



NATIONAL REPORT ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE RAMSAR CONVENTION ON WETLANDS

**National Reports to be submitted to the 13th Meeting of the Conference of the Contracting Parties,
Dubai, United Arab Emirates, 2018**

The purpose of this Microsoft Word form is to help Contracting Parties to collect data for the National Report. However, the data collected through this form must be transferred to the online National Reporting system at <https://reports.ramsar.org> or send the Word form by email (nationalreports@ramsar.org) by 21 January 2018 for the official submission of the National Report. If you have any questions or problems, please contact the Ramsar Secretariat for advice (nationalreports@ramsar.org).

Please note that for Contracting Parties wishing to provide information in the Online Reporting System on national targets (Section 4 optional) of the National Report Format or on the Word Form the deadline is 30 November 2016.

Ramsar COP13 National Report Format (NRF)

Background information

1. The COP13 National Report Format (NRF) has been approved by the Standing Committee 52 for the Ramsar Convention's Contracting Parties to complete as their national reporting to the 13th meeting of the Conference of the Contracting Parties of the Convention (United Arab Emirates, 2018).
2. The Standing Committee through Decision SC52-07 has also agreed that an online National Reporting format could be made available to Parties by keeping the off-line system and requested the Secretariat to present an evaluation for the next COP regarding the use of the on-line system.
3. The National Report Format is being issued by the Secretariat in 2016 to facilitate Contracting Parties' implementation planning and preparations for completing the Report. The deadline for submission of national targets is by 30 November 2016 and the deadline for submission of completed National Reports is January 21st 2018.
4. Following Standing Committee discussions, this COP13 NRF closely follows that of the NRF used for COP12, to permit continuity of reporting and analysis of implementation progress by ensuring that indicator questions are as far as possible consistent with previous NRFS (and especially the COP12 NRF). It is also structured in terms of the Goals and Strategies of the 2016-2024 Ramsar Strategic Plan adopted at COP12 as Resolution XII.2.
5. This COP13 NRF includes 92 indicator questions. In addition, Section 4 is provided as an optional Annex in order to facilitate the task of preparing the Party's National Targets and Actions for the implementation of each of the targets of the Strategic Plan 2016-2024 according to Resolution XII.2.
6. As was the case for previous NRF, the COP13 Format includes an optional section (Section 5) to permit a Contracting Party to provide additional information, on indicators relevant to each individual Wetland of International Importance (Ramsar Site) within its territory.
7. Note that, for the purposes of this national reporting to the Ramsar Convention, the scope of the term "wetland" is that of the Convention text, i.e. all inland wetlands (including lakes and rivers), all nearshore coastal wetlands (including tidal marshes, mangroves and coral reefs) and human-made wetlands (e.g. rice paddy and reservoirs), even if a national definition of "wetland" may differ from that adopted by the Contracting Parties to the Ramsar Convention.

The purposes and uses of national reporting to the Conference of the Contracting Parties

8. National Reports from Contracting Parties are official documents of the Convention and are made publicly available on the Convention's website.
9. There are seven main purposes for the Convention's National Reports. These are to:
 - i) provide data and information on how, and to what extent, the Convention is being implemented
 - ii) provide tools for countries for their national planning
 - iii) capture lessons and experience to help Parties plan future action;
 - iv) identify emerging issues and implementation challenges faced by Parties that may require further attention from the Conference of the Parties;

- v) provide a means for Parties to account for their commitments under the Convention;
 - vi) provide each Party with a tool to help it assess and monitor its progress in implementing the Convention, and to plan its future priorities; and
 - vii) provide an opportunity for Parties to draw attention to their achievements during the triennium.
10. The data and information provided by Parties in their National Reports have another valuable purpose as well, since a number of the indicators in the National Reports on Parties' implementation provide key sources of information for the analysis and assessment of the "ecological outcome-oriented indicators of effectiveness of the implementation of the Convention".
11. To facilitate the analysis and subsequent use of the data and information provided by Contracting Parties in their National Reports, the Ramsar Secretariat holds in a database all the information it has received and verified. The COP13 reports will be in an online National Reporting system.
12. The Convention's National Reports are used in a number of ways. These include:
- 1) providing an opportunity to compile and analyze information that contracting parties can use to inform their national planning and programming.
 - ii) providing the basis for reporting by the Secretariat to each meeting of the Conference of the Parties on the global, national and regional implementation, and the progress in implementation, of the Convention. This is provided to Parties at the COP as a series of Information Papers, including:
 - the Report of the Secretary General on the implementation of the Convention at the global level;
 - the Report of the Secretary General pursuant to Article 8.2 (b), (c), and (d) concerning the List of Wetlands of International Importance); and
 - the reports providing regional overviews of the implementation of the Convention and its Strategic Plan in each Ramsar region;
 - iii) providing information on specific implementation issues in support of the provision of advice and decisions by Parties at the COP.
 - iv) providing the source data for time-series assessments of progress on specific aspects in the implementation of the Convention included in other Convention products. An example is the summary of progress since COP3 (Regina, 1997) in the development of National Wetland Policies, included as Table 1 in Ramsar Wise Use Handbook 2 (4th edition, 2010); and
 - v) providing information for reporting to the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) on the national implementation of the CBD/Ramsar Joint Work Plan and the Ramsar Convention's lead implementation role on wetlands for the CBD. In particular, the Ramsar Secretariat and STRP used the COP10 NRF indicators extensively in 2009 to prepare contributions to the in-depth review of the CBD programme of work on the biological diversity of inland water ecosystems for consideration by CBD SBSTTA14 and COP10 during 2010 (see UNEP/CBD/SBSTTA/14/3). Similar use of COP12 NRF indicators is anticipated for the CBD's next such in-depth review.

The structure of the COP13 National Report Format

13. The COP13 National Report Format (NRF) is in five sections:

Section 1 provides the institutional information about the Administrative Authority and National Focal Points for the national implementation of the Convention.

Section 2 is a ‘free-text’ section in which the Party is invited to provide a summary of various aspects of national implementation progress and recommendations for the future.

Section 3 provides the 92 implementation indicator questions, grouped under each Convention implementation Goals and Targets in the Strategic Plan 2016-2024, and with an optional ‘free-text’ section under each indicator question in which the Contracting Party may, if it wishes, add further information on national implementation of that activity.

Section 4 is an optional annex to allow any Contracting Party that has developed national targets to provide information on the targets and actions for the implementation of each of the targets of the Strategic Plan 2016-2024.

In line with Resolution XII.2, which encourages Contracting Parties “to develop and submit to the Secretariat on or before December 2016, and according to their national priorities, capabilities and resources, their own quantifiable and time-bound national and regional targets in line with the targets set in the Strategic Plan”, all Parties are encouraged to consider using this comprehensive national planning tool as soon as possible, in order to identify the areas of highest priority for action and the relevant national targets and actions for each target.

The planning of national targets offers, for each of them, the possibility of indicating the *national priority* for that area of activity as well as the *level of resourcing available, or that could be made available during the triennium, for its implementation*. In addition, there are specific boxes to indicate the *National Targets* for implementation by 2018 and the *planned national activities* that are designed to deliver these targets.

Ramsar Strategic Plan 2016-2024 shows the synergies between CBD Aichi Biodiversity Targets and Ramsar Targets. Therefore, the NRF provide an opportunity that Contracting Parties indicate as appropriate how the actions they undertake for the implementation of the Ramsar Convention contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets according to paragraph 51 of Resolution XII.3.

Section 5 is an optional annex to allow any Contracting Party that so wishes to provide additional information regarding any or all of its Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Sites).

General guidance for completing and submitting the COP13 National Report Format

Important – please read this guidance section before starting to complete the National Report format

14. All Sections of the COP13 NRF should be completed in one of the Convention’s official languages (English, French, Spanish).

15. The deadline for submission of the completed NRF is January 21st 2018. It will not be possible to include information from National Reports received after that date in the analysis and reporting on Convention implementation to COP13.

16. The deadline for submission of national targets is by 30 November 2016

17. All fields with a pale yellow background must be filled in.

Fields with a pale green background are free-text fields in which to provide additional information, if the Contracting Party so wishes. Although providing information in these fields is optional, Contracting Parties are encouraged to provide such additional information wherever possible and relevant, as it helps us understand Parties' progress and activity more fully, to prepare the best possible global and regional implementation reports to COP.

18. To help Contracting Parties refer to relevant information they provided in their National Report to COP12, for each appropriate indicator a cross-reference is provided to the equivalent indicator(s) in the COP12 NRF or previous NRF, shown thus: {x.x.x}

19. For follow up and where appropriate, a cross-reference is also provided to the relevant Key Result Area (KRA) relating to Contracting Parties implementation in the Strategic Plan 2009-2015.

20. Only Strategic Plan 2016-2024 Targets for which there are implementation actions for Contracting Parties are included in this reporting format; those targets of the Strategic Plan that do not refer directly to Parties are omitted (e.g. targets 6 and 14).

21. The Format is created as a form in Microsoft Word to collect the data. You will be able to enter replies and information in the yellow or green boxes.

For each of the 'indicator questions' in Section 3, a legend of answer options is provided. These vary between indicators, depending on the question, but are generally of the form: 'A - Yes', 'B - No', 'C - Partially', 'D - In progress'. This is necessary so that statistical comparisons can be made of the replies. Please indicate the relevant letter (A, B etc.) in the yellow field.

For each indicator question you can choose only one answer. If you wish to provide further information or clarification, do so in the green additional information box below the relevant indicator question. Please be as concise as possible (**maximum of 500 words** in each free-text box).

22. In Section 4 (Optional) for each target the planning of national targets section looks as follows (in the example of Target 8 on inventory):

Planning of National Targets

| | |
|--|---|
| Priority of the target: | A= High; B= Medium; C= Low; D= Not relevant; E= No answer |
| Resourcing: | A= Good; B= Adequate; C= Limiting; D= Severely limiting; E= No answer |
| National Targets (Text Answer): | [Example text] To have comprehensive inventory of all wetlands by 2018 |
| Planned Activities (Text Answer): | [Example text] To update the existing inventory so as to cover all the national territory, and to incorporate relevant information about wetlands, including digital information, when possible |
| Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and | [Example text] A comprehensive inventory of all wetlands |

Sustainable Development Goals

Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018

The input has to be made only in the yellow boxes. For **PRIORITY** and **RESOURCING**, the coded answers are given in the right part of the table (always in *italics*). The answer chosen should be typed inside the yellow box at the left side of the coded options. **TARGETS** and **PLANNED ACTIVITIES** are text boxes; here, Contracting Parties are invited to provide more detailed information in the respective box on their National Targets for achievement in implementation by 2018 and the planned national activities that are designed to deliver these targets.

Please note that only ONE coded option –the one that better represents the situation in the Contracting Party– should be chosen. Blanks will be coded in COP13 National Reports Database as “No answer”.

23. The NRF should ideally be completed by the principal compiler in consultation with relevant colleagues in their agency and others within the government and, as appropriate, with NGOs and other stakeholders who might have fuller knowledge of aspects of the Party's overall implementation of the Convention. The principal compiler can save the document at any point and return to it later to continue or to amend answers. Compilers should refer back to the National Report submitted for COP12 to ensure the continuity and consistency of information provided. In the online system there will be also an option to allow consultation with others.
24. After each session, **remember to save the file**. A recommended filename structure is: COP13NRF [Country] [date], for example: COP13NRFSpain13January 2018.doc
25. After the NRF has been completed using the word version (offline), please enter the data in the NR online system at this link: <https://reports.ramsar.org> or send it by email (nationalreports@ramsar.org) by January 21st 2018. If you have any questions or problems, please contact the Ramsar Secretariat for advice at (nationalreports@ramsar.org).
26. The completed NRF **must be accompanied by a letter that can be uploaded in the online system or send by email (nationalreports@ramsar.org) in the name of the Head of Administrative Authority, confirming that this is the Contracting Party's official submission of its COP13 National Report.**

If you have any questions or problems, please contact the Ramsar Secretariat for advice (nationalreports@ramsar.org).

National report to Ramsar COP13

Section 1: Institutional Information

Important note: the responses below will be considered by the Ramsar Secretariat as the definitive list of your focal points.

Name of Contracting Party:

Designated Ramsar Administrative Authority

Name of Administrative Authority:

Head of Administrative Authority - name and title:

Mailing address:

Telephone/Fax:

Email:

Designated National Focal Point for Ramsar Convention Matters

Name and title:

Mailing address:

Telephone/Fax:

Email:

Designated National Focal Point for Matters Relating to The Scientific and Technical Review Panel (STRP)

Name and title:

Name of organisation:

Mailing address:

Telephone/Fax:

Email:

Designated Government National Focal Point for Matters Relating to The Programme on Communication, Education and Public Awareness

Name and title:

Name of organisation:

Mailing address:

Telephone/Fax:

Email:

Designated Non-Government National Focal Point for Matters Relating to The Programme on Communication, Education and Public Awareness

Name and title:

Name of organisation:

Mailing address:

Telephone/Fax:

Email:

Section 2: General summary of national implementation progress and challenges

In your country, in the past triennium (i.e., since COP12 reporting):

A. What have been the five most successful aspects of implementation of the Convention?

- 1) Raised public awareness of wetland values, laws and regulations through community policing which targeted reducing encroachment and degradation in slums, radio talk shows on topical subjects, focus group discussions, and TV talk shows.
- 2) Coding of wetlands in preparation for gazetttement and launch of a National Wetland Atlas
- 3) Obtaining a Cabinet Directive for the cancellation of titles in Wetlands, including its Road Map. Implememetation has started
- 4) Demarcated and secured 1288.1 Km of critical wetlands in several district Local Governments and support 9 Community based wise Livelihood Initiatives
- 5) 1399.3 hectares (ha) of degraded wetlands restored; and developed 2 Framework wetland management plans, 11 Community Based wetland Management plans, 12 District Wetland Action Plans and 101 sub county Wetland Action Plans in different local Governments

B. What have been the five greatest difficulties in implementing the Convention?

- 1) Trying to balance development and conservation amidst the huge population pressure
- 2) Inadequate human and financial capacity for implementing wetland conservation activities
- 3) Limited quantitative and qualitative data on wetlands values and benefits to guide decision making
- 4) Lack of transparency in EIA processes resulting into continued approval of projects in fragile ecosystems without the consent of the Department this is a sign of poor coordination among the stakeholders.
- 5) Inadequate information on the economic contribution of wetlands to developments (Natural Capital Accounting)

C. What are the five priorities for future implementation of the Convention?

- 1) Demarcation of critical wetlands in selected urban and rural areas and develop Framework Management Plans and Community Based Wetland Management
- 2) Finalising the coding of the remaining 3 drainage basins, in preparation for gazettement
- 3) Restoration of degraded wetlands across the country upto the earmarked hectares to fulfill vision 2040 target
- 4) Expedite the finalization of Wetlands Bill in order to address key wetlands management issues, and creation of an Environmental Court
- 5) Fully operartionalising the operation of the Ramsar Centre for Eastern Africa (RAMCEA) and continued Communication, Education, Participation and Awareness activities

D. Do you (AA) have any recommendations concerning implementation assistance from the Ramsar Secretariat?

There is need for capacity devlpemnt for the implemenetation of the Convention implementation guidelines, undertaking Ramsar Advisory Missions to Ramsar Sites under threat and also further strengthening technical cooperation with relevant MEAS, in relation to in countrys strategies. Guidance on designation of transboundary wetlands.

E. Do you (AA) have any recommendations concerning implementation assistance from the Convention's International Organisation Partners (IOPs)? (Including on-going partnerships and partnerships to develop)

Further harness and strengthen existing partnerships; including access to professional strengths of some of these IOPs, e.g. we have benefited a lot from collaboration with IUCN Uganda, Birdlife International and Macarty foundation..

F. How can national implementation of the Ramsar Convention be better linked with implementation of other multilateral environmental agreements (MEAs), especially those in the 'biodiversity cluster' (Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD), Convention on Migratory Species (CMS), Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species (CITES), World Heritage Convention (WHC), and United Nations Convention to Combat Desertification (UNCCD) and the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC))?

There is need for enhanced joint planning, implementation and reporting. A central deposit is key; to that effect the Administrative Authority is developing a One Stop Data Center to ensure coordinated monitoring and storage of data. There is need to learn and build capacity on online reporting system for all CBD related conventions can benefit. Furthermore dissemination and sharing of lessons learnt, Best Practices and innovations will go a long way in removing duplication of efforts as well as promoting harmonised execution of interventions.

G. How can implementation of the Ramsar Convention be better linked with the implementation of water policy/strategy and other strategies in the country (e.g., on sustainable development, energy, extractive industries, poverty reduction, sanitation, food security, biodiversity)?

By working with the Lead Institutions, and also ensuring that policy development and review include all players and stakeholders. In addition, wetland issues should be integrated in all existing and national development Frameworks e.g. Vision 2040, Ministry Development Plans, the National Development Plan II.

H. Do you (AA) have any other general comments on the implementation of the Convention?

There is need to strengthen the science base for the implementation of the Convention through research and development. This can be made possible by strengthening National institutional arrangements, information management and enhancing Science to policy interface. This will require investing in improving and developing the capacities for research. Enhancing awareness and participation of the different stakeholder, including joint reporting and sharing of results and innovations for successful wetland management.

I. Please list the names of the organisations which have been consulted on or have contributed to the information provided in this report:

Environment Alert, IUCN, NatureUganda, World Wide Fund for Nature – Uganda Office, Uganda Wildlife Education Center, Uganda Wetland Forum, Uganda Wildlife Society, Uganda Wild Life Conservation Society, Global Water Partnership, Directorate of Water Resources Management and Makerere University

Section 3: Indicator questions and further implementation information

Goal 1. Addressing the drivers of wetland loss and degradation

Target 1. Wetland benefits are featured in national/ local policy strategies and plans relating to key sectors such as water, energy, mining, agriculture, tourism, urban development, infrastructure, industry, forestry, and aquaculture, fisheries at the national and local level.

COP13 REPORT

- 1.1 Have wetland issues/benefits been incorporated into other national strategies and planning processes, including: {1.3.2} {1.3.3} KRA 1.3.i

| | A=Yes; B=No; C=Partially; D=Planned; X= Unknown; Y= Not Relevant | |
|----|--|---|
| a) | National Policy or strategy for wetland management | A |
| b) | Poverty eradication strategies | A |
| c) | Water resource management and water efficiency plans | A |
| d) | Coastal and marine resource management plans | Y |
| e) | Integrated Coastal Zone Management Plan | Y |
| f) | National forest programmes | A |
| g) | National policies or measures on agriculture | A |
| h) | National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plans drawn up under the CBD | A |
| i) | National policies on energy and mining | A |
| j) | National policies on tourism | A |
| k) | National policies on urban development | A |
| l) | National policies on infrastructure | A |
| m) | National policies on industry | A |
| n) | National policies on aquaculture and fisheries {1.3.3} KRA 1.3.i | A |
| o) | National plans of actions (NPAs) for pollution control and management | A |
| p) | National policies on wastewater management and water quality | A |

- 1.1 Additional information: Wetlands are integrated in 10 major laws, and their related Policies including the Government Political Manifesto.
- 1.2 Wetland issues are integrated in the National Development Plan, Vision 2040, and District Development Plans
- 1.3 Included in the planning for four Water Catchment Management Zones of Kyoga, Victoria, Albert and Upper Nile
- 1.4 Wetland issues are integrated in the National Forestry Sector Strategic Plan
- 1.5 The Kalagala Offset Sustainable Management Plan caters for wetland resource management
- 1.6 Wetlands are included in the National Biodiversity Strategy for Uganda
- 1.7 Wetlands issues included in to the REDD+ programme
- 1.8 Wetlands issues have been incorporated into the National Climate Change framework in the implementation of adaptation programmes
- 1.9 Wetlands have been included in the Protected Areas Network of the country
- 1.10 Wetland issues have also been integrated in two key projects building resilient communities, wetland ecosystems and associated catchments in Uganda project, and reducing the climate change vulnerability of local communities in Uganda through Ecosystem Based Adaptation in forest and wetland ecosystems and the FAO supported Forest and landscapes project and programmes

Target 2. Water use respects wetland ecosystem needs for them to fulfil their functions and provide services at the appropriate scale inter alia at the basin level or along a coastal zone.

COP13 REPORT

| | |
|---|--|
| 2.1 Has the quantity and quality of water available to, and required by, wetlands been assessed to support the implementation of the Guidelines for the allocation and management of water for maintaining the ecological functions of wetlands (Resolution VIII.1, VIII.2) ? 1.24. | C A=Yes; B=No; C=Partially; D=Planned |
| 2.1 Additional information: quantity and quality of water available to, and required by, wetlands assessments are being assessed under the Catchment Management Zones programme | |
| 2.2 Have assessments of environmental flow been undertaken in relation to mitigation of impacts on the ecological character of wetlands (Action r3.4.iv) | C A=Yes; B=No; C=Partially; D=Planned |
| 2.2 Additional information: This is part of the project development requirements There is need to design projects or patterns with institutions to undertake research studies with the aim of establishing the quantity and quality of water in Ramsar sites to generate baseline indicators for continued monitoring. | |
| 2.3 Have Ramsar Sites improved the sustainability of water use in the context of ecosystem requirements? | A A=Yes; B=No; C=Partially; D=Planned; O= No Change; X= Unknown |

2.3 Additional information: This is in line with provisions of Water use in the Water Act and Water Policy. It is further regulated by the National Wetlands Conservation and Management Policy which stipulates that activities should be those that are listed in the Policy.

| | |
|--|---|
| 2.4 Have the Guidelines for allocation and management of water for maintaining ecological functions of wetlands (Resolutions VIII.1 and XII.12) been used/applied in decision-making processes. (Action 3.4.6.) | C A=Yes; B=No; C=Partially; D=Planned |
|--|---|

2.4 Additional information: These require further dissemination.

| | |
|--|---|
| 2.5 Have projects that promote and demonstrate good practice in water allocation and management for maintaining the ecological functions of wetlands been developed (Action r3.4.ix.) | A A=Yes; B=No; C=Partially; D=Planned |
|--|---|

2.5 Additional information: Most projects integrate this.

| | |
|---|--|
| 2.6 How many household/municipalities are linked to sewage system? SDG Target 6.3.1. More than 500 are connected, | G E=# household/municipalities; F= Less than #; G=More than 500; X= Unknown; Y= Not Relevant |
|---|--|

2.6 Additional information:

There is need to carry out an inventory to establish actual numbers

| | |
|--|---|
| 2.7 What is the percentage of sewerage coverage in the country? SDG Target 6.3.1. | Less than 20% E=# percent; F= Less than # percent; G= More Than # percent; X= Unknown; Y= Not Relevant |
|--|---|

2.7 Additional information:

Most communities are still using either pitlatrines or septic tanks

| | |
|--|--|
| 2.8 What is the percentage of users of septic tank/pit latrine? SDG Target 6.3.1. | More than 60% E=# percent; F=Less Than # percent; G= More Than # percent; X= Unknown; Y= Not Relevant |
|--|--|

2.8 Additional information:

It is very costly especially low income earners to afford sewerage services

| | |
|--|---|
| | C |
|--|---|

| | |
|--|---|
| <p>2.9 Does the country use constructed wetlands/ponds as wastewater treatment technology? SDG Target 6.3.1.</p> | <p>A= Yes, B= No; C= Partially, D=Planned X= Unknown; Y= Not Relevant</p> |
| <p>2.9 Additional information: Very few industries and institutions use this technology, otherwise most of the wetlands used are natural</p> | |

| | |
|--|---|
| <p>2.10 How do the country use constructed wetlands/ponds as wastewater treatment technology perform? SDG Target 6.3.1.</p> | <p>C A=Good; C=Functioning; B=Not Functioning; Q=Obsolete; X= Unknown Y= Not Relevant</p> |
| <p>2.10 Additional information: Institutions that have tried this technology have found it cost effective. Eg the Uganda Cobalt Company.</p> | |

| | |
|--|---|
| <p>2.11 How many centralised wastewater treatment plants exist at national level? SDG Target 6.3.1.</p> | <p>Less than 20 E= # plants; F= Less than #; G=More than #; X= Unknown; Y= Not Relevant</p> |
| <p>2.11 Additional information: Most urban areas especially those in rural areas are still dependent on septic tanks</p> | |

| | |
|---|--|
| <p>2.12 How is the functional status of the wastewater treatment plants? SDG Target 6.3.1.</p> | <p>C A=Good; C=Functioning; B=Not Functioning; Q=Obsolete; X= Unknown; Y= Not Relevant</p> |
| <p>2.12 Additional information: However most of them are overwhelmed by the amount of water delivered per day</p> | |

| | |
|---|--|
| <p>2.13 The percentage of decentralized wastewater treatment technology, including constructed wetlands/ponds is? SDG Target 6.3.1.</p> | <p>C A=Good; C=Functioning; B=Not Functioning; Q=Obsolete; X= Unknown; Y= Not Relevant</p> |
| <p>2.13 Additional information: Most of the decentralised sewers are slightly new and therefore still functional</p> | |

| | |
|---|---|
| 2.14 Is there a wastewater reuse system? SDG Target 6.3.1. | B |
| | A=Yes; B=No; C=Partially; D=Planned; X= Unknown; Y=Not Relevant |

2.14 Additional information: This technology has not yet been popularised

| | |
|--|---|
| 2.15 What is the purpose of the wastewater reuse system? SDG Target 6.3.1. | Y |
| | R=Agriculture; S=Landscape; T=Industrial; U=Drinking; X= Unknown; Y=Not Relevant |

2.15 Additional information: Please indicate if the wastewater reuse system is for free or taxed or add any additional information.

Target.3. Public and private sectors have increased their efforts to apply guidelines and good practices for the wise use of water and wetlands. {1.10}

| COP13 REPORT | |
|--|--|
| 3.1 Is the private sector encouraged to apply the Ramsar wise use principle and guidance (Ramsar handbooks for the wise use of wetlands) in its activities and investments concerning wetlands? {1.10.1} KRA 1.10.i | Y A=Yes; B=No; C=Partially; D=Planned |
| 3.1 Additional information: All Stakeholders are guided in their activities by the Wetlands Policy developed inline with the Ramsar wise use principle and guidance. The Department has included the Private Sector Foundation and Uganda Manufacturers Association on the Wetlands Advisory to ease implementation of the Convention. The WAG meets on a quarterly basis. | |

| | |
|---|---|
| 3.2 Has the private sector undertaken activities or actions for the conservation, wise use and management of? {1.10.2} KRA 1.10.ii: | A=Yes; B=No; C=Partially; D=Planned; X= Unknown; Y= Not Relevant |
| a) Ramsar Sites | a) C |
| b) Wetlands in general | b) A |

3.2 Additional information: The Private Sector involvement is mostly done through promoting the conservation of areas of touristic importance and developing eco-friendly establsihments. Specific interventions in Ramsar Sites are few.

| | |
|--|--|
| 3.3 Have actions been taken to implement incentive measures which encourage the conservation and wise use of wetlands? {1.11.1} KRA 1.11.i | A A=Yes; B=No; C=Partially; D=Planned |
|--|--|

3.3 Additional information: Incentive measures are done thorough establishing and supporting community projects such as schools, Health Centers and Road systems. This is a plough back system as well as an incentive system to encourage communities to conserve their natural resources including wetlands. The other way is through employment of local community members and also buying their products.

| | |
|---|--|
| 3.4 Have actions been taken to remove perverse incentive measures which discourage conservation and wise use of wetlands? {1.11.2} KRA 1.11.i | Z A=Yes; B=No; D=Planned; Z=Not Applicable |
|---|--|

3.4 Additional information: Most of these incentives are new, because the Private sector involvement is slowly building up.

Target 4. *Invasive alien species and pathways of introduction and expansion are identified and prioritized, priority invasive alien species are controlled or eradicated, and management responses are prepared and implemented to prevent their introduction and establishment.*

COP13 REPORT

| | |
|--|--|
| 4.1 Does your country have a national inventory of invasive alien species that currently or potentially impact the ecological character of wetlands? {1.9.1} KRA 1.9.i | Y A=Yes; B=No; C=Partially; D=Planned |
|--|--|

4.1 Additional information: IUCN has taken up this mantle

| | |
|--|--|
| 4.2 Have national policies or guidelines on invasive species control and management been established or reviewed for wetlands? {1.9.2} KRA 1.9.iii | A A=Yes; B=No; C=Partially; D=Planned |
|--|--|

4.2 Additional information: The Ministry of Agriculture Animal Industry and Fisheries undertakes this activity.

| | |
|---|---|
| | Less than 10% |
| 4.3 How many invasive species are being controlled through management actions?. | E= # species; F=Less than #; G=More than #; C=Partially; X= Unknown; Y=Not Relevant |
| 4.3 Additional information: (If 'Yes', please indicate the year of assessment and the source of the information): | |

| | |
|--|---|
| | A |
| 4.4 Have the effectiveness of wetland invasive alien species control programmes been assessed? | A=Yes; B=No; C=Partially; D=Planned; X=Unknown; Y=Not Relevant |
| 4.4 Additional information: IUCN has been undertaking this initiative | |

Goal 2. Effectively conserving and managing the Ramsar Site network

Target 5. The ecological character of Ramsar Sites is maintained or restored through effective, planning and integrated management {2.1.}

| COP13 REPORT | |
|---|--|
| 5.1 Have a national strategy and priorities been established for the further designation of Ramsar Sites, using the <i>Strategic Framework for the Ramsar List?</i> {2.1.1} KRA 2.1.i | A A=Yes; B=No; C=Partially; D=Planned |
| 5.1 Additional information: A list of Potential wetlands that have been earmarked to be designated as Ramsar sites has been generated. | |

| | |
|--|------------------------------------|
| 5.2 Are the Ramsar Sites Information Service and its tools being used in national identification of further Ramsar Sites to designate? {2.2.1} KRA 2.2.ii | D A=Yes; B=No; D=Planned |
| 5.2 Additional information: The tools have been effectively used to update the already designated Ramsar sites. Yet to be applied in designating new ones. | |

12 sites

| | |
|--|---|
| 5.3 How many Ramsar Sites have an effective, implemented management plan? {2.4.1} KRA 2.4.i | E= # sites; F=Less than #; G=More than #; X=Unknown; Y=Not Relevant |
| 5.4 For how many of the Ramsar Sites with a management plan is the plan being implemented? {2.4.2} KRA 2.4.i | More than 4 E= # sites; F=Less than #; G=More than #; X= Unknown; Y=Not Relevant |
| 5.5 For how many Ramsar Sites is effective management planning currently being implemented (outside of formal management plans ? {2.4.3} KRA 2.4.i | 4 sites E= # sites; F=Less than #; G=More than #; X= Unknown; Y=Not Relevant |
| 5.3 – 5.5 Additional information: NGOs pattern with local communities to develop site specific management plans | |

| | |
|---|---|
| 5.6 Have all Ramsar sites been assessed regarding the effectiveness of their management (through formal management plans where they exist or otherwise through existing actions for appropriate wetland management ? {1.6.2} KRA 1.6.ii | B A=Yes; B=No; C=Partially; D=Planned |
| 5.6 Additional information: This has not been done due to inadequate resources. | |

| | |
|---|---|
| 5.7 How many Ramsar Sites have a cross-sectoral management committee? {2.4.4} {2.4.6} KRA 2.4.iv | 3 E= # sites; F=Less than #; G=More than #; C= Partially; X=Unknown, Y=Not Relevant; |
| 5.7 Additional information (If at least 1 site, please give the name and official number of the site or sites): Nabugabo wetland system, Lutembe Bay wetland system and Mabamba wetland system. | |

| | |
|--|--|
| 5.8 For how many Ramsar Sites has an ecological character description been prepared (see Resolution X.15)? {2.4.5}{2.4.7} KRA 2.4.v | 2 E=# sites; F=Less than #; G=More than; C= Partially #; X= Unknown; Y=Not Relevant |
| 5.8 Additional information (If at least 1 site, please give the name and official number of the site or sites): Sango Bay wetland system and Nabugabo wetland system Ramsar sites. | |

C

| | |
|---|----------------------------------|
| <p>5.9 Have any assessments of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management been made? {2.5.1} KRA 2.5.i</p> <p>5.9 Additional information (If 'Yes' or 'Some sites', please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15, and the source of the information): METT was used for Lake Bisina and Mburo Ramsar sites.</p> | <p>A=Yes; B=No; C=Some Sites</p> |
|---|----------------------------------|

Target 7. *Sites that are at risk of change of ecological character have threats addressed {2.6.}.*

COP13 REPORT

7.1 Are mechanisms in place for the Administrative Authority to be informed of negative human-induced changes in Ramsar Sites, pursuant to Article 3.2? {2.6.1} KRA 2.6.i

7.1 Additional information (If 'Yes' or 'Some sites', please summarise the mechanism or mechanisms established):

| | |
|--|--|
| <p>7.2 Have all cases of negative human-induced change or likely change in the ecological character of Ramsar Sites been reported to the Ramsar Secretariat, pursuant to Article 3.2? {2.6.2} KRA 2.6.i</p> <p>7.2 Additional information (If 'Yes' or 'Some cases', please indicate for which Ramsar Sites the Administrative Authority has made Article 3.2 reports to the Secretariat, and for which sites such reports of change or likely change have not yet been made): Lake Goerge Wetland system was reported</p> | <p>C</p> <p>A=Yes; B=No; C=Some Cases; O=No Negative Change</p> |
|--|--|

| | |
|--|--|
| <p>7.3 If applicable, have actions been taken to address the issues for which Ramsar Sites have been listed on the Montreux Record, including requesting a Ramsar Advisory Mission? {2.6.3} KRA 2.6.ii</p> <p>7.3 Additional information (If 'Yes', please indicate the actions taken): A request for the Ramsar Advisory Mission was made and preparations for the visit are in advanced stages</p> | <p>B</p> <p>A=Yes; B=No; Z=Not Applicable</p> |
|--|--|

Goal 3. Wisely Using All Wetlands

Target 8. *National wetland inventories have been either initiated, completed or updated and disseminated and used for promoting the conservation and effective management of all wetlands {1.1.1} KRA 1.1.i*

COP13 REPORT

| | |
|--|--|
| <p>8.1 Does your country have a complete National Wetland Inventory? {1.1.1} KRA 1.1.i</p> | <u>C</u> A=Yes; B=No; C=In Progress; D=Planned |
|--|--|

8.1 Additional information: A full inventory was conducted in 2008 and is currently being updated.

| | |
|--|---|
| <p>8.2 Has your country updated a National Wetland Inventory in the last decade?</p> | <u>A</u> A=Yes; B=No; C=In Progress; C1=Partially; D=Planned; X=Unknown; Y=Not Relevant |
|--|---|

8.2 Additional information: Reports are available. However there is need for support to fast track the current updating process

| | |
|---|---|
| <p>8.3 Is wetland inventory data and information maintained? {1.1.2} KRA 1.1.ii</p> | <u>A</u> A=Yes; B=No; C=Partially; D=Planned |
|---|---|

8.3 Additional information: A wetlands Information systems had been created

| | |
|--|---|
| <p>8.4 Is wetland inventory data and information made accessible to all stakeholders? {1.1.2} KRA 1.1.ii</p> | <u>A</u> A=Yes; B=No; C=Partially; D=Planned |
|--|---|

8.4 Additional information: Efforts to link the wetland information system to key institutions is under process.

| | |
|--|--|
| <p>8.5 Has the condition* of wetlands in your country, overall, changed during the last triennium? {1.1.3}</p> <p>a) Ramsar Sites b) wetlands generally</p> <p>Please describe on the sources of the information on which your answer is based in the green free-text box below. If there is a difference between inland and coastal wetland situations, please describe. If you are able to, please describe the principal driver(s) of the change(s).</p> <p>* 'Condition' corresponds to ecological character, as defined by the Convention</p> | <p>N=Status Deteriorated; O=No Change; P=Status Improved</p> <p>a)-P b) -N</p> |
| <p>8.5 Additional information on a) and/or b): Status of Ramsar sites has improved because most of them are located in protected areas.</p> | |

| | |
|---|--|
| <p>8.6 Based upon the National Wetland Inventory if available please provide a baseline figure in square kilometres for the extent of wetlands (according to the Ramsar definition) for the year 2017. SDG Target 6.6</p> | <p>26,000 km²</p> <p>E= # Km²; F=Less than #; G=More than #; A=Yes; B=No; C=Partially; D=Planned; X= Unknown; Y=Not Relevant</p> |
| <p>8.6 Additional information: If the information is available please indicate the % of change in the extent of wetlands over the last three years.</p> | <p>0.004%</p> |

Target 9. *The wise use of wetlands is strengthened through integrated resource management at the appropriate scale, inter alia, within a river basin or along a coastal zone {1.3.}.*

| COP13 REPORT | |
|---|----------|
| <p>9.1 Is a Wetland Policy (or equivalent instrument) that promotes the wise use of wetlands in place? {1.3.1} KRA 1.3.i (If 'Yes', please give the title and date of the policy in the green text box)</p> | <p>A</p> |
| <p>9.1 Additional information: It was developed in 1995 and is currently under review</p> | |

| | |
|--|---|
| <p>9.2 Have any amendments to existing legislation been made to reflect Ramsar commitments? {1.3.5}{1.3.6}</p> | <p>A</p> <p>A=Yes; B=No; C=In Progress; D=Planned</p> |
| <p>9.2 Additional information: Most commitments have been integrated in the current policy under review.</p> | |

| | |
|--|---|
| | |
| 9.3 Do your country's water governance and management systems treat wetlands as natural water infrastructure integral to water resource management at the scale of river basins? {1.7.1} {1.7.2} KRA 1.7.ii | A A=Yes; B=No; D=Planned |
| 9.3 Additional information: Water resource management and use is currently undertaken through an integrated approach. | |
| 9.4 Have Communication, Education, Participation and Awareness (CEPA) expertise and tools been incorporated into catchment/river basin planning and management (see Resolution X.19)? {1.7.2}{1.7.3} | A A=Yes; B=No; D=Planned |
| 9.4 Additional information: Catchment based management communication strategy has been developed. | |
| 9.5 Has your country established policies or guidelines for enhancing the role of wetlands in mitigating or adapting to climate change? {1.7.3} {1.7.5} KRA 1.7.iii | A A=Yes; B=No; C=Partially; D=Planned |
| 9.5 Additional information: A climate change policy and strategy are available and both documents, wetlands have been prioritised among the key ecosystems that will enhance community resilience against climate related impacts. | |
| 9.6 Has your country formulated plans or projects to sustain and enhance the role of wetlands in supporting and maintaining viable farming systems? {1.7.4} {1.7.6} KRA 1.7.v | A A=Yes; B=No; C=Partially; D=Planned |
| 9.6 Additional information: Proposal for restoration of wetlands to enhance community resilience against climate change impacts was submitted to GCF and funds have been approved to that effect. | |
| 9.7 Has research to inform wetland policies and plans been undertaken in your country on: a) agriculture-wetland interactions b) climate change c) valuation of ecosystem services {1.6.1} KRA 1.6.i | A=Yes; B=No; D=Planned a)-A b)-A c)-A |

9.7 Additional information: Though partnerships and collaboration a number of studies have been conducted to that effect and reports are available on request.

| | |
|--|--|
| <p>9.8 Has your country submitted a request for Wetland City Accreditation of the Ramsar Convention, Resolution XII.10 ?</p> | <p>D A=Yes; B=No; C=Partially; D=Planned</p> |
|--|--|

9.8 Additional information: (If 'Yes', please indicate How many request have been submitted):

Only Masaka town which hosts Nabajjuzi Ramsar Site

Target 10. *The traditional knowledge innovations and practices of indigenous peoples and local communities relevant for the wise use of wetlands and their customary use of wetland resources, are documented, respected, subject to national legislation and relevant international obligations and fully integrated and reflected in the implementation of the Convention with a full and effective participation of indigenous and local communities at all relevant levels.*

COP13 REPORT

| | |
|--|---|
| <p>10.1 Have the guiding principles for taking into account the cultural values of wetlands including traditional knowledge for the effective management of sites (Resolution VIII.19) been used or applied?.(Action 6.1.2/ 6.1.6)</p> | <p>A A=Yes; B=No; C=In Preparation; C1= Partially; D= Planned; X= Unknown; Y=Not Relevant</p> |
|--|---|

10.1 Additional information: Was applied in Sngo-Bay and Nabugabo wetland systems.

| | |
|---|---|
| <p>10.2 Have case studies, participation in projects or successful experiences on cultural aspects of wetlands been compiled. Resolution VIII.19 and Resolution IX.21? (Action 6.1.6)</p> | <p>A A=Yes; B=No; C=In Preparation; D=Planned</p> |
|---|---|

10.2 Additional information: (If yes please indicate the case studies or projects documenting information and experiences concerning culture and wetlands). Flora and Fauna International (FFI) is currently undertaking this initiative.

| | |
|--|---|
| <p>10.3 Have the guidelines for establishing and strengthening local communities' and indigenous people's participation in the management of wetlands been used or applied. (Resolution VII. 8) (Action 6.1.5)</p> | <p>A A=Yes; B=No; C=In Preparation; D=Planned</p> |
|--|---|

10.3 Additional information: (If the answer is "yes" please indicate the use or application of the guidelines) FFI is undertaking this initiative around Mabamba and Sango-Bay Ramsar sites.

| | |
|---|---|
| <p>10.4 Traditional knowledge and management practices relevant for the wise use of wetlands have been documented and their application encouraged (Action 6.1.2)</p> <p>10.4 Additional information: FFI has documented a number of these practices</p> | <p>A</p> <p>A=Yes; B=No; C=In Preparation; D=Planned</p> |
|---|---|

Target 11. Wetland functions, services and benefits are widely demonstrated, documented and disseminated. {1.4.}

| COP13 REPORT | | A |
|---|--|--|
| 11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by Ramsar Sites and other wetlands? {1.4.1} KRA 1.4.ii | | <p>A=Yes; B=No; C=In Preparation; C1=Partially; D=Planned; X=Unknown; Y=Not Relevant</p> |

11.1 Additional information: (If 'Yes' or 'Partially', please indicate, how many Ramsar Sites and their names): This has been carried out in more than 5 Ramsar sites in the country.

| | | A |
|---|--|---|
| 11.2 Have wetland programmes or projects that contribute to poverty alleviation objectives or food and water security plans been implemented? {1.4.2} KRA 1.4.i | | <p>A=Yes; B=No; C=Partially; D=Planned; X=Unknown; Y=Not Relevant</p> |

11.2 Additional information: A wetland and food security project was implemented in partnership with the IDRC, Makerere University and Wetlands Management Department.

| | | A |
|--|--|--|
| 11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for Ramsar Sites and other wetlands? {1.4.3}{1.4.4} KRA 1.4.iii | | <p>A=Yes; B=No; C=Partially; D=Planned</p> |

11.3 Additional information (If 'Yes' or 'Partially', please indicate, if known, how many Ramsar Sites and their names): 6 Ramsar sites (Bisina, Opeta, Nabugabo, Lake Mburo, Nabugabo and Mabamba).

| | |
|---|---|
| <p>11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for Ramsar Sites and other wetlands? {1.4.3}{1.4.4} KRA 1.4.iii</p> <p>11.4 Additional information (If 'Yes' or 'Partially', please indicate, if known, how many Ramsar Sites and their names): Mabamba, Sango-Bay and Nabugabo</p> | <p>A</p> <p>A=Yes; B=No; C=Partially; D=Planned</p> |
|---|---|

Target 12. Restoration is in progress in degraded wetlands, with priority to wetlands that are relevant for biodiversity conservation, disaster risk reduction, livelihoods and/or climate change mitigation and adaptation. {1.8.}

| COP13 REPORT | |
|--|---|
| <p>12.1 Have priority sites for wetland restoration been identified? {1.8.1} KRA 1.8.i</p> <p>12.1 Additional information: Over 20 sites have been identified in Eastern, Western and Central Uganda for designation as Ramsar sites if resources are available.</p> | <p>A</p> <p>A=Yes; B=No; C=Partially; D=Planned; X=Unknown; Y=Not Relevant</p> |

| <p>12.2 Have wetland restoration/rehabilitation programmes, plans or projects been effectively implemented? {1.8.2} KRA 1.8.i</p> <p>12.2 Additional information: (If 'Yes' or 'Partially', please indicate, if available the extent of wetlands restored): Due to inadequate resources both human and financial, , some of the plans are still pending.</p> | <p>A</p> <p>A=Yes; B=No; C=Partially; D=Planned; X=Unknown; Y=Not Relevant</p> |
|---|---|

Target 13. Enhanced sustainability of key sectors such as water, energy, mining, agriculture, tourism, urban development, infrastructure, industry, forestry, aquaculture and fisheries when they affect wetlands, contributing to biodiversity conservation and human livelihoods

| COP13 REPORT | |
|---|--|
| <p>13.1 Have actions been taken to enhance sustainability of key sectors such as water, energy, mining, agriculture, tourism, urban development, infrastructure, industry, forestry, aquaculture and fisheries when they affect wetlands?</p> | <p>A</p> <p>A=Yes; B=No; D=Planned</p> |

13.1. Additional information: (If 'Yes', please indicate the actions taken):

Emphasizing the use of tools like EIAs to guide development activities in Ramsar sites.

| | |
|---|--|
| 13.2 Are Strategic Environmental Assessment practices applied when reviewing policies, programmes and plans that may impact upon wetlands? {1.3.3} {1.3.4} KRA 1.3.ii | A A=Yes; B=No; C=Partially; D=Planned |
|---|--|

13.2 Additional information: This tool is being used to guide all developments in Wetlands.

| | |
|--|-----------------------------------|
| 13.3 Are Environmental Impact Assessments made for any development projects (such as new buildings, new roads, extractive industry) from key sectors such as water, energy, mining, agriculture, tourism, urban development, infrastructure, industry, forestry, aquaculture and fisheries that may affect wetlands? {1.3.4} {1.3.5} KRA 1.3.iii | A A=Yes; B=No; C=Some Cases |
|--|-----------------------------------|

13.3 Additional information: This is a mandatory requirement for every development

GOAL 4. Enhancing implementation

Target 15. Ramsar Regional Initiatives with the active involvement and support of the Parties in each region are reinforced and developed into effective tools to assist in the full implementation of the Convention. {3.2.}

COP13 REPORT

| | |
|--|--------------------------------|
| 15.1 Have you (AA) been involved in the development and implementation of a Regional Initiative under the framework of the Convention? {3.2.1} KRA 3.2.i | A A=Yes; B=No; D=Planned |
|--|--------------------------------|

15.1 Additional information (If 'Yes' or 'Planned', please indicate the regional initiative(s) and the collaborating countries of each initiative): Ramsar Centre for Eastern Africa

| | |
|--|--------------------------------|
| 15.2 Has your country supported or participated in the development of other regional (i.e., covering more than one country) wetland training and research centres? {3.2.2} | A A=Yes; B=No; D=Planned |
|--|--------------------------------|

15.2 Additional information (If 'Yes', please indicate the name(s) of the centre(s):
East African states

Target 16. Wetlands conservation and wise use are mainstreamed through communication, capacity development, education, participation and awareness {4.1}.

COP13 REPORT

16.1 Has an action plan (or plans) for wetland CEPA been established? {4.1.1} KRA 4.1.i

- a) At the national level
- b) Sub-national level
- c) Catchment/basin level
- d) Local/site level

(Even if no CEPA plans have been developed, if broad CEPA objectives for CEPA actions have been established below)

16.1 Additional information (If 'Yes' or 'In progress' to one or more of the four questions above, for each please de

| | |
|--|--|
| 16.2 How many centres (visitor centres, interpretation centres, education centres) have been established? {4.1.2} KRA 4.1.ii | E= # centres; F=Less than #; G=More than #; C= Partially; X=Unknown; y=Not Relevant; |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) at Ramsar Sites b) at other wetlands | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) -3 b) -2 |

16.2 Additional information (If centres are part of national or international networks, please describe the networks): Still at local level.

16.3 Does the Contracting Party:

- a) promote stakeholder participation in decision-making on wetland planning and management
- b) specifically involve local stakeholders in the selection of new Ramsar Sites and in Ramsar Site management?

{4.1.3} KRA 4.1.iii

A=Yes; B=No;
C=Partially;
D=Planned

- a)-A
- b)-A

16.3 Additional information (If 'Yes' or 'Partially', please provide information about the ways in which stakeholders are involved): Though community based management planning, Local government engagement, wetland user associations and NGO partnerships.

16.4 Do you have an operational cross-sectoral National Ramsar/Wetlands Committee? {4.1.6} KRA 4.3.v

A

A=Yes; B=No; C=Partially; D=Planned;
X=Unknown; Y=Not Relevant

16.4 Additional information (If 'Yes', indicate a) its membership; b) number of meetings since COP12; and c) what responsibilities the Committee has): Membership is composed of key

sectors including Forestry, Agriculture, Tourism, Foreign Affairs, Finance, Wildlife, Water etc. The committee has about 20 members and sits twice a year but in case of an emerging issue, it can have an extra ordinary meeting.

| | |
|--|---|
| <p>16.5 Do you have an operational cross-sectoral body equivalent to a National Ramsar/Wetlands Committee? {4.1.6} KRA 4.3.v</p> <p>16.5 Additional information (If 'Yes', indicate a) its membership; b) number of meetings since COP12; and c) what responsibilities the Committee has): There is only one committee called the Wetland Advisory Group (WAG)</p> | <p>A</p> <p>A=Yes; B=No; C=Partially; D=Planned; X=Unknown; Y=Not Relevant</p> |
|--|---|

| | |
|---|--|
| <p>16.6 Are other communication mechanisms (apart from a national committee) in place to share Ramsar implementation guidelines and other information between the Administrative Authority and:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Ramsar Site managers b) other MEA national focal points c) other ministries, departments and agencies <p>{4.1.7} KRA 4.1.vi</p> <p>16.6 Additional information (If 'Yes' or 'Partially', please describe what mechanisms are in place): Through meetings</p> | <p>A=Yes; B=No; C=Partially; D=Planned</p> <p>a)-A b)-A c)-A</p> |
|---|--|

| | |
|---|-----------------------------|
| <p>16.7 Have Ramsar-branded World Wetlands Day activities (whether on 2 February or at another time of year), either government and NGO-led or both, been carried out in the country since COP12? {4.1.8}</p> <p>16.7 Additional information: WWD is celebrated every year and a number of activities aiming at raising the profile of wetlands are undertaken.</p> | <p>A</p> <p>A=Yes; B=No</p> |
|---|-----------------------------|

| | |
|--|---|
| <p>16.8 Have campaigns, programmes, and projects (other than for World Wetlands Day-related activities) been carried out since COP12 to raise awareness of the importance of wetlands to people and wildlife and the ecosystem benefits/services provided by wetlands? {4.1.9}</p> <p>16.8 Additional information (If these and other CEPA activities have been undertaken by other organizations, please indicate this): Participating in other conservation days, regular databases, articles in papers etc.</p> | <p>A</p> <p>A=Yes; B=No; D=Planned</p> |
|--|---|

Target 17. Financial and other resources for effectively implementing the fourth Ramsar Strategic Plan 2016 – 2024 from all sources are made available. {4.2.}

COP13 REPORT

17.1

a) Have Ramsar contributions been paid in full for 2015, 2016 and 2017? {4.2.1} KRA 4.2.i

A

A=Yes; B=No; Z=Not Applicable

b) If 'No' in 17.1 a), please clarify what plan is in place to ensure future prompt payment:

17.2 Has any additional financial support been provided through voluntary contributions to non-core funded Convention activities? {4.2.2} KRA 4.2.i

B

A=Yes; B=No

17.2 Additional information (If 'Yes' please state the amounts, and for which activities):

The voluntary contribution is being processed to cover arrears of 2016 and 2017

17.3 [For Contracting Parties with a development assistance agency only ('donor countries')]: Has the agency provided funding to support wetland conservation and management in other countries? {3.3.1} KRA 3.3.i

Z

A=Yes; B=No; Z=Not Applicable

17.3 Additional information (If 'Yes', please indicate the countries supported since COP12):

17.4 [For Contracting Parties with a development assistance agency only ('donor countries')]: Have environmental safeguards and assessments been included in development proposals proposed by the agency? {3.3.2} KRA 3.3.ii

Z

A=Yes; B=No; C= Partially; X= Unknown; Y=Not Relevant; Z=Not Applicable

17.4 Additional information: NA

17.5 [For Contracting Parties that have received development assistance only ('recipient countries')]: Has funding support been received from development assistance agencies specifically for in-country wetland conservation and management? {3.3.3}

A

A=Yes; B=No; Z=Not Applicable

17.5 Additional information (If 'Yes', please indicate from which countries/agencies since COP12):
From JICA, WB, MacArthur Foundation, UNDP and Green Climate Fund

17.6 Has any financial support been provided by your country to the implementation of the Strategic Plan?

A

A=Yes; B=No; Z=Not Applicable

17.6 Additional information (If "Yes" please state the amounts, and for which activities):

USD 1,000,000 is allocated to wetland conservation activities every year

Target 18. International cooperation is strengthened at all levels {3.1}

| COP13 REPORT | |
|---|---|
| 18.1 Are the national focal points of other MEAs invited to participate in the National Ramsar/Wetland Committee? {3.1.1} {3.1.2} KRAs 3.1.i & 3.1.iv | A A=Yes; B=No; C=Partially; D=Planned |
| 18.1 Additional information: Focal points for various conventions are members of the WAG | |
| 18.2 Are mechanisms in place at the national level for collaboration between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the focal points of UN and other global and regional bodies and agencies (e.g. UNEP, UNDP, WHO, FAO, UNECE, ITTO)? {3.1.2} {3.1.3} KRA 3.1.iv | A A=Yes; B=No; C=Partially; D=Planned |
| 18.2 Additional information: A number of projects have been implemented in partnership | |
| 18.3 Has your country received assistance from one or more UN and other global and regional bodies and agencies (e.g. UNEP, UNDP, WHO, FAO, UNECE, ITTO) or the Convention's IOPs in its implementation of the Convention? {4.4.1} KRA 4.4.ii. The IOPs are: BirdLife International, the International Water Management Institute (IWMI), IUCN (International Union for Conservation of Nature), Wetlands International, WWF and Wildfowl & Wetland Trust (WWT). | A A=Yes; B=No; C=Partially; D=Planned; X=Unknown; Y=Not Relevant |
| 18.3 Additional information (If 'Yes' please name the agency (es) or IOP (s) and the type of assistance received): UNDP, Support to policy and institutional development WB, restoration of river banks and swamp forests GIZ, development framework plan for Awoja wetland system UNEP, Production of the wetlands atlas FAO; Institutional strengthening Wetlands International – review of national wetlands policy and fo of bill IUCN – EBA and landscape restoration | |
| 18.4 Have networks, including twinning arrangements, been established, nationally or internationally, for knowledge sharing and training for wetlands that share common features? {3.4.1} | A A=Yes; B=No; C=Partially; D=Planned |

18.4 Additional information (If 'Yes' or 'Partially', please indicate the networks and wetlands involved): Ramsar Centre for Eastern Africa

| | |
|--|--|
| 18.5 Has information about your country's wetlands and/or Ramsar Sites and their status been made public (e.g., through publications or a website)? {3.4.2} KRA 3.4.iv | A A=Yes; B=No; C=Partially; D=Planned |
|--|--|

18.5 Additional information: This has been an ongoing process through a number of channels

| | |
|---|--|
| 18.6 Has information about your country's wetlands and/or Ramsar Sites been transmitted to the Ramsar Secretariat for dissemination? {3.4.3} KRA 3.4.ii | C A=Yes; B=No; C=Partially; D=Planned |
|---|--|

18.6 Additional information: Little has so far been shared but efforts are being put in place to share more information.

| | |
|---|--|
| 18.7 Have all trans boundary wetland systems been identified? {3.5.1} KRA 3.5.i | A A=Yes; B=No; D=Planned; Z=Not Applicable |
|---|--|

18.7 Additional information: Most of them have even management plan though sometimes covering on country.

| | |
|--|--|
| 18.8 Is effective cooperative management in place for shared wetland systems (for example, in shared river basins and coastal zones)? {3.5.2} KRA 3.5.ii | C A=Yes; B=No; C=Partially; D=Planned; Y=Not Relevant |
|--|--|

18.8 Additional information (If 'Yes' or 'Partially', please indicate for which wetland systems such management is in place): There are no legal agreements/documents between Uganda, Kenya, Tanzania and Democratic republic of Congo.

| | |
|---|--|
| 18.9 Does your country participate in regional networks or initiatives for wetland-dependent migratory species? {3.5.3} KRA 3.5.iii | A A=Yes; B=No; D=Planned; Z=Not Applicable |
|---|--|

18.9 Additional information: Uganda is a member of AEWA

Target 19. Capacity building for implementation of the Convention and the 4th Ramsar Strategic Plan 2016 – 2024 is enhanced.

COP13 REPORT

19.1 Has an assessment of national and local training needs for the implementation of the Convention been made?

19.1 Additional information: The assessment has been done at both national and local levels across institutions.

19.2 Are wetland conservation and wise-use issues included in formal education programmes? {4.1.5} KRA 4.1.iv

A

A=Yes; B=No;
C=Partially;
D=Planned

19.2 Additional information: If you answer yes to the above please provide information on which mechanisms and materials Wetland conservation issues are part of the curriculums right from primary up to university level.

19.3 How many opportunities for wetland site manager training have been provided since COP12? {4.1.5} KRA 4.1.iv
a) at Ramsar Sites
b) at other wetlands

- a) More than 3
- b) Whenever need arises

E=# opportunities;
F=Less than #; G=More than #; C=Partially; X=Unknown; Y=Not Relevant

19.3 Additional information (including whether the Ramsar Wise Use Handbooks were used in the training): The hand books are normally referred to while developing the training materials

19.4 Have you (AA) used your previous Ramsar National Reports in monitoring implementation of the Convention? {4.3.1} KRA 4.3.ii

A

A=Yes; B=No;
D=Planned; Z=Not Applicable

19.4 Additional information (If 'Yes', please indicate how the Reports have been used for monitoring management of Ramsar sites and other wetlands in the country.)

Section 4. Optional annex to allow any Contracting Party that has developed national targets to provide information on those

Goal 1. Addressing the drivers of wetland loss and degradation

Target 1. Wetland benefits are featured in national/ local policy strategies and plans relating to key sectors such as water, energy, mining, agriculture, tourism, urban development, infrastructure, industry, forestry, aquaculture, fisheries at the national and local level. Contributes to Aichi Target 2

Planning of National Targets

| | |
|---|--|
| Priority of the target: C | A= High; B= Medium; C= Low; D= Not relevant; E= No answer |
| Resourcing:D | A= Good; B= Adequate; C= Limiting; D= Severely limiting; E= No answer |
| National Targets (Text Answer): | Wetland coverage increased from the current 8% to 13% by 2020 |
| Planned Activities (Text Answer): | Restoration of degraded wetland areas Demarcation of wetland boundaries |
| Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals | Demarcated and secured 1288.1 Km of critical wetlands in several district Local Governments and support 9 Community based wise Livelihood Initiatives |
| Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018 | 1399.3 hectares (ha) of degraded wetlands restored; and developed 2 Framework wetland management plans, 11 Community Based wetland Management plans, 12 District Wetland Action Plans and 101 sub county Wetland Action Plans in different local Governments |

Additional information:

Target 2. Water use respects wetland ecosystem needs for them to fulfil their functions and provide services at the appropriate scale inter alia at the basin level or along a coastal zone. Contributes to Aichi Targets 7 and 8 and Sustainable Development Goal 6.3.1

Planning of National Targets

| | |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Priority of the target: A | A= High; B= Medium; C= Low; D= Not relevant; E= No answer |
| Resourcing: B | A= Good; B= Adequate; C= Limiting; D= Severely limiting; E= No answer |
| National Targets (Text Answer): | Protection of the 9 wetland drainage basin |
| Planned Activities (Text Answer): | Development of 9 water catchment management plans |

Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals

Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018

Additional information:

Target 3. Public and private sectors have increased their efforts to apply guidelines and good practices for the wise use of water and wetlands. {1.10}. Contributes to Aichi Targets 3, 4, 7 and 8.

Planning of National Targets

| | |
|--|---|
| Priority of the target: C | A= High; B= Medium; C= Low; D= Not relevant; E= No answer |
| Resourcing: C | A= Good; B= Adequate; C= Limiting; D= Severely limiting; E= No answer |
| National Targets (Text Answer): | At least 50 private companies and individuals are trained in wetland compliance |
| Planned Activities (Text Answer): | |
| Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals | Only two companies were trained |
| Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018 | |

Additional information:

Target 4. Invasive alien species and pathways of introduction and expansion are identified and prioritized, priority invasive alien species are controlled or eradicated, and management responses are prepared and implemented to prevent their introduction and establishment. Contributes to Aichi Target 9.

Planning of National Targets

| | |
|--|---|
| Priority of the target: B | A= High; B= Medium; C= Low; D= Not relevant; E= No answer |
| Resourcing: D | A= Good; B= Adequate; C= Limiting; D= Severely limiting; E= No answer |
| National Targets (Text Answer): | 12 Ramsar site |
| Planned Activities (Text Answer): | Identify and document the various invasive species per site |
| Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals | Lake Mburo Nakivale and opeta were covered |
| <p>Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018</p> | |
| <p>Additional information:</p> | |

Goal 2. Effectively conserving and managing the Ramsar Site network

Target 5. *The ecological character of Ramsar Sites is maintained or restored through effective, planning and integrated management {2.1.}. Contributes to Aichi Target 6,11, 12.*

Planning of National Targets

| | |
|--|---|
| Priority of the target: A | A= High; B= Medium; C= Low; D= Not relevant; E= No answer |
| Resourcing: C | A= Good; B= Adequate; C= Limiting; D= Severely limiting; E= No answer |
| National Targets (Text Answer): | 12 Ramsar sites |
| Planned Activities (Text Answer): | Assess the ecological character and set indicators of 12 ramsar sites |
| Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals | Only 2 ramsar sites were covered |

Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018

Additional information:

Target 7. *Sites that are at risk of change of ecological character have threats addressed {2.6}. Contributes to Aichi Targets 5, 7, 11, 12*

Planning of National Targets

| | |
|--|---|
| Priority of the target: A | A= High; B= Medium; C= Low; D= Not relevant; E= No answer |
| Resourcing: D | A= Good; B= Adequate; C= Limiting; D= Severely limiting; E= No answer |
| National Targets (Text Answer): | 3 Sites |
| Planned Activities (Text Answer): | Ramsar Advisory Mission assess 3 sites of George, Lutembe and Murchison |
| Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals | Activity still pending |

Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018

Additional information:

Goal 3. Wisely Using All Wetlands

Target 8. *National wetland inventories have been either initiated, completed or updated and disseminated and used for promoting the conservation and effective management of all wetlands {1.1.1} KRA 1.1.i. Contributes to Aichi Targets 12, 14, 18, 19.*

Planning of National Targets

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Priority of the target: A | A= High; B= Medium; C= Low; D= Not relevant; E= No answer |
|----------------------------------|---|

| | |
|--|---|
| Resourcing: C | A= Good; B= Adequate; C= Limiting; D= Severely limiting; E= No answer |
| National Targets (Text Answer): | Update wetland inventories in 112 districts |
| Planned Activities (Text Answer): | Conduct 20 wetland inventories per year |
| Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals | Only 5 districts were covered |
| <p>Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018</p> | |
| <p>Additional information:</p> | |

Target 9. *The wise use of wetlands is strengthened through integrated resource management at the appropriate scale, inter alia, within a river basin or along a coastal zone {1.3.}. Contributes to Aichi Targets 4, 6, 7.*

Planning of National Targets

| | |
|--|--|
| Priority of the target: A | A= High; B= Medium; C= Low; D= Not relevant; E= No answer |
| Resourcing: | C A= Good; B= Adequate; C= Limiting; D= Severely limiting; E= No answer |
| National Targets (Text Answer): | Promote integrated catchment management |
| Planned Activities (Text Answer): | Pilot 20 wise use initiatives in Eastern Uganda |
| Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals | 9 Wise use initiatives were piloted in 9 districts |
| <p>Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018</p> | |

Additional information:

Target 10. *The traditional knowledge innovations and practices of indigenous peoples and local communities relevant for the wise use of wetlands and their customary use of wetland resources, are documented, respected, subject to national legislation and relevant international obligations and fully integrated and reflected in the implementation of the Convention with a full and effective participation of indigenous and local communities at all relevant levels. Contributes to Aichi Target 18.*

Planning of National Targets

| | |
|--|---|
| Priority of the target: A | A= High; B= Medium; C= Low; D= Not relevant; E= No answer |
| Resourcing: C | A= Good; B= Adequate; C= Limiting; D= Severely limiting; E= No answer |
| National Targets (Text Answer): | Indigenous knowledge innovations supported in 4 critical wetlands |
| Planned Activities (Text Answer): | Identify, document and support indigenous knowledge initiatives. |
| Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals | Documented on 2 sites |
| Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018 | |

Additional information:

Target 11. *Wetland functions, services and benefits are widely demonstrated, documented and disseminated. {1.4.}. Contributes to Aichi Targets 1, 2, 13, 14.*

Planning of National Targets

| | |
|--|---|
| Priority of the target: A | A= High; B= Medium; C= Low; D= Not relevant; E= No answer |
| Resourcing:C | |
| National Targets (Text Answer): | 1 Documentary on wetland functions and benefits |
| Planned Activities (Text Answer): | |

Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals

The process is still ongoing

Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018

Additional information

Target 12. Restoration is in progress in degraded wetlands, with priority to wetlands that are relevant for biodiversity conservation, disaster risk reduction, livelihoods and/or climate change mitigation and adaptation. {1.8.}. Contributes to Aichi Targets 14 and 15.

Planning of National Targets

| | |
|--|---|
| Priority of the target : A | A= High; B= Medium; C= Low; D= Not relevant; E= No answer |
| Resourcing: C | A= Good; B= Adequate; C= Limiting; D= Severely limiting; E= No answer |
| National Targets (Text Answer): | 500 HA of degraded wetland areas to be restored every year |
| Planned Activities (Text Answer): | |
| Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals | 383 ha were restored |
| Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018 | |

Target 13. Enhanced sustainability of key sectors such as water, energy, mining, agriculture, tourism, urban development, infrastructure, industry, forestry, aquaculture and fisheries when they affect wetlands, contributing to biodiversity conservation and human livelihoods. Contributes to Aichi Targets 6 and 7.

Planning of National Targets

| | |
|--|---|
| Priority of the target: A | A= High; B= Medium; C= Low; D= Not relevant; E= No answer |
| Resourcing: C | A= Good; B= Adequate; C= Limiting; D= Severely limiting; E= No answer |
| National Targets (Text Answer): | Increased productivity in 5 key sectors; Fisheries, Energy, Agriculture and Water |
| Planned Activities (Text Answer): | Streamlining of wetland issues into five key sectors |
| Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals | Process was initiated |
| Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018 | |

Additional information

GOAL 4. Enhancing implementation

Target 15. Ramsar Regional Initiatives with the active involvement and support of the Parties in each region are reinforced and developed into effective tools to assist in the full implementation of the Convention. {3.2.}

Planning of National Targets

| | |
|--|---|
| Priority of the target: A | A= High; B= Medium; C= Low; D= Not relevant; E= No answer |
| Resourcing: C | A= Good; B= Adequate; C= Limiting; D= Severely limiting; E= No answer |
| National Targets (Text Answer): | Capacity of wetland management enhance in the 5 party states of East Africa |
| Planned Activities (Text Answer): | 3 Capacity building workshops |
| Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals | Only one wetland forum was undertaken |

Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018

Additional information

Target 16. Wetlands conservation and wise use are mainstreamed through communication, capacity development, education, participation and awareness {4.1}. Contributes to Aichi Target 1 and 18.

Planning of National Targets

| | |
|---|--|
| Priority of the target: A | A= High; B= Medium; C= Low; D= Not relevant; E= No answer |
| Resourcing: C | A= Good; B= Adequate; C= Limiting; D= Severely limiting; E= No answer |
| National Targets (Text Answer): | Wetland conservation activities streamlined in education curricula |
| Planned Activities (Text Answer): | Integrate wetland conservation activities in the primary education curricula |
| Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals | Wetland conservation issues appear in all curricula though not in detail |

Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018

Additional information

Target 17. Financial and other resources for effectively implementing the fourth Ramsar Strategic Plan 2016–2024 from all sources are made available. {4.2.} Contributes to Aichi Target 20.

Planning of National Targets

| | |
|---------------------------|---|
| Priority of the target: A | A= High; B= Medium; C= Low; D= Not relevant; E= No answer |
|---------------------------|---|

| | |
|--|--|
| Resourcing: D | A= Good; B= Adequate; C= Limiting; D= Severely limiting; E= No answer |
| National Targets (Text Answer): | USD 100 million available to support implementation of wetland management activities |
| Planned Activities (Text Answer): | Generate 5 bankable proposals to secure funding |
| Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals | USD 44 million have been secured |
| Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018 | |

Additional information

Target 18. International cooperation is strengthened at all levels {3.1}

Planning of National Targets

| | |
|--|---|
| Priority of the target: A | A= High; B= Medium; C= Low; D= Not relevant; E= No answer |
| Resourcing: C | A= Good; B= Adequate; C= Limiting; D= Severely limiting; E= No answer |
| National Targets (Text Answer): | Undertake 6 exchange visits to learn from good practices |
| Planned Activities (Text Answer): | An exchange visit to the Asian countries |
| Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals | Funds were inadequate |
| Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018 | |

Additional information

Target 19. Capacity building for implementation of the Convention and the 4th Ramsar Strategic Plan 2016 – 2024 is enhanced. Contributes to Aichi Targets 1 and 17.

Planning of National Targets

| | |
|---|---|
| Priority of the target: A | A= High; B= Medium; C= Low; D= Not relevant; E= No answer |
| Resourcing: C | A= Good; B= Adequate; C= Limiting; D= Severely limiting; E= No answer |
| National Targets (Text Answer): | At least 5 key institutions undergo capacity building |
| Planned Activities (Text Answer): | Conduct 3 training workshops per year |
| Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals | Two workshops were undertaken |
| Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018 | |

Additional information

Section 5: Optional annex to enable Contracting Parties to provide additional voluntary information on designated Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Sites)

Guidance for filling in this section

1. Contracting Parties can opt to provide additional information specific to any or all of their designated Ramsar Sites.
2. The only indicator questions included in this section are those from Section 3 of the COP13 NRF which directly concern Ramsar Sites.
3. In some cases, to make them meaningful in the context of reporting on each Ramsar Site separately, some of these indicator questions and/or their answer options have been adjusted from their formulation in Section 3 of the COP13 NRF.
4. Please include information on only one site in each row. In the appropriate columns please add the name and official site number (from the [Ramsar Sites Information Service](#)).
5. For each 'indicator question', please select one answer from the legend.
6. A final column of this Annex is provided as a 'free text' box for the inclusion of any additional information concerning the Ramsar Site.

Name of Contracting Party:

List of indicator questions:

- 5.7** Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
- 5.9** If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.
- 11.1** Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
- 11.3** Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
- 11.4** Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
- 16.3a** Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
- 16.6a** Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

| Ramsar Site number | Ramsar Site name | 5.7 ① | 5.9 ① | 11.1 ③ | 11.3 ④ | 11.4 ④ | 16.3a ① | 16.6a ① | Any comments/information about the site |
|--------------------|------------------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|------------|---|
| Ex:1603 | Lake Nabugabo | A - Yes | A - Yes 2013 | A - Yes | A - Yes | A- Yes | A-YES | A-YES | |
| | Lutembe Bay | A - Yes | A - Yes | A - Yes | A - Yes | A - Yes | A - Yes | A - Yes | A |
| | Mabamba Bay | A - Yes | A - Yes | A - Yes | A - Yes | A - Yes | A - Yes | A - Yes | A |
| | Lake Mburo | A - Yes | A - Yes | A - Yes | A - Yes | A - Yes | A - Yes | A - Yes | A |
| | Nabajjizi | A - Yes | A - Yes | A - Yes | A - Yes | A - Yes | A - Yes | A - Yes | A |
| | Opeta | A - Yes | A - Yes | A - Yes | A - Yes | A - Yes | A - Yes | A - Yes | A |
| | Bisina | A - Yes | A - Yes | A - Yes | A - Yes | A - Yes | A - Yes | A - Yes | A |
| | Nakuwa | A - Yes | A - Yes | A - Yes | A - Yes | A - Yes | A - Yes | A - Yes | A |
| | Rwenzori | A - Yes | A - Yes | A - Yes | A - Yes | A - Yes | A - Yes | A - Yes | A |
| | Sango Bay | A - Yes | A - Yes | A - Yes | A - Yes | A - Yes | A - Yes | A - Yes | A |
| | Lake George | A - Yes | A - Yes | A - Yes | A - Yes | A - Yes | A - Yes | A - Yes | A |
| | Murchison | A - Yes | A - Yes | A - Yes | A - Yes | A - Yes | A - Yes | A - Yes | A |

① A=Yes; B=No; D=Planned

③ A=Yes; B=No; C=Partially; D=Planned

④ A=Yes; B=No; C=Partially; Z=No Management Plan

