Ramsar National Report to COP13

COP13 National Report

Background information
1. The COP13 National Report Format (NRF) has been approved by the Standing Committee 52 for the Ramsar Convention’s Contracting Parties to complete as their national reporting to the 13th meeting of the Conference of the Contracting Parties of the Convention (United Arab Emirates, 2018).

2. The Standing Committee through Decision SC52-07 has also agreed that an online National Reporting format could be made available to Parties by keeping the off-line system and requested the Secretariat to present an evaluation for the next COP regarding the use of the on-line system.

3. The National Report Format is being issued by the Secretariat in 2016 to facilitate Contracting Parties’ implementation planning and preparations for completing the Report. The deadline for submission of national targets is by 30 November 2016 and the deadline for submission of completed National Reports is January 21st 2018.

4. Following Standing Committee discussions, this COP13 NRF closely follows that of the NRF used for COP12, to permit continuity of reporting and analysis of implementation progress by ensuring that indicator questions are as far as possible consistent with previous NRFS (and especially the COP12 NRF). It is also structured in terms of the Goals and Strategies of the 2016-2024 Ramsar Strategic Plan adopted at COP12 as Resolution XII.2.

5. This COP13 NRF includes 92 indicator questions. In addition, Section 4 is provided as an optional Annex in order to facilitate the task of preparing the Party’s National Targets and Actions for the implementation of each of the targets of the Strategic Plan 2016-2024 according to Resolution XII.2.

6. As was the case for previous NRF, the COP13 Format includes an optional section (Section 5) to permit a Contracting Party to provide additional information, on indicators relevant to each individual Wetland of International Importance (Ramsar Site) within its territory.

7. Note that, for the purposes of this national reporting to the Ramsar Convention, the scope of the term “wetland” is that of the Convention text, i.e. all inland wetlands (including lakes and rivers), all nearshore coastal wetlands (including tidal marshes, mangroves and coral reefs) and human-made wetlands (e.g. rice paddy and reservoirs), even if a national definition of “wetland” may differ from that adopted by the Contracting Parties to the Ramsar Convention.

The purposes and uses of national reporting to the Conference of the Contracting Parties

8. National Reports from Contracting Parties are official documents of the Convention and are made publicly available on the Convention’s website.

9. There are seven main purposes for the Convention’s National Reports. These are to:
   i) provide data and information on how, and to what extent, the Convention is being implemented
   ii) provide tools for countries for their national planning
   iii) capture lessons and experience to help Parties plan future action;
   iv) identify emerging issues and implementation challenges faced by Parties that may require further attention from the Conference of the Parties;
   v) provide a means for Parties to account for their commitments under the Convention;
   vi) provide each Party with a tool to help it assess and monitor its progress in implementing the Convention, and to plan its future priorities; and
   vii) provide an opportunity for Parties to draw attention to their achievements during the triennium.

10. The data and information provided by Parties in their National Reports have another valuable purpose as well, since a number of the indicators in the National Reports on Parties’ implementation provide key sources of information for the analysis and assessment of the “ecological outcome-oriented indicators of
effectiveness of the implementation of the Convention”.

11. To facilitate the analysis and subsequent use of the data and information provided by Contracting Parties in their National Reports, the Ramsar Secretariat holds in a database all the information it has received and verified. The COP13 reports will be in an online National Reporting system.

12. The Convention’s National Reports are used in a number of ways. These include:
   i) providing an opportunity to compile and analyze information that contracting parties can use to inform their national planning and programming.
   ii) providing the basis for reporting by the Secretariat to each meeting of the Conference of the Parties on the global, national and regional implementation, and the progress in implementation, of the Convention. This is provided to Parties at the COP as a series of Information Papers, including:
      * the Report of the Secretary General on the implementation of the Convention at the global level;
      * the Report of the Secretary General pursuant to Article 8.2 (b), (c), and (d) concerning the List of Wetlands of International Importance; and
   iii) providing information on specific implementation issues in support of the provision of advice and decisions by Parties at the COP.
   iv) providing the source data for time-series assessments of progress on specific aspects in the implementation of the Convention included in other Convention products. An example is the summary of progress since COP3 (Regina, 1997) in the development of National Wetland Policies, included as Table 1 in Ramsar Wise Use Handbook 2 (4th edition, 2010); and
   v) providing information for reporting to the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) on the national implementation of the CBD/Ramsar Joint Work Plan and the Ramsar Convention’s lead implementation role on wetlands for the CBD. In particular, the Ramsar Secretariat and STRP used the COP10 NRF indicators extensively in 2009 to prepare contributions to the in-depth review of the CBD programme of work on the biological diversity of inland water ecosystems for consideration by CBD SBSTTA14 and COP10 during 2010 (see UNEP/CBD/SBSTTA/14/3). Similar use of COP12 NRF indicators is anticipated for the CBD’s next such in-depth review.

The structure of the COP13 National Report Format
Section 1 provides the institutional information about the Administrative Authority and National Focal Points for the national implementation of the Convention.
Section 2 is a ‘free-text’ section in which the Party is invited to provide a summary of various aspects of national implementation progress and recommendations for the future.
Section 3 provides the 92 implementation indicator questions, grouped under each Convention implementation Goals and Targets in the Strategic Plan 2016-2024, and with an optional ‘free-text’ section under each indicator question in which the Contracting Party may, if it wishes, add further information on national implementation of that activity.
Section 4 is an optional annex to allow any Contracting Party that has developed national targets to provide information on the targets and actions for the implementation of each of the targets of the Strategic Plan 2016-2024.
In line with Resolution XII.2, which encourages Contracting Parties “to develop and submit to the Secretariat on or before December 2016, and according to their national priorities, capabilities and resources, their own quantifiable and time-bound national and regional targets in line with the targets set in the Strategic Plan”, all Parties are encouraged to consider using this comprehensive national planning tool as soon as possible, in order to identify the areas of highest priority for action and the relevant national targets and actions for each target.
The planning of national targets offers, for each of them, the possibility of indicating the national priority for that area of activity as well as the level of resourcing available, or that could be made available during the triennium, for its implementation. In addition, there are specific boxes to indicate the National Targets for implementation by 2018 and the planned national activities that are designed to deliver these targets. Ramsar Strategic Plan 2016-2024 shows the synergies between CBD Aichi Biodiversity Targets and Ramsar Targets. Therefore, the NRF provide an opportunity that Contracting Parties indicate as appropriate how the actions they undertake for the implementation of the Ramsar Convention contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets according to paragraph 51 of Resolution XII.3.
Section 5 is an optional annex to allow any Contracting Party that so wishes to provide additional information regarding any or all of its Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Sites).
General guidance for completing and submitting the COP13 National Report Format

All Sections of the COP13 NRF should be completed in one of the Convention’s official languages (English, French, Spanish).

The deadline for submission of the completed NRF is January 21st 2018. It will not be possible to include information from National Reports received after that date in the analysis and reporting on Convention implementation to COP13.

The deadline for submission of national targets is by 30 November 2016

To help Contracting Parties refer to relevant information they provided in their National Report to COP12, for each appropriate indicator a cross-reference is provided to the equivalent indicator(s) in the COP12 NRF or previous NRF, shown thus: \{x.x.x\}

For follow up and where appropriate, a cross-reference is also provided to the relevant Key Result Area (KRA) relating to Contracting Parties implementation in the Strategic Plan 2009-2015.

Only Strategic Plan 2016-2024 Targets for which there are implementation actions for Contracting Parties are included in this reporting format; those targets of the Strategic Plan that do not refer directly to Parties are omitted (e.g. targets 6 and 14).

For each indicator question you can choose only one answer. If you wish to provide further information or clarification, do so in the additional information box below the relevant indicator question. Please be as concise as possible (maximum of 500 words in each free-text box).

The NRF should ideally be completed by the principal compiler in consultation with relevant colleagues in their agency and others within the government and, as appropriate, with NGOs and other stakeholders who might have fuller knowledge of aspects of the Party’s overall implementation of the Convention. The principal compiler can save the document at any point and return to it later to continue or to amend answers. Compilers should refer back to the National Report submitted for COP12 to ensure the continuity and consistency of information provided.

If you have any questions or problems, please contact the Ramsar Secretariat for advice (nationalreports@ramsar.org).
Section 1: Institutional Information

**Important note:** the responses below will be considered by the Ramsar Secretariat as the definitive list of your focal points, and will be used to update the information it holds. The Secretariat’s current information about your focal points is available at http://www.ramsar.org/search-contact.

**Name of Contracting Party**

The completed National Report must be accompanied by a letter in the name of the Head of Administrative Authority, confirming that this is the Contracting Party’s official submission of its COP13 National Report. It can be attached to this question using the "Manage documents" function (blue symbol below)

- Sweden

You have attached the following documents to this answer.


**Designated Ramsar Administrative Authority**

**Name of Administrative Authority**

- Swedish Environmental Protection Agency

**Head of Administrative Authority - name and title**

- Claes Svedlindh, Director for the Nature Department (Acting as Head of the AA in Ramsar issues)

**Mailing address**

- 106 48 Stockholm, Sweden

**Telephone/Fax**

- +46 10 698 14 99 / +46 10 698 16 00

**Email**

- claes.svedlindh@naturvardsverket.se

**Designated National Focal Point for Ramsar Convention Matters**

**Name and title**

- Jenny Lonnstad, Advisor

**Mailing address**

- 106 48 Stockholm, Sweden

**Telephone/Fax**

- +46 10 698 15 92 / +46 10 698 16 00

**Email**

- Jenny.Lonnstad@naturvardsverket.se

**Designated National Focal Point for Matters Relating to The Scientific and Technical Review Panel (STRP)**

**Name and title**

- Eddie von Wachenfeldt, Wetland Habitat Advisor

**Name of organisation**

- The Swedish Species Information Centre

**Mailing address**

- Box 7007, 750 07 Uppsala, Sweden

**Telephone/Fax**

- +46 18 67 22 41 / +46 18 67 20 00

**Email**

- Eddie.vonwachenfeldt@slu.se

**Designated Government National Focal Point for Matters Relating to The Programme on Communication, Education, Participation and Awareness (CEPA)**
Name and title
› Jenny Lonnstad, Advisor

Name of organisation
› Swedish Environmental Protection Agency

Mailing address
› 106 48 Stockholm, Sweden

Telephone/Fax
› +46 10 698 15 92 / +46 10 698 16 00

Email
› Jenny.Lonnstad@naturvardsverket.se

**Designated Non-Government National Focal Point for Matters Relating to The Programme on Communication, Education, Participation and Awareness (CEPA)**

Name and title
› Mattias de Woul, Expert Freshwater & Arctic

Name of organisation
› WWF-Sweden

Mailing address
› Ulriksdals Slott, 170 81 Solna, Sweden

Telephone/Fax
› +46 8 546 57 13 / +46 8 85 13 29

Email
› Mattias.dewoul@wwf.se
Section 2: General summary of national implementation progress and challenges

In your country, in the past triennium (i.e., since COP12 reporting)

A. What have been the five most successful aspects of implementation of the Convention?

1) In 2015, there was a governmental decision about new and corrected border for the existing Ramsar sites as well as establishing two new Ramsar sites. Now Sweden has 68 Ramsar sites.

2) All Swedish RIS for the Ramsar sites have been updated. Not all of them are approved yet, but at least there is a first version submitted to the Ramsar Secretariat for every site.

3) There have recently been decisions made that will improve the possibility to do restoration measures the next coming year. If the parliament approves the proposed national budget there will be about 20 000 000 Euro for restoration of wetlands 2018. Sweden has also got EU funds for a 8 year long Life IP on restoration of wetlands in the landscape.

4) In 2012-2014 there was two governmental investigations about how to improve the legislation about water regimes affected by dams and dykes etc. Since then there have been on-going work to write a bill to the parliament. The parliament will handle and hopefully decide on the bill of new legislation during spring 2018.

5) The SFA (Swedish Forestry Agency) has made a number of “targets for environmental care in the forestry”. Those targets include taking care to water courses and wetlands. The SFA have had a number on education and information measures about these targets.

B. What have been the five greatest difficulties in implementing the Convention?

1) One of the main difficulties is insufficient funds for measures. Protecting wetlands is time-consuming and dependent on long-term work and sustainable financing. The possibilities (conditions and budget) to do measures in wetlands co-financed by the Swedish Rural Development Programme was stopped during part of the period. Threats against wetlands are often considered to be smaller compared to natural forests and most national resources for nature conservation are therefore mainly used to protect forests.

2) Achieving wise use, (sustainable use of wetlands and their ecosystem services) and favourable conservation status, is an on-going challenge. Since the last report, there have been a number of studies comparing older data from the monitoring programmes and inventories with new data. These studies show that the ecological status of wet forests, peatland, and natural springs are changing in a bad way and that there is a decrease in the area of wet forests and the number of springs.

3) Impacts from climate change is starting to be a problem and its very hard to deal with. In 2016 there was a draft in parts of the country having a large impact on ground water levels, the amount of water in water courses and other wetlands. Some of the studies mentioned above in answer 2 recognised that changes are now also taking place in areas with no anthropogenically physical/hydrological impact. In the south of Sweden this was expected due to nitrogen deposition, but the changes in the north are probably connected with the climate change.

4) There have only a few court cases trying to make water regimes more natural with the existing legislation. The authorities don’t have the resources to check the legislative compliance for conditions in the existing permits. There are examples where water levels aren’t in line with existing permits, even some in protected areas. The lack of natural water regimes affects both the water course and the surrounded area that under natural conditions should be flooded regularly.

5) Most nature reserves include freshwater habitats, there are however, needs to investigate the reserves.
further for aquatic values and subsequently strengthen regulations. Sweden has a very long coastline and even though marine protection has increased, the work is going slowly. Better knowledge of the marine areas is required.

C. What are the five priorities for future implementation of the Convention?

1) Consideration for the wetlands, rivers, lakes and coastal areas in conjunction with the use of land adjacent to wetlands need to get better. Two main threats are intensive forest management and ditch cleaning in management of arable land and forests. The most important driving forces are increased demand for wood/biomass and the risk that crops will be damaged by too wet soils.

2) In 2018-2020 there will be new funds for restoration of wetlands. There is ongoing work on how to best use these funds and it will have to be implemented.

3) How to cope with a changed climate and the effect it will have on the distribution of water in the landscape, and causing overgrowing with bushed and trees.

4) There is a big need in Sweden to make new and modern permits that include environmental conditions for a number of hydroelectrical power plants (dams etc) built in watercourses before there was any environmental legislation. New permits may include measures that reduce the environmental impact of hydropower without hazarding the production of energy needed to meet future energy demands. Continued work to eliminate obstacles in order to let fish and other aquatic organisms migrate freely along the water courses is needed. If the parliament decides on new legislation for water regimes, a number of old permits have to be revised, which will demand a lot of work.

5) There is on-going work with quality assurance about the borders and data about the Ramsar sites. This is planned to be finalised before COP13.

D. Do you (AA) have any recommendations concerning implementation assistance from the Ramsar Secretariat?

- Continue to provide information about ecosystem services and their values. It's important that the Ramsar secretariat continue to take an active part in the Climate convention. Some measures suggested for climate adaptation are good for wetlands and others are not, and it is recommended that the Ramsar Secretariat take part in the discussions and negotiations. Resolutions about how to cope with opposing interests are recommended in both conventions and in the CBD as well.

E. Do you (AA) have any recommendations concerning implementation assistance from the Convention’s International Organisation Partners (IOPs)? (including ongoing partnerships and partnerships to develop)

- Continue to provide information about wetland, and do restoration/protection projects that serve as good examples.

F. How can national implementation of the Ramsar Convention be better linked with implementation of other multilateral environmental agreements (MEAs), especially those in the ‘biodiversity cluster’ (Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD), Convention on Migratory Species (CMS), Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species (CITES), World Heritage Convention (WHC), and United Nations Convention to Combat Desertification (UNCCD) and the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC))?

- The Swedish EPA started to gather the different NFP's in the biodiversity cluster working at the Swedish EPA for discussing on-going issues on a number of meetings, but this stopped due to the limited time available, other issues had to be prioritised. At least we have a planning in common each year. But exchange of information etc with the ones working with HELCOM and OSPAR still have to be established.

G. How can implementation of the Ramsar Convention be better linked with the implementation of water policy/strategy and other strategies in the country (e.g., on sustainable development, energy, extractive industries, poverty reduction, sanitation, food security, biodiversity)?

- The knowledge of the Ramsar Convention could increase within a lot of sectors. The newsletter may be one way, guidance from governmental authorities another.

H. Do you (AA) have any other general comments on the implementation of the Convention?

- In Sweden, the environmental quality objectives apply to all sectors of society and set the framework for
conservation and sustainable use of wetlands. In particular, the objectives 'Thriving wetlands' and 'Flourishing Lakes and Streams', concern wetlands but other objectives are also important for them through the environmental quality objectives, and their focus on conservation, sustainable use, ecosystem services and restoration etc, the aims of Ramsar Convention are satisfyingly implemented in the objectives of the society. Every four years, an in-depth evaluation is made of the progress towards achieving the environmental quality objectives, and the results are presented to the Government and Parliament as a basis for Sweden's environmental policy in the years to come. There was an in-depth evaluation of 'Thriving wetlands' and 'Flourishing Lakes and Streams' reported in 2015. The evaluation covered state for wetlands, how the society copes with the environmental issues and the conditions and possibilities to reach the objectives. The results show that there is still a lot to do to reach the objectives.

The 2020 interim targets of the protection of land, freshwater and marine areas include at least 20 % of Sweden's land and fresh water areas and 10 % of Sweden's marine areas by 2020. This will be achieved through protection or other conservation measures in areas of particular importance for biodiversity and ecosystem services. The formal protection of wetlands will be increased by about 210 000 hectares by the protection of mires with high conservation value in the national mire protection plan. The formal protection of lakes and rivers will be increased by at least 12 000 hectares and the formal protection of marine areas will be increased by at least 570 000 hectares. Through the development and strengthening of green infrastructure, the ecological relationships are strengthened so that sheltered and preserved areas and habitats are connected and integrated into the landscape, including the marine environment.

I. Please list the names of the organisations which have been consulted on or have contributed to the information provided in this report

› The Agency for Marine and Water Management, The Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences and the Swedish Species Information Centre.
Section 3: Indicator questions and further implementation information

Goal 1. Addressing the drivers of wetland loss and degradation

Target 1
Wetland benefits are featured in national/local policy strategies and plans relating to key sectors such as water, energy, mining, agriculture, tourism, urban development, infrastructure, industry, forestry, aquaculture, fisheries at the national and local level.

1.1 Have wetland issues/benefits been incorporated into other national strategies and planning processes, including: {1.3.2} {1.3.3} KRA 1.3.i
Please select only one per square.

| a) National Policy or strategy for wetland management | □ A=Yes  
| □ B=No  
| □ C=Partially  
| □ D=Planned  
| □ X=Unknown  
| □ Y=Not Relevant |
| b) Poverty eradication strategies | □ A=Yes  
| □ B=No  
| □ C=Partially  
| □ D=Planned  
| □ X=Unknown  
| □ Y=Not Relevant |
| c) Water resource management and water efficiency plans | □ A=Yes  
| □ B=No  
| □ C=Partially  
| □ D=Planned  
| □ X=Unknown  
| □ Y=Not Relevant |
| d) Coastal and marine resource management plans | □ A=Yes  
| □ B=No  
| □ C=Partially  
| □ D=Planned  
| □ X=Unknown  
| □ Y=Not Relevant |
| e) Integrated Coastal Zone Management Plan | □ A=Yes  
| □ B=No  
| □ C=Partially  
| □ D=Planned  
| □ X=Unknown  
| □ Y=Not Relevant |
| f) National forest programmes | □ A=Yes  
| □ B=No  
| □ C=Partially  
| □ D=Planned  
| □ X=Unknown  
| □ Y=Not Relevant |
| g) National policies or measures on agriculture | □ A=Yes  
| □ B=No  
| □ C=Partially  
| □ D=Planned  
| □ X=Unknown  
| □ Y=Not Relevant |
| h) National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plans drawn up under the CBD | □ A=Yes  
| □ B=No  
| □ C=Partially  
| □ D=Planned  
| □ X=Unknown  
| □ Y=Not Relevant |
| i) National policies on energy and mining | □ A=Yes  
| □ B=No  
| □ C=Partially  
| □ D=Planned  
| □ X=Unknown  
<p>| □ Y=Not Relevant |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Options</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>j) National policies on tourism</td>
<td>A=Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B=No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C=Partially</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D=Planned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X=Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Y=Not Relevant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k) National policies on urban development</td>
<td>A=Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B=No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C=Partially</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D=Planned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X=Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Y=Not Relevant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l) National policies on infrastructure</td>
<td>A=Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B=No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C=Partially</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D=Planned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X=Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Y=Not Relevant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m) National policies on industry</td>
<td>A=Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B=No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C=Partially</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D=Planned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X=Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Y=Not Relevant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n) National policies on aquaculture and fisheries (1.3.3) KRA 1.3.i</td>
<td>A=Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B=No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C=Partially</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D=Planned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X=Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Y=Not Relevant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o) National plans of actions (NPAs) for pollution control and</td>
<td>A=Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>management</td>
<td>B=No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C=Partially</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D=Planned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X=Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Y=Not Relevant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p) National policies on wastewater management and water quality</td>
<td>A=Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B=No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C=Partially</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D=Planned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X=Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Y=Not Relevant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.1 Additional information

Target 2

Water use respects wetland ecosystem needs for them to fulfil their functions and provide services at the appropriate scale inter alia at the basin level or along a coastal zone.

2.1 Has the quantity and quality of water available to, and required by, wetlands been assessed to support the implementation of the Guidelines for the allocation and management of water for maintaining the ecological functions of wetlands (Resolution VIII.1, VIII.2) ? 1.24.

Please select only one option
- A=Yes
- B=No
- C=Partially
- D=Planned

2.1 Additional Information

2.2 Have assessments of environmental flow been undertaken in relation to mitigation of impacts on the ecological character of wetlands (Action r3.4.iv)

Please select only one option
- A=Yes
- B=No
- C=Partially
- D=Planned
2.2 Additional Information

> When a permit for something that affects water is applied for, the responsible authority has to consider if a permit should be given or not concerning the quantity and quality of water.

2.3 Have Ramsar Sites improved the sustainability of water use in the context of ecosystem requirements?

*Please select only one option*
- [ ] A=Yes
- [ ] B=No
- [ ] C=Partially
- [ ] D=Planned
- [ ] O=No Change
- [ ] X=Unknown

2.3 Additional Information

> The situation is quite good already. But coming climate change may change this.

2.4 Have the Guidelines for allocation and management of water for maintaining ecological functions of wetlands (Resolutions VIII.1 and XII.12 ) been used/applied in decision-making processes. (Action 3.4.6.)

*Please select only one option*
- [ ] A=Yes
- [ ] B=No
- [ ] C=Partially
- [ ] D=Planned

2.4 Additional Information

> Not as such, but the content is used.

2.5 Have projects that promote and demonstrate good practice in water allocation and management for maintaining the ecological functions of wetlands been developed (Action r3.4.ix. )

*Please select only one option*
- [ ] A=Yes
- [ ] B=No
- [ ] C=Partially
- [ ] D=Planned

2.5 Additional Information

> 

2.6 How many household/municipalities are linked to sewage system? SDG Target 6.3.1.

*Please select only one option*
- [ ] E=Exact number (households/municipalities)
  > 4 500 000 households
- [ ] F=Less than (households/municipalities)
  >
- [ ] G=More than (households/municipalities)
  >
- [ ] X=Unknown
- [ ] Y=Not Relevant

2.6 Additional Information

> All households/municipalities have some sort of sewage system.

2.7 What is the percentage of sewerage coverage in the country? SDG Target 6.3.1.

*Please select only one option*
- [ ] E=Exact number (percentage)
  > 100
- [ ] F=Less than (percentage)
  >
2.7 Additional Information

2.8 What is the percentage of users of septic tank/pit latrine? SDG Target 6.3.1. Please select only one option
- E=Exact number (percentage)
- G=More than (percentage)
- X=Unknown

2.8 Additional Information

2.9 Does the country use constructed wetlands/ponds as wastewater treatment technology? SDG Target 6.3.1. Please select only one option
- A=Yes
- B=No
- C=Partially
- D=Planned
- X=Unknown

2.9 Additional Information

2.10 How do the country use constructed wetlands/ponds as wastewater treatment technology perform? SDG Target 6.3.1. Please select only one option
- A=Good
- B=Not Functioning
- C=Functioning
- Q=Obsolete
- X=Unknown

2.10 Additional Information

2.11 How many centralised wastewater treatment plants exist at national level? SDG Target 6.3.1. Please select only one option
- E=Exact number (plants)
- G=More than (plants)
- X=Unknown

2.11 Additional Information

2.12 How is the functional status of the wastewater treatment plants? SDG Target 6.3.1.

Please select only one option
☐ A = Good
☐ B = Not functioning
☐ C = Functioning
☐ Q = Obsolete
☐ X = Unknown
☐ Y = Not Relevant

2.12 Additional Information

2.13 The percentage of decentralized wastewater treatment technology, including constructed wetlands/ponds is? SDG Target 6.3.1.

Please select only one option
☐ A = Good
☐ B = Not Functioning
☑ C = Functioning
☐ Q = Obsolete
☐ X = Unknown
☐ Y = Not Relevant

2.13 Additional Information

The question and the options in the drop-box don't correspond very well. It is also not clear if the question is about number of sites or if it is about the percentage of the amount of waste water treated.

2.14 Is there a wastewater reuse system? SDG Target 6.3.1.

Please select only one option
☐ A = Yes
☐ B = No
☒ C = Partially
☐ D = Planned
☐ X = Unknown
☐ Y = Not Relevant

2.14 Additional Information

2.15 What Is the purpose of the wastewater reuse system? SDG Target 6.3.1.

Please select only one option
☑ R = Agriculture
☐ S = Landscape
☐ T = Industrial
☐ U = Drinking
☐ X = Unknown
☐ Y = Not Relevant

2.15 Additional Information

Please indicate if the wastewater reuse system is for free or taxed or add any additional information.

Target 3

Public and private sectors have increased their efforts to apply guidelines and good practices for the wise use of water and wetlands. {1.10}

3.1 Is the private sector encouraged to apply the Ramsar wise use principle and guidance (Ramsar handbooks for the wise use of wetlands) in its activities and investments concerning wetlands? {1.10.1}

KRA 1.10.i

Please select only one option
☑ A = Yes
☐ B = No
☐ C = Partially
☐ D = Planned
3.1 Additional Information
› The national environmental quality objectives and the Environmental Code, and their implications for sustainable development, apply to all sectors of the Swedish society.

3.2 Has the private sector undertaken activities or actions for the conservation, wise use and management of {1.10.2} KRA 1.10.ii
*Please select only one per square.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>☐ A=Yes</th>
<th>☐ B=No</th>
<th>☐ C=Partially</th>
<th>☐ D=Planned</th>
<th>☐ X=Unknown</th>
<th>☐ Y=Not Relevant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Ramsar Sites</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Wetlands in general</td>
<td>☐ A=Yes</td>
<td>☐ B=No</td>
<td>☐ C=Partially</td>
<td>☐ D=Planned</td>
<td>☐ X=Unknown</td>
<td>☐ Y=Not Relevant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2 Additional information
› a. The private sector is often involved in the management measures that carry on grazing and mowing at the sites that require such management.
› b. All sectors of society are obliged to take environmental consideration in accordance with the Environmental Code. This is particularly relevant within forestry, as regulated by the Forestry Act. Landowners, especially farmers are relatively active in restoring wetlands. The private sector is also locally involved in different wetland projects.

3.3 Have actions been taken to implement incentive measures which encourage the conservation and wise use of wetlands? {1.11.1} KRA 1.11.i
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

3.3 Additional Information
› The possibility to restore wetlands in the Swedish Rural Development Programme was re-established during this period. There have recently been decisions made that will improve the possibility to do restoration measures the next coming year. If the parliament approves the proposed national budget there will be about 20 000 000 Euro for restoration of wetlands 2018. Sweden has also got EU funds for an eight year long Life IP on restoration of wetlands in the landscape.

3.4 Have actions been taken to remove perverse incentive measures which discourage conservation and wise use of wetlands? {1.11.2} KRA 1.11.i
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned
☐ Z=Not Applicable

3.4 Additional Information
› Actions have been taken, but have not been successful.

**Target 4**
Invasive alien species and pathways of introduction and expansion are identified and prioritized, priority invasive alien species are controlled or eradicated, and management responses are prepared and implemented to prevent their introduction and establishment.

4.1 Does your country have a comprehensive national inventory of invasive alien species that currently or potentially impact the ecological character of wetlands? {1.9.1} KRA 1.9.i
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
4.1 Additional information

> For a few species, there is quite a good knowledge of where they occur.

4.2 Have national policies or guidelines on invasive species control and management been established or reviewed for wetlands? {1.9.2} KRA 1.9.iii

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☑ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

4.2 Additional information

> The environmental quality objectives that concern wetlands and aquatic state include clarifications that alien species and genotypes should not be a threat to biodiversity and that GMO that may affect the biodiversity isn't introduced. The Environmental Code contains provisions concerning the release of alien species, in line with Sweden's commitments under the Convention on Biological Diversity, although the legislation needs to be amended. There is also a policy for introduction and dispersion of alien organisms – intentional as well as unintentional – aims to prevent negative environmental impacts from alien species and genes. Any permission to introduce an alien species shall be based on risk assessments that illuminate the potential impact on biological diversity, the natural environment and human health. During the period, new EU legislation on invasive species have been established.

There is information (guidelines, description of the legislation and facts about a number of species) about invasive alien species at http://www.naturvardsverket.se/Amnen/Invasiva-frammande-arter/.

4.3 How many invasive species are being controlled through management actions.

Please select only one option

☐ E=Exact number (species)

☐ F=Less than (species)

☐ G=More than (species)

☐ C=Partially
☑ X=Unknown
☐ Y=Not Relevant

4.3 Additional information

If ‘Yes’, please indicate the year of assessment and the source of the information

> There are just a few that are controlled by management actions, done when funds are available.

4.4 Have the effectiveness of wetland invasive alien species control programmes been assessed?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned
☐ X=Unknown
☐ Y=Not Relevant

4.4 Additional information

> There aren't any wetland invasive alien species control programmes available.

**Goal 2. Effectively conserving and managing the Ramsar Site network**

**Target 5**

The ecological character of Ramsar Sites is maintained or restored through effective, planning and integrated management {2.1.}

5.1 Have a national strategy and priorities been established for the further designation of Ramsar Sites, using the Strategic Framework for the Ramsar List? {2.1.1} KRA 2.1.i

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
5.1 Additional information
› Altogether, Sweden has 68 Ramsar sites. There are no plans nor any strategies for designating more Ramsar sites.

5.2 Are the Ramsar Sites Information Service and its tools being used in national identification of further Ramsar Sites to designate? {2.2.1} KRA 2.2.ii
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☑ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.2 Additional information
› There are no plans nor any work going on for designating more Ramsar sites.

5.3 How many Ramsar Sites have an effective, implemented management plan? {2.4.1} KRA 2.4.i
Please select only one option
☑ E=Exact number (sites)
› 66
☐ F=Less than (sites)

›
☐ G=More than (sites)
☐ X=Unknown
☐ Y=Not Relevant

5.4 For how many of the Ramsar Sites with a management plan is the plan being implemented? {2.4.2} KRA 2.4.i
Please select only one option
☑ E=Exact number (sites)
› 66
☐ F=Less than (sites)

›
☐ G=More than (sites)

›
☐ X=Unknown
☐ Y=Not Relevant

5.5 For how many Ramsar Sites is effective management planning currently being implemented (outside of formal management plans)? {2.4.3} KRA 2.4.i
Please select only one option
☐ E=Exact number (sites)

›
☐ F=Less than (sites)

›
☐ G=More than (sites)

›
☐ X=Unknown
☐ Y=Not Relevant

5.3 – 5.5 Additional information
› All Swedish Ramsar sites are either completely or partly designated Natura 2000 sites. Most of the sites are completely or partly protected as NR (nature reserves) or NP (national parks). One single Ramsar site can contain a number of NRs. Regulations for the NPs and NRs are included in the decision about establishing them.

According to Swedish legislation, a management plan is required for NRs and NPs and a conservation plan is required for Natura 2000 sites. Altogether, there are about 350 management/conservation plans for the 68 existing Ramsar sites. There is no compilation containing information about which of the management plans that are under revision for the time being. Several of the conservation plans for the Natura sites at the Ramsar sites have been updated. The sites Mannavuoma, Sikåsvågarna and Hovranområdet lack management/conservation plan or only have it
for a small part of the site. There are no plans to make management plans for the unprotected areas within the Ramsar sites. For the time being it's considered that the general legislation will be sufficient to protect those parts. The answers about number of sites in 5.3-5.4 are estimations. There is no estimation for 5.5.

5.6 Have all Ramsar sites been assessed regarding the effectiveness of their management (through formal management plans where they exist or otherwise through existing actions for appropriate wetland management? {1.6.2} KRA 1.6.ii

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☑ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

5.6 Additional information
› Most of the management plans and conservation plans are based upon sound scientific research, including research on potential threats in general, and partly at site level.

5.7 How many Ramsar Sites have a cross-sectoral management committee? {2.4.4} {2.4.6} KRA 2.4.iv

Please select only one option
☑ E=Exact number (sites)
> 66
☐ F=Less than (sites)

☐ G=More than (sites)

☐ X=Unknown
☐ Y=Not Relevant

5.7 Additional information
If at least 1 site, please give the name and official number of the site or sites
› The County Administrative Boards are generally responsible for the management of protected areas, sometimes in cooperation with the Regional Forestry Boards. The tasks of the County Administrations cover a wide span that embraces many sectors of the community, and in this respect management strategies are cross-sectorial. For some Ramsar sites, management responsibility is delegated from the County Administrative Board to e.g. foundations established to convene different stakeholders. Community involvement has high priority in nature conservation in Sweden, and efforts are being made to increase the participation of different stakeholders in the management of protected areas. The number is an estimate.

5.8 For how many Ramsar Sites has an ecological character description been prepared (see Resolution X.15)? {2.4.5} {2.4.7} KRA 2.4.v

Please select only one option
☑ E=Exact number (sites)
> 68
☐ F=Less than (sites)

☐ G=More than (sites)

☐ C=Partially
☐ X=Unknown
☐ Y=Not Relevant

5.8 Additional information
If at least 1 site, please give the name and official number of the site or sites
› Conservation status targets and general ecological descriptions have been established for NRs, NPs and Natura 2000 sites, as described in the management/conservation plans. This is also reflected in the Ramsar Information Sheets for each site.

5.9 Have any assessments of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management been made? {2.5.1} KRA 2.5.i

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Some Sites

Ramsar National Report to COP13 [Jenny Lonnstad]
5.9 Additional information
If ‘Yes’ or ‘Some sites’, please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15, and the source of the information
Yes, partly. Monitoring of protected areas has recently been initiated, and the monitoring is based on a baseline inventory. Many effects of measures or the lack of them will be possible to monitor. But the MEET is not used.

Target 7
Sites that are at risk of change of ecological character have threats addressed {2.6.}.

7.1 Are mechanisms in place for the Administrative Authority to be informed of negative human-induced changes or likely changes in the ecological character of Ramsar Sites, pursuant to Article 3.2? {2.6.1} KRA 2.6.i
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Some Sites
☐ D=Planned

7.1 Additional information
If ‘Yes’ or ‘Some sites’, please summarise the mechanism or mechanisms established
All Swedish Ramsar sites are either completely or partly protected as nature reserves and/or Natura 2000 sites. Management plans for nature reserves and Natura 2000 sites shall include monitoring measures, in respect of follow-up of identified conservation status targets.
If accidents or other unexpected impacts happen, the county Administrative Boards contact the Swedish EPA.

7.2 Have all cases of negative human-induced change or likely change in the ecological character of Ramsar Sites been reported to the Ramsar Secretariat, pursuant to Article 3.2? {2.6.2} KRA 2.6.i
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Some Cases
☐ O=No Negative Change

7.2 Additional information
If ‘Yes’ or ‘Some cases’, please indicate for which Ramsar Sites the Administrative Authority has made Article 3.2 reports to the Secretariat, and for which sites such reports of change or likely change have not yet been made
Information has been written in the RIS for each affected site.

7.3 If applicable, have actions been taken to address the issues for which Ramsar Sites have been listed on the Montreux Record, including requesting a Ramsar Advisory Mission? {2.6.3} KRA 2.6.ii
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ Z=Not Applicable

7.3 Additional information
If ‘Yes’, please indicate the actions taken

Goal 3. Wisely Using All Wetlands

Target 8
National wetland inventories have been either initiated, completed or updated and disseminated and used for promoting the conservation and effective management of all wetlands {1.1.1} KRA 1.1.i

8.1 Does your country have a complete National Wetland Inventory? {1.1.1} KRA 1.1.i
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=In Progress
☐ D=Planned

8.1 Additional information
There are a number of surveys, inventories and monitoring programmes about wetlands in Sweden. The Swedish wetland survey (not including all kind of aquatic habitats) was initiated in 1981 and completed in 2004. It covers the whole country except the alpine zone. Individual County Administration Boards with support from the Swedish EPA have conducted the survey. Approximately 35,000 wetlands, generally larger than 10 hectares (50 hectares in the northernmost part of the country), have been studied on aerial photographs and 12% of the objects have been visited in the field. The objectives for the survey included mapping the distribution of wetlands throughout the county, as well as studying their environmental assets and the extent to which they have been affected by human activities. In 2014 a compilation of the final results was published in English, it is available at http://www.naturvardsverket.se/978-91-620-6618-5. Furthermore, complementary inventories of alkaline fens (rich fens) have been done in the framework of an action programme for rich fens and associated threatened species which was established by the Swedish EPA. The state and trends of alkaline fens with respect to the impact of human activities on hydrology and biodiversity will be monitored in the Swedish national environmental monitoring programme in the years to come.

The project 'Base survey of Natura 2000 and protected areas' lasted from 2004 to 2008. The aim was to collect information about habitats, structures, functions and species within Sweden’s Natura 2000 areas and protected areas. For wetland habitats, this inventory was based on the national wetlands survey and partly uses the same methodology. It gave updated information for some of the areas with high conservation values, as well as additional information about mountainous wetlands and some of the smaller habitat types, such as springs and rich fens. Results from a detailed survey about palsa mires were published in 2014 and are available at http://www.lansstyrelsen.se/norrbotten/SiteCollectionDocuments/Sv/publikationer/miljo%20och%20klimat/Tills%3a5ndet%20milj%cc%88%bcn%202014_Kartering%20av%20Sveriges%20palsmyrar.pdf. Both marine and inland waters lack a comprehensive national inventory. The database “Valuable water” is a compilation of valuable freshwater environments based natural, fish, fishing and cultural values.

8.2 Has your country updated a National Wetland Inventory in the last decade?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☑ B=No
☐ C=In Progress
☐ D=Partially
☐ X=Unknown
☐ Y=Not Relevant

8.2 Additional information

8.3 Is wetland inventory data and information maintained? {1.1.2} KRA 1.1.ii
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

8.3 Additional information

8.4 Is wetland inventory data and information made accessible to all stakeholders? {1.1.2} KRA 1.1.ii
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

8.4 Additional information

Information from the inventory has been communicated to and used by a wide range of stakeholders. There is an on-going project to make all reports from the survey available in a digitalised format. The reports and some unpublished manuscripts are now digitalised but they still have to be made available on the internet. Data from the survey of alkaline fens (rich fens) is available at the county administrative boards concerned. The data will be maintained in the Swedish national environmental monitoring programme in the years to come, by monitoring the state and trends of hydrology and biodiversity.

Data from the satellite based monitoring programme for open mires is available on the internet. There is a website VISS (Water Information System Sweden), where many of the results from surveys are available. http://www.viss.lansstyrelsen.se/.
The information in the database “Valuable water” is accessible to all stakeholders (https://www.havochvatten.se/hav/samordning--fakta/kartor--gis/karttjanster-fran-hav/karttjanster/vardefulla-vatten.html).

8.5 Has the condition* of wetlands in your country, overall, changed during the last triennium? {1.1.3}

Please describe on the sources of the information on which your answer is based in the free-text box below. If there is a difference between inland and coastal wetland situations, please describe. If you are able to, please describe the principal driver(s) of the change(s).

* ‘Condition’ corresponds to ecological character, as defined by the Convention

Please select only one per square.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a) Ramsar Sites</th>
<th>N=Status Deteriorated</th>
<th>O=No Change</th>
<th>P=Status Improved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>b) Wetlands generally</td>
<td>N=Status Deteriorated</td>
<td>O=No Change</td>
<td>P=Status Improved</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8.5 Additional information on a) and/or b)

a. Available information does not indicate that the ecological character of Ramsar Sites has deteriorated notably during the last triennium, except the sites Åsnen (water quality/number of birds staying during winter), Helgeån (changes in vegetation probably due to grazing by geese and the influency of bad water quality). Investigations about the changes are on-going. The palsas in some of the northern Ramsar sites are slowly deteriorating, due to warmer conditions the last years. Improvement by restoration has taken place at some of the Ramsar sites.

b. A lot of the wetlands are affected by on-going densification of trees and bushes. The main explanation for the overgrowing of wetlands is that the old large-scale drainage started a process of change in vegetation that takes a long time and that vegetation changes continuously. Another important cause of changes in vegetation are the changes in management regimes (mowing and grazing), in some wetland types these changes go fast and in others they take a lot of time. Nitrogen deposition is also a part of the changes in the south of the country and climate change may affect the northern parts. The ongoing National Inventory of Landscapes in Sweden (NILS, http://nils.slu.se/) and The Swedish National Forest Inventory (RIS, http://www.slu.se/en/collaborative-centres-and-projects/swedish-national-forest-inventory/), has and will further improve the monitoring of ecological character of the Swedish wetlands. A number of small studies show that wet forests, peatlands, natural springs are in a worse condition then earlier. Following the EU Habitats Directive, Sweden has an obligation to preserve the included species and habitats. Their status is monitored and assessed in accordance with Article 17 of the Directive. The last evaluation was done in 2013, it includes state and trends. There is a publication presenting the results, (includes English summary and figure texts) available at http://www.slu.se/sv/centrumbildningar-och-projekt/artdatabanken/omoss/publikationer/bockey/2014-artur-naturyper-i-habitatdirektivet-bevarandestatus-i-sverige-2013/.

Only a few wetland habitats have favourable conservation status in the continental region, the situation is a little bit better in the boreal region and in the alpine region, only a few wetland habitats don’t have favourable conservation status. Reasons for unfavourable conservation status are river damming, wetland drainage, lack of grazing/mowing, sewage effluents and excessive use of fertilisers that have caused severely disturbed hydrological conditions and eutrophication. The continuous exploitation of coastal regions poses a great threat to the coastal environment. Restoration of watercourses, mires and other wetland types continues but the pace is slow.

The water quality of lakes, watercourses and parts of the coastal areas of the Baltic Sea has improved in some areas with regard to acidification, eutrophication and toxic substances. The concentrations of certain substances, such as PBDEs and mercury in aquatic biota are still so high that they contribute to a negative environmental impact in many lakes and watercourses. The water has also become increasingly brown in lakes and watercourses due to inflow of humic substances, particularly in southern Sweden. The brownification combined with eutrophication or leakage of metal etc makes it more and more difficult to separate causes for the changes and to predict future changes in the aquatic ecosystems in some parts of Sweden.

8.6 Based upon the National Wetland Inventory if available please provide a baseline figure in square kilometres for the extent of wetlands (according to the Ramsar definition) for the year 2017. SDG Target 6.6

Please select only one option

☐ E=Exact Number (km²)

☐ F=Less than (km²)
8.6 Additional information
If the information is available please indicate the % of change in the extent of wetlands over the last three years.
› We don’t have such figures.

**Target 9**
The wise use of wetlands is strengthened through integrated resource management at the appropriate scale, inter alia, within a river basin or along a coastal zone {1.3.1}. 

9.1 Is a Wetland Policy (or equivalent instrument) that promotes the wise use of wetlands in place? {1.3.1} KRA 1.3.i
If ‘Yes’, please give the title and date of the policy in the green text box
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=In Preparation
☐ D=Planned

9.1 Additional information
› The national environmental quality objectives (EQOs); for example Thriving Wetlands', 'Flourishing Lakes and Streams' and partly some of the other objectives is seen as the fundamental National Wetland Policy. The Swedish Parliament adopted them and they are described further at http://www.miljomal.se/sv/Environmental-Objectives-Portal/. The objectives describe the environmental state needed in order to achieve sustainable development (wise use) and favourable conservation status. The EQOs apply to all sectors of the Swedish society.
In addition, there are several policy documents as a part of implementing the EQOs. For example, ‘National Strategy for Thriving Wetlands’ was established by the Swedish EPA in cooperation with the National Board of Forestry, the Swedish Board of Agriculture and the National Heritage Board, and presented to the Swedish government in October 2005. The strategy is available in English at http://www.naturvardsverket.se/Documents/publikationer/620-1253-3.pdf?pid=2657.
The Mire Protection Plan, which identifies around 600 mires that are given priority for legal protection, was revised in 2007.
The EU Water Framework Directive is being implemented in Sweden. The Ordinance on Water Quality Management (Förordningen om förvaltning av kvaliteten på vattenmiljön) is the Swedish legislation pursuant to the directive. Environmental Quality Standards (EQS) applied to water bodies were adopted in 2009. River basin management plans and Programme of measures for each river basin district were also adopted in 2009. The Marine Strategy Framework Directive is being implemented in Sweden. The directive was transposed into Swedish legislation through the Marine Environmental Regulation in 2010.

9.2 Have any amendments to existing legislation been made to reflect Ramsar commitments? {1.3.5} {1.3.6} Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=In Progress
☐ D=Planned

9.2 Additional information
›

9.3 Do your country’s water governance and management systems treat wetlands as natural water infrastructure integral to water resource management at the scale of river basins? {1.7.1} {1.7.2} KRA 1.7.ii
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned
Continued works on wetlands are important measures in water management, to achieve good water status relating to the EU Water Framework Directive. Environmental Quality Standards (EQS), River basin management plans and Programme of measures for each river basin district all link to wetland management.

Have Communication, Education, Participation and Awareness (CEPA) expertise and tools been incorporated into catchment/river basin planning and management (see Resolution X.19)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Planned

Partly, but relating to the EU Water Framework Directive and not the Ramsar Convention in particular.

Has your country established policies or guidelines for enhancing the role of wetlands in mitigating or adapting to climate change?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

The Environmental Quality Objectives and the National Wetland Strategy address climate change mitigation and adaptation, but more detailed decisions and measures are needed.

Has your country formulated plans or projects to sustain and enhance the role of wetlands in supporting and maintaining viable farming systems?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

The creation of wetlands in agricultural areas helps to achieve the aims of water management. The work with wetland restoration needs to be enhanced and accelerated, to achieve national environmental objectives, reduce losses of plant nutrients and enhance the biodiversity, especially in the agricultural landscape.

Has research to inform wetland policies and plans been undertaken in your country on:

Please select only one per square.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a) agriculture-wetland interactions</th>
<th>☐ A=Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ B=No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ D=Planned</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>b) climate change</th>
<th>☐ A=Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ B=No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ D=Planned</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>c) valuation of ecosystem services</th>
<th>☐ A=Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ B=No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ D=Planned</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Research in the agricultural field has been focused on the ability of wetlands to reduce nutrients in the aquatic environment.

Different universities and institutions have performed research relating to effects of climate change, e.g. the Swedish Meteorological and Hydrological Institute, the University of Lund and the Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences.

This issue is high on the political agenda in Sweden. Different universities and institutions have performed research on valuation of ecosystems. Recently the Swedish EPA has started a special research programme for these issues. One of the research projects is about the value of ecosystem services performed by aquatic habitats, another research project concerns the Ramsar site Helgeån and is focused on the...
combined management of the interacting ecosystem services.

9.8 Has your country submitted a request for Wetland City Accreditation of the Ramsar Convention, Resolution XII.10?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☑ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

9.8 Additional information
If ‘Yes’, please indicate How many request have been submitted

Target 10
The traditional knowledge innovations and practices of indigenous peoples and local communities relevant for the wise use of wetlands and their customary use of wetland resources, are documented, respected, subject to national legislation and relevant international obligations and fully integrated and reflected in the implementation of the Convention with a full and effective participation of indigenous and local communities at all relevant levels.

10.1 Have the guiding principles for taking into account the cultural values of wetlands including traditional knowledge for the effective management of sites (Resolution VIII.19) been used or applied? (Action 6.1.2/6.1.6)

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☑ B=No
☐ C=In Preparation
☐ C1=Partially
☐ D=Planned
☐ X=Unknown
☐ Y=Not Relevant

10.1 Additional information

10.2 Have case studies, participation in projects or successful experiences on cultural aspects of wetlands been compiled. Resolution VIII.19 and Resolution IX.21? (Action 6.1.6)

Please select only one option
☑ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=In Preparation
☐ D=Planned

10.2 Additional information
If yes please indicate the case studies or projects documenting information and experiences concerning culture and wetlands
> There has been a study on the interaction between wetlands and the traditional Sami reindeer herding.

10.3 Have the guidelines for establishing and strengthening local communities’ and indigenous people’s participation in the management of wetlands been used or applied. (Resolution VII. 8) (Action 6.1.5)

Please select only one option
☑ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=In Preparation
☐ D=Planned

10.3 Additional information
If the answer is “yes” please indicate the use or application of the guidelines

10.4 Traditional knowledge and management practices relevant for the wise use of wetlands have been documented and their application encouraged (Action 6.1.2)

Please select only one option
10.4 Additional information

There are a few books on traditional use of Swedish wetlands and some about traditional knowledge about species.

Target 11

Wetland functions, services and benefits are widely demonstrated, documented and disseminated. {1.4.}

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by Ramsar Sites and other wetlands? {1.4.1} KRA 1.4.ii

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☑ B=No
☐ C=In Preparation
☐ D=Planned
☐ X=Unknown
☐ Y=Not Relevant

11.1 Additional information

If ‘Yes’ or ‘Partially’, please indicate, how many Ramsar Sites and their names

11.2 Have wetland programmes or projects that contribute to poverty alleviation objectives or food and water security plans been implemented? {1.4.2} KRA 1.4.i

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned
☐ X=Unknown
☑ Y=Not Relevant

11.2 Additional information

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for Ramsar Sites and other wetlands? {1.4.3}{1.4.4} KRA 1.4.iii

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☑ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Additional information

If ‘Yes’ or ‘Partially’, please indicate, if known, how many Ramsar Sites and their names

› Cultural values
All the sites that include wetlands that are grazed or mowed have such measures included in management plans for the protected area/s at such sites. For example: Foteviken-Falsterbo, Vassikkavouma, Hornborgasjön, Ottenby and Getterön. The local community is often involved in the management measures that carry on the cultural heritage.

In some cases, cultural elements that have a negative impact on the biological conservation values are prescribed to be taken away or be replaced by more natural conditions in restoration measures. Such measures have been on going in Vindelälven for example, where a number of dams that have stopped the migration of fish are subject to demolition. The conservation plan for Vindelälven includes such measures and is implemented by a Life-project: http://vindelriverlife.se/?lang=en.

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for Ramsar Sites and other wetlands? {1.4.3}{1.4.4} KRA 1.4.iii

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
11.4 Additional information

If ‘Yes’ or ‘Partially’, please indicate, if known, how many Ramsar Sites and their names

- Socio-economic values

Many Ramsar Sites and other protected wetlands are important for recreation and out-door activities, which are normally reflected in the management of the sites. Other socio-economic issues are seldom reflected in management plans for protected areas.

**Target 12**

Restoration is in progress in degraded wetlands, with priority to wetlands that are relevant for biodiversity conservation, disaster risk reduction, livelihoods and/or climate change mitigation and adaptation. {1.8.}

12.1 Have priority sites for wetland restoration been identified? {1.8.1} KRA 1.8.i  
*Please select only one option*

- A=Yes
- B=No
- C=Partially
- D=Planned
- X=Unknown
- Y=Not Relevant

**12.1 Additional information**

- Yes, partly. The Swedish county administrative boards have developed planning documents for restoration of wetlands in the agricultural landscape. Areas where wetland restoration will be particularly important to increase biodiversity and/or reduce the loss of plant nutrition are pointed out. Landowners and other relevant parties are involved throughout the process of planning and restoration. There are still no restoration sites identified for the other wetland types, even if we know some hotspot areas for restoration. 'Valuable waters' (Värdefulla vatten) is a national database used for prioritizing restoration actions in streams. Recently there has been a compilation on different benefits from restoration and how to combine such benefits by choosing site to restore.

12.2 Have wetland restoration/rehabilitation programmes, plans or projects been effectively implemented? {1.8.2} KRA 1.8.i  
*Please select only one option*

- A=Yes
- B=No
- C=Partially
- D=Planned
- X=Unknown
- Y=Not Relevant

**12.2 Additional information**

If ‘Yes’ or ‘Partially’, please indicate, if available the extent of wetlands restored

- Yes/Partly. Some wetland types have restoration programmes or strategies, but not all. The implementing of action plans for threatened wetland species often include restoration measures. Restoration measures have been performed in many rivers in accordance with the national strategy for the restoration of valuable rivers. The LIFE+ project Life to ad(d)mire was finalised in 2015. There are a number of other Life-project that also include restoration measures. There has also been a long on-going research programme for creating new wetlands in places where peat extraction has taken place.

**Target 13**

Enhanced sustainability of key sectors such as water, energy, mining, agriculture, tourism, urban development, infrastructure, industry, forestry, aquaculture and fisheries when they affect wetlands, contributing to biodiversity conservation and human livelihoods

13.1 Have actions been taken to enhance sustainability of key sectors such as water, energy, mining, agriculture, tourism, urban development, infrastructure, industry, forestry, aquaculture and fisheries when they affect wetlands?  
*Please select only one option*

- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned
13.1. Additional information
If ‘Yes’, please indicate the actions taken
› Yes/Partly. This is a continued ongoing work.

13.2 Are Strategic Environmental Assessment practices applied when reviewing policies, programmes and plans that may impact upon wetlands? {1.3.3} {1.3.4} KRA 1.3.ii

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☑ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

13.2 Additional information
›

13.3 Are Environmental Impact Assessments made for any development projects (such as new buildings, new roads, extractive industry) from key sectors such as water, energy, mining, agriculture, tourism, urban development, infrastructure, industry, forestry, aquaculture and fisheries that may affect wetlands? {1.3.4} {1.3.5} KRA 1.3.iii

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☑ B=No
☐ C=Some Cases

13.3 Additional information
› Development projects require EIAs according to the environmental legislation.

**Goal 4. Enhancing implementation**

**Target 15**
Ramsar Regional Initiatives with the active involvement and support of the Parties in each region are reinforced and developed into effective tools to assist in the full implementation of the Convention. {3.2.}

15.1 Have you (AA) been involved in the development and implementation of a Regional Initiative under the framework of the Convention? {3.2.1} KRA 3.2.i

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☑ B=No
☐ C=Planned

15.1 Additional information
If ‘Yes’ or ‘Planned’, please indicate the regional initiative(s) and the collaborating countries of each initiative
› Sweden participates in the NorBalWet and was chair during 2016-2017. Sweden has been part of the working group on RRIs during this period.

15.2 Has your country supported or participated in the development of other regional (i.e., covering more than one country) wetland training and research centres? {3.2.2}

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☑ B=No
☐ D=Planned

15.2 Additional information
If ‘Yes’, please indicate the name(s) of the centre(s)
›

**Target 16**
Wetlands conservation and wise use are mainstreamed through communication, capacity development, education, participation and awareness {4.1}

16.1 Has an action plan (or plans) for wetland CEPA been established? {4.1.1} KRA 4.1.i

Even if no CEPA plans have been developed, if broad CEPA objectives for CEPA actions have been established, please indicate this in the Additional information section below

Please select only one per square.
| a) At the national level | ☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=In Progress  
☐ D=Planned |
|--------------------------|------------------|
| b) Sub national level    | ☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=In Progress  
☐ D=Planned |
| c) Catchment/basin level | ☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=In Progress  
☐ D=Planned |
| d) Local/site level      | ☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=In Progress  
☐ D=Planned |

16.1 Additional information

If ‘Yes’ or ‘In progress’ to one or more of the four questions above, for each please describe the mechanism, who is responsible and identify if it has involved CEPA NFPs

16.2a How many centres (visitor centres, interpretation centres, education centres) have been established? {4.1.2} KRA 4.1.ii

a) at Ramsar Sites

*Please select only one option*

☐ E=Exact Number (centres)

> 1

☐ F=Less than (centres)

☐ G=More than (centres)

☐ C=Partially

☐ X=Unknown

☐ Y=Not Relevant

16.2b How many centres (visitor centres, interpretation centres, education centres) have been established? {4.1.2} KRA 4.1.ii

b) at other wetlands

*Please select only one option*

☐ E=Exact Number (centres)

> 5

☐ F=Less than (centres)

> 5

☐ G=More than (centres)

☐ C=Partially

☐ X=Unknown

☐ Y=Not Relevant

16.2 Additional information

If centres are part of national or international networks, please describe the networks

> In Sweden, there are 31 naturum (visitor centres supervised by the Swedish EPA). The naturum are often located at nature reserves or national parks, and aim at disseminating information about the area but also about nature conservation, ecology and human impact in general. Ramsar sites with such visitor’s centres are Hornborgasjön, Tåkern, Ottenby, Åsnen, Getterön, Asköviken-Sörfjärden, Helgeån, Store Mosse-Kävsjön, Dalälven-Färnebofjärden, Falsterbo-Foteviken and Vindelälven. The naturum at Falsterbo-Foteviken was established during period the report covers.

There are also at least 90 Nature Schools around the country, some associated to naturum, that work in cooperation with the municipalities in educating children and teachers. During the last triennium, 5 new Nature Schools were established. Most of the naturum and Nature Schools have wetlands nearby. The answer
under b, is probably 3 centres.

16.3 Does the Contracting Party {4.1.3} KRA 4.1.iii

*Please select only one per square.*

| a) promote stakeholder participation in decision-making on wetland planning and management | ☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ D=Planned |
| b) specifically involve local stakeholders in the selection of new Ramsar Sites and in Ramsar Site management? | ☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ D=Planned |

16.3 Additional information

If ‘Yes’ or ‘Partially’, please provide information about the ways in which stakeholders are involved
> a) + b) The issue of community involvement has high priority in nature conservation in Sweden. It constitutes a cornerstone in the Swedish government’s policy. Local stakeholder involvement is central in the designation of Ramsar sites and protected areas, and in the implementation of Natura 2000 (EU Habitats and Birds Directives). 
Due to regulations concerning land tenure and the strong position of landowners in Sweden, their involvement is a prerequisite in wetland restoration. Further, the Swedish Environmental Code states that in connection with the consultation process which takes place prior to the drafting of an environmental impact statement, the operator must obtain and compile available data and consult the other local stakeholders, authorities and organizations concerned.

16.4 Do you have an operational cross-sectoral National Ramsar/Wetlands Committee? {4.1.6} KRA 4.3.v

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes  
☑ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ D=Planned  
☐ X=Unknown  
☐ Y=Not Relevant

16.4 Additional information

If ‘Yes’, indicate a) its membership; b) number of meetings since COP12; and c) what responsibilities the Committee has
> The former Swedish Ramsar Committee that was constituted by the Swedish EPA and a number of NGOs, is no longer active.

16.5 Do you have an operational cross-sectoral body equivalent to a National Ramsar/Wetlands Committee? {4.1.6} KRA 4.3.v

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes  
☑ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ D=Planned  
☐ X=Unknown  
☐ Y=Not Relevant

16.5 Additional information

If ‘Yes’, indicate a) its membership; b) number of meetings since COP12; and c) what responsibilities the Committee has
> There are fora where wetland matters may be discussed and handled, primarily within the framework of the Environmental Quality Objectives, where it is possible to involve central government agencies, county administrative boards, local authorities, non-governmental organizations and the business sector. 
Further, concerning the EU Water Framework Directive, The EU Marine Strategic Framework Directive and the Ordinance on Water Quality Management there are five Water Authorities in charge of water work in their respective district, and each Water Authority has a Water Delegation as a governing board. The Water Delegations are comprised of representatives from different sectors of society. There are also water management associations and coastal water management associations coordinating local and regional stakeholders.
16.6 Are other communication mechanisms (apart from a national committee) in place to share Ramsar implementation guidelines and other information between the Administrative Authority and a), b) or c) below? {4.1.7} KRA 4.1.vi:
Please select only one per square.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>a) Ramsar Site managers</th>
<th>b) other MEA national focal points</th>
<th>c) other ministries, departments and agencies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ A=Yes</td>
<td>☐ A=Yes</td>
<td>☐ A=Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ B=No</td>
<td>☐ B=No</td>
<td>☐ B=No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ C=Partially</td>
<td>☐ C=Partially</td>
<td>☐ C=Partially</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ D=Planned</td>
<td>☐ D=Planned</td>
<td>☐ D=Planned</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

16.6 Additional information
If ‘Yes’ or ‘Partially’, please describe what mechanisms are in place
› There are mailing lists and networks established and a newsletter on the web.

16.7 Have Ramsar-branded World Wetlands Day activities (whether on 2 February or at another time of year), either government and NGO-led or both, been carried out in the country since COP12? {4.1.8}
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☑ B=No

16.7 Additional information
› Information on WWD has not been disseminated through the Swedish EPA’s web site during the last triennium. The celebration day, February 2 when most wetlands are covered by snow or ice, is not the best one for Sweden. However, there have been celebrations arranged on a sub-national/local level.

16.8 Have campaigns, programmes, and projects (other than for World Wetlands Day-related activities) been carried out since COP12 to raise awareness of the importance of wetlands to people and wildlife and the ecosystem benefits/services provided by wetlands? {4.1.9}
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☑ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

16.8 Additional information
If these and other CEPA activities have been undertaken by other organizations, please indicate this
›

**Target 17**
Financial and other resources for effectively implementing the fourth Ramsar Strategic Plan 2016 – 2024 from all sources are made available. {4.2.}

17.1a Have Ramsar contributions been paid in full for 2015, 2016 and 2017? {4.2.1} KRA 4.2.i
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ Z=Not Applicable

17.1b If ‘No’ in 17.1 a), please clarify what plan is in place to ensure future prompt payment
›

17.2 Has any additional financial support been provided through voluntary contributions to non-core funded Convention activities? {4.2.2} KRA 4.2.i
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
17.2 Additional information
If ‘Yes’ please state the amounts, and for which activities
› Travel costs for parties going to the COP12.

17.3 [For Contracting Parties with a development assistance agency only (‘donor countries’)]: Has the agency provided funding to support wetland conservation and management in other countries? {3.3.1} KRA 3.3.i
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☒ B=No
☐ Z=Not Applicable

17.3 Additional information
If ‘Yes’, please indicate the countries supported since COP12

17.4 [For Contracting Parties with a development assistance agency only (‘donor countries’)]: Have environmental safeguards and assessments been included in development proposals proposed by the agency? {3.3.2} KRA 3.3.ii
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ X=Unknown
☐ Y=Not Relevant
☒ Z=Not Applicable

17.4 Additional information

17.5 [For Contracting Parties that have received development assistance only (‘recipient countries’)]: Has funding support been received from development assistance agencies specifically for in-country wetland conservation and management? {3.3.3}
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☒ B=No
☐ Z=Not Applicable

17.5 Additional information
If ‘Yes’, please indicate from which countries/agencies since COP12

17.6 Has any financial support been provided by your country to the implementation of the Strategic Plan?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☒ B=No
☐ Z=Not Applicable

17.6 Additional information
If “Yes” please state the amounts, and for which activities

Target 18
International cooperation is strengthened at all levels {3.1}

18.1 Are the national focal points of other MEAs invited to participate in the National Ramsar/Wetland Committee? {3.1.1} {3.1.2} KRAs 3.1.i & 3.1.iv
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☒ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

18.1 Additional information
As long as no such Committee exist, no one can be invited. However, there is a regular exchange with other NFP and others involved in the work about international conventions. The exchange is about what is happening in the different conventions and what kind of issues that are of importance for Sweden.

18.2 Are mechanisms in place at the national level for collaboration between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the focal points of UN and other global and regional bodies and agencies (e.g. UNEP, UNDP, WHO, FAO, UNECE, ITTO)? {3.1.2} {3.1.3} KRA 3.1.iv

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☑ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

18.2 Additional information
- The Ministry of Environment and The Swedish EPA and The Swedish Agency for Marine and Water management coordinate international convention work. Other authorities are also involved in the international work. There is no official mechanism for the collaboration, but there in general a good informal exchange of information between officials.

18.3 Has your country received assistance from one or more UN and other global and regional bodies and agencies (e.g. UNEP, UNDP, WHO, FAO, UNECE, ITTO) or the Convention’s IOPs in its implementation of the Convention? {4.4.1} KRA 4.4.ii.

The IOPs are: BirdLife International, the International Water Management Institute (IWMI), IUCN (International Union for Conservation of Nature), Wetlands International, WWF and Wildfowl & Wetland Trust (WWT).

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☑ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned
☐ X=Unknown
☐ Y=Not Relevant

18.3 Additional information
If ‘Yes’ please name the agency (es) or IOP (s) and the type of assistance received

18.4 Have networks, including twinning arrangements, been established, nationally or internationally, for knowledge sharing and training for wetlands that share common features? {3.4.1}

Please select only one option
☑ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

18.4 Additional information
If ‘Yes’ or ‘Partially’, please indicate the networks and wetlands involved

As a member state of the EU, Sweden has many opportunities for knowledge sharing in matters relating to biodiversity matters, among many other things. There are also several active national networks involving national and regional authorities, as well as other stakeholders, concerning e.g. sustainable use, nature conservation and integrated water management.

18.5 Has information about your country’s wetlands and/or Ramsar Sites and their status been made public (e.g., through publications or a website)? {3.4.2} KRA 3.4.iv

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

18.5 Additional information
- The web-based infrastructure is well developed in Sweden, and national and regional authorities, as well as NGOs provide wetland information. A number of wetland publications are available in print and/or on the Internet. See for example the Swedish EPA website with an on-line bookstore and library service: www.naturvardsverket.se. There is a webpagina about Swedish Ramsar sites with links to the RSIS. The
Ramsar sites are also available in the “Skyddad natur” (protected nature) on the web.

18.6 Has information about your country’s wetlands and/or Ramsar Sites been transmitted to the Ramsar Secretariat for dissemination? {3.4.3} KRA 3.4.ii

Please select only one option
☑ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

18.6 Additional Information

› Ramsar Information Sheets are available in the RSIS for all Swedish Ramsar Sites, although all up-dating isn’t finalised.

18.7 Have all transboundary wetland systems been identified? {3.5.1} KRA 3.5.i

Please select only one option
☑ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned
☐ Z=Not Applicable

18.7 Additional information

› Transboundary wetlands that are Ramsar sites include:
  The Ramsar sites of Storkölen (SE) and Kvisleflået (NO),
  The Ramsar sites of Mannavuoma (SE) and Lätäseno-Hietajoki (FI).

There are hundreds of shared transboundary wetland systems with Norway in the form of small lakes and mires to larger watercourses, for example the river Trysil-Klarälven. The river Kökkäme-Mounio-Torne älv is the border between Sweden and Finland. There are also two coastal marine areas that are transboundary, but only small parts fulfil the criteria for Ramsar, the depth of the water is usually more than six metres. The first area is the archipelago south of Haparanda (SE) and Tornio (FI), the second is the inner part of the fiord Idedefjord shared between (NO) and (SE).

18.8 Is effective cooperative management in place for shared wetland systems (for example, in shared river basins and coastal zones)? {3.5.2} KRA 3.5.ii

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☑ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned
☐ Y=Not Relevant

18.8 Additional information

If ‘Yes’ or ‘Partially’, please indicate for which wetland systems such management is in place

› There are a number of co-operations etc with Denmark, Finland and Norway especially for water quality and fishing issues. Some examples below.
  Agreement about the border river to Finland; https://www.havochvatten.se/hav/samordning--fakta/internationellt-arbete/internationellt-samarbete/tornealven---gransalvsoverenskommelse.html
  Agreement with Norway about a shared water basin, that river and fishing;
  http://www.regeringen.se/49c82a/contentassets/d54b46955cd943448650df1a4d26bc13/avtal-med-norge-om-forvaltningen-av-lax-och-oring-i-svinesund-idefjorden-och-svinesundsaldalven
  Project with Norway about a shared water basin, that river and fishing;
  Co-operation between Swedish and Danish municipalities on water quality in the Öresund;
  http://www.oresundsvand.dk/svenska/html/the_cooperation.html

18.9 Does your country participate in regional networks or initiatives for wetland-dependent migratory species? {3.5.3} KRA 3.5.iii

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned
☐ Z=Not Applicable

18.9 Additional information

› Sweden has an action programme for Anser erythropus. There is international co-operation within the AEWA to develop a common management plan for Anser erythropus and other species for at least some of the
Sweden participates in the project Migratory Birds for People, a co-operation for wetland centres along the migration routes for birds between Europe and Africa.

**Target 19**
Capacity building for implementation of the Convention and the 4th Ramsar Strategic Plan 2016 – 2024 is enhanced.

19.1 Has an assessment of national and local training needs for the implementation of the Convention been made? {4.1.4} KRAs 4.1.iv & 4.1.viii

*Please select only one option*
- ☐ A=Yes
- ☑ B=No
- ☐ C=Partially
- ☐ D=Planned

**19.1 Additional information**

19.2 Are wetland conservation and wise-use issues included in formal education programmes?

*Please select only one option*
- ☐ A=Yes
- ☑ B=No
- ☐ C=Partially
- ☐ D=Planned

**19.2 Additional information**

If you answer yes to the above please provide information on which mechanisms and materials

19.3a How many opportunities for wetland site manager training have been provided since COP12? {4.1.5} KRA 4.1.iv

a) at Ramsar Sites

*Please select only one option*
- ☐ E=Exact number (opportunities)
- ☑ F=Less than (opportunities)
  - > 3
- ☐ G=More than (opportunities)
  - >
- ☐ C=Partially
- ☐ X=Unknown
- ☐ Y=Not Relevant

19.3b How many opportunities for wetland site manager training have been provided since COP12? {4.1.5} KRA 4.1.iv

b) at other wetlands

*Please select only one option*
- ☐ E=Exact number (Opportunities)
- ☑ F=Less than (Opportunities)
  - > 3
- ☐ G=More than (Opportunities)
  - >
- ☐ C=Partially
- ☐ X=Unknown
- ☐ Y=Not Relevant

19.3 Additional information

including whether the Ramsar Wise Use Handbooks were used in the training

There was a final conference for the Life-project – Life to ad(d)mire, where three restored peatlands (including one Ramsar site) were visited and experience from the project was presented and discussed. A number of persons responsible for wetland management at the Counties participated.
19.4 Have you (AA) used your previous Ramsar National Reports in monitoring implementation of the Convention? {4.3.1} KRA 4.3.ii

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned
☐ Z=Not Applicable

19.4 Additional information
If ‘Yes’, please indicate how the Reports have been used for monitoring
› The national report is compiled from existing information concerning wetland conservation and wise use from many sources, and in this aspect used to monitor implementation of the Convention.
Section 4. Optional annex to allow any Contracting Party that has developed national targets to provide information on those

Goal 1

Target 1: Wetland benefits
Wetland benefits are featured in national / local policy strategies and plans relating to key sectors such as water, energy, mining, agriculture, tourism, urban development, infrastructure, industry, forestry, aquaculture, fisheries at the national and local level. Contributes to Aichi Target 2

Target 1: Wetland benefits - Priority
Please select only one option
☐ A=High
☐ B=Medium
☐ C=Low
☐ D=Not relevant
☐ E=No answer

Target 1: Wetland benefits - Resourcing
Please select only one option
☐ A=Good
☐ B=Adequate
☐ C=Limiting
☐ D=Severely limiting
☐ E=No answer

Target 1: Wetland benefits - National Targets

Target 1: Wetland benefits - Planned activity

Target 1: Wetland benefits - Outcomes achieved by 2018
Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals
Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018
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**Target 2: Water Use**

Water use respects wetland ecosystem needs for them to fulfil their functions and provide services at the appropriate scale inter alia at the basin level or along a coastal zone. Contributes to Aichi Targets 7 and 8 and Sustainable Development Goal 6.3.1

**Target 2: Water Use - Priority**

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=High  
☐ B=Medium  
☐ C=Low  
☐ D=Not relevant  
☐ E=No answer

**Target 2: Water Use - Resourcing**

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Good  
☐ B=Adequate  
☐ C=Limiting  
☐ D=Severely limiting  
☐ E=No answer

**Target 2: Water Use - National Targets**

>

**Target 2: Water Use - Planned activity**

>

**Target 2: Water Use - Outcomes achieved by 2018**

Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals

**Note**: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018

>
**Target 3: Public and private sectors**

Public and private sectors have increased their efforts to apply guidelines and good practices for the wise use of water and wetlands. \{1.10\}. Contributes to Aichi Targets 3, 4, 7 and 8.

**Target 3: Public and private sectors - Priority**

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=High  
☐ B=Medium  
☐ C=Low  
☐ D=Not relevant  
☐ E=No answer

**Target 3: Public and private sectors - Resourcing**

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Good  
☐ B=Adequate  
☐ C=Limiting  
☐ D=Severely limiting  
☐ E=No answer

**Target 3: Public and private sectors - National Targets**

›

**Target 3: Public and private sectors - Planned activity**

›

**Target 3: Public and private sectors - Outcomes achieved by 2018**

Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals

**Note:** this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018

›
Target 4: Invasive alien species
Invasive alien species and pathways of introduction and expansion are identified and prioritized, priority invasive alien species are controlled or eradicated, and management responses are prepared and implemented to prevent their introduction and establishment. Contributes to Aichi Target 9.

Target 4: Invasive alien species - Priority
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=High
☐ B=Medium
☐ C=Low
☐ D=Not relevant
☐ E=No answer

Target 4: Invasive alien species - Resourcing
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Good
☐ B=Adequate
☐ C=Limiting
☐ D=Severely limiting
☐ E=No answer

Target 4: Invasive alien species - National Targets

Target 4: Invasive alien species - Planned activity

Target 4: Invasive alien species - Outcomes achieved by 2018
Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals
**Note:** this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018


Goal 2

**Target 5: Ecological character of Ramsar Sites**
The ecological character of Ramsar Sites is maintained or restored through effective, planning and integrated management {2.1.}. Contributes to Aichi Target 6,11, 12.

**Target 5: Ecological character of Ramsar Sites - Priority**
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=High  
☐ B=Medium  
☐ C=Low  
☐ D=Not relevant  
☐ E=No answer

**Target 5: Ecological character of Ramsar Sites - Resourcing**
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Good  
☐ B=Adequate  
☐ C=Limiting  
☐ D=Severely limiting  
☐ E=No answer

**Target 5: Ecological character of Ramsar Sites - National Targets**

**Target 5: Ecological character of Ramsar Sites - Planned activity**

**Target 5: Ecological character of Ramsar Sites - Outcomes achieved by 2018**
Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals
**Note:** this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018
Target 7: Sites at risk
Sites that are at risk of change of ecological character have threats addressed {2.6.}. Contributes to Aichi Targets 5, 7, 11, 12.

Target 7: Sites at risk - Priority
Please select only one option
☐ A=High
☐ B=Medium
☐ C=Low
☐ D=Not relevant
☐ E=No answer

Target 7: Sites at risk - Resourcing
Please select only one option
☐ A=Good
☐ B=Adequate
☐ C=Limiting
☐ D=Severely limiting
☐ E=No answer

Target 7: Sites at risk - National Targets
>

Target 7: Sites at risk - Planned activity
>

Target 7: Sites at risk - Outcomes achieved by 2018
Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals
Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018
>
Goal 3

Target 8: National wetland inventories
National wetland inventories have been either initiated, completed or updated and disseminated and used for promoting the conservation and effective management of all wetlands {1.1.1} KRA 1.1.i. Contributes to Aichi Targets 12, 14, 18, 19.

Target 8: National wetland inventories - Priority
Please select only one option
☐ A=High
☐ B=Medium
☐ C=Low
☐ D=Not relevant
☐ E=No answer

Target 8: National wetland inventories - Resourcing
Please select only one option
☐ A=Good
☐ B=Adequate
☐ C=Limiting
☐ D=Severely limiting
☐ E=No answer

Target 8: National wetland inventories - National Targets

Target 8: National wetland inventories - Planned activity

Target 8: National wetland inventories - Outcomes achieved by 2018
Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals
Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018
Target 9: Wise Use
The wise use of wetlands is strengthened through integrated resource management at the appropriate scale, inter alia, within a river basin or along a coastal zone (1.3.). Contributes to Aichi Targets 4, 6, 7.

Target 9: Wise Use - Priority
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=High
☐ B=Medium
☐ C=Low
☐ D=Not relevant
☐ E=No answer

Target 9: Wise Use - Resourcing
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Good
☐ B=Adequate
☐ C=Limiting
☐ D=Severely limiting
☐ E=No answer

Target 9: Wise Use - National Targets

Target 9: Wise Use - Planned activity

Target 9: Wise Use - Outcomes achieved by 2018
Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals
**Note:** this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018


Target 10: Traditional Knowledge

The traditional knowledge innovations and practices of indigenous peoples and local communities relevant for the wise use of wetlands and their customary use of wetland resources, are documented, respected, subject to national legislation and relevant international obligations and fully integrated and reflected in the implementation of the Convention with a full and effective participation of indigenous and local communities at all relevant levels. Contributes to Aichi Target 18.

Target 10: Traditional Knowledge - Priority

Please select only one option
☐ A=High
☐ B=Medium
☐ C=Low
☐ D=Not relevant
☐ E=No answer

Target 10: Traditional Knowledge - Resourcing

Please select only one option
☐ A=Good
☐ B=Adequate
☐ C=Limiting
☐ D=Severely limiting
☐ E=No answer

Target 10: Traditional Knowledge - National Targets

Target 10: Traditional Knowledge - Planned activity

Target 10: Traditional Knowledge - Outcomes achieved by 2018

Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals

Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018
**Target 11: Wetland functions**

Wetland functions, services and benefits are widely demonstrated, documented and disseminated. {1.4.}. Contributes to Aichi Targets 1, 2, 13, 14.

**Target 11: Wetland functions - Priority**

*Please select only one option*
- ☐ A=High
- ☐ B=Medium
- ☐ C=Low
- ☐ D=Not relevant
- ☐ E=No answer

**Target 11: Wetland functions - Resourcing**

*Please select only one option*
- ☐ A=Good
- ☐ B=Adequate
- ☐ C=Limiting
- ☐ D=Severely limiting
- ☐ E=No answer

**Target 11: Wetland functions - National Targets**

>

**Target 11: Wetland functions - Planned activity**

>

**Target 11: Wetland functions - Outcomes achieved by 2018**

Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals

**Note:** this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018

>
**Target 12: Restoration**

Restoration is in progress in degraded wetlands, with priority to wetlands that are relevant for biodiversity conservation, disaster risk reduction, livelihoods and/or climate change mitigation and adaptation.\(^{1.8.}\). Contributes to Aichi Targets 14 and 15.

**Target 12: Restoration - Priority**  
*Please select only one option*
- ☐ A=High  
- ☐ B=Medium  
- ☐ C=Low  
- ☐ D=Not relevant  
- ☐ E=No answer

**Target 12: Restoration - Resourcing**  
*Please select only one option*
- ☐ A=Good  
- ☐ B=Adequate  
- ☐ C=Limiting  
- ☐ D=Severely limiting  
- ☐ E=No answer

**Target 12: Restoration - National Targets**

**Target 12: Restoration - Planned activity**

**Target 12: Restoration - Outcomes achieved by 2018**

Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals  
**Note:** this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018
Target 13: Enhanced sustainability

Enhanced sustainability of key sectors such as water, energy, mining, agriculture, tourism, urban development, infrastructure, industry, forestry, aquaculture and fisheries when they affect wetlands, contributing to biodiversity conservation and human livelihoods. Contributes to Aichi Targets 6 and 7.

Target 13: Enhanced sustainability - Priority

Please select only one option

☐ A=High
☐ B=Medium
☐ C=Low
☐ D=Not relevant
☐ E=No answer

Target 13: Enhanced sustainability - Resourcing

Please select only one option

☐ A=Good
☐ B=Adequate
☐ C=Limiting
☐ D=Severely limiting
☐ E=No answer

Target 13: Enhanced sustainability - National Targets

Target 13: Enhanced sustainability - Planned activity

Target 13: Enhanced sustainability - Outcomes achieved by 2018

Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals

Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018

>
Goal 4

Target 15: Regional Initiatives
Ramsar Regional Initiatives with the active involvement and support of the Parties in each region are reinforced and developed into effective tools to assist in the full implementation of the Convention. {3.2.}

Target 15: Regional Initiatives - Priority
Please select only one option
☐ A=High
☐ B=Medium
☐ C=Low
☐ D=Not relevant
☐ E=No answer

Target 15: Regional Initiatives - Resourcing
Please select only one option
☐ A=Good
☐ B=Adequate
☐ C=Limiting
☐ D=Severely limiting
☐ E=No answer

Target 15: Regional Initiatives - National Targets
>

Target 15: Regional Initiatives - Planned activity
>

Target 15: Regional Initiatives - Outcomes achieved by 2018
Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals
Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018
>

Ramsar National Report to COP13 [Jenny Lonnstad]
Target 16: Wetlands conservation and wise use
Wetlands conservation and wise use are mainstreamed through communication, capacity development, education, participation and awareness {4.1}. Contributes to Aichi Target 1 and 18.

Target 16: Wetlands conservation and wise use - Priority
Please select only one option
☐ A=High
☐ B=Medium
☐ C=Low
☐ D=Not relevant
☐ E=No answer

Target 16: Wetlands conservation and wise use - Resourcing
Please select only one option
☐ A=Good
☐ B=Adequate
☐ C=Limiting
☐ D=Severely limiting
☐ E=No answer

Target 16: Wetlands conservation and wise use - National Targets

Target 16: Wetlands conservation and wise use - Planned activity

Target 16: Wetlands conservation and wise use - Outcomes achieved by 2018
Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals
Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018
Target 17: Financial and other resources
Financial and other resources for effectively implementing the fourth Ramsar Strategic Plan 2016 – 2024 from all sources are made available. {4.2.} Contributes to Aichi Target 20.

Target 17: Financial and other resources - Priority
Please select only one option
☐ A=High
☐ B=Medium
☐ C=Low
☐ D=Not relevant
☐ E=No answer

Target 17: Financial and other resources - Resourcing
Please select only one option
☐ A=Good
☐ B=Adequate
☐ C=Limiting
☐ D=Severely limiting
☐ E=No answer

Target 17: Financial and other resources - National Targets

Target 17: Financial and other resources - Planned activity

Target 17: Financial and other resources - Outcomes achieved by 2018
Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals.
Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018


**Target 18: International cooperation**

International cooperation is strengthened at all levels {3.1}

**Target 18: International cooperation - Priority**
*Please select only one option*

☐ A=High  
☐ B=Medium  
☐ C=Low  
☐ D=Not relevant  
☐ E=No answer

**Target 18: International cooperation - Resourcing**
*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Good  
☐ B=Adequate  
☐ C=Limiting  
☐ D=Severely limiting  
☐ E=No answer

**Target 18: International cooperation - National Targets**

**Target 18: International cooperation - Planned activity**

**Target 18: International cooperation - Outcomes achieved by 2018**

Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals

**Note:** this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018


**Target 19: Capacity Building**

Capacity building for implementation of the Convention and the 4th Ramsar Strategic Plan 2016 – 2024 is enhanced. Contributes to Aichi Targets 1 and 17.

**Target 19: Capacity Building - Priority**

*Please select only one option*

- [ ] A=High
- [ ] B=Medium
- [ ] C=Low
- [ ] D=Not relevant
- [ ] E=No answer

**Target 19: Capacity Building - Resourcing**

*Please select only one option*

- [ ] A=Good
- [ ] B=Adequate
- [ ] C=Limiting
- [ ] D=Severely limiting
- [ ] E=No answer

**Target 19: Capacity Building - National Targets**


**Target 19: Capacity Building - Planned activity**


**Target 19: Capacity Building - Outcomes achieved by 2018**

Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals

*Note:* this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018
Section 5: Optional annex to allow any Contracting Party that so wishes to provide additional information regarding any of all of its designated Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Sites)

Guidance for filling in this section

1. Contracting Parties can provide additional information specific to any or all of their designated Ramsar Sites, given that the situation and status of individual Ramsar Sites can differ greatly within the territory of a Contracting Party.
2. The only indicator questions included in this section are those from Section 3 of the COP13 NRF which directly concern Ramsar Sites.
3. In some cases, to make them meaningful in the context of reporting on each Ramsar Site separately, some of these indicator questions and/or their answer options have been adjusted from their formulation in Section 3 of the COP13 NRF.
4. Please include information on only one site in each row. In the appropriate columns please add the name and official site number (from the Ramsar Sites Information Service).
5. For each ‘indicator question’, please select one answer from the legend.
6. A final column of this Annex is provided as a ‘free text’ box for the inclusion of any additional information concerning the Ramsar Site.

Sweden

Aloppkölen-Köpmankölen (1113)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Asköviken-Sörfljärden (1114)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned
Any additional comments/information about the site

**Blaikfjället (2167)**

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes  ☐ B=No  ☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes  ☐ B=No  ☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes  ☐ B=No  ☐ C=Partially  ☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes  ☐ B=No  ☐ C=Partially  ☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes  ☐ B=No  ☐ C=Partially  ☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes  ☐ B=No  ☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes  ☐ B=No  ☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

**Blekinge archipelago (1115)**

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes  ☐ B=No  ☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the
year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of
the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

> 

Dalälven-Färnebofjärden (1116)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

*Dättern (432)*

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ C=Partially
- □ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

*Please select only one option*

- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

*Dumme mosse (1117)*

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

*Please select only one option*

- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

*Please select only one option*

- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ C=Partially
- □ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ C=Partially
- □ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ C=Partially
- □ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

- □ A=Yes
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Emån (1118)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site
Falsterbo – (Bay of) Foteviken (14)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Fylleån (1119)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of
the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Gammelstadsviken (27)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

> Getapulien-Grönbo (2168)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

*Please select only one option*

- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

> Getterön (19)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

*Please select only one option*

- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

*Please select only one option*

- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ C=Partially
- □ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ C=Partially
- □ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ C=Partially
- □ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ D=Planned
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

> Gotland, east coast (21)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
- A=Yes
- B=No
- C=Partially
- D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
- A=Yes
- B=No
- C=Partially
- Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
- A=Yes
- B=No
- C=Partially
- Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

> Gullhög-Tönningfloarna (2169)
5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Gustavsmurarna-Tröskens rikkärr (2170)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?  
*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  
*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  
*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?  
*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?  
*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site:

Helgeån (16)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?  
*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.  
*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?  
*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  
*Please select only one option*  
☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?  
*Please select only one option*  
☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?  
*Please select only one option*  
☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site:

Hjälstaviken (25)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?  
*Please select only one option*  
☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.  
*Please select only one option*  
☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?  
*Please select only one option*  
☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  
*Please select only one option*  
☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  
*Please select only one option*  
☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

- ☐ A=Yes
- ☐ B=No
- ☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

*Please select only one option*

- ☐ A=Yes
- ☐ B=No
- ☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Hornborgasjön (22)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

*Please select only one option*

- ☐ A=Yes
- ☐ B=No
- ☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

*Please select only one option*

- ☐ A=Yes
- ☐ B=No
- ☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

- ☐ A=Yes
- ☐ B=No
- ☐ C=Partially
- ☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

- ☐ A=Yes
- ☐ B=No
- ☐ C=Partially
- ☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

- ☐ A=Yes
- ☐ B=No
- ☐ C=Partially
- ☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

- ☐ A=Yes
- ☐ B=No
- ☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
Hovran area (437)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.
- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
- A=Yes
- B=No
- C=Partially
- D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
- A=Yes
- B=No
- C=Partially
- Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
- A=Yes
- B=No
- C=Partially
- Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

Kallgate-Hejnum (1120)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

>
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Kilsviken (434)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ C=Partially
- □ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ C=Partially
- □ D=Planned

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ C=Partially
- □ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Klingavälsån-Krankesjön (15)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
- □ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- □ C=Partially
- □ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
- □ A=Yes
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Komosse (1121)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Koppången (2171)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes
Any additional comments/information about the site

**Kvismaren (24)**

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

> 

**Laidaure (31)**

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information. 
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? 
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? 
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? 
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?  
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)? 
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Lake Ånnsjön (26)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?  
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information. 
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Lake Åsnen (429)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Lake Östen (433)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Lake Persöfjärden (28)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned
Lundåkra Bay (1122)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
- [ ] A=Yes
- [ ] B=No
- [ ] D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.
- [ ] A=Yes
- [ ] B=No
- [ ] D=Planned

Mannavuoma (2172)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
- [ ] A=Yes
- [ ] B=No
- [ ] D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the...
year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

> Mellanljusnan (2173)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Mellerstön (2174)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*
- ☐ A=Yes
- ☐ B=No
- ☐ C=Partially
- ☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*
- ☐ A=Yes
- ☐ B=No
- ☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

*Please select only one option*
- ☐ A=Yes
- ☐ B=No
- ☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

> Mörrumsån-Pukavik Bay (1123)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

*Please select only one option*
- ☐ A=Yes
- ☐ B=No
- ☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

*Please select only one option*
- ☐ A=Yes
- ☐ B=No
- ☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*
- ☐ A=Yes
- ☐ B=No
- ☐ C=Partially
- ☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*
- ☐ A=Yes
- ☐ B=No
- ☐ C=Partially
- ☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*
- ☐ A=Yes
- ☐ B=No
- ☐ C=Partially
- ☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*
- ☐ A=Yes
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Mossaträsk-Stormyran (1124)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site
Nittälven (2175)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.
*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
- B=No
- C=Partially
- D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
- B=No
- C=Partially
- Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
- B=No
- C=Partially
- Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Nordre älv estuary (1125)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of
the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

> Öland, eastern coastal areas (18)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Oldflån-Flån (1126)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site:

Oset-Rynningeviken (2265)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Ottenby (17)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Päivävuoma (2176)
5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Pirttimysvuoma (2177)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Rappomyran (2178)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  
Please select only one option  
☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?  
Please select only one option  
☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?  
Please select only one option  
☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site  
>

**Sikåsvågarna (2260)**

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?  
Please select only one option  
☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.  
Please select only one option  
☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?  
Please select only one option  
☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  
Please select only one option  
☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  
Please select only one option  
☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Sjaunja (32)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
Skälderviken (1127)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Södra Bråviken (1128)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

> 

Stigfjorden (431)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
  Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
  Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
  Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
  Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
  Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Stockholm, outer archipelago (435)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
  Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.
  Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
  Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
  Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes

Ramsar National Report to COP13 [Jenny Lonnstad]
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
- B=No
- C=Partially
- Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

> Store Mosse and Kävsjön (20)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.
*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
- B=No
- C=Partially
- D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
- B=No
- C=Partially
- Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
- B=No
- C=Partially
- Z=No Management Plan
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Storkölen (1129)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
Any additional comments/information about the site

Sulsjön-Sulån (1130)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Svartån (436)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.  
*Please select only one option*  
☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?  
*Please select only one option*  
☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  
*Please select only one option*  
☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  
*Please select only one option*  
☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?  
*Please select only one option*  
☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?  
*Please select only one option*  
☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

>Tåkern (23)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?  
*Please select only one option*  
☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.  
*Please select only one option*  
☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Tärnasjön (29)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Tavvavuoma (33)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Tjålmejaure-Laisdalen Valley (30)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned
Any additional comments/information about the site

Tönnersjöheden-Årshultsmyren (1131)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?  
*Please select only one option*  
- ☐ A=Yes  
- ☐ B=No  
- ☐ D=Planned  

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.  
*Please select only one option*  
- ☐ A=Yes  
- ☐ B=No  
- ☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?  
*Please select only one option*  
- ☐ A=Yes  
- ☐ B=No  
- ☐ C=Partially  
- ☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  
*Please select only one option*  
- ☐ A=Yes  
- ☐ B=No  
- ☐ C=Partially  
- ☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  
*Please select only one option*  
- ☐ A=Yes  
- ☐ B=No  
- ☐ C=Partially  
- ☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?  
*Please select only one option*  
- ☐ A=Yes  
- ☐ B=No  
- ☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?  
*Please select only one option*  
- ☐ A=Yes  
- ☐ B=No  
- ☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Träslövsläge-Morups Tånge (430)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?  
*Please select only one option*  
- ☐ A=Yes  
- ☐ B=No  
- ☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the
year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Tysjöarna (1132)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Umeälv delta (438)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? 

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? 

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)? 

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

\[\]

**Vasikkavuoma (2179)**

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site? 

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information. 

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? 

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? 

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? 

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? 

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

*Please select only one option*

- ☐ A=Yes
- ☐ B=No
- ☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

> **Västra Roxen (1133)**

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

*Please select only one option*

- ☐ A=Yes
- ☐ B=No
- ☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

*Please select only one option*

- ☐ A=Yes
- ☐ B=No
- ☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

- ☐ A=Yes
- ☐ B=No
- ☐ C=Partially
- ☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

- ☐ A=Yes
- ☐ B=No
- ☐ C=Partially
- ☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

- ☐ A=Yes
- ☐ B=No
- ☐ C=Partially
- ☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

- ☐ A=Yes
- ☐ B=No
- ☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

*Please select only one option*

- ☐ A=Yes
- ☐ B=No
- ☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site
**Vattenån (2180)**

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

*Please select only one option*

- ☐ A=Yes
- ☐ B=No
- ☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

*Please select only one option*

- ☐ A=Yes
- ☐ B=No
- ☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

- ☐ A=Yes
- ☐ B=No
- ☐ C=Partially
- ☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

- ☐ A=Yes
- ☐ B=No
- ☐ C=Partially
- ☐ D=Planned

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

- ☐ A=Yes
- ☐ B=No
- ☐ C=Partially
- ☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

- ☐ A=Yes
- ☐ B=No
- ☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

*Please select only one option*

- ☐ A=Yes
- ☐ B=No
- ☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

> 

**Vindelälven (2181)**

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

*Please select only one option*

- ☐ A=Yes
- ☐ B=No
- ☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of
the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site