within wetlands. However, coordination and communication among implementation of multilateral environmental agreements can be more effective in case of extra financing aimed at organizing and holding meetings, environmental protection actions, educational events, etc.

G. How can implementation of the Ramsar Convention be better linked with the implementation of water policy/strategy and other strategies in the country (e.g., on sustainable development, energy, extractive industries, poverty reduction, sanitation, food security, biodiversity)?

   Methodological support (preparation and dissemination of guidelines and information materials) and advocacy of raising standards in implementation of water policy/strategy and other strategies by the Ramsar Secretariat and relevant decisions of the Conference of the Parties can be useful.

H. Do you (AA) have any other general comments on the implementation of the Convention?

   A good incentive for the implementation of the Ramsar Convention and the cooperation of the Parties may be large international projects or information campaigns organized under the leadership of the Secretariat of the Ramsar Convention.

I. Please list the names of the organisations which have been consulted on or have contributed to the information provided in this report

   >
**Section 3: Indicator questions and further implementation information**

**Goal 1. Addressing the drivers of wetland loss and degradation**

**Target 1**
Wetland benefits are featured in national/local policy strategies and plans relating to key sectors such as water, energy, mining, agriculture, tourism, urban development, infrastructure, industry, forestry, aquaculture, fisheries at the national and local level.

1.1 Have wetland issues/benefits been incorporated into other national strategies and planning processes, including: {1.3.2} {1.3.3} KRA 1.3.i

*Please select only one per square.*

| a) National Policy or strategy for wetland management | □ A=Yes  
□ B=No  
□ C=Partially  
□ D=Planned  
□ X=Unknown  
□ Y=Not Relevant |
|------------------------------------------------------|
| b) Poverty eradication strategies                     | □ A=Yes  
□ B=No  
□ C=Partially  
□ D=Planned  
□ X=Unknown  
□ Y=Not Relevant |
| c) Water resource management and water efficiency plans| □ A=Yes  
□ B=No  
□ C=Partially  
□ D=Planned  
□ X=Unknown  
□ Y=Not Relevant |
| d) Coastal and marine resource management plans        | □ A=Yes  
□ B=No  
□ C=Partially  
□ D=Planned  
□ X=Unknown  
□ Y=Not Relevant |
| e) Integrated Coastal Zone Management Plan             | □ A=Yes  
□ B=No  
□ C=Partially  
□ D=Planned  
□ X=Unknown  
□ Y=Not Relevant |
| f) National forest programmes                         | □ A=Yes  
□ B=No  
□ C=Partially  
□ D=Planned  
□ X=Unknown  
□ Y=Not Relevant |
| g) National policies or measures on agriculture        | □ A=Yes  
□ B=No  
□ C=Partially  
□ D=Planned  
□ X=Unknown  
□ Y=Not Relevant |
| h) National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plans drawn up under the CBD | □ A=Yes  
□ B=No  
□ C=Partially  
□ D=Planned  
□ X=Unknown  
□ Y=Not Relevant |
| i) National policies on energy and mining              | □ A=Yes  
□ B=No  
□ C=Partially  
□ D=Planned  
□ X=Unknown  
□ Y=Not Relevant |
<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>j) National policies on tourism</td>
<td>☐ A=Yes&lt;br&gt;☐ B=No&lt;br&gt;☑ C=Partially&lt;br&gt;☐ D=Planned&lt;br&gt;☐ X=Unknown&lt;br&gt;☐ Y=Not Relevant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k) National policies on urban development</td>
<td>☐ A=Yes&lt;br&gt;☐ B=No&lt;br&gt;☑ C=Partially&lt;br&gt;☐ D=Planned&lt;br&gt;☐ X=Unknown&lt;br&gt;☐ Y=Not Relevant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l) National policies on infrastructure</td>
<td>☐ A=Yes&lt;br&gt;☐ B=No&lt;br&gt;☑ C=Partially&lt;br&gt;☐ D=Planned&lt;br&gt;☐ X=Unknown&lt;br&gt;☐ Y=Not Relevant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m) National policies on industry</td>
<td>☐ A=Yes&lt;br&gt;☐ B=No&lt;br&gt;☑ C=Partially&lt;br&gt;☐ D=Planned&lt;br&gt;☐ X=Unknown&lt;br&gt;☐ Y=Not Relevant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n) National policies on aquaculture and fisheries (1.3.3) KRA 1.3.i</td>
<td>☐ A=Yes&lt;br&gt;☐ B=No&lt;br&gt;☑ C=Partially&lt;br&gt;☐ D=Planned&lt;br&gt;☐ X=Unknown&lt;br&gt;☐ Y=Not Relevant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o) National plans of actions (NPAs) for pollution control and management</td>
<td>☐ A=Yes&lt;br&gt;☐ B=No&lt;br&gt;☑ C=Partially&lt;br&gt;☐ D=Planned&lt;br&gt;☐ X=Unknown&lt;br&gt;☐ Y=Not Relevant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p) National policies on wastewater management and water quality</td>
<td>☐ A=Yes&lt;br&gt;☐ B=No&lt;br&gt;☑ C=Partially&lt;br&gt;☐ D=Planned&lt;br&gt;☐ X=Unknown&lt;br&gt;☐ Y=Not Relevant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.1 Additional information

Target 2

Water use respects wetland ecosystem needs for them to fulfil their functions and provide services at the appropriate scale inter alia at the basin level or along a coastal zone.

2.1 Has the quantity and quality of water available to, and required by, wetlands been assessed to support the implementation of the Guidelines for the allocation and management of water for maintaining the ecological functions of wetlands (Resolution VIII.1, VIII.2)?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☑ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

2.1 Additional Information

2.2 Have assessments of environmental flow been undertaken in relation to mitigation of impacts on the ecological character of wetlands (Action r3.4.iv)

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☑ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned
2.2 Additional Information

2.3 Have Ramsar Sites improved the sustainability of water use in the context of ecosystem requirements?

*Please select only one option*
- ☑ A=Yes
- ☐ B=No
- ☐ C=Partially
- ☐ D=Planned
- ☐ O=No Change
- ☐ X=Unknown

2.3 Additional Information

2.4 Have the Guidelines for allocation and management of water for maintaining ecological functions of wetlands (Resolutions VIII.1 and XII.12) been used/applied in decision-making processes. (Action 3.4.6.)

*Please select only one option*
- ☐ A=Yes
- ☑ B=No
- ☐ C=Partially
- ☐ D=Planned

2.4 Additional Information

2.5 Have projects that promote and demonstrate good practice in water allocation and management for maintaining the ecological functions of wetlands been developed (Action r3.4.ix.)

*Please select only one option*
- ☑ A=Yes
- ☐ B=No
- ☐ C=Partially
- ☐ D=Planned

2.5 Additional Information

- In the Danube Delta region within the framework of the joint operating program "Romania-Ukraine-Republic of Moldova 2007-2013 was implemented the project "Consolidation of the nature protected areas’ network for biodiversity protection and sustainable development in the Danube Delta and Lower Prut river region – PAN Nature" (http://www.crs.org.ua/en/projects/current/186.html or http://crs.org.ua/er/5/current/191.html).

2.6 How many household/municipalities are linked to sewage system? SDG Target 6.3.1.

*Please select only one option*
- ☑ E=Exact number (households/municipalities)
- ☐ F=Less than (households/municipalities)
- ☑ G=More than (households/municipalities)
- > 79%
- ☐ X=Unknown
- ☐ Y=Not Relevant

2.6 Additional Information

2.7 What is the percentage of sewerage coverage in the country? SDG Target 6.3.1.

*Please select only one option*
- ☑ E=Exact number (percentage)
2.8 What is the percentage of users of septic tank/pit latrine? SDG Target 6.3.1.

Please select only one option
☐ E=Exact number (percentage)
☐ F=Less than (percentage)
☐ G=More than (percentage)
☐ X=Unknown
☐ Y=Not Relevant

2.8 Additional Information

2.9 Does the country use constructed wetlands/ponds as wastewater treatment technology? SDG Target 6.3.1.

Please select only one option
☑ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned
☐ X=Unknown
☐ Y=Not Relevant

2.9 Additional Information

2.10 How do the country use constructed wetlands/ponds as wastewater treatment technology perform? SDG Target 6.3.1.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Good
☐ B=Not Functioning
☑ C=Functioning
☐ Q=Obsolete
☐ X=Unknown
☐ Y=Not Relevant

2.10 Additional Information

2.11 How many centralised wastewater treatment plants exist at national level? SDG Target 6.3.1.

Please select only one option
☐ E=Exact number (plants)
☐ F=Less than (plants)
☐ G=More than (plants)
> 450
☐ X=Unknown
2.12 How is the functional status of the wastewater treatment plants? SDG Target 6.3.1.

- A=Good
- B=Not functioning
- C=Functioning
- Q=Obsolete
- X=Unknown
- Y=Not Relevant

2.13 The percentage of decentralized wastewater treatment technology, including constructed wetlands/ponds is? SDG Target 6.3.1.

- A=Good
- B=Not functioning
- C=Functioning
- Q=Obsolete
- X=Unknown
- Y=Not Relevant

2.14 Is there a wastewater reuse system? SDG Target 6.3.1.

- A=Yes
- B=No
- C=Partially
- D=Planned
- X=Unknown
- Y=Not Relevant

2.15 What is the purpose of the wastewater reuse system? SDG Target 6.3.1.

- R=Agriculture
- S=Landscape
- T=Industrial
- U=Drinking
- X=Unknown
- Y=Not Relevant

Please indicate if the wastewater reuse system is for free or taxed or add any additional information.

Target 3
Public and private sectors have increased their efforts to apply guidelines and good practices for the wise use of water and wetlands. {1.10}

3.1 Is the private sector encouraged to apply the Ramsar wise use principle and guidance (Ramsar handbooks for the wise use of wetlands) in its activities and investments concerning wetlands? {1.10.1} KRA 1.10.1

Please select only one option
3.1 Additional Information

- National legislation includes norms which oblige all users of natural resources to use wetlands wisely. Measures for the restoration of natural resources and restrictions on the use of natural resources are used within Protected Areas System.

According to national legislation, private persons and legal entities can not get water bodies in private property, but they can rent some, small in size, ponds and lakes and carry out within them the activities under the national legislation and international requirements. Therefore, state authorities are bearing responsibility for the state of wetlands.

However, this law is violated by private land users on lands for estates and other activities along rivers and lakes (unauthorized destruction of floodplain areas or their deepening, etc.).

3.2 Has the private sector undertaken activities or actions for the conservation, wise use and management of {1.10.2} KRA 1.10.ii

Please select only one per square.

| a) Ramsar Sites | ☐ A=Yes ☐ B=No ☑ C=Partially ☐ D=Planned ☐ X=Unknown ☐ Y=Not Relevant |
| b) Wetlands in general | ☐ A=Yes ☐ B=No ☑ C=Partially ☐ D=Planned ☐ X=Unknown ☐ Y=Not Relevant |

3.2 Additional information

- The private sector takes part more and more actively in conservation measures primarily through financial support for cleaning areas around wetlands from waste, through construction of recreational zones and understanding of the meaning of wetland conservation.

For example, recreational enterprizes in the Shatsky National Park (Ramsar Site 'Shatsk Lakes') fund such measures annually.

During 2015-2017 Coca-cola in cooperation with Ukrainian Society for the Protection of Birds and administrations of Protected Areas there was organized an action involving local businesses and society 'I love my seaside' which took place within 4 Ramsar Sites.

Representatives of local societies and businesses get involved in activities of the Scientific-Technical Councils of reserves and parks where issues of wetlands management are discussed, and thus impact managing these objects.

3.3 Have actions been taken to implement incentive measures which encourage the conservation and wise use of wetlands? {1.11.1} KRA 1.11.i

Please select only one option

☑ A=Yes ☐ B=No ☐ C=Partially ☐ D=Planned

3.3 Additional information

- Respective actions have been taken in Ramsar Sites that belong to protected areas. Administrations of Protected Areas organize ecologically educational and environmentally protectional events involving stakeholders and encourage the conservation and wise use of wetlands. Nature resources usage in such areas is limited by law. In Reserves and National Natural Parks hunting is prohibited for support animal populations restoration.

3.4 Have actions been taken to remove perverse incentive measures which discourage conservation and wise use of wetlands? {1.11.2} KRA 1.11.i

Please select only one option

☑ A=Yes ☐ B=No
3.4 Additional Information
› Many wetlands, especially in Northern Ukraine, were drained to be used in agriculture in the 60's and 70's. Most of the drained mires were not successful, but rather created a threat to people who live nearby (floodings, fires). Therefore, environmental authorities initiate and support projects for restoration of natural conditions and hydrological regime of previously drained wetlands. In particular, such works were conducted within the Ramsar Sites 'Shatsk Lakes', 'Desna River Floodplains', 'Polissia Mires'.

Target 4
Invasive alien species and pathways of introduction and expansion are identified and prioritized, priority invasive alien species are controlled or eradicated, and management responses are prepared and implemented to prevent their introduction and establishment.

4.1 Does your country have a comprehensive national inventory of invasive alien species that currently or potentially impact the ecological character of wetlands? {1.9.1} KRA 1.9.1
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☑ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

4.1 Additional information
› No special inventarization of invasive alien species that currently or potentially impact the ecological character of wetlands was conducted. Yet the issue is being researched by scientists from administrations of Protected Areas and respective scientific institutions concerning specific Ramsar Sites. Management plans include research of invasive alien species and respective actions.
There is a list of invasive alien plant species which is used by the State Plant Quarantine Service, Customs Service, and the Ministry of Health. More than half of invasive plants have significant negative economical or ecological impact. 600-800 alien or adventitious plant species are known in Ukraine, which makes up 14% of total plant diversity in the country (Protopopova V. V. Synanthropic flora of Ukraine and ways of its development: Kyiv, Naukova Dumka, 1991. - 204 p., Rus.). Among them, about 100 plant species are considered by scientists and quarantine services as invasive species or as such which have a high invasive influence (Protopopova V. V., Mosyakin S. L. & Shevera M. V. 2002. Phytoinvasions in Ukraine as a threat to biodiversity: current state and tasks for future: Kiev, M.G.Kholodnyi Institute of Botany of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, - 32 p., Ukr.), around 50 species are hazardous invasive plants. At least 20 alien plant species with substantial invasion capacity pose threat to forests of Ukraine, 20 – to aquatic and semiaquatic ecosystems, more than 80 species are harmful for agriculture and forestry or people's health. The percentage of alien species among large rivers is 8.6-18.3% (the highest index is for the Danube and the lowest for the South Bug River).
A number of animal alien species can be found in aquatic ecosystems. 4 Far East fish species were introduced into the water bodies of Ukraine in the middle of the 20th century as commercial species (Aristichtis nobilis, Hypophthalmichthys molitrix, Ctenopharyngodon idella, Mylopharyngodon piceus) and reproduced artificially in fish farms. Pseudorasbora parva has also been unintentionally introduced from Far East and considered to be aggressive alien species.
The largest number of invasive species in Ukraine is observed in the Danube River region. It can be explained that the Danube River passes through many European countries and has active navigation which can be one of the ways of invasive alien species appearing in the region. For example, mollusks Sinanodonta woodiana and Corbicula fluminalis were found, respectively, in 1995 and in 2001 in the Danube Delta. They both came from distant parts of the world.
Introduction of invasive species to marine environments of Ukraine (the Black Sea and the Sea of Azov) resulted in serious ecological and economical problems. Thus, the invasion of Mnemiopsis leidyi (comb jelly) led to a catastrophic decline in fish productivity in the late 1980s/early 1990s. Predator mollusk Rapana venosa caused a substantial decline of Ostrea edulis, Mytilus galloprovincialis and other local mollusk species populations in the Black Sea. It was estimated that between 1996 and 2005 of 48 new alien species were recorded in the Black Sea, which represents over 22 % of all registered aliens. The majority belong to phytoplankton (16) and zoobenthos (15), followed by zooplankton (8), fish (5), macroalgae (3) and mammals (1).
This increase in invasive alien species has a serious impact on Black Sea`s native biological diversity with negative consequences on human activities and economic interests.
One of the steps to create a manual on the control of invasive species was the scientific work “Ensuring the ecological safety of wetlands from invasive species of flora and fauna by the results of studying the ways of spreading these species and their impact on the state of the ecosystems of wetlands in the basin of Dnipro river. This scientific work was carried out by the Ukrainian Research Institute of Environmental Problems during 2017.
4.2 Have national policies or guidelines on invasive species control and management been established or reviewed for wetlands? {1.9.2} KRA 1.9.iii

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☑ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

4.2 Additional information
› Special control of alien species within the wetlands is not implemented primarily because of organizational difficulties and lack of financial resources. The Law of Ukraine 'On Plant Quarantine' of 30.06.93 № 3348 provides appropriate instructions and rules for conducting plant quarantine, including invasive alien species. Development of databases of plant and animal species in Ukraine is now in progress within the relevant state programmes (State Cadastres of Plants and Animals). Specific sections of those databases are devoted to invasive alien species. Draft programmes on biodiversity conservation and protected areas network development, which were agreed with governmental bodies, include measures for control over invasive alien species. Draft programmes were prepared in cooperation with focal points of different conventions: Bern & Bonn & Ramsar & Carpathian Conventions, CBD, CITES, etc.

4.3 How many invasive species are being controlled through management actions.

Please select only one option
☐ E=Exact number (species)
☐ F=Less than (species)
☐ G=More than (species)
☐ C=Partially
☑ X=Unknown
☐ Y=Not Relevant

4.3 Additional information
If ‘Yes’, please indicate the year of assessment and the source of the information

4.4 Have the effectiveness of wetland invasive alien species control programmes been assessed?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☑ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned
☐ X=Unknown
☐ Y=Not Relevant

4.4 Additional information

Goal 2. Effectively conserving and managing the Ramsar Site network

Target 5
The ecological character of Ramsar Sites is maintained or restored through effective, planning and integrated management {2.1.}

5.1 Have a national strategy and priorities been established for the further designation of Ramsar Sites, using the Strategic Framework for the Ramsar List? {2.1.1} KRA 2.1.i

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☑ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

5.1 Additional information
National strategy and priorities for further designation of Ramsar Sites are based on the following national legal acts:
the Concept (the strategy) of Conservation of Ukraine’s Biological Diversity was approved by Resolution of the Cabinet Ministers of Ukraine #439 dated May 12, 1997;
The Concept on Environmental Protection and Rehabilitation of the Azov and the Black Seas adopted by the Resolution of the Cabinet of Ministers of Ukraine on 10 July 1998 (#1057) and the State Programme on Environmental Protection and Rehabilitation of the Sea of Azov and the Black Sea approved by the Parliament of Ukraine in 2001;
the State Programme of Ukraine’s National Environmental Network Development for Years 2000-2015 adopted by the Parliament of Ukraine in 2000;

5.2 Are the Ramsar Sites Information Service and its tools being used in national identification of further Ramsar Sites to designate? {2.2.1} KRA 2.2.ii
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.3 How many Ramsar Sites have an effective, implemented management plan? {2.4.1} KRA 2.4.i
Please select only one option
☐ E=Exact number (sites)
> 11
☐ F=Less than (sites)
>
☐ G=More than (sites)
☐ X=Unknown
☐ Y=Not Relevant

5.4 For how many of the Ramsar Sites with a management plan is the plan being implemented? {2.4.2} KRA 2.4.i
Please select only one option
☐ E=Exact number (sites)
> 29
☐ F=Less than (sites)
>
☐ G=More than (sites)
>
☐ X=Unknown
☐ Y=Not Relevant

5.5 For how many Ramsar Sites is effective management planning currently being implemented (outside of formal management plans)? {2.4.3} KRA 2.4.i
Please select only one option
☐ E=Exact number (sites)
> 1
☐ F=Less than (sites)
>
☐ G=More than (sites)
>
☐ X=Unknown
☐ Y=Not Relevant

5.3 - 5.5 Additional information
>
5.6 Have all Ramsar sites been assessed regarding the effectiveness of their management (through formal management plans where they exist or otherwise through existing actions for appropriate wetland
management? {1.6.2} KRA 1.6.ii

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☑ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

5.6 Additional information

5.7 How many Ramsar Sites have a cross-sectoral management committee? {2.4.4} {2.4.6} KRA 2.4.iv

Please select only one option
☑ E=Exact number (sites)
> 29
☐ F=Less than (sites)

☐ G=More than (sites)

☐ X=Unknown
☐ Y=Not Relevant

5.7 Additional information

If at least 1 site, please give the name and official number of the site or sites
Kyliiske Mouth no. 113; Shagany-Alibe-Burnas Lakes System no. 763; Northern Part of the Dniester Liman no. 765; Dniester-Turunchuk Crossrivers Area no. 764; Tendrivska Bay no. 768; Yagorlytska Bay no. 116; Dnipro River Delta no. 767; Karkinitska and Dzharylgatska Bays no. 114; Big Chapelsk Depression no. 1397; Central Syvash no. 115; Eastern Syvash no. 769; Molochnyi Liman no. 770; Bilosaraika Bay and Bilosaraiskia Spit no. 773; Sim Maiaikiv Floodplain no. 2273; Archipelago Velyki and Mali Kuchugury no. 2282; Dnipro-Oril Floodplains no. 1399; Desna River Floodplains no. 1398; Polissia Mires no.1403; Syra Pogonia Bog no. 2274; Somyne Swamps no. 2275; Perebrody Peatlands no.1402; Byle Lake and Koza Berezyna Mire no. 2281; Cheremske Bog no. 2272; Stokhid River Floodplains no. 777; Prypiat River Floodplains no. 776; Shatsk Lakes no. 775; Lake Synevyr no. 1400; Lower Smotrych River no. 1401; Bakotska Bay no. 1396

5.8 For how many Ramsar Sites has an ecological character description been prepared (see Resolution X.15)? {2.4.5} {2.4.7} KRA 2.4.v

Please select only one option
☑ E=Exact number (sites)
> 39
☐ F=Less than (sites)

☐ G=More than (sites)

☐ C=Partially
☐ X=Unknown
☐ Y=Not Relevant

5.8 Additional information

If at least 1 site, please give the name and official number of the site or sites
An ecological character description have been prepared for Syra Pogonia Bog no. 2274; Somyne Swamps no. 2275; Perebrody Peatlands no.1402; Byle Lake and Koza Berezyna Mire no. 2281; Cheremske Bog no. 2272; Sim Maiaikiv Floodplain no. 2273; Archipelago Velyki and Mali Kuchugury no. 2282.
For other Sites, descriptions are partly prepared and will be submitted shortly.

5.9 Have any assessments of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management been made? {2.5.1} KRA 2.5.i

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☑ C=Some Sites

5.9 Additional information

If ‘Yes’ or ‘Some sites’, please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15, and the source of the information
Partly the estimation was made by independent scientists and staff of protected areas, basing on results of wetlands monitoring. The results of estimation were reflected in Chronicles of Nature for Protected Areas and scientific works. Recommendations of scientists are accounted in the next stage of management plans development.

**Target 7**

Sites that are at risk of change of ecological character have threats addressed {2.6.}.  

7.1 Are mechanisms in place for the Administrative Authority to be informed of negative human-induced changes or likely changes in the ecological character of Ramsar Sites, pursuant to Article 3.2? {2.6.1} KRA 2.6.i

*Please select only one option*

- [ ] A=Yes
- [ ] B=No
- [ ] C=Some Sites
- [ ] D=Planned

7.1 Additional information
If ‘Yes’ or ‘Some sites’, please summarise the mechanism or mechanisms established

› Administrations of Protected Areas and State Ecologic Inspection of Ukraine collect information on wetlands ecological character regularly, and in case of negative changes inform the Ministry of Ecology and Nature Resources of Ukraine. Sites that are part of National Parks and Reserves are under constant observation. On the territories of such Sites, annual studies are conducted on anthropogenic impact on the condition of wetlands. The results of observations are presented in scientific reports (volumes of the Chronicle of Nature), which are submitted to AA.

7.2 Have all cases of negative human-induced change or likely change in the ecological character of Ramsar Sites been reported to the Ramsar Secretariat, pursuant to Article 3.2? {2.6.2} KRA 2.6.i

*Please select only one option*

- [ ] A=Yes
- [ ] B=No
- [ ] C=Some Cases
- [ ] O=No Negative Change

7.2 Additional information
If ‘Yes’ or ‘Some cases’, please indicate for which Ramsar Sites the Administrative Authority has made Article 3.2 reports to the Secretariat, and for which sites such reports of change or likely change have not yet been made

7.3 If applicable, have actions been taken to address the issues for which Ramsar Sites have been listed on the Montreux Record, including requesting a Ramsar Advisory Mission? {2.6.3} KRA 2.6.ii

*Please select only one option*

- [ ] A=Yes
- [ ] B=No
- [ ] Z=Not Applicable

7.3 Additional information
If ‘Yes’, please indicate the actions taken

---

**Goal 3. Wisely Using All Wetlands**

**Target 8**

National wetland inventories have been either initiated, completed or updated and disseminated and used for promoting the conservation and effective management of all wetlands {1.1.1} KRA 1.1.i

8.1 Does your country have a complete National Wetland Inventory? {1.1.1} KRA 1.1.i

*Please select only one option*

- [ ] A=Yes
- [ ] B=No
- [ ] C=In Progress
- [ ] D=Planned

8.1 Additional information
Several organizations carry out inventory of wetlands under their jurisdiction. The inventory results are available in printed and electronic versions:
State Water Cadastre is available (in printed version);
State Land Cadastre is available (in electronic version).
State Agency for Water Resources of Ukraine keeps records of all water facilities. Partially information is available on the website of State Water Cadastre http://map.davr.gov.ua:44481/#waterSidebar

8.2 Has your country updated a National Wetland Inventory in the last decade?  
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=In Progress
☑ C1=Partially
☐ D=Planned
☐ X=Unknown
☐ Y=Not Relevant

8.2 Additional information

8.3 Is wetland inventory data and information maintained? {1.1.2} KRA 1.1.ii  
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☑ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

8.3 Additional information

8.4 Is wetland inventory data and information made accessible to all stakeholders? {1.1.2} KRA 1.1.ii  
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

8.4 Additional information

The information is available for most stakeholders by request to responsible authorities, on the Internet and in libraries.
Ministry of Ecology and Natural Resources of Ukraine, State Water Resources Agency and regional departments of Regional State authorities are obliged to give information on wetlands to different requests of NGOs, local communities, separate persons, etc.
Partially information is available on the website of State Water Cadastre http://map.davr.gov.ua:44481/#waterSidebar

8.5 Has the condition* of wetlands in your country, overall, changed during the last triennium? {1.1.3}  
Please describe on the sources of the information on which your answer is based in the free-text box below. If there is a difference between inland and coastal wetland situations, please describe. If you are able to, please describe the principal driver(s) of the change(s).

* ‘Condition’ corresponds to ecological character, as defined by the Convention  
*Please select only one per square.*

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Ramsar Sites</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ N=Status Deteriorated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ O=No Change</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ P=Status Improved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Wetlands generally</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ N=Status Deteriorated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ O=No Change</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ P=Status Improved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8.5 Additional information on a) and/or b)

a) Mostly Ramsar sites in Ukraine are covered by protected areas (National Nature Parks, strict Nature Reserves, Biosphere Reserves, Regional Landscape Parks, Zakazniks (Habitat/Species Management Areas), etc.). The ecological conditions of wetlands now are the same.
b) For the last three years, no significant deterioration in the wetlands has been observed. However, the
negative impacts on wetlands were recorded in some places as a result of deforestation, irrigation or drainage of some areas, industrial, residential and cottage building, etc. In many wetlands, there has been a decline in water levels in the last years, caused by a decrease of amount of precipitation. As before, many people rest in the coastal areas in summer, the number of hotels / sanatoriums and entertainment facilities, as well as recreational services is constantly increasing. This produces an additional negative impact on the environment.

8.6 Based upon the National Wetland Inventory if available please provide a baseline figure in square kilometres for the extent of wetlands (according to the Ramsar definition) for the year 2017. SDG Target 6.6

Please select only one option
☐ E=Exact Number (km²)

☐ F=Less than (km²)

☒ G=More than (km²)

> 24.1 thousand km²

☐ A=Yes

☐ B=No

☐ C=Partially

☐ D=Planned

☐ X=Unknown

☐ Y=Not Relevant

8.6 Additional information

If the information is available please indicate the % of change in the extent of wetlands over the last three years.

Target 9

The wise use of wetlands is strengthened through integrated resource management at the appropriate scale, inter alia, within a river basin or along a coastal zone {1.3.}.

9.1 Is a Wetland Policy (or equivalent instrument) that promotes the wise use of wetlands in place? {1.3.1} KRA 1.3.i

If ‘Yes’, please give the title and date of the policy in the green text box

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes

☐ B=No

☐ C=In Preparation

☐ D=Planned

9.1 Additional information


Protection, wise use and restoration of wetland resources are elements of most sectoral concepts, programmes and action plans, but specific goals and objectives of the conservation of wetlands are stated only in the national plans and programs for the protection and conservation of biodiversity, such as: the Concept (the strategy) of Conservation of Ukraine's Biological Diversity (Resolution of the Cabinet of Ministries of Ukraine of 12 May 1997, No. 439), the State Programme on Ukraine's National Ecological Network Development for Years 2000-2015 (adopted by the Law of Ukraine in 2000), the Basic Principles (the Strategy) of the State Ecological Policy of Ukraine until 2020 (2010; see 1.3.1).

9.2 Have any amendments to existing legislation been made to reflect Ramsar commitments? {1.3.5} {1.3.6}

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes

☒ B=No

☐ C=In Progress

☐ D=Planned
9.2 Additional information

9.3 Do your country’s water governance and management systems treat wetlands as natural water infrastructure integral to water resource management at the scale of river basins? {1.7.1} {1.7.2} KRA 1.7.ii

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

9.3 Additional information

In Ukraine, the basin principle of water resources management has been introduced. Management plans were elaborated only by research organisations/universities. All Management plans included assessment of the conservation of ecosystems and threats to their existence, actions/recommendations to improve ecological status, for ecosystem restoration through cross-sector interaction, participation of local communities, etc.

9.4 Have Communication, Education, Participation and Awareness (CEPA) expertise and tools been incorporated into catchment/river basin planning and management (see Resolution X.19)? {1.7.2} {1.7.3}

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

9.4 Additional information

CEPA expertise and tools are being incorporated into river basin planning and management of Dnipro, Dniester, Southern Bug and Prut rivers.

9.5 Has your country established policies or guidelines for enhancing the role of wetlands in mitigating or adapting to climate change? {1.7.3} {1.7.5} KRA 1.7.iii

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☑ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

9.5 Additional information

The Basic Principles (the Strategy) of the State Ecological Policy of Ukraine until 2020 (approved by the Parliament of Ukraine in 2010) include optimization of the energy sector of the national economy by increasing the use of energy sources with low carbon dioxide emissions by 2015 to 10% by 2020 to 20%, and to ensure reduction of greenhouse gas emissions (including from mires) in accordance with the declared Ukraine's international commitments under the Kyoto Protocol to the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change.

The documents "Strategic Directions for Adaptation to Climate Change in the Dniester Basin" (hereinafter - Strategic Directions) and "Plan for Implementation of the Strategic Directions for Adaptation to Climate Change in the Dniester Basin" have been developed within the framework of the component "Climate Change and Safety in the Dniester River Basin" of the project "Climate Change and Security in Eastern Europe, Central Asia and the North Caucasus" (hereinafter - the Project), beneficiaries of which are the Governments of the Republic of Moldova and Ukraine. More information https://menr.gov.ua/news/31804.html and http://dniester-basin.org/

9.6 Has your country formulated plans or projects to sustain and enhance the role of wetlands in supporting and maintaining viable farming systems? {1.7.4} {1.7.6} KRA 1.7.v

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☑ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

9.6 Additional information

National Action Plan for Wetlands Conservation foresees supporting and maintaining of traditional farming activities, such as hay mowing and moderate grazing.

Since July 2016 to March 2017 in the frame of the Project “Climate Forum East II” the micro project “Integrated use of resources of floodplains as an example of new efficient economic activities under the conditions of climate change” was implemented.
The Ecopark "Kartal" was created in the frame of the project. The Ecopark "Kartal" promote the idea of conservation and restoration of wetland of international importance "Kartal Lake" and the integrated use of its resources for sustainable development of Orlovka village community. 

In the framework of this project, there took place the Implementation of comprehensive management and utilization of natural resources of floodplain meadows and wetlands that integrates grazing and mowing, creating energy willow plantations and reed harvesting, development of ecological and green tourism, amateur fishing, promotes better adaptation village community to the consequences of climate change. Based on the project financial support an experimental herd of five water buffalos of Carpathian type was created. The first buffalo herd in Odessa region has increased the tourist attractiveness of Orlovka village, promoted the development of eco-tourism, and in the future, after with the growth of the herd, local people will receive new products for the region, e.g. buffalo milk, cheese and others. Further development of buffalo breeding in the village and in the region will enable efficient use of abandoned agricultural lands and wetlands unsuitable for other grazing livestock. This experience could be used as an example of gradually adapting rural communities to the impacts of global warming.

Another measure for better adaptation of the Orlovka village community to impacts of climate change based on the comprehensive use of floodplain was the use of local vegetation as a renewable energy source. In the frame of the project on lands unfitted for agriculture use was created 2 hectares of energy willow plantation.

9.7 Has research to inform wetland policies and plans been undertaken in your country on:

\{1.6.1\} KRA 1.6.i 
Please select only one per square.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A=Yes</th>
<th>B=No</th>
<th>D=Planned</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) agriculture-wetland interactions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) climate change</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) valuation of ecosystem services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9.7 Additional information

Some issues of agriculture-wetland interaction, climate change, valuation of ecosystem services were researched by scientists of protected areas. The results of such research and scientists' and stakeholders' suggestions were considered at Scientific-Technological Councils of respective Protected Areas.

9.8 Has your country submitted a request for Wetland City Accreditation of the Ramsar Convention, Resolution XII.10?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

9.8 Additional information

If ‘Yes’, please indicate How many request have been submitted

Target 10

The traditional knowledge innovations and practices of indigenous peoples and local communities relevant for the wise use of wetlands and their customary use of wetland resources, are documented, respected, subject to national legislation and relevant international obligations and fully integrated and reflected in the implementation of the Convention with a full and effective participation of indigenous and local communities at all relevant levels.

10.1 Have the guiding principles for taking into account the cultural values of wetlands including traditional knowledge for the effective management of sites (Resolution VIII.19) been used or applied?.(Action 6.1.2/6.1.6)

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
10.1 Additional information

10.2 Have case studies, participation in projects or successful experiences on cultural aspects of wetlands been compiled. Resolution VIII.19 and Resolution IX.21? (Action 6.1.6)

Please select only one option
- A=Yes
- B=No
- C=In Preparation
- D=Planned

10.2 Additional information
If yes please indicate the case studies or projects documenting information and experiences concerning culture and wetlands

10.3 Have the guidelines for establishing and strengthening local communities’ and indigenous people’s participation in the management of wetlands been used or applied. (Resolution VII. 8) (Action 6.1.5)

Please select only one option
- A=Yes
- B=No
- C=In Preparation
- D=Planned

10.3 Additional information
If the answer is “yes” please indicate the use or application of the guidelines

10.4 Traditional knowledge and management practices relevant for the wise use of wetlands have been documented and their application encouraged (Action 6.1.2)

Please select only one option
- A=Yes
- B=No
- C=In Preparation
- D=Planned

10.4 Additional information

Target 11
Wetland functions, services and benefits are widely demonstrated, documented and disseminated. {1.4.}

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by Ramsar Sites and other wetlands? {1.4.1} KRA 1.4.ii

Please select only one option
- A=Yes
- B=No
- C=In Preparation
- C1=Partially
- D=Planned
- X=Unknown
- Y=Not Relevant

11.1 Additional information
If ‘Yes’ or ‘Partially’, please indicate, how many Ramsar Sites and their names
The assessment of ecosystem services of Ramsar Sites within National Parks and Reserves has been conducted during development of management plans.

11.2 Have wetland programmes or projects that contribute to poverty alleviation objectives or food and
water security plans been implemented? {1.4.2} KRA 1.4.i

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☑ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned
☐ X=Unknown
☐ Y=Not Relevant

11.2 Additional information
› Programmes / projects to promote wetlands for poverty alleviation in the country are missing. Legislation and authorities support sustainable use of wetland natural resources, including fishing, mowing the reed in the winter, etc. But the poverty alleviation is promoted first of all by the use the recreational potential of wetlands that is regulated to reduce the negative impact on them. Separate food and water security plans were implemented in Ramsar Sites. Some programmes/projects assist traditional usage of natural resources which causes poverty alleviation objectives, food, and water security.

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for Ramsar Sites and other wetlands? {1.4.3}{1.4.4} KRA 1.4.iii
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☑ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Additional information
If ‘Yes’ or ‘Partially’, please indicate, if known, how many Ramsar Sites and their names
› Socio-economic valuations of wetlands have been included in the management planning for Ramsar Sites within National Parks and Reserves.

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for Ramsar Sites and other wetlands? {1.4.3}{1.4.4} KRA 1.4.iii
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☑ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.4 Additional information
If ‘Yes’ or ‘Partially’, please indicate, if known, how many Ramsar Sites and their names
› Cultural values of wetlands have been included in the management planning for Ramsar Sites within National Parks and Reserves.

Target 12
Restoration is in progress in degraded wetlands, with priority to wetlands that are relevant for biodiversity conservation, disaster risk reduction, livelihoods and/or climate change mitigation and adaptation. {1.8.}

12.1 Have priority sites for wetland restoration been identified? {1.8.1} KRA 1.8.i
Please select only one option
☑ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned
☐ X=Unknown
☐ Y=Not Relevant

12.1 Additional information
› The State Agency of Water Resources annually conducts water management works and wetland restoration in accordance with the plans and allocated financing.

12.2 Have wetland restoration/rehabilitation programmes, plans or projects been effectively implemented? {1.8.2} KRA 1.8.i
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
12.2 Additional information

If ‘Yes’ or ‘Partially’, please indicate, if available the extent of wetlands restored

The last successful project was implemented within Ramsar site Tyligulskyi Liman no. 766. A channel between the Black Sea and the Liman was restored and equipped in 2017, that contributed to the restoration and stabilization of the water level in the estuary.

The State Agency of Water Resources annually conducts water management works and wetland restoration in accordance with the plans and allocated financing. Due to the limited funding, implementation of many projects is suspended.

Appropriate water level support of ‘Molochnyi Liman’ Ramsar Site, restoration works within the Ramsar Sites ‘Stokhid River Floodplains’ and ‘Prypiat River Floodplains’ (artificial dams were released from river beds), ‘Polissia Mires’ (optimization of environmental conditions in drainage systems) will be continued.


One of the key activities of the project was the rehabilitation of the ecosystem of Ramsar site no. 761 "Kartal Lake". Within the project were restored more than 100 hectares of spawning grounds, reconstructed the Tobachello Sluice, restored the Lusarssa and Zarzy channels, improved water exchange between the Kartal Lake and the Danube River, Cahul and Kugurlui lakes.

**Target 13**

Enhanced sustainability of key sectors such as water, energy, mining, agriculture, tourism, urban development, infrastructure, industry, forestry, aquaculture and fisheries when they affect wetlands, contributing to biodiversity conservation and human livelihoods

13.1 Have actions been taken to enhance sustainability of key sectors such as water, energy, mining, agriculture, tourism, urban development, infrastructure, industry, forestry, aquaculture and fisheries when they affect wetlands?

*Please select only one option*

- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

13.1. Additional information

If ‘Yes’, please indicate the actions taken

13.2 Are Strategic Environmental Assessment practices applied when reviewing policies, programmes and plans that may impact upon wetlands? {1.3.3} {1.3.4} KRA 1.3.ii

*Please select only one option*

- A=Yes
- B=No
- C=Partially
- D=Planned

13.2 Additional information


13.3 Are Environmental Impact Assessments made for any development projects (such as new buildings, new roads, extractive industry) from key sectors such as water, energy, mining, agriculture, tourism, urban development, infrastructure, industry, forestry, aquaculture and fisheries that may affect wetlands? {1.3.4} {1.3.5} KRA 1.3.iii

*Please select only one option*

- A=Yes
- B=No
- C=Some Cases
13.3 Additional information
› The Environmental Impact Assessment is carried out for any development projects in accordance to the Law of Ukraine on Environmental Impact Assessment, adopted in 2017.

**Goal 4. Enhancing implementation**

**Target 15**
Ramsar Regional Initiatives with the active involvement and support of the Parties in each region are reinforced and developed into effective tools to assist in the full implementation of the Convention. {3.2.}

15.1 Have you (AA) been involved in the development and implementation of a Regional Initiative under the framework of the Convention? {3.2.1} KRA 3.2.i

*Please select only one option*
- [☐] A=Yes
- [☐] B=No
- [☐] D=Planned

15.1 Additional information
If ‘Yes’ or ‘Planned’, please indicate the regional initiative(s) and the collaborating countries of each initiative
› Ukraine participates in the development and implementation of BlackSeaWet Initiative and Carpathian Wetland Initiative.

15.2 Has your country supported or participated in the development of other regional (i.e., covering more than one country) wetland training and research centres? {3.2.2}

*Please select only one option*
- [☐] A=Yes
- [☐] B=No
- [☐] D=Planned

15.2 Additional information
If ‘Yes’, please indicate the name(s) of the centre(s)
› Two representatives of Ukraine (Ms. Daria Chmyshenko and Mr. Rostyslav Zhuravchak) took part in the international wetland training workshop “2017 training seminar on wetland conservation and management for developing countries”, taking place on 4-25 September 2017 in Hangzhou (China).

**Target 16**
Wetlands conservation and wise use are mainstreamed through communication, capacity development, education, participation and awareness {4.1}

16.1 Has an action plan (or plans) for wetland CEPA been established? {4.1.1} KRA 4.1.i

Even if no CEPA plans have been developed, if broad CEPA objectives for CEPA actions have been established, please indicate this in the Additional information section below

*Please select only one per square.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>a) At the national level</th>
<th></th>
<th>b) Sub national level</th>
<th></th>
<th>c) Catchement/basin level</th>
<th></th>
<th>d) Local/site level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ A=Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>☐ A=Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>☐ A=Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>☐ A=Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ B=No</td>
<td></td>
<td>☐ B=No</td>
<td></td>
<td>☐ B=No</td>
<td></td>
<td>☐ B=No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ C=In Progress</td>
<td></td>
<td>☐ C=In Progress</td>
<td></td>
<td>☐ C=In Progress</td>
<td></td>
<td>☐ C=In Progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ D=Planned</td>
<td></td>
<td>☐ D=Planned</td>
<td></td>
<td>☐ D=Planned</td>
<td></td>
<td>☐ D=Planned</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

16.1 Additional information
If ‘Yes’ or ‘In progress’ to one or more of the four questions above, for each please describe the mechanism, who is
responsible and identify if it has involved CEPA NFPs

AA and CEPA NFPs annually organize a national information campaign dedicated to the World Wetland Day. The actions are carried out within the framework of the activity plan of the Ministry of Ecology and Natural Resources of Ukraine and Plan of measures for implementation of Ramsar Convention in Ukraine for the period 2016-2021. However, there was no special CEPA plan at the national level. At the same time, National Parks and Reserves annually develop and approve CEPA plans that include wetland issues.

16.2a How many centres (visitor centres, interpretation centres, education centres) have been established? {4.1.2} KRA 4.1.ii

a) at Ramsar Sites

Please select only one option
☑ E=Exact Number (centres)

> 21
☐ F=Less than (centres)
☐ G=More than (centres)
☐ C=Partially
☐ X=Unknown
☐ Y=Not Relevant

16.2b How many centres (visitor centres, interpretation centres, education centres) have been established? {4.1.2} KRA 4.1.ii

b) at other wetlands

Please select only one option
☐ E=Exact Number (centres)

> ☐ F=Less than (centres)

> ☐ G=More than (centres)

☐ C=Partially
☐ X=Unknown
☐ Y=Not Relevant

16.2 Additional information

If centres are part of national or international networks, please describe the networks

> 21 visitor, ecological and educational centers are located in protected areas with Ramsar sites, namely:
Visitor Centre in the Danube Biosphere Reserve (Ramsar Sites 'Kylisiske Mouth' and 'Sasyk Lake' (partly);
Ecological Centre 'Dniester Delta' for Ramsar Sites 'Dniester-Turunchuk Crossrivers Area' and 'Northern Part of the Dniester Liman';
Museum of the Chornomorskyi (Black Sea) Biosphere Reserve (Ramsar Sites 'Tendrivska Bay' and 'Yagorlytska Bay');
Ecological Education and Training Centre in the Lebedyni Islands branch of the Crimean Nature Reserve (Ramsar Sites 'Karkinita' and Dzharylgatska Bays');
Two field-study training centers of the Melitopol State Pedagogical University (Ramsar Site 'Molochnyi Liman');
Educational Centre of the Regional Landscape Park 'Meotyda' (Ramsar Sites 'Bilosaraiska Bay and Bilosaraiska Spit' and 'Kryva Bay and Kryva Spit');
Visitor Centre of the Tyligulskyi Regional Landscape Park (Ramsar Site 'Tyligulskyi Liman');
Visitor Centre of the Shatskyi National Nature Park (Ramsar Site 'Shatsk Lakes');
Visitor Centre of the National Nature Park 'Prypiat-Stokhid' (Ramsar Sites 'Prypiat River Floodplains' and 'Stokhid River Floodplains');
Visitor Centre of the National Nature Park 'Synevyr' (Ramsar Site 'Synevyr');
Educational Centre and Museum of the National Nature Park 'Podilski Tovtry' (Ramsar Sites 'Bakotska Bay' and 'Lower Smotrych River');
Dolphinarium, Marine aquarium and Museum of Karadag Nature Reserve (Ramsar Site 'Aquatic-cliff Complex of Karadag');
Visitor Centre of the Kazantypskyi Nature Reserve (Ramsar Site 'Aquatic-cliff Complex of Cape Kazantyp');
Visitor Centre of the Opukskyi Nature Reserve (Ramsar Site 'Aquatic-coastal Complex of Cape Kazantyp');
Visitor Centre of the Dniprovsko-Orilskyi Nature Reserve (Ramsar Site 'Dnipro-Oril Floodplains');
Zoo and Dendrological Park (Arboretum) of the Biosphere Reserve 'Askania-Nova' (Ramsar Site 'Big Chapelsk Depression');
Visitor Centre of the National Nature Park 'Desnyansko-Starogutskyi' (Ramsar Site 'Desna River Floodplains'). But the most of them need repairing, renovation, information updating, development of interactive methods for communication, etc.

16.3 Does the Contracting Party \{4.1.3\} KRA 4.1.iii

*Please select only one per square.*

| a) promote stakeholder participation in decision-making on wetland planning and management | ☐ A=Yes |
| | ☐ B=No |
| | ☐ C=Partially |
| | ☐ D=Planned |

| b) specifically involve local stakeholders in the selection of new Ramsar Sites and in Ramsar Site management? | ☐ A=Yes |
| | ☐ B=No |
| | ☐ C=Partially |
| | ☐ D=Planned |

16.3 Additional information

If ‘Yes’ or ‘Partially’, please provide information about the ways in which stakeholders are involved

- Stakeholders are members of Scientific-Technical Councils (cross-sectoral body) of Protected Areas and take part in decision-making on wetland and Ramsar Sites management.

16.4 Do you have an operational cross-sectoral National Ramsar/Wetlands Committee? \{4.1.6\} KRA 4.3.v

*Please select only one option*

- ☐ A=Yes
- ☐ B=No
- ☐ C=Partially
- ☐ D=Planned
- ☐ X=Unknown
- ☐ Y=Not Relevant

16.4 Additional information

If ‘Yes’, indicate a) its membership; b) number of meetings since COP12; and c) what responsibilities the Committee has

- The National Committee on Conservation, Sustainable Use and Restoration of Wetlands of Ukraine was established in November 2010 with the support of the EU funded project ‘Support to Ukraine to Implement the Danube and Ramsar Conventions’. Its main goal is to support coordination of actions between central and local authorities, municipalities, scientific organizations, educational institutions, environmental NGOs and other stakeholders aimed to conserve, use sustainably and restore wetlands of Ukraine.
- The Committee united representatives of the Ministry of Ecology and Natural Resources of Ukraine, other central authorities (namely the State Water Resources Agency, State Forest Resources Agency, State Fishery Agency and State Land Resources Agency), administrations of protected areas, scientific and educational organizations and environmental NGOs. The frequency of meetings according to the Regulations is no less than twice a year.
- The Committee has such responsibilities as annual reviews and approval of the plans of implementation of the Ramsar Convention, consideration and approval of analytical reports on the status of Ukraine’s wetlands; participation in the Regional Ramsar initiatives including BlackSeaWet initiative and Carpathian Wetland Initiative, discussion of relevant issues on conservation, sustainable use and restoration of wetlands in Ukraine and preparation of recommendations for the Ministry of Ecology and Natural Resources of Ukraine, promotion annual celebrations of World Wetlands Day, etc.
- The composition of the Committee changed in 2012 and 2014 and 2016.

16.5 Do you have an operational cross-sectoral body equivalent to a National Ramsar/Wetlands Committee? \{4.1.6\} KRA 4.3.v

*Please select only one option*

- ☐ A=Yes
- ☐ B=No
- ☐ C=Partially
- ☐ D=Planned
- ☐ X=Unknown
- ☐ Y=Not Relevant

16.5 Additional information

If ‘Yes’, indicate a) its membership; b) number of meetings since COP12; and c) what responsibilities the Committee has
16.6 Are other communication mechanisms (apart from a national committee) in place to share Ramsar implementation guidelines and other information between the Administrative Authority and a), b) or c) below? {4.1.7} KRA 4.1.vi:

Please select only one per square.

| a) Ramsar Site managers | □ A=Yes  
|                         | □ B=No  
|                         | □ C=Partially  
|                         | □ D=Planned  

| b) other MEA national focal points | □ A=Yes  
|                                    | □ B=No  
|                                    | □ C=Partially  
|                                    | □ D=Planned  

| c) other ministries, departments and agencies | □ A=Yes  
|                                               | □ B=No  
|                                               | □ C=Partially  
|                                               | □ D=Planned  

16.6 Additional information

If ‘Yes’ or ‘Partially’, please describe what mechanisms are in place


16.7 Have Ramsar-branded World Wetlands Day activities (whether on 2 February or at another time of year), either government and NGO-led or both, been carried out in the country since COP12? {4.1.8}

Please select only one option

☑ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  

16.7 Additional information

The information campaign for the World Wetlands Day is held annually with the involvement of a large number of organizations at the national and local levels. Within the framework of the campaign, information materials are being prepared and disseminated, stakeholder meetings are held, environmental and educational events for children and adults are organized, etc.

16.8 Have campaigns, programmes, and projects (other than for World Wetlands Day-related activities) been carried out since COP12 to raise awareness of the importance of wetlands to people and wildlife and the ecosystem benefits/services provided by wetlands? {4.1.9}

Please select only one option

☑ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ D=Planned  

16.8 Additional information

If these and other CEPA activities have been undertaken by other organizations, please indicate this

Protected areas regularly organize eco-educational actions aimed at raising awareness of the values of nature and wetlands, and involving local people and visitors into the conservation of wetlands. The nationwide the celebrations which are held are The Day of birds, celebration of Midsummer’s Day, Fisherman’s Day and others. Some regions have their own peculiar dates and festivals, such as: Marine Day, Day of the Dniester, Dnipro Day.

Target 17

Financial and other resources for effectively implementing the fourth Ramsar Strategic Plan 2016 – 2024 from all sources are made available. {4.2.}

17.1a Have Ramsar contributions been paid in full for 2015, 2016 and 2017? {4.2.1} KRA 4.2.i

Please select only one option

☑ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ Z=Not Applicable
17.1b If ‘No’ in 17.1 a), please clarify what plan is in place to ensure future prompt payment

17.2 Has any additional financial support been provided through voluntary contributions to non-core funded Convention activities? {4.2.2} KRA 4.2.i

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☑ B=No

17.2 Additional information
If ‘Yes’ please state the amounts, and for which activities

17.3 [For Contracting Parties with a development assistance agency only ('donor countries')]: Has the agency provided funding to support wetland conservation and management in other countries? {3.3.1} KRA 3.3.i

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☑ B=No
☐ Z=Not Applicable

17.3 Additional information
If ‘Yes’, please indicate the countries supported since COP12

17.4 [For Contracting Parties with a development assistance agency only ('donor countries')]: Have environmental safeguards and assessments been included in development proposals proposed by the agency? {3.3.2} KRA 3.3.ii

Please select only one option
☑ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ X=Unknown
☐ Y=Not Relevant
☐ Z=Not Applicable

17.4 Additional information

17.5 [For Contracting Parties that have received development assistance only ('recipient countries')]: Has funding support been received from development assistance agencies specifically for in-country wetland conservation and management? {3.3.3}

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☑ B=No
☐ Z=Not Applicable

17.5 Additional information
If ‘Yes’, please indicate from which countries/agencies since COP12

17.6 Has any financial support been provided by your country to the implementation of the Strategic Plan?

Please select only one option
☑ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ Z=Not Applicable

17.6 Additional information
If “Yes” please state the amounts, and for which activities

The project of the EU “Support to Ukraine in approximation of the EU environmental acquis” and WWF in Ukraine and Ukrainian Society for the Protection of Birds partially supported the information campaign on the World Wetland Day and other CEPA activities.

**Target 18**
International cooperation is strengthened at all levels {3.1}

18.1 Are the national focal points of other MEAs invited to participate in the National Ramsar/Wetland Committee? {3.1.1} {3.1.2} KRAs 3.1.i & 3.1.iv

Please select only one option
☑ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

18.1 Additional information
› The Ministry of Ecology and Natural Resources of Ukraine is executive body of such MEAs as CITES, Ramsar, CBD, Bonn, Bern, Carpathian, Black Sea and other conventions. Focal points of these conventions work as heads (directors) of departments (divisions) in the Ministry of Ecology and Natural Resources of Ukraine and they are obliged to coordinate among themselves their actions (proposals/projects/recommendations, etc.) as well as according to national legislation and international commitments.

The National Committee on Conservation, Sustainable Use and Restoration of Wetlands of Ukraine, was involving into issues of implementation of Ramsar Convention a wide range of representatives of different state authorities and other participants and stakeholders.

The members of The National Committee on Conservation, Sustainable Use and Restoration of Wetlands of Ukraine are focal points of CBD, Bern, Bonn, Carpathian, Black Sea conventions.

18.2 Are mechanisms in place at the national level for collaboration between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the focal points of UN and other global and regional bodies and agencies (e.g. UNEP, UNDP, WHO, FAO, UNECE, ITTO)? {3.1.2} {3.1.3} KRA 3.1.iv

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☑ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

18.2 Additional information
› Cooperation between the Ministry of Ecology and Natural Resources of Ukraine and other stakeholders takes place in accordance with law. The cooperation between ministries/bodies/agencies is realized through special projects, governmental programs and plans.

18.3 Has your country received assistance from one or more UN and other global and regional bodies and agencies (e.g. UNEP, UNDP, WHO, FAO, UNECE, ITTO) or the Convention’s IOPs in its implementation of the Convention? {4.4.1} KRA 4.4.ii.

The IOPs are: BirdLife International, the International Water Management Institute (IWMI), IUCN (International Union for Conservation of Nature), Wetlands International, WWF and Wildfowl & Wetland Trust (WWT).

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☑ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned
☐ X=Unknown
☐ Y=Not Relevant

18.3 Additional information
If ‘Yes’ please name the agency (es) or IOP (s) and the type of assistance received
>

18.4 Have networks, including twinning arrangements, been established, nationally or internationally, for knowledge sharing and training for wetlands that share common features? {3.4.1}

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☑ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

18.4 Additional information
If ‘Yes’ or ‘Partially’, please indicate the networks and wetlands involved
>
18.5 Has information about your country’s wetlands and/or Ramsar Sites and their status been made public (e.g., through publications or a website)? {3.4.2} KRA 3.4.iv
Please select only one option
☑ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

18.5 Additional information
› In 2010 the website ‘Wetlands of Ukraine’ (http://wetlands.biomon.org) was created (in Ukrainian) by the Wetlands International Black Sea Programme. It contains an interactive map of Ukraine with indications of Ramsar sites, maps and descriptions of 33 Ramsar sites of Ukraine as well as maps and descriptions for 13 potential Ramsar sites.
Actual information on wetlands and Ramsar Sites is constantly posted on websites of protected areas.
A special section on the website of the Ministry of Ecology and Natural Resources of Ukraine is to be created.

18.6 Has information about your country’s wetlands and/or Ramsar Sites been transmitted to the Ramsar Secretariat for dissemination? {3.4.3} KRA 3.4.ii
Please select only one option
☑ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

18.6 Additional Information

18.7 Have all transboundary wetland systems been identified? {3.5.1} KRA 3.5.i
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☑ B=No
☐ D=Planned
☐ Z=Not Applicable

18.7 Additional information
› Ukraine takes part in the officially designated Transboundary (Ukraine-Belarus) Ramsar Sites ‘Stokhid-Prypiat-Prostyr’ and ‘Olmany - Perebrody mires’.
Ukraine has wetland sites located on the border and which may be granted the status of transboundary wetlands of international importance: the Danube Delta, Lower Prut River, etc.
Ukraine plans to develop cooperation on the creation of a Transboundary Ramsar Site on the Tiscea River with the Slovak Republic and Hungary.

18.8 Is effective cooperative management in place for shared wetland systems (for example, in shared river basins and coastal zones)? {3.5.2} KRA 3.5.ii
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned
☐ Y=Not Relevant

18.8 Additional information
If ‘Yes’ or ‘Partially’, please indicate for which wetland systems such management is in place
› Ukraine has signed intergovernmental agreements on cooperation on water management in transboundary waters with all neighboring countries (Belarus, Poland, Slovakia, Moldova, Russia, Romania, Hungary).
November 29, 2012 in Rome at the 6th session of the Conference of the Parties to the Convention on the Protection and Use of Transboundary Watercourses and International Lakes Ukraine and Moldova signed the Agreement between the Cabinet of Ministers of Ukraine and the Republic of Moldova on cooperation in the protection and sustainable development of the river basin Dniester, the purpose of which is to ensure the coordination of joint actions of Ukraine and Moldova.

18.9 Does your country participate in regional networks or initiatives for wetland-dependent migratory species? {3.5.3} KRA 3.5.iii
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
18.9 Additional information
› Ukraine participates in implementation of the Agreement on the Conservation of African-Eurasian Migratory Waterbirds (AEWA).

Target 19
Capacity building for implementation of the Convention and the 4th Ramsar Strategic Plan 2016 – 2024 is enhanced.

19.1 Has an assessment of national and local training needs for the implementation of the Convention been made? {4.1.4} KRAs 4.1.iv & 4.1.viii
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☑ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

19.1 Additional information
›

19.2 Are wetland conservation and wise-use issues included in formal education programmes?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☑ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

19.2 Additional information
If you answer yes to the above please provide information on which mechanisms and materials
›

19.3a How many opportunities for wetland site manager training have been provided since COP12?
{4.1.5} KRA 4.1.iv
a) at Ramsar Sites
Please select only one option
☑ E=Exact number (opportunities)
> 1
☐ F=Less than (opportunities)
> ☐ G=More than (opportunities)
> ☐ C=Partially
☐ X=Unknown
☐ Y=Not Relevant

19.3b How many opportunities for wetland site manager training have been provided since COP12?
{4.1.5} KRA 4.1.iv
b) at other wetlands
Please select only one option
☐ E=Exact number (Opportunities)
> ☐ F=Less than (Opportunities)
> ☐ G=More than (Opportunities)
☑ C=Partially
☐ X=Unknown
☐ Y=Not Relevant

19.3 Additional information
including whether the Ramsar Wise Use Handbooks were used in the training
>
19.4 Have you (AA) used your previous Ramsar National Reports in monitoring implementation of the Convention? (4.3.1} KRA 4.3.ii

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☑ B=No
☐ D=Planned
☐ Z=Not Applicable

19.4 Additional information

If ‘Yes’, please indicate how the Reports have been used for monitoring.
Section 4. Optional annex to allow any Contracting Party that has developed national targets to provide information on those

Goal 1

Target 1: Wetland benefits
Wetland benefits are featured in national / local policy strategies and plans relating to key sectors such as water, energy, mining, agriculture, tourism, urban development, infrastructure, industry, forestry, aquaculture, fisheries at the national and local level. Contributes to Aichi Target 2

Target 1: Wetland benefits - Priority
Please select only one option
☐ A=High
☐ B=Medium
☐ C=Low
☐ D=Not relevant
☐ E=No answer

Target 1: Wetland benefits - Resourcing
Please select only one option
☐ A=Good
☐ B=Adequate
☐ C=Limiting
☐ D=Severely limiting
☐ E=No answer

Target 1: Wetland benefits - National Targets

Target 1: Wetland benefits - Planned activity

Target 1: Wetland benefits - Outcomes achieved by 2018
Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals
**Note:** this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018

Target 1: Wetland benefits - Additional Information
Target 2: Water Use

Water use respects wetland ecosystem needs for them to fulfil their functions and provide services at the appropriate scale inter alia at the basin level or along a coastal zone. Contributes to Aichi Targets 7 and 8 and Sustainable Development Goal 6.3.1

Target 2: Water Use - Priority
Please select only one option
☐ A=High
☐ B=Medium
☐ C=Low
☐ D=Not relevant
☐ E=No answer

Target 2: Water Use - Resourcing
Please select only one option
☐ A=Good
☐ B=Adequate
☐ C=Limiting
☐ D=Severely limiting
☐ E=No answer

Target 2: Water Use - National Targets

Target 2: Water Use - Planned activity

Target 2: Water Use - Outcomes achieved by 2018
Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals
Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018

Target 2: Water Use - Additional Information
**Target 3: Public and private sectors**

Public and private sectors have increased their efforts to apply guidelines and good practices for the wise use of water and wetlands. {1.10}. Contributes to Aichi Targets 3, 4, 7 and 8.

**Target 3: Public and private sectors - Priority**

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=High  
☐ B=Medium  
☐ C=Low  
☐ D=Not relevant  
☐ E=No answer

**Target 3: Public and private sectors - Resourcing**

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Good  
☐ B=Adequate  
☐ C=Limiting  
☐ D=Severely limiting  
☐ E=No answer

**Target 3: Public and private sectors - National Targets**

>

**Target 3: Public and private sectors - Planned activity**

>

**Target 3: Public and private sectors - Outcomes achieved by 2018**

Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals

**Note:** this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018

>

**Target 3: Public and private sectors - Additional Information**

>
**Target 4: Invasive alien species**

Invasive alien species and pathways of introduction and expansion are identified and prioritized, priority invasive alien species are controlled or eradicated, and management responses are prepared and implemented to prevent their introduction and establishment. Contributes to Aichi Target 9.

**Target 4: Invasive alien species - Priority**

*Please select only one option*
- ☐ A=High
- ☐ B=Medium
- ☐ C=Low
- ☐ D=Not relevant
- ☐ E=No answer

**Target 4: Invasive alien species - Resourcing**

*Please select only one option*
- ☐ A=Good
- ☐ B=Adequate
- ☐ C=Limiting
- ☐ D=Severely limiting
- ☐ E=No answer

**Target 4: Invasive alien species - National Targets**

>

**Target 4: Invasive alien species - Planned activity**

>

**Target 4: Invasive alien species - Outcomes achieved by 2018**

Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals

**Note:** this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018

>

**Target 4: Invasive alien species - Additional Information**

>
Goal 2

Target 5: Ecological character of Ramsar Sites
The ecological character of Ramsar Sites is maintained or restored through effective, planning and integrated management \( \{2.1.\} \). Contributes to Aichi Target 6,11, 12.

Target 5: Ecological character of Ramsar Sites - Priority
*Please select only one option*
- ☐ A=High
- ☐ B=Medium
- ☐ C=Low
- ☐ D=Not relevant
- ☐ E=No answer

Target 5: Ecological character of Ramsar Sites - Resourcing
*Please select only one option*
- ☐ A=Good
- ☐ B=Adequate
- ☐ C=Limiting
- ☐ D=Severely limiting
- ☐ E=No answer

Target 5: Ecological character of Ramsar Sites - National Targets

Target 5: Ecological character of Ramsar Sites - Planned activity

Target 5: Ecological character of Ramsar Sites - Outcomes achieved by 2018
Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals
*Note*: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018

Target 5: Ecological character of Ramsar Sites - Additional Information

>
Target 7: Sites at risk
Sites that are at risk of change of ecological character have threats addressed {2.6.}. Contributes to Aichi Targets 5, 7, 11, 12.

Target 7: Sites at risk - Priority
*Please select only one option*
- □ A=High
- □ B=Medium
- □ C=Low
- □ D=Not relevant
- □ E=No answer

Target 7: Sites at risk - Resourcing
*Please select only one option*
- □ A=Good
- □ B=Adequate
- □ C=Limiting
- □ D=Severely limiting
- □ E=No answer

Target 7: Sites at risk - National Targets
>

Target 7: Sites at risk - Planned activity
>

Target 7: Sites at risk - Outcomes achieved by 2018
Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals
*Note*: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018
>

Target 7: Sites at risk - Additional Information
>
Goal 3

Target 8: National wetland inventories
National wetland inventories have been either initiated, completed or updated and disseminated and used for promoting the conservation and effective management of all wetlands {1.1.1} KRA 1.1.i. Contributes to Aichi Targets 12, 14, 18, 19.

Target 8: National wetland inventories - Priority
Please select only one option
☐ A=High
☐ B=Medium
☐ C=Low
☐ D=Not relevant
☐ E=No answer

Target 8: National wetland inventories - Resourcing
Please select only one option
☐ A=Good
☐ B=Adequate
☐ C=Limiting
☐ D=Severely limiting
☐ E=No answer

Target 8: National wetland inventories - National Targets
>

Target 8: National wetland inventories - Planned activity
>

Target 8: National wetland inventories - Outcomes achieved by 2018
Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals
**Note:** this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018
>

Target 8: National wetland inventories - Additional Information
>
**Target 9: Wise Use**
The wise use of wetlands is strengthened through integrated resource management at the appropriate scale, inter alia, within a river basin or along a coastal zone {1.3.}. Contributes to Aichi Targets 4, 6, 7.

**Target 9: Wise Use - Priority**
*Please select only one option*
- □ A=High
- □ B=Medium
- □ C=Low
- □ D=Not relevant
- □ E=No answer

**Target 9: Wise Use - Resourcing**
*Please select only one option*
- □ A=Good
- □ B=Adequate
- □ C=Limiting
- □ D=Severely limiting
- □ E=No answer

**Target 9: Wise Use - National Targets**

**Target 9: Wise Use - Planned activity**

**Target 9: Wise Use - Outcomes achieved by 2018**
Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals
*Note:* this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018

**Target 9: Wise Use - Additional Information**
Target 10: Traditional Knowledge
The traditional knowledge innovations and practices of indigenous peoples and local communities relevant for the wise use of wetlands and their customary use of wetland resources, are documented, respected, subject to national legislation and relevant international obligations and fully integrated and reflected in the implementation of the Convention with a full and effective participation of indigenous and local communities at all relevant levels. Contributes to Aichi Target 18.

Target 10: Traditional Knowledge - Priority
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=High
☐ B=Medium
☐ C=Low
☐ D=Not relevant
☐ E=No answer

Target 10: Traditional Knowledge - Resourcing
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Good
☐ B=Adequate
☐ C=Limiting
☐ D=Severely limiting
☐ E=No answer

Target 10: Traditional Knowledge - National Targets

Target 10: Traditional Knowledge - Planned activity

Target 10: Traditional Knowledge - Outcomes achieved by 2018
Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals
**Note**: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018

Target 10: Traditional Knowledge - Additional Information
**Target 11: Wetland functions**

Wetland functions, services and benefits are widely demonstrated, documented and disseminated. {1.4.}. Contributes to Aichi Targets 1, 2, 13, 14.

**Target 11: Wetland functions - Priority**

*Please select only one option*

- A=High
- B=Medium
- C=Low
- D=Not relevant
- E=No answer

**Target 11: Wetland functions - Resourcing**

*Please select only one option*

- A=Good
- B=Adequate
- C=Limiting
- D=Severely limiting
- E=No answer

**Target 11: Wetland functions - National Targets**

>

**Target 11: Wetland functions - Planned activity**

>

**Target 11: Wetland functions - Outcomes achieved by 2018**

Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals

**Note:** this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018

>

**Target 11: Wetland functions - Additional Information**

>
**Target 12: Restoration**

Restoration is in progress in degraded wetlands, with priority to wetlands that are relevant for biodiversity conservation, disaster risk reduction, livelihoods and/or climate change mitigation and adaptation. {1.8.}. Contributes to Aichi Targets 14 and 15.

**Target 12: Restoration - Priority**

*Please select only one option*

- ☐ A=High
- ☐ B=Medium
- ☐ C=Low
- ☐ D=Not relevant
- ☐ E=No answer

**Target 12: Restoration - Resourcing**

*Please select only one option*

- ☐ A=Good
- ☐ B=Adequate
- ☐ C=Limiting
- ☐ D=Severely limiting
- ☐ E=No answer

**Target 12: Restoration - National Targets**

>

**Target 12: Restoration - Planned activity**

>

**Target 12: Restoration - Outcomes achieved by 2018**

Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals

**Note:** this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018

>

**Target 12: Restoration - Additional Information**

>
Target 13: Enhanced sustainability
Enhanced sustainability of key sectors such as water, energy, mining, agriculture, tourism, urban development, infrastructure, industry, forestry, aquaculture and fisheries when they affect wetlands, contributing to biodiversity conservation and human livelihoods. Contributes to Aichi Targets 6 and 7.

Target 13: Enhanced sustainability - Priority
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=High
☐ B=Medium
☐ C=Low
☐ D=Not relevant
☐ E=No answer

Target 13: Enhanced sustainability - Resourcing
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Good
☐ B=Adequate
☐ C=Limiting
☐ D=Severely limiting
☐ E=No answer

Target 13: Enhanced sustainability - National Targets
>

Target 13: Enhanced sustainability - Planned activity
>

Target 13: Enhanced sustainability - Outcomes achieved by 2018
Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals
**Note:** this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018
>

Target 13: Enhanced sustainability - Additional Information
>
Goal 4

**Target 15: Regional Initiatives**

Ramsar Regional Initiatives with the active involvement and support of the Parties in each region are reinforced and developed into effective tools to assist in the full implementation of the Convention. {3.2.}

**Target 15: Regional Initiatives - Priority**

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=High  
☐ B=Medium  
☐ C=Low  
☐ D=Not relevant  
☐ E=No answer

**Target 15: Regional Initiatives - Resourcing**

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Good  
☐ B=Adequate  
☐ C=Limiting  
☐ D=Severely limiting  
☐ E=No answer

**Target 15: Regional Initiatives - National Targets**

>

**Target 15: Regional Initiatives - Planned activity**

>

**Target 15: Regional Initiatives - Outcomes achieved by 2018**

Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals

**Note:** this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018

>

**Target 15: Regional Initiatives - Additional Information**

>
Target 16: Wetlands conservation and wise use
Wetlands conservation and wise use are mainstreamed through communication, capacity development, education, participation and awareness (4.1). Contributes to Aichi Target 1 and 18.

Target 16: Wetlands conservation and wise use - Priority
Please select only one option
☐ A=High
☐ B=Medium
☐ C=Low
☐ D=Not relevant
☐ E=No answer

Target 16: Wetlands conservation and wise use - Resourcing
Please select only one option
☐ A=Good
☐ B=Adequate
☐ C=Limiting
☐ D=Severely limiting
☐ E=No answer

Target 16: Wetlands conservation and wise use - National Targets
>

Target 16: Wetlands conservation and wise use - Planned activity
>

Target 16: Wetlands conservation and wise use - Outcomes achieved by 2018
Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals
Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018
>

Target 16: Wetlands conservation and wise use - Additional Information
>
**Target 17: Financial and other resources**

Financial and other resources for effectively implementing the fourth Ramsar Strategic Plan 2016 – 2024 from all sources are made available. \{4.2.\}. Contributes to Aichi Target 20.

**Target 17: Financial and other resources - Priority**

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=High  
☐ B=Medium  
☐ C=Low  
☐ D=Not relevant  
☐ E=No answer

**Target 17: Financial and other resources - Resourcing**

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Good  
☐ B=Adequate  
☐ C=Limiting  
☐ D=Severely limiting  
☐ E=No answer

**Target 17: Financial and other resources - National Targets**

>

**Target 17: Financial and other resources - Planned activity**

>

**Target 17: Financial and other resources - Outcomes achieved by 2018**

Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals

**Note:** this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018

>

**Target 17: Financial and other resources - Additional Information**

>
**Target 18: International cooperation**

International cooperation is strengthened at all levels {3.1}

**Target 18: International cooperation - Priority**

*Please select only one option*

- □ A=High
- □ B=Medium
- □ C=Low
- □ D=Not relevant
- □ E=No answer

**Target 18: International cooperation - Resourcing**

*Please select only one option*

- □ A=Good
- □ B=Adequate
- □ C=Limiting
- □ D=Severely limiting
- □ E=No answer

**Target 18: International cooperation - National Targets**

>

**Target 18: International cooperation - Planned activity**

>

**Target 18: International cooperation - Outcomes achieved by 2018**

Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals

**Note:** this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018

>

**Target 18: International cooperation - Additional Information**

>
Target 19: Capacity Building
Capacity building for implementation of the Convention and the 4th Ramsar Strategic Plan 2016 – 2024 is enhanced. Contributes to Aichi Targets 1 and 17.

Target 19: Capacity Building - Priority
Please select only one option
☐ A=High
☐ B=Medium
☐ C=Low
☐ D=Not relevant
☐ E=No answer

Target 19: Capacity Building - Resourcing
Please select only one option
☐ A=Good
☐ B=Adequate
☐ C=Limiting
☐ D=Severely limiting
☐ E=No answer

Target 19: Capacity Building - National Targets
>

Target 19: Capacity Building - Planned activity
>

Target 19: Capacity Building - Outcomes achieved by 2018
Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals
Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018
>

Target 19: Capacity Building - Additional Information
>
Section 5: Optional annex to allow any Contracting Party that so wishes to provide additional information regarding any of all of its designated Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Sites)

Guidance for filling in this section

1. Contracting Parties can provide additional information specific to any or all of their designated Ramsar Sites, given that the situation and status of individual Ramsar Sites can differ greatly within the territory of a Contracting Party.
2. The only indicator questions included in this section are those from Section 3 of the COP13 NRF which directly concern Ramsar Sites.
3. In some cases, to make them meaningful in the context of reporting on each Ramsar Site separately, some of these indicator questions and/or their answer options have been adjusted from their formulation in Section 3 of the COP13 NRF.
4. Please include information on only one site in each row. In the appropriate columns please add the name and official site number (from the Ramsar Sites Information Service).
5. For each ‘indicator question’, please select one answer from the legend.
6. A final column of this Annex is provided as a ‘free text’ box for the inclusion of any additional information concerning the Ramsar Site.

A final column of this Annex is provided as a ‘free text’ box for the inclusion of any additional information concerning the Ramsar Site.

Ukraine

Aquatic-cliff complex of Cape Kazantyp (1393)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder
involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

> Aquatic-cliff complex of Karadag (1394)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
Any additional comments/information about the site

Aquatic-coastal complex of Cape Opuk (1395)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Bakotska Bay (1396)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Berda River Mouth & Berdianska Spit & Berdianska Bay (772)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site:

Big Chapelsk Depression (1397)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? 
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes 
☐ B=No 
☐ C=Partially 
☐ Z=No Management Plan 

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? 
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes 
☐ B=No 
☐ D=Planned 

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)? 
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes 
☐ B=No 
☐ D=Planned 

Any additional comments/information about the site

**Bilosaraiska Bay and Bilosaraiska Spit (773)**

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site? 
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes 
☐ B=No 
☐ D=Planned 

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information. 
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes 
☐ B=No 
☐ D=Planned 

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? 
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes 
☐ B=No 
☐ C=Partially 
☐ D=Planned 

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? 
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes 
☐ B=No 
☐ C=Partially 
☐ Z=No Management Plan 

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? 
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes 
☐ B=No 
☐ C=Partially 
☐ Z=No Management Plan 

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? 
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Central Syvash (115)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site
Desna River Floodplains (1398)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Dniester-Turunchuk Crossrivers Area (764)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of
the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Dnipro River Delta (767)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Dnipro-Oril Floodplains (1399)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
Please select only one option
- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

**Eastern Syvash (769)**

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
Please select only one option
- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.
Please select only one option
- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
- A=Yes
- B=No
- C=Partially
- D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
- A=Yes
- B=No
- C=Partially
- Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
- A=Yes
- B=No
- C=Partially
- Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Karkinitska and Dzharylgatska Bays (114)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Kartal Lake (761)
5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Kryva Bay and Kryva Spit (774)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?  
*Please select only one option*
- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ C=Partially
- □ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  
*Please select only one option*
- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ C=Partially
- □ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  
*Please select only one option*
- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ C=Partially
- □ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?  
*Please select only one option*
- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?  
*Please select only one option*
- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Kugurlui Lake (760)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?  
*Please select only one option*
- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.  
*Please select only one option*
- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?  
*Please select only one option*
- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ C=Partially
- □ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar...
Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Kyliiske Mouth (113)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Lake Synevyr (1400)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
Lower Smotrych River (1401)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Molochnyi Liman (770)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Northern Part of the Dniester Liman (765)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Obytochna Spit and Obytochna Bay (771)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? 
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? 
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)? 
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

>Perebrody Peatlands (1402)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site? 
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information. 
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? 
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? 
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? 
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Polissia Mires (1403)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
Any additional comments/information about the site

Prypiat River Floodplains (776)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Sasyk Lake (762)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Shagany-Alibei-Burnas Lakes System (763)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

**Please select only one option**

- ☐ A=Yes
- ☐ B=No
- ☐ C=Partially
- ☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

**Please select only one option**

- ☐ A=Yes
- ☐ B=No
- ☐ C=Partially
- ☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

**Please select only one option**

- ☐ A=Yes
- ☐ B=No
- ☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

**Please select only one option**

- ☐ A=Yes
- ☐ B=No
- ☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Shatsk Lakes (775)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

**Please select only one option**

- ☐ A=Yes
- ☐ B=No
- ☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

**Please select only one option**

- ☐ A=Yes
- ☐ B=No
- ☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

**Please select only one option**

- ☐ A=Yes
- ☐ B=No
- ☐ C=Partially
- ☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

**Please select only one option**

- ☐ A=Yes
- ☐ B=No
- ☐ C=Partially
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  
*Please select only one option*
- □ A=Yes  
- □ B=No  
- □ C=Partially  
- □ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?  
*Please select only one option*
- □ A=Yes  
- □ B=No  
- □ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?  
*Please select only one option*
- □ A=Yes  
- □ B=No  
- □ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

"Stokhid River Floodplains (777)"

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?  
*Please select only one option*
- □ A=Yes  
- □ B=No  
- □ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.  
*Please select only one option*
- □ A=Yes  
- □ B=No  
- □ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?  
*Please select only one option*
- □ A=Yes  
- □ B=No  
- □ C=Partially  
- □ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  
*Please select only one option*
- □ A=Yes  
- □ B=No  
- □ C=Partially  
- □ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  
*Please select only one option*
- □ A=Yes  
- □ B=No  
- □ C=Partially  
- □ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes  ☐ B=No  ☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Tendrivska Bay (768)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes  ☐ B=No  ☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes  ☐ B=No  ☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes  ☐ B=No  ☐ C=Partially  ☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes  ☐ B=No  ☐ C=Partially  ☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes  ☐ B=No  ☐ C=Partially  ☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes  ☐ B=No  ☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes  ☐ B=No  ☐ D=Planned
Any additional comments/information about the site

Tyligulskyi Liman (766)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Yagorlytska Bay (116)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the
year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Archipelago Velyki and Mali Kuchugury (2282)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ C=Partially
- □ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ C=Partially
- □ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
*Please select only one option*
- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site:

*Byle Lake and Koza Berezyna Mire (2281)*

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
*Please select only one option*
- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.
*Please select only one option*
- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ C=Partially
- □ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ C=Partially
- □ Z=No Management Plan
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ C=Partially
- □ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

*Please select only one option*

- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

> **Cheremskoe Bog (2272)**

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

*Please select only one option*

- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

*Please select only one option*

- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ C=Partially
- □ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ C=Partially
- □ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ C=Partially
- □ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

- □ A=Yes
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Sim Maiakiv Floodplain (2273)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site
Somyne Swamps (2275)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
- B=No
- C=Partially
- D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
- B=No
- C=Partially
- Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
- B=No
- C=Partially
- Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Syra Pogonia Bog (2274)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of
the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

>