### Ramsar National Report to COP13

#### **COP13 National Report**

#### **Background information**

- 1. The COP13 National Report Format (NRF) has been approved by the Standing Committee 52 for the Ramsar Convention's Contracting Parties to complete as their national reporting to the 13th meeting of the Conference of the Contracting Parties of the Convention (United Arab Emirates, 2018).
- 2. The Standing Committee through Decision SC52-07 has also agreed that an online National Reporting format could be made available to Parties by keeping the off-line system and requested the Secretariat to present an evaluation for the next COP regarding the use of the on-line system.
- 3. The National Report Format is being issued by the Secretariat in 2016 to facilitate Contracting Parties' implementation planning and preparations for completing the Report. The deadline for submission of national targets is by 30 November 2016 and the deadline for submission of completed National Reports is January 21st 2018.
- 4. Following Standing Committee discussions, this COP13 NRF closely follows that of the NRF used for COP12, to permit continuity of reporting and analysis of implementation progress by ensuring that indicator questions are as far as possible consistent with previous NRFs (and especially the COP12 NRF). It is also structured in terms of the Goals and Strategies of the 2016-2024 Ramsar Strategic Plan adopted at COP12 as Resolution XII.2.
- 5. This COP13 NRF includes 92 indicator questions. In addition, Section 4 is provided as an optional Annex in order to facilitate the task of preparing the Party's National Targets and Actions for the implementation of each of the targets of the Strategic Plan 2016-2024 according to Resolution XII.2.
- 6. As was the case for previous NRF, the COP13 Format includes an optional section (Section 5) to permit a Contracting Party to provide additional information, on indicators relevant to each individual Wetland of International Importance (Ramsar Site) within its territory.
- 7. Note that, for the purposes of this national reporting to the Ramsar Convention, the scope of the term "wetland" is that of the Convention text, i.e. all inland wetlands (including lakes and rivers), all nearshore coastal wetlands (including tidal marshes, mangroves and coral reefs) and human-made wetlands (e.g. rice paddy and reservoirs), even if a national definition of "wetland" may differ from that adopted by the Contracting Parties to the Ramsar Convention.

#### The purposes and uses of national reporting to the Conference of the Contracting Parties

- 8. National Reports from Contracting Parties are official documents of the Convention and are made publicly available on the Convention's website.
- 9. There are seven main purposes for the Convention's National Reports. These are to:
- i) provide data and information on how, and to what extent, the Convention is being implemented
- ii) provide tools for countries for their national planning
- iii) capture lessons and experience to help Parties plan future action;
- iv) identify emerging issues and implementation challenges faced by Parties that may require further attention from the Conference of the Parties;
- v) provide a means for Parties to account for their commitments under the Convention;
- vi) provide each Party with a tool to help it assess and monitor its progress in implementing the Convention, and to plan its future priorities; and
- vii) provide an opportunity for Parties to draw attention to their achievements during the triennium.
- 10. The data and information provided by Parties in their National Reports have another valuable purpose as well, since a number of the indicators in the National Reports on Parties' implementation provide key sources of information for the analysis and assessment of the "ecological outcome-oriented indicators of

effectiveness of the implementation of the Convention".

- 11. To facilitate the analysis and subsequent use of the data and information provided by Contracting Parties in their National Reports, the Ramsar Secretariat holds in a database all the information it has received and verified. The COP13 reports will be in an online National Reporting system.
- 12. The Convention's National Reports are used in a number of ways. These include:
- i) providing an opportunity to compile and analyze information that contracting parties can use to inform their national planning and programming.
- ii) providing the basis for reporting by the Secretariat to each meeting of the Conference of the Parties on the global, national and regional implementation, and the progress in implementation, of the Convention. This is provided to Parties at the COP as a series of Information Papers, including:
  - \* the Report of the Secretary General on the implementation of the Convention at the global level;
- \* the Report of the Secretary General pursuant to Article 8.2 (b), (c), and (d) concerning the List of Wetlands of International Importance); and
- \* the reports providing regional overviews of the implementation of the Convention and its Strategic Plan in each Ramsar region;
- iii) providing information on specific implementation issues in support of the provision of advice and decisions by Parties at the COP.
- iv) providing the source data for time-series assessments of progress on specific aspects in the implementation of the Convention included in other Convention products. An example is the summary of progress since COP3 (Regina, 1997) in the development of National Wetland Policies, included as Table 1 in Ramsar Wise Use Handbook 2 (4th edition, 2010); and
- v) providing information for reporting to the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) on the national implementation of the CBD/Ramsar Joint Work Plan and the Ramsar Convention's lead implementation role on wetlands for the CBD. In particular, the Ramsar Secretariat and STRP used the COP10 NRF indicators extensively in 2009 to prepare contributions to the in-depth review of the CBD programme of work on the biological diversity of inland water ecosystems for consideration by CBD SBSTTA14 and COP10 during 2010 (see UNEP/CBD/SBSTTA/14/3). Similar use of COP12 NRF indicators is anticipated for the CBD's next such in-depth review.

#### The structure of the COP13 National Report Format

**Section 1** provides the institutional information about the Administrative Authority and National Focal Points for the national implementation of the Convention.

**Section 2** is a 'free-text' section in which the Party is invited to provide a summary of various aspects of national implementation progress and recommendations for the future.

**Section 3** provides the 92 implementation indicator questions, grouped under each Convention implementation Goals and Targets in the Strategic Plan 2016-2024, and with an optional 'free-text' section under each indicator question in which the Contracting Party may, if it wishes, add further information on national implementation of that activity.

**Section 4** is an optional annex to allow any Contracting Party that has developed national targets to provide information on the targets and actions for the implementation of each of the targets of the Strategic Plan 2016-2024.

In line with Resolution XII.2, which encourages Contracting Parties "to develop and submit to the Secretariat on or before December 2016, and according to their national priorities, capabilities and resources, their own quantifiable and time-bound national and regional targets in line with the targets set in the Strategic Plan", all Parties are encouraged to consider using this comprehensive national planning tool as soon as possible, in order to identify the areas of highest priority for action and the relevant national targets and actions for each target.

The planning of national targets offers, for each of them, the possibility of indicating the national priority for that area of activity as well as the level of resourcing available, or that could be made available during the triennium, for its implementation. In addition, there are specific boxes to indicate the National Targets for implementation by 2018 and the planned national activities that are designed to deliver these targets. Ramsar Strategic Plan 2016-2024 shows the synergies between CBD Aichi Biodiversity Targets and Ramsar Targets. Therefore, the NRF provide an opportunity that Contracting Parties indicate as appropriate how the actions they undertake for the implementation of the Ramsar Convention contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets according to paragraph 51 of Resolution XII.3.

**Section 5** is an optional annex to allow any Contracting Party that so wishes to provide additional information regarding any or all of its Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Sites).

#### General guidance for completing and submitting the COP13 National Report Format

All Sections of the COP13 NRF should be completed in one of the Convention's official languages (English, French, Spanish).

The deadline for submission of the completed NRF is January 21st **2018**. It will not be possible to include information from National Reports received after that date in the analysis and reporting on Convention implementation to COP13.

The deadline for submission of national targets is by 30 November 2016

To help Contracting Parties refer to relevant information they provided in their National Report to COP12, for each appropriate indicator a cross-reference is provided to the equivalent indicator(s) in the COP12 NRF or previous NRF, shown thus:  $\{x.x.x\}$ 

For follow up and where appropriate, a cross-reference is also provided to the relevant Key Result Area (KRA) relating to Contracting Parties implementation in the Strategic Plan 2009-2015.

Only Strategic Plan 2016-2024 Targets for which there are implementation actions for Contracting Parties are included in this reporting format; those targets of the Strategic Plan that do not refer directly to Parties are omitted (e.g. targets 6 and 14).

For each indicator question you can choose only one answer. If you wish to provide further information or clarification, do so in the additional information box below the relevant indicator question. Please be as concise as possible (**maximum of 500 words** in each free-text box).

The NRF should ideally be completed by the principal compiler in consultation with relevant colleagues in their agency and others within the government and, as appropriate, with NGOs and other stakeholders who might have fuller knowledge of aspects of the Party's overall implementation of the Convention. The principal compiler can save the document at any point and return to it later to continue or to amend answers. Compilers should refer back to the National Report submitted for COP12 to ensure the continuity and consistency of information provided.

If you have any questions or problems, please contact the Ramsar Secretariat for advice (nationalreports@ramsar.org).

#### **Section 1: Institutional Information**

**Important note**: the responses below will be considered by the Ramsar Secretariat as the definitive list of your focal points, and will be used to update the information it holds. The Secretariat's current information about your focal points is available at http://www.ramsar.org/search-contact.

#### Name of Contracting Party

The completed National Report **must be accompanied by a letter** in the name of the Head of Administrative Authority, confirming that this is the Contracting Party's official submission of its COP13 National Report. It can be attached to this question using the "Manage documents" function (blue symbol below)

> Official submission for COP13 Romanian National Report

You have attached the following documents to this answer.

official letter for submission the report 2018.pdf - Official submission for COP13 Romanian National Report

#### **Designated Ramsar Administrative Authority**

#### Name of Administrative Authority

> Ministry of Environment, Directorate for Biodiversity

#### Head of Administrative Authority - name and title

> Gratiela Leocadia Gavrilescu, Deputy Prime Minister, Minister of Environment

#### Mailing address

> Libertatii Blvd., No.12, district 5, Bucharest, Romania

#### Telephone/Fax

> +4 021 408 95 46/+4 021 316 02 87

#### Email

> cabinet.ministru@mmediu.ro

#### **Designated National Focal Point for Ramsar Convention Matters**

#### Name and title

> Roxana Ionescu, senior adviser

#### Mailing address

> Libertatii Blvd., No.12, district 5, Bucharest, Romania

#### Telephone/Fax

> +4 021 408 95 46/+4 021 316 02 87

#### **Email**

> roxana.ionescu@mmediu.ro

# Designated National Focal Point for Matters Relating to The Scientific and Technical Review Panel (STRP)

#### Name and title

> Grigore BABOIANU, PhD

#### Name of organisation

> Danube Delta Biosphere Reserve Authority

#### Mailing address

> 34A, Portului Street, 820243, Tulcea, Tulcea County, Romania

#### Telephone/Fax

> +40 (0) 240 518945 / +40 (0) 240 518975

#### Email

> gbaboianu@ddbra.ro

## Designated Government National Focal Point for Matters Relating to The Programme on Communication, Education, Participation and Awareness (CEPA)

#### Name and title

> Antoaneta Oprișan, senior adviser

#### Name of organisation

> Ministry of Environment, Directorate for Biodiversity

#### Mailing address

> Libertatii Blvd., No.12, district 5, Bucharest, Romania

#### Telephone/Fax

> +4 021 408 95 46/+4 021 316 02 87

#### **Email**

> antoaneta.oprisan@mmediu.ro

## Designated Non-Government National Focal Point for Matters Relating to The Programme on Communication, Education, Participation and Awareness (CEPA)

#### Name and title

> Marius Vlaicu, senior researcher

#### Name of organisation

> Institute of Speology "Emil Racoviță"

#### Mailing address

> Calea 13 Septembrie, no. 13, district 5, Bucharest, Romania

#### Telephone/Fax

> Telefon / Fax: 021 - 318.81.32

#### Email

> mariusv\_iser@yahoo.com

# Section 2: General summary of national implementation progress and challenges

In your country, in the past triennium (i.e., since COP12 reporting)

### A. What have been the five most successful aspects of implementation of the Convention?

1)

> Integrated Management Plan and Ecosystem services assessment for Danube Delta Biosphere Reserve.

2)

> Collaboration with Carpathian Wetland Initiative on the project "Conservation of the Natural and Cultural Heritage in Wetlands" – "Ramsar Culture Network Development in the Carpathian Region"

3)

> Participation in the BlackSeaWet regional initiative for protection of Black Sea and Azov Sea coastal wetlands.

4)

> Annual celebration of the World Wetlands Day has been gaining momentum and events organized all over the country were registered on the convention platform, in 2016 Romania got the 3rd place with 75 registered events.

5)

> There is an increasing national awareness of the importance of wetlands and greater concern for their conservation as wetlands are increasingly perceived as key components of the global life support systems that maintain quality of life and sustain societies and economies.

#### B. What have been the five greatest difficulties in implementing the Convention?

1)

> Increasing pressure from the development activities on all types of wetlands is recognized.

2)

> To ensure financial support for activities developed in the wetlands.

3)

> Wetland conservation takes place within the context of a diversity of pressing environmental issues (endangered species conservation, air and water pollution, land use issues and climate change which requires extremely careful allocation of effort and limited resources.

4)

> Continuing financial constraints and insufficient capacity of conservation staff to survey wetlands.

5)

> The implementation of nature conservation requirements (formulated in the protection and conservation objectives of the sites and/or in the management plans) has proven extremely difficult in terms of gaining the acceptance of those directly affected (users) and the general public. The participation of NGOs in this topic is also extremely heterogeneous.

#### C. What are the five priorities for future implementation of the Convention?

1)

> To finalize the designation of two new Ramsar sites.

2)

> Support for regional initiatives and cooperation, coordination and harmonisation with other MEAs

3)

> To continue implementing the Natura 2000 network (by developing site-specific management plans) together with the Water Framework Directive (WFD) and Marine Strategy Framework Directive (MSFD), alongside the national strategy on biological diversity. The requirements of the Ramsar Convention play a decisive role within the context of implementing NATURA 2000 and biodiversity strategies.

4)

- > To update the Ramsar Information Sheets for all romanian sites.
- 5)
- > To formulate management plans for wetlands of international importance that do not yet have them, where applicable within the context of plans for the Natura 2000 Sites, or in the case of designated Ramsar sites with available management, maintenance and development plans and/or formulated protection and conservation objectives, to make a concerted effort to implement these requirements.
- D. Do you (AA) have any recommendations concerning implementation assistance from the Ramsar Secretariat?
- > To increase cooperation on and support of fund-raising for regional initiatives, mediation of capacity building and communication between Contracting Parties at regional level.
- E. Do you (AA) have any recommendations concerning implementation assistance from the Convention's International Organisation Partners (IOPs)? (including ongoing partnerships and partnerships to develop) > Scientific and technical support of international cooperation, coordination and fund-raising for the regional initiative in the Black Sea Region, Carpathians and the Danube River Network of Protected Areas. Romania's nature conservation organisations are integrated into the social environment in many different ways, and are active at various different levels. There are several NGOs active in Romania that contribute significantly to implement the Convention through their work, but do not function as IOPs in this regard. This NGO work is supported at a national and international level; and its involvement in wetland areas and river basin-related bodies is highly valued.
- F. How can national implementation of the Ramsar Convention be better linked with implementation of other multilateral environmental agreements (MEAs), especially those in the 'biodiversity cluster' (Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD), Convention on Migratory Species (CMS), Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species (CITES), World Heritage Convention (WHC), and United Nations Convention to Combat Desertification (UNCCD) and the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC)?
- > The effort for improved national implementation of the Ramsar Convention and other MEAs (including the Carpathian Convention, Black Sea Convention) are the aims of the Carpathian Wetland Initiative and also of the Black Sea Initiaive, such regional initiatives can be good tools for coordinated support of several countries with similar conditions.
- It is planned to use the CBD Programme of Work on Protected Areas for evaluation of management effectiveness in all protected areas, especially in the Carpathian region.
- G. How can implementation of the Ramsar Convention be better linked with the implementation of water policy/strategy and other strategies in the country (e.g., on sustainable development, energy, extractive industries, poverty reduction, sanitation, food security, biodiversity)?
- > Early involvement of the national specialists for the Ramsar Convention in the teams drafting the strategic documents can ensure appropriate inclusion of the wetland conservation and management priorities and goals into the documents. Regular meetings of implementation teams with participation and involvement of representatives of various sectors and their inclusion in the national Ramsar Committee can harmonize and support better implementation of the Ramsar Convention. Within the context of national nature and environmental protection legislation, and also in compliance with EU regulations, Romania does not view implementation of the Ramsar Convention in isolation. Instead, the challenge is to combine this with implementation of the European Directives, i.e. the Natura 2000 network and the Water Framework Directive, in a gainful way. Conflicts of interest can arise with the Water Framework Directive: inter alia, the 'good status' aspired to by the WFD requires watercourses to be passable for migratory fish species, and proposes the removal of dam structures and avoidance of impoundment. The Water Framework Directive (WFD) and Marine Strategy Framework Directive (MSFD) provide the basis for integrated water resources policy in the EU. Implementation of these Directives will lead to sustainable water use and management. River basins, i.e. all rivers with their tributaries, as well as the related coastal waters and groundwater, are viewed as complete ecosystems that transcend national boundaries. As far as possible, the conservation and use of waters should be harmonized with one another. This extends, for example, to areas such as the use of hydropower and the mining industry. The aim of these Directives is to achieve a good status in all waterbodies. In contrast to their earlier counterparts, these two Directives place a major emphasis on water ecology, and also consider other protected areas that are dependent on waterbodies. As such, implementation of these Directives also helps to conserve biodiversity. Within the framework of the joint implementation strategy of the European Commission and EU Member States, the 'Horizontal guidance on the role of wetlands in the WFD' provides practical assistance.
- H. Do you (AA) have any other general comments on the implementation of the Convention? > No.

- I. Please list the names of the organisations which have been consulted on or have contributed to the information provided in this report
- > Ministry of Environment, Danube Delta Biosphere Reserve Authority, National Environmental Protection Agency, "Romanian Waters" National Administration, Ministry of Waters and Forests

# Section 3: Indicator questions and further implementation information

#### Goal 1. Addressing the drivers of wetland loss and degradation

#### Target 1

Wetland benefits are featured in national/ local policy strategies and plans relating to key sectors such as water, energy, mining, agriculture, tourism, urban development, infrastructure, industry, forestry, aquaculture, fisheries at the national and local level.

1.1 Have wetland issues/benefits been incorporated into other national strategies and planning processes, including: {1.3.2} {1.3.3} KRA 1.3.i

Please select only one per square.

a) National Policy or strategy for wetland management	☐ A=Yes
b) Poverty eradication strategies	☐ A=Yes ☐ B=No ☐ C=Partially ☐ D=Planned ☐ X=Unknown ☐ Y=Not Relevant
c) Water resource management and water efficiency plans	<ul> <li>☑ A=Yes</li> <li>□ B=No</li> <li>□ C=Partially</li> <li>□ D=Planned</li> <li>□ X=Unknown</li> <li>□ Y=Not Relevant</li> </ul>
d) Coastal and marine resource management plans	<ul> <li>☑ A=Yes</li> <li>□ B=No</li> <li>□ C=Partially</li> <li>□ D=Planned</li> <li>□ X=Unknown</li> <li>□ Y=Not Relevant</li> </ul>
e) Integrated Coastal Zone Management Plan	□ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant
f) National forest programmes	☐ A=Yes ☐ B=No ☐ C=Partially ☐ D=Planned ☐ X=Unknown ☐ Y=Not Relevant
g) National policies or measures on agriculture	□ A=Yes □ B=No ☑ C=Partially □ D=Planned □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant
h) National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plans drawn up under the CBD	☐ A=Yes ☐ B=No ☐ C=Partially ☐ D=Planned ☐ X=Unknown ☐ Y=Not Relevant
i) National policies on energy and mining	□ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant

j) National policies on tourism	□ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant	
k) National policies on urban development	□ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant	
I) National policies on infrastructure	□ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant	
m) National policies on industry	□ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant	
n) National policies on aquaculture and fisheries {1.3.3} KRA 1.3.i	□ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant	
o) National plans of actions (NPAs) for pollution control and management	□ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant	
p) National policies on wastewater management and water quality	□ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant	
1.1 Additional inform	nation	
	wetland ecosystem needs for them to fulfil their functior ter alia at the basin level or along a coastal zone	ns and provide services at the
the implementation	v and quality of water available to, and required by, wetlof the Guidelines for the allocation and management of of wetlands (Resolution VIII.1, VIII.2) ? 1.24.	
2.1 Additional Inform	nation	
	nts of environmental flow been undertaken in relation to of wetlands (Action r3.4.iv)	mitigation of impacts on the

2.2 Additional Information
<b>&gt;</b>
2.3 Have Ramsar Sites improved the sustainability of water use in the context of ecosystem requirements?
Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned □ O=No Change □ X=Unknown
2.3 Additional Information
2.4 Have the Guidelines for allocation and management of water for maintaining ecological functions of wetlands (Resolutions VIII.1 and XII.12 ) been used/applied in decision-making processes. (Action 3.4.6.)
Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned
2.4 Additional Information
2.5 Have projects that promote and demonstrate good practice in water allocation and management for maintaining the ecological functions of wetlands been developed (Action r3.4.ix. )
Please select only one option  ☑ A=Yes ☐ B=No ☐ C=Partially ☐ D=Planned
2.5 Additional Information  > http://www.ceeweb.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/04/Wetland-Restoration-Report-CEEweb-Michael-Otto-Project.pdf https://www.icpdr.org/main/publications/new-partnership-wetland-restoration
You have attached the following Web links/URLs to this answer.
Report on Socio-Economic Benefits of Wetland Restoration in Central and Eastern Europe - The report analyses the ecosystem services of Central and Eastern European wetlands. With this research, it was intend to raise awareness regarding the benefits of healthy wetland ecosystems and influence decision makers to devote attention and funding to wetland restoration and management. It was specifically focus on ecosystem services of wetlands and the restoration and improvement of ecosystem service flow through wetland restoration, as we believe that emphasizing the socio-economic benefits of nature conservation has the potential to bring multi-sectorial stakeholders on board of wetland conservation.
A new partnership for wetland restoration - At the end of the 12th Standing Working Group meeting of the ICPDR in Sofia, the WWF - the nature conservation organisation - and The Coca-Cola Company announced a seven-year partnership called 'For a Living Danube'. The partnership aims to restore wetland habitats and floodplains in Hungary, Croatia, Serbia, Romania and Bulgaria and a unique habitat in Austria.
2.6 How many household/municipalities are linked to sewage system? SDG Target 6.3.1.  Please select only one option  □ E=Exact number (households/municipalities)
> □ F=Less than (households/municipalities)

 $\square$  G=More than (households/municipalities)

✓ X=Unknown  □ Y=Not Relevant
2.6 Additional Information
2.7 What is the percentage of sewerage coverage in the country? SDG Target 6.3.1.  Please select only one option  ☑ E=Exact number (percentage)
> 47% □ F=Less than (percentage)
> ☑ G=More than (percentage)
> □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant
2.7 Additional Information
2.8 What is the percentage of users of septic tank/pit latrine? SDG Target 6.3.1.  Please select only one option  □ E=Exact number (percentage)
→ □ F=Less than (percentage)
G=More than (percentage)
> ☑ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant
2.8 Additional Information
2.9 Does the country use constructed wetlands/ponds as wastewater treatment technology? SDG Target 6.3.1.  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant
2.9 Additional Information
2.10 How do the country use constructed wetlands/ponds as wastewater treatment technology perform?  SDG Target 6.3.1.  Please select only one option  □ A=Good  □ B=Not Functioning  □ C=Functioning  □ Q=Obsolete  ☑ X=Unknown  □ Y=Not Relevant
2.10 Additional Information

2.11 How many centralised wastewater treatment plants exist at national level? SDG Target 6.3.1.

Please select only one option  ☑ E=Exact number (plants)
> 490  □ F=Less than (plants)
→ □ G=More than (plants)
>  □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant
2.11 Additional Information
2.12 How is the functional status of the wastewater treatment plants? SDG Target 6.3.1.
Please select only one option  □ A=Good □ B=Not functioning □ C=Functioning □ Q=Obsolete □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant
2.12 Additional Information >
2.13 The percentage of decentralized wastewater treatment technology, including constructed wetlands/ponds is? SDG Target 6.3.1.  Please select only one option  □ A=Good □ B=Not Functioning □ C=Functioning □ Q=Obsolete □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant
2.13 Additional Information
2.14 Is there a wastewater reuse system? SDG Target 6.3.1.  Please select only one option  ☑ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant
2.14 Additional Information
2.15 What Is the purpose of the wastewater reuse system? SDG Target 6.3.1.  Please select only one option  □ R=Agriculture □ S=Landscape □ T=Industrial □ U=Drinking □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant

#### 2.15 Additional Information

Please indicate if the wastewater reuse system is for free or taxed or add any additional information.

**Target 3**Public and private sectors have increased their efforts to apply guidelines and good practices for the wise use of water and wetlands. {1.10}

3.1 Is the private sector encouraged to apply the Ramsar wise use principle and guidance (Ramsar
handbooks for the wise use of wetlands) in its activities and investments concerning wetlands? {1.10.1}
KRA 1.10.i

Please select only one option

☑ A=Yes

□ B=No

☐ C=Partially

☐ D=Planned

#### 3.1 Additional Information

> The methodology of management plans for the Ramsar sites (also Natura 2000 sites) is based on a multistakeholder approach including private parties like the recreational sector, farming and fisheries sector. Sustainable or wise use is the key word.

Individual projects of the private sector in wetland areas – such as industrial plant construction, commercial development or excavations – are subject to the statutory provisions under the Environmental Impact Assessment Act, Construction Code and Nature Conservation Act. These are designed to prevent or compensate for any adverse ecological impacts that may emanate from such measures. Recreational and tourism use impairs the ecological functions and the wild fauna and flora of many wetlands.

Recreational and tourism use impairs the ecological functions and the wild fauna and flora of many wetlands. There are no sustainable approaches as such for shipping and mining. Uses in this respect are decided by the assessment procedure under the Habitats Directive and/or environmental impact assessments.

3.2 Has the private sector undertaken activities or actions for the conservation, wise use and management of  $\{1.10.2\}$  KRA 1.10.ii

Please select only one per square.

a) Ramsar Sites	☐ A=Yes ☐ B=No ☐ C=Partially ☐ D=Planned ☐ X=Unknown ☐ Y=Not Relevant
b) Wetlands in general	☐ A=Yes ☐ B=No ☐ C=Partially ☐ D=Planned ☐ X=Unknown ☐ Y=Not Relevant

#### 3.2 Additional information

> Maintaining of or achieving the favourable conservation status of habitats and sites of international importance is an obligation of the land owner and land user, who can be compensated or can be supported by the financial contribution. Some management activities are implemented with the agreement of the land owners or land users.

3.3 Have actions been taken to implement incentive measures which encourage the conservation and wise use of wetlands?  $\{1.11.1\}$  KRA 1.11.i

Please select only one option

☑ A=Yes

□ B=No

☐ C=Partially

□ D=Planned

#### 3.3 Additional information

> The existing financing measures for the protection and sustainable management of wetland areas in need of conservation-friendly agricultural use – such as management contracts for water meadows – are continuously being adapted in line with recent ecological findings and ecological framework conditions. The EU funding programmes for increasing of agricultural use and the structural fund for rural development have particular significance for wetlands used for agricultural purposes.

3.4 Have actions been taken to remove perverse incentive measures which discourage conservation and

wise use of wetlands? {1.11.2} KRA 1.11.i  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes  □ B=No □ D=Planned □ Z=Not Applicable
3.4 Additional Information  > Romania nature conservation legislation provides the basis for preventing and eliminating mechanisms with an adverse effect; this also applies to implementation of the relevant EU Directives.
<b>Target 4</b> Invasive alien species and pathways of introduction and expansion are identified and prioritized, priority invasive alien species are controlled or eradicated, and management responses are prepared and implemented to prevent their introduction and establishment.
4.1 Does your country have a comprehensive national inventory of invasive alien species that currently or potentially impact the ecological character of wetlands? {1.9.1} KRA 1.9.i  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned
<ul> <li>4.1 Additional information</li> <li>Currently Romania is developing a project "Adequate management of invasive species in Romania in accordance with the EU Regulation no.1143/2014 regarding the prevention and the management of introduction and spread of invasive alien species", which will provide the national inventory of invasive alien species.</li> <li>The Ministry of Environment issued the Ministerial Order which approach the issues regarding the threats from invasive species, but at general level, not focusing especially on wetlands.</li> <li>The elaboration of the list of invasive alien species in all 7 Carpathian countries was included in the project Integrated management of biological and landscape diversity for sustainable regional development and ecological connectivity (BIOREGIO Carpathians).</li> </ul>
4.2 Have national policies or guidelines on invasive species control and management been established or reviewed for wetlands? {1.9.2} KRA 1.9.iii  Please select only one option  ☐ A=Yes ☐ B=No ☐ C=Partially ☐ D=Planned
4.2 Additional information  There are measures proposed in the management plans of protected areas and in the Action Plan of the National Biodiversity Strategy to prevent and control invasive alien species.
4.3 How many invasive species are being controlled through management actions.  Please select only one option  □ E=Exact number (species)
> □ F=Less than (species)
→ □ G=More than (species)
> □ C=Partially □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant
4.3 Additional information
If 'Yes', please indicate the year of assessment and the source of the information

4.4 Have the effectiveness of wetland invasive alien species control programmes been assessed?

Page 15 of 67

Please select only one option  □ A=Yes  □ B=No  □ C=Partially  □ D=Planned  □ X=Unknown  □ Y=Not Relevant
4.4 Additional information
Goal 2. Effectively conserving and managing the Ramsar Site network
<b>Target 5</b> The ecological character of Ramsar Sites is maintained or restored through effective, planning and integrated management {2.1.}
5.1 Have a national strategy and priorities been established for the further designation of Ramsar Sites, using the Strategic Framework for the Ramsar List? {2.1.1} KRA 2.1.i  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned
5.1 Additional information  > Based on the site data available, an assessment of potential Ramsar sites is conceivable: As well as overall representativeness and uniqueness, the criteria for such a review would primarily include those wetland types on the Ramsar list, such as peatlands, alluvial waterbodies, alluvial forests and mountain waterbodies, together with a consideration of key fish populations. National Agency for Natural Protected Areas is planning to forge ahead with this type of development within the framework of a strategy for wetland conservation which is consistent with the objectives of the Ramsar Convention's strategic framework plan.
5.2 Are the Ramsar Sites Information Service and its tools being used in national identification of further Ramsar Sites to designate? {2.2.1} KRA 2.2.ii  Please select only one option  ☐ A=Yes ☐ B=No ☐ D=Planned
5.2 Additional information  > Parties involved in designation proposals are assisted by providing them guidance and awareness of tools available from Ramsar.
5.3 How many Ramsar Sites have an effective, implemented management plan? {2.4.1} KRA 2.4.i  Please select only one option  ☑ E=Exact number (sites)
> 15  □ F=Less than (sites)
G=More than (sites) X=Unknown Y=Not Relevant
5.4 For how many of the Ramsar Sites with a management plan is the plan being implemented? {2.4.2} KRA 2.4.i  Please select only one option  ☑ E=Exact number (sites)
> 13  ☐ F=Less than (sites)
¬ G=More than (sites)
> □ X=Unknown

☐ Y=Not Relevant	
5.5 For how many Ramsar Sites is effective management planning currently being implemented (outside formal management plans ? {2.4.3} KRA 2.4.i  Please select only one option  E=Exact number (sites)	e of
> 10 □ F=Less than (sites)	
→ G=More than (sites)	
> □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant	
5.3 – 5.5 Additional information  Almost all Romanian Ramsar Sites have management and/or maintenance and development plans in accordance with valid nature conservation standards. Other site management plans are currently being drawn up in this way.  During the course of drawing up management plans for the protection of the NATURA 2000 sites under	
national law, management plans were also drawn up for the sites which meet the criteria of the Ramsar Convention.	
5.6 Have all Ramsar sites been assessed regarding the effectiveness of their management (through form management plans where they exist or otherwise through existing actions for appropriate wetland management? {1.6.2} KRA 1.6.ii  Please select only one option  A=Yes  B=No  C=Partially  D=Planned	nal
5.6 Additional information	
5.7 How many Ramsar Sites have a cross-sectoral management committee? {2.4.4} {2.4.6} KRA 2.4.iv  Please select only one option  ☑ E=Exact number (sites)	
> 5 □ F=Less than (sites)	
→ G=More than (sites)	
> □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant	
5.7 Additional information	
If at least 1 site, please give the name and official number of the site or sites  > For all national /natural park administration including Danube Delta Biosphere Reserve, exist a Scientific Council and an Advisory Management Council including all the stakeholders acting inside the PAs or being interested in the PAs. (Danube Delta Biosphere reserve, Small Island of Braila National Park, Mures Floodplain Natural Park, Comana Natural Park, Calimani National Park - includes the Poiana Stampei Peat Bog).	
5.8 For how many Ramsar Sites has an ecological character description been prepared (see Resolution X.15)? {2.4.5}{2.4.7} KRA 2.4.v  Please select only one option  ☑ E=Exact number (sites)	
> 0 □ F=Less than (sites)	
→ □ G=More than (sites)	
>	

<ul><li>□ C=Partially</li><li>□ X=Unknown</li><li>□ Y=Not Relevant</li></ul>
5.8 Additional information
If at least 1 site, please give the name and official number of the site or sites > Virtually all completed and outstanding management plans incorporate an ecological characterisation.
5.9 Have any assessments of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management been made? {2.5.1} KRA 2.5.i  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Some Sites
5.9 Additional information
If 'Yes' or 'Some sites', please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15, and the source of the information  > To date, there has been no analysis of effective management practices in Ramsar Sites. The monitoring envisaged for Natura 2000 sites identical to or overlapping with Ramsar Sites allows conclusions to be drawn regarding the success of management, and any corrections that may be needed based on the observed conservation status.
Target 7
Sites that are at risk of change of ecological character have threats addressed {2.6.}.
7.1 Are mechanisms in place for the Administrative Authority to be informed of negative human-induced changes or likely changes in the ecological character of Ramsar Sites, pursuant to Article 3.2? {2.6.1} KRA 2.6.i  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Some Sites □ D=Planned
7.1 Additional information
If 'Yes' or 'Some sites', please summarise the mechanism or mechanisms established  > All the administrations for Ramsar sites are aware and known that is necessary to report to the  Administrative Authority, the changes or the likely changes in the ecological character of Ramsar sites, pursuant to Article 3.2. as soon as possible. Most Ramsar sites overlap with Natura 2000 network sites and are subject for monitoring and reporting also to the European Commission.  Compulsory monitoring of and reporting on protected Natura 2000 sites, as well as within the context of the Natura 2000, the Water Framework Directive (WFD) and the Marine Strategy Framework Directive (MSFD), will constitute an important basis for identifying changes in the ecological character of wetlands.
7.2 Have all cases of negative human-induced change or likely change in the ecological character of Ramsar Sites been reported to the Ramsar Secretariat, pursuant to Article 3.2? {2.6.2} KRA 2.6.i  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Some Cases □ O=No Negative Change
7.2 Additional information
If 'Yes' or 'Some cases', please indicate for which Ramsar Sites the Administrative Authority has made Article 3.2 reports to the Secretariat, and for which sites such reports of change or likely change have not yet been made > The specialists of administrators/custodians are tasked with monitoring any ecological changes in the Ramsar Sites. Only changes in the ecological character of Ramsar Sites which are classified as being particularly significant at national level are reported to the Ministry of Environment and Ramsar Secretariat by the Ministry of Environment. In accordance with this procedure, not all impairments have been reported to the Secretariat, because - as described in 7.1 - there are other national mechanisms for investigating and assessing such effects and deciding on how to tackle them.

7.3 If applicable, have actions been taken to address the issues for which Ramsar Sites have been listed on the Montreux Record, including requesting a Ramsar Advisory Mission? {2.6.3} KRA 2.6.ii

Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ Z=Not Applicable
7.3 Additional information
If 'Yes', please indicate the actions taken  > No Romanian Ramsar site has been added to the Montreux Record (MR).
Goal 3. Wisely Using All Wetlands
<b>Target 8</b> National wetland inventories have been either initiated, completed or updated and disseminated and used for promoting the conservation and effective management of all wetlands {1.1.1} KRA 1.1.i
8.1 Does your country have a complete National Wetland Inventory? {1.1.1} KRA 1.1.i  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=In Progress □ D=Planned
8.1 Additional information  > Currently Romania is developing a national project focused on updating the existing database and the inventory of habitats and species listed in Annexes of EU Birds Directive 2009/147/EC and EU Habitats Directive 92/43/EEC, including wetland habitats and water-related species. New designations (including wetland sites) were prepared for Natura 2000 network according to the results of the EU biogeographical seminars. The total number of wetlands attributable to the individual categories cannot be stated at present, since there has been no such evaluation to date.  The wetland habitat types defined by the Habitats Directive are subject to systematic monitoring and reporting, and this is used for development purposes in areas whose territory is largely identical to the Ramsar sites.
8.2 Has your country updated a National Wetland Inventory in the last decade?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=In Progress □ C1=Partially □ D=Planned □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant
8.2 Additional information
8.3 Is wetland inventory data and information maintained? {1.1.2} KRA 1.1.ii  Please select only one option  A=Yes  B=No  C=Partially  D=Planned
8.3 Additional information
8.4 Is wetland inventory data and information made accessible to all stakeholders? {1.1.2} KRA 1.1.ii  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned

#### 8.4 Additional information

> All data is available to the authorities and other institutions for the purposes of wetland assessment, the designation of protected areas and plans, as well as management and monitoring of the wetland areas. This

information is available in the same way for all interested groups and individuals, both via the Internet websites of the environment, nature conservation, water and other specialized authorities at local and ministry level, and for viewing directly at the specialized authorities themselves.

8.5 Has the condition\* of wetlands in your country, overall, changed during the last triennium? {1.1.3}

Please describe on the sources of the information on which your answer is based in the free- text box below. If there is a difference between inland and coastal wetland situations, please describe. If you are able to, please describe the principal driver(s) of the change(s).

\* 'Condition' corresponds to ecological character, as defined by the Convention Please select only one per square.

a) Ramsar Sites	<ul><li>□ N=Status Deteriorated</li><li>☑ O=No Change</li><li>□ P=Status Improved</li></ul>
b) Wetlands generally	□ N=Status Deteriorated     □ O=No Change     □ P=Status Improved

#### 8.5 Additional information on a) and/or b)

> a) - The national report pursuant to the Habitats Directive provides an important basis for monitoring and evaluation, and contains data on the conservation status of species and habitats in areas which are entirely or partially identical to the Ramsar Sites. All Romanian Ramsar Sites overlap with the Natura-2000 network by at least 90%. The first assessment of the conservation status of habitat types and species under the Habitats Directive was undertaken in 2013. This assessment also provided a basis for the development and future adaptation of relevant management plans. The report on the Habitat Directive (data up to 2013) shows that the conservation status of Habitat Directive species and habitat types has remained more or less stable. b) - Wetlands are monitored by administration of National/Natural Parks/Natura2000 sites and administrators/custodians of Protected Areas according to national regulation of management of Pas .Information concerning changes in wetland areas is collated, supplemented, updated and administered by the administrators/custodians for more in-depth analyses, evaluations and reports.

8.6 Based upon the National Wetland Inventory if available please provide a baseline figure in square kilometres for the extent of wetlands (according to the Ramsar definition) for the year 2017. SDG Target 6.6

Please select only one option $\square$ E=Exact Number (km2)
› □ F=Less than (km2)
› □ G=More than (km2)
> □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned ☑ X=Unknown
☐ Y=Not Relevant

#### 8.6 Additional information

If the information is available please indicate the % of change in the extent of wetlands over the last three years.

#### Target 9

The wise use of wetlands is strengthened through integrated resource management at the appropriate scale, inter alia, within a river basin or along a coastal zone {1.3.}.

9.1 Is a Wetland Policy (or equivalent instrument) that promotes the wise use of wetlands in place?  $\{1.3.1\}$  KRA 1.3.i

If 'Yes', please give the title and date of the policy in the green text box
Please select only one option
☑ A=Yes
□ B=No

□ C=In Preparation □ D=Planned
9.1 Additional information  In Romania, wetlands issues are part of the comprehensive National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plans 2014-2020 (NBSAP) that was approved in 2013, outlining visions, targets and measures for the conservation and development of coastal region and sea, lakes, rivers, flood plains and peatlands.
9.2 Have any amendments to existing legislation been made to reflect Ramsar commitments? {1.3.5}{1.3.6}  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=In Progress □ D=Planned
9.2 Additional information  > Current legislation adequately meets Romania's Ramsar Convention commitments.
9.3 Do your country's water governance and management systems treat wetlands as natural water infrastructure integral to water resource management at the scale of river basins? {1.7.1} {1.7.2} KRA 1.7.ii  Please select only one option  ☐ A=Yes ☐ B=No ☐ D=Planned
9.3 Additional information  As part of the implementation of the Water Framework Directive.  The law considers wetlands to be part of the water infrastructure and hence an integral part of water resources management at water catchment area level. In particular, cooperation between central and local authorities and river basin districts, equivalent to nature conservation and water planning authorities, has been intensified thanks to the WFD. In accordance with the WFD, groundwater-dependent terrestrial ecosystems are viewed as indicators of groundwater status. A good status can only be achieved if there is no groundwater-related significant damage to groundwater-dependent terrestrial ecosystems. Under the new water legislation procedures, groundwater-dependent terrestrial ecosystems are generally taken into account with the aim of excluding ecological damage. All affected habitats are subject to appropriate monitoring. Additionally, surface waters fall under the protection of the WFD.  Programmes of measures and management plans for the respective river basins were prepared for water catchment areas in application of the WFD's holistic approach.
9.4 Have Communication, Education, Participation and Awareness (CEPA) expertise and tools been incorporated into catchment/river basin planning and management (see Resolution X.19)? {1.7.2}{1.7.3} Please select only one option  ☑ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
9.4 Additional information  In implementing the European Water Framework Directive, the water legislation requires the information and involvement of the general public in the preparation of management plans for river basins and waterbodies. Additionally, the international river basin commissions, such as the International Commissions for the Protection of the Danube, together with a range of environmental protection groups, make valuable contributions to the understanding of such plans through their public relations work and educational courses, as well as by making a range of information materials publicly available.
9.5 Has your country established policies or guidelines for enhancing the role of wetlands in mitigating or adapting to climate change? {1.7.3} {1.7.5} KRA 1.7.iii  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned

9.5 Additional informationRomania's strategy for adapting to climate change recommends promoting synergetic measures for a

variety of climate char hydromorphology, for		include measures to improve the watercourse
	ntaining viable farming	rojects to sustain and enhance the role of wetlands in systems? {1.7.4} {1.7.6} KRA 1.7.v
		O supports habitats of natural and semi-natural grasslands sures and sub-measures.
9.7 Has research to	inform wetland policies	and plans been undertaken in your country on:
{1.6.1} KRA 1.6.i Please select only one pe	er square.	
a) agriculture-wetland interactions	☐ A=Yes ☐ B=No ☑ D=Planned	
b) climate change	□ A=Yes □ B=No ☑ D=Planned	
c) valuation of ecoystem services	□ A=Yes □ B=No ☑ D=Planned	
9.7 Additional inform	mation	
9.8 Has your countr Resolution XII.10 ? Please select only one op □ A=Yes ☑ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned		or Wetland City Accreditation of the Ramsar Convention,
9.8 Additional inform	nation	
If 'Yes', please indicate >	e How many request have	been submitted
for the wise use of w subject to national I	vetlands and their custo egislation and relevant of the Convention with	practices of indigenous peoples and local communities relevant omary use of wetland resources, are documented, respected, international obligations and fully integrated and reflected in a full and effective participation of indigenous and local
	ffective management o	into account the cultural values of wetlands including traditional f sites (Resolution VIII.19) been used or applied?.(Action 6.1.2/

<b>&gt;</b>
10.2 Have case studies, participation in projects or successful experiences on cultural aspects of wetlands been compiled. Resolution VIII.19 and Resolution IX.21? (Action 6.1.6)  Please select only one option  ☐ A=Yes  ☐ B=No  ☐ C=In Preparation  ☐ D=Planned
10.2 Additional information
If yes please indicate the case studies or projects documenting information and experiences concerning culture and wetlands > Collaboration with Carpathian Wetland Initiative on the project "Conservation of the Natural and Cultural Heritage in Wetlands" - "Ramsar Culture Network Development in the Carpathian Region".
10.3 Have the guidelines for establishing and strengthening local communities' and indigenous people's participation in the management of wetlands been used or applied. (Resolution VII. 8) (Action 6.1.5)  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=In Preparation □ D=Planned
10.3 Additional information
If the answer is "yes" please indicate the use or aplication of the guidelines >
10.4 Traditional knowledge and management practices relevant for the wise use of wetlands have been documented and their application encouraged (Action 6.1.2)  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=In Preparation □ D=Planned
10.4 Additional information
<b>Target 11</b> Wetland functions, services and benefits are widely demonstrated, documented and disseminated. {1.4.}
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by Ramsar Sites and other wetlands? {1.4.1} KRA 1.4.ii  Please select only one option  A=Yes B=No C=In Preparation C1=Partially D=Planned X=Unknown Y=Not Relevant
11.1 Additional information
If 'Yes' or 'Partially', please indicate, how many Ramsar Sites and their names  > The services provided by the wetland ecosystems have not yet been assessed in the form of a comprehensive analysis. The assessment of individual services, such as drinking and service water supply, flood protection, hydropower, shipping, fishing, bird-watching and fishing or recreational value, is included in area-specific plans, as well as in sectoral plans.

11.2 Have wetland programmes or projects that contribute to poverty alleviation objectives or food and

Romania is developing through EEA/Norwegian Financial Mechanisms 2014-2021 a project: Demonstrating and promoting natural values to support decision-making in Romania, that has a component regarding assessment

of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by natural protected areas.

10.1 Additional information

water security plans been implemented? {1.4.2} KRA 1.4.1  Please select only one option  A=Yes  B=No  C=Partially  D=Planned  X=Unknown  Y=Not Relevant
11.2 Additional information
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for Ramsar Sites and other wetlands? {1.4.3} {1.4.4} KRA 1.4.iii  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned
11.3 Additional information
If 'Yes' or 'Partially', please indicate, if known, how many Ramsar Sites and their names  > There are some socio-economic included in the management plan of Danube Delta, by involving various interest groups and public institutions within the framework of existing nature conservation, water and planning legislation.
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for Ramsar Sites and other wetlands? {1.4.3}{1.4.4} KRA 1.4.iii  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned
11.4 Additional information
If 'Yes' or 'Partially', please indicate, if known, how many Ramsar Sites and their names  > There are some cultural aspects included in the management plan of Danube Delta, by involving various interest groups and public institutions, due allowance has been made for the various aspects of cultural importance in the relevant wetland area.
Target 12
Restoration is in progress in degraded wetlands, with priority to wetlands that are relevant for biodiversity conservation, disaster risk reduction, livelihoods and/or climate change mitigation and adaptation. {1.8.}
12.1 Have priority sites for wetland restoration been identified? {1.8.1} KRA 1.8.i  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant
12.1 Additional information  In the River basin management plans, Romania committed to restore about 470.000 ha of floodplain by 2021.
12.2 Have wetland restoration/rehabilitation programmes, plans or projects been effectively implemented? {1.8.2} KRA 1.8.i  Please select only one option  ☑ A=Yes  ☐ B=No  ☐ C=Partially  ☐ D=Planned  ☐ X=Unknown

☐ Y=Not Relevant
12.2 Additional information
If 'Yes' or 'Partially', please indicate, if available the extent of wetlands restored  > - Restoring habitats in the Iron Gates Wetlands - Restoring 91E0 and 92A0 habitats (planting Salix alba in Iron Gates wetlands); Restoring habitats for priority birds species  - Rehabilitation and conservation of wetlands and floodplain areas along the Danube and their affluents until 2020, project developed by WWF and Coca Cola  - At the Olt-Danube Confluence, 950 hectares of meadows were re-grown within a four-year project. Today, the Gerai Lake is again home to the red duck and the small cormorant, and the locals in the area have begun to enjoy the benefits that such an area can bring with the services offered by nature.
Target 13
Enhanced sustainability of key sectors such as water, energy, mining, agriculture, tourism, urban development, infrastructure, industry, forestry, aquaculture and fisheries when they affect wetlands, contributing to biodiversity conservation and human livelihoods
13.1 Have actions been taken to enhance sustainability of key sectors such as water, energy, mining, agriculture, tourism, urban development, infrastructure, industry, forestry, aquaculture and fisheries when they affect wetlands?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
13.1. Additional information
If 'Yes', please indicate the actions taken  > The monitoring envisaged for Natura 2000 sites identical to or overlapping with Ramsar Sites allows taking adequate measures when wetlands are affected.
13.2 Are Strategic Environmental Assessment practices applied when reviewing policies, programmes and plans that may impact upon wetlands? {1.3.3} {1.3.4} KRA 1.3.ii  Please select only one option  ☐ A=Yes ☐ B=No ☐ C=Partially ☐ D=Planned
13.2 Additional information  > The competent authorities regularly review any policies, programmes and plans that may impact upon the conservation of wetlands in accordance with the valid legal foundations, such as the regulations on nature conservation intervention, environmental impact assessments (EIA) and strategic environmental assessments (SEA).
13.3 Are Environmental Impact Assessments made for any development projects (such as new buildings, new roads, extractive industry) from key sectors such as water, energy, mining, agriculture, tourism, urban development, infrastructure, industry, forestry, aquaculture and fisheries that may affect wetlands? {1.3.4} {1.3.5} KRA 1.3.iii Please select only one option   ☑ A=Yes   □ B=No   □ C=Some Cases
13.3 Additional information  > The prescribed EIA and SEA Directives under European law have been transposed into a number of national laws. Wetlands covered by Natura 2000 are protected in accordance with the Government Emergency Ordinance no. 57/2007 regarding the regime of natural protected areas, conservation of natural habitats, wild flora and fauna, approved with amendments and completions by Law no. 49/2011. This states that projects with the potential, either individually or in combination with other projects and plans, to significantly impair the site must be reviewed for their compatibility with conservation objectives before they can be approved or

#### **Goal 4. Enhancing implementation**

#### Target 15

executed.

Ramsar Regional Initiatives with the active involvement and support of the Parties in each region are reinforced and developed into effective tools to assist in the full implementation of the Convention. {3.2.} 15.1 Have you (AA) been involved in the development and implementation of a Regional Initiative under the framework of the Convention? {3.2.1} KRA 3.2.i Please select only one option ☑ A=Yes  $\square$  B=No ☐ D=Planned 15.1 Additional information If 'Yes' or 'Planned', please indicate the regional initiative(s) and the collaborating countries of each initiative > Black and Azov Seas Coastal Wetlands Initiative - BlackSeaWet Initiative and Carpathian Wetland Initiative. 15.2 Has your country supported or participated in the development of other regional (i.e., covering more than one country) wetland training and research centres? {3.2.2} Please select only one option □ A=Yes ☑ B=No ☐ D=Planned 15.2 Additional information If 'Yes', please indicate the name(s) of the centre(s) Target 16 Wetlands conservation and wise use are mainstreamed through communication, capacity development, education, participation and awareness {4.1} 16.1 Has an action plan (or plans) for wetland CEPA been established? {4.1.1} KRA 4.1.i Even if no CEPA plans have been developed, if broad CEPA objectives for CEPA actions have been established, please indicate this in the Additional information section below Please select only one per square. a) At the national level □ A=Yes ☑ B=No ☐ C=In Progress □ D=Planned b) Sub national level □ A=Yes B=No □ C=In Progress ☐ D=Planned c) Catchement/basin □ A=Yes level B=No ☐ C=In Progress □ D=Planned d) Local/site level □ A=Yes B=No ☐ C=In Progress ☐ D=Planned 16.1 Additional information If 'Yes' or 'In progress' to one or more of the four questions above, for each please describe the mechanism, who is responsible and identify if it has involved CEPA NFPs 16.2a How many centres (visitor centres, interpretation centres, education centres) have been established? {4.1.2} KRA 4.1.ii a) at Ramsar Sites Please select only one option ☑ E=Exact Number (centres)

 $\square$  F=Less than (centres)

> 11

$\square$ G=More than (centr	es)	
> □ C=Partially □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant		
16.2b How many ce established? {4.1.2} b) at other wetlands Please select only one op ☑ E=Exact Number (co	KRA 4.1.ii	ion centres, education centres) have been
> 0 □ F=Less than (centre	s)	
$\supset$ G=More than (centr	es)	
> □ C=Partially □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant		
16.2 Additional infor	mation	
		ease describe the networks arks are supported by the educational and
	acting Party {4.1.3} KRA 4.1.iii	
Please select only one pe	r square.	
Please select only one pe	er square.	]
a) promote stakeholder participation in decision-making on wetland planning and management	✓ A=Yes  □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned	
a) promote stakeholder participation in decision- making on wetland planning and	A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially	
a) promote stakeholder participation in decision-making on wetland planning and management b) specifically involve local stakeholders in the selection of new Ramsar Sites and in Ramsar Site	✓ A=Yes  □ B=No  □ C=Partially  □ D=Planned  ✓ A=Yes  □ B=No  □ C=Partially  □ D=Planned	
a) promote stakeholder participation in decision-making on wetland planning and management b) specifically involve local stakeholders in the selection of new Ramsar Sites and in Ramsar Site management?  16.3 Additional infor If 'Yes' or 'Partially', play Public participation is for management planninvolved in all relevant	☐ A=Yes ☐ B=No ☐ C=Partially ☐ D=Planned ☐ A=Yes ☐ B=No ☐ C=Partially ☐ D=Planned ☐ C=Partially ☐ D=Planned ☐ D=P	ways in which stakeholders are involved and guidelines for decision making processes and ternational importance. Stakeholders have been st triennium. al public about the opportunities for participation in

#### 16.4 Additional information

If 'Yes', indicate a) its membership; b) number of meetings since COP12; and c) what responsibilities the Committee has

> It will be headed up by the Ministry of Environment and will be formally composed of representatives from the ministry – biodiversity directorate, National Environmental Protection Agency, National Agency for Natural Protected Areas, National Environmental Guard, MEA's national focal points and relevant research institutions,

nature conservation groups and land user groups. 16.5 Do you have an operational cross-sectoral body equivalent to a National Ramsar/Wetlands Committee? {4.1.6} KRA 4.3.v Please select only one option □ A=Yes ☑ B=No ☐ C=Partially ☐ D=Planned ☐ X=Unknown ☐ Y=Not Relevant 16.5 Additional information If 'Yes', indicate a) its membership; b) number of meetings since COP12; and c) what responsibilities the Committee has 16.6 Are other communication mechanisms (apart from a national committee) in place to share Ramsar implementation guidelines and other information between the Administrative Authority and a), b) or c) below? {4.1.7} KRA 4.1.vi: Please select only one per square. a) Ramsar Site managers  $\square$  B=No ☐ C=Partially ☐ D=Planned b) other MEA national ☑ A=Yes focal points □ B=No ☐ C=Partially □ D=Planned c) other ministries, departments and  $\square$  B=No agencies □ C=Partially ☐ D=Planned 16.6 Additional information If 'Yes' or 'Partially', please describe what mechanisms are in place > Sufficient established communication channels exist between the competent authorities, departments and institutions to facilitate the mutual exchange of information. National Environmental Protection Agency and National Agency for Natural Protected Areas are the interface between AA and managers, NFPs and other ministries, departments and agencies. 16.7 Have Ramsar-branded World Wetlands Day activities (whether on 2 February or at another time of year), either government and NGO-led or both, been carried out in the country since COP12? {4.1.8} Please select only one option ☑ A=Yes  $\square$  B=No 16.7 Additional information > All Ramsar sites managers, the Ministry of Environment, The National Environmental Protection Agency and the local Agencies for Environmental Protection develop activities for celebration of World Wetlands Day, such: posters, meetings, broadcast, live television, awareness activities for young people and for children. 16.8 Have campaigns, programmes, and projects (other than for World Wetlands Day-related activities) been carried out since COP12 to raise awareness of the importance of wetlands to people and wildlife and the ecosystem benefits/services provided by wetlands? {4.1.9} Please select only one option ☑ A=Yes

### 16.8 Additional information

 $\square$  B=No ☐ D=Planned

If these and other CEPA activities have been undertaken by other organizations, please indicate this

used to highlight the themes. The activities include exhibitions, lectures, competitions, excursions for schools on a hiking tour with Natura, other educational programmes for school children and teachers, workshops and seminars.

#### Target 17

Financial and other resources for effectively implementing the fourth Ramsar Strategic Plan 2016 – 2024 from all sources are made available. {4.2.}

17.1a Have Ramsar contributions been paid in full for 2015, 2016 and 2017? {4.2.1} KRA 4.2.i  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No
☐ Z=Not Applicable
17.1b If 'No' in 17.1 a), please clarify what plan is in place to ensure future prompt payment
17.2 Has any additional financial support been provided through voluntary contributions to non-core funded Convention activities? {4.2.2} KRA 4.2.i  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No
17.2 Additional information
If 'Yes' please state the amounts, and for which activities >
17.3 [For Contracting Parties with a development assistance agency only ('donor countries')]: Has the agency provided funding to support wetland conservation and management in other countries? {3.3.1} KRA 3.3.i  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ Z=Not Applicable
17.3 Additional information
If 'Yes', please indicate the countries supported since COP12
17.4 [For Contracting Parties with a development assistance agency only ('donor countries')]: Have environmental safeguards and assessments been included in development proposals proposed by the agency? {3.3.2} KRA 3.3.ii  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant □ Z=Not Applicable
17.4 Additional information
17.5 [For Contracting Parties that have received development assistance only ('recipient countries')]: Has funding support been received from development assistance agencies specifically for in-country wetland conservation and management? {3.3.3}  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ Z=Not Applicable
17.5 Additional information
If 'Yes', please indicate from which countries/agencies since COP12

17.6 Has any financial support been provided by your country to the implementation of the Strategic Plan?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes  □ B=No  □ Z=Not Applicable
17.6 Additional information
If "Yes" please state the amounts, and for which activities >
Target 18 International cooperation is strengthened at all levels {3.1}
18.1 Are the national focal points of other MEAs invited to participate in the National Ramsar/Wetland Committee? {3.1.1} {3.1.2} KRAs 3.1.i & 3.1.iv  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned
18.1 Additional information  > It's planned to establish a National Wetland Committee where all national focal points will be part of this (conventions regarding biodiversity, climate change, sustainable development).
18.2 Are mechanisms in place at the national level for collaboration between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the focal points of UN and other global and regional bodies and agencies (e.g. UNEP, UNDP, WHO, FAO, UNECE, ITTO)? $\{3.1.2\}$ $\{3.1.3\}$ KRA 3.1.iv Please select only one option $\square$ A=Yes $\square$ B=No $\square$ C=Partially $\square$ D=Planned
18.2 Additional information  > The focal points of MEAs are employees of the Ministry of Environment. In some cases the same person is the focal point for more environmental international agreements. Some specialists are members of several national committees for different conventions.  NFP for Ramsar Convention is as well a NFP for CHM and BCH under CBD.
18.3 Has your country received assistance from one or more UN and other global and regional bodies and agencies (e.g. UNEP, UNDP, WHO, FAO, UNECE, ITTO) or the Convention's IOPs in its implementation of the Convention? {4.4.1} KRA 4.4.ii.
The IOPs are: BirdLife International, the International Water Management Institute (IWMI), IUCN (International Union fo Conservation of Nature), Wetlands International, WWF and Wildfowl & Wetland Trust (WWT).  Please select only one option  A=Yes  B=No  C=Partially  D=Planned  X=Unknown  Y=Not Relevant
18.3 Additional information
If 'Yes' please name the agency (es) or IOP (s) and the type of assistance received > Overall, a number of nature conservation groups in Romania contribute to the protection and conservation of wetland areas and waterbodies through local, regional and national projects. These include the Romanian Ornithological Society (SOR), which is a Birdlife Partner at international level, being custodian for several Ramsar sites; WWF also being custodian for several sites and the initiator of the designation of transboundary Ramsar Sites: lezerul Călărași - Srebarna, Suhaia - Belene, Bistreț - Ibisha Island.
$18.4$ Have networks, including twinning arrangements, been established, nationally or internationally, for knowledge sharing and training for wetlands that share common features? $\{3.4.1\}$

Please select only one option

□ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned
18.4 Additional information
If 'Yes' or 'Partially', please indicate the networks and wetlands involved >
18.5 Has information about your country's wetlands and/or Ramsar Sites and their status been made public (e.g., through publications or a website)? {3.4.2} KRA 3.4.iv  Please select only one option  ☑ A=Yes ☐ B=No ☐ C=Partially ☐ D=Planned
18.5 Additional information  Information about the country's wetlands including Ramsar sites and their status are public available through both publications and web sites.  (e.g. On the web page www.ddbra.ro were posted reports on the status of the Danube Delta Biosphere Reserve and were produced some awareness materials within several projects with EU funds.)
18.6 Has information about your country's wetlands and/or Ramsar Sites been transmitted to the Ramsar Secretariat for dissemination? {3.4.3} KRA 3.4.ii  Please select only one option  ☑ A=Yes ☐ B=No ☐ C=Partially ☐ D=Planned
18.6 Additional Information >
18.7 Have all transboundary wetland systems been identified? {3.5.1} KRA 3.5.i  Please select only one option  ☑ A=Yes ☐ B=No ☐ D=Planned ☐ Z=Not Applicable
18.7 Additional information  Apart from implementing the Water Framework Directive, the fundamental objectives of the International Commissions set up for most of the river basins are general protection from pollution, improving water quality, coordinating flood protection, and making all aquatic and interlinked terrestrial ecosystems as natural as possible, as well as reinforcing the mutual exchange of information.  As such, transboundary cooperation in waterbody management is one of the most important foundations of an integrative protection strategy and cooperative management mechanism for transboundary river basins.
18.8 Is effective cooperative management in place for shared wetland systems (for example, in shared river basins and coastal zones)? {3.5.2} KRA 3.5.ii  Please select only one option  ☑ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned □ Y=Not Relevant
18.8 Additional information
If 'Yes' or 'Partially', please indicate for which wetland systems such management is in place  > Effective cooperative management is planned for the three transboundary Ramsar sites designated with Bulgaria. Several transboundary projects were implemented in this area.

18.9 Does your country participate in regional networks or initiatives for wetland-dependent migratory species? {3.5.3} KRA 3.5.iii

Please select only one option  ☑ A=Yes  □ B=No  □ D=Planned  □ Z=Not Applicable
18.9 Additional information  > Romania is a Contracting Party to the African-Eurasian Waterbird Agreement (AEWA).  Wetland-dependent migratory species are taken into account when coordinating regular nationwide surveys and targeted surveys of specific within the context of bird species monitoring by the specialist local authorities.
<b>Target 19</b> Capacity building for implementation of the Convention and the 4th Ramsar Strategic Plan 2016 – 2024 is enhanced.
19.1 Has an assessment of national and local training needs for the implementation of the Convention been made? {4.1.4} KRAs 4.1.iv & 4.1.viii  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned
<ul> <li>19.1 Additional information</li> <li>No such assessment has been undertaken to date; furthermore, the structures and capacities of the management institutions and responsibilities in the individual Ramsar Sites are very varied.</li> <li>A comprehensive information campaign about Ramsar Sites, their implementation and their benefits could inspire fresh interest, for example in the tourism sector.</li> </ul>
19.2 Are wetland conservation and wise-use issues included in formal education programmes?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes  □ B=No  ☑ C=Partially  □ D=Planned
19.2 Additional information
If you answer yes to the above please provide information on which mechanisms and materials
19.3a How many opportunities for wetland site manager training have been provided since COP12? {4.1.5} KRA 4.1.iv a) at Ramsar Sites  Please select only one option  ☑ E=Exact number (opportunities)
> 0 □ F=Less than (opportunities)
> □ G=More than (opportunities)
C=Partially  □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant
19.3b How many opportunities for wetland site manager training have been provided since COP12? {4.1.5} KRA 4.1.iv b) at other wetlands Please select only one option  ☑ E=Exact number (Opportunities)
> 0  □ F=Less than (Opportunities)

>

<ul> <li>□ G=More than (Opportunities)</li> <li>□ C=Partially</li> <li>□ X=Unknown</li> <li>□ Y=Not Relevant</li> </ul>
19.3 Additional information
including whether the Ramsar Wise Use Handbooks were used in the training >
19.4 Have you (AA) used your previous Ramsar National Reports in monitoring implementation of the Convention? {4.3.1} KRA 4.3.ii  Please select only one option  ☐ A=Yes ☐ B=No ☐ D=Planned ☐ Z=Not Applicable
10. A Additional information

#### 19.4 Additional information

If 'Yes', please indicate how the Reports have been used for monitoring

> The National Report Format was used in analysis of shortcomings, compiling of annual work plans and drawing of the new action plan for wetlands conservation and wise use in Romania.

# Section 4. Optional annex to allow any Contracting Party that has developed national targets to provide information on those

#### Goal 1

#### **Target 1: Wetland benefits**

Wetland benefits are featured in national / local policy strategies and plans relating to key sectors such as water, energy, mining, agriculture, tourism, urban development, infrastructure, industry, forestry, aquaculture, fisheries at the national and local level. Contributes to Aichi Target 2

Target 1: Wetland benefits - Priority  Please select only one option  □ A=High □ B=Medium □ C=Low
□ D=Not relevant □ E=No answer
Target 1: Wetland benefits - Resourcing  Please select only one option  □ A=Good  □ B=Adequate  □ C=Limiting  □ D=Severely limiting  □ E=No answer
Target 1: Wetland benefits - National Targets
Target 1: Wetland benefits - Planned activity
Target 1: Wetland benefits - Outcomes achieved by 2018
Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals  Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018 >
Target 1: Wetland benefits - Additional Information

#### **Target 2: Water Use**

Water use respects wetland ecosystem needs for them to fulfil their functions and provide services at the appropriate scale inter alia at the basin level or along a coastal zone. Contributes to Aichi Targets 7 and 8 and Sustainable Development Goal 6.3.1

Target 2: Water Use - Priority
Please select only one option
□ A=High □ R=Modium
□ B=Medium □ C=Low
□ D=Not relevant
□ E=No answer
Target 2: Water Use - Resourcing
Please select only one option
□ A=Good □ B=Adequate
□ C=Limiting
☐ D=Severely limiting
□ E=No answer
Target 2: Water Use - National Targets
Target 2: Water Use - Planned activity
Target 2: Water Use - Outcomes achieved by 2018
,
Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals
<b>Note</b> : this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018 >
Target 2: Water Use - Additional Information

**Target 3: Public and private sectors**Public and private sectors have increased their efforts to apply guidelines and good practices for the wise use of water and wetlands.  $\{1.10\}$ . Contributes to Aichi Targets 3, 4, 7 and 8.

Target 3: Public and private sectors - Priority  Please select only one option  □ A=High  □ B=Medium  □ C=Low  □ D=Not relevant  □ E=No answer
Target 3: Public and private sectors - Resourcing  Please select only one option  □ A=Good □ B=Adequate □ C=Limiting □ D=Severely limiting □ E=No answer
Target 3: Public and private sectors - National Targets
Target 3: Public and private sectors - Planned activity
Target 3: Public and private sectors - Outcomes achieved by 2018
Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals  Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018 >
Target 3: Public and private sectors - Additional Information

Page 36 of 67

# **Target 4: Invasive alien species**

Invasive alien species and pathways of introduction and expansion are identified and prioritized, priority invasive alien species are controlled or eradicated, and management responses are prepared and implemented to prevent their introduction and establishment. Contributes to Aichi Target 9.

Target 4: Invasive alien species - Priority  Please select only one option  A=High B=Medium C=Low D=Not relevant E=No answer
Target 4: Invasive alien species - Resourcing  Please select only one option  □ A=Good □ B=Adequate □ C=Limiting □ D=Severely limiting □ E=No answer
Target 4: Invasive alien species - National Targets
Target 4: Invasive alien species - Planned activity
Target 4: Invasive alien species - Outcomes achieved by 2018
Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals  Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018 >
Target 4: Invasive alien species - Additional Information

## Goal 2

# **Target 5: Ecological character of Ramsar Sites**

The ecological character of Ramsar Sites is maintained or restored through effective, planning and integrated management {2.1.}. Contributes to Aichi Target 6,11, 12.

Target 5: Ecological character of Ramsar Sites - Priority  Please select only one option  □ A=High  □ B=Medium  □ C=Low □ D=Not relevant □ E=No answer
Target 5: Ecological character of Ramsar Sites - Resourcing  Please select only one option  □ A=Good  □ B=Adequate  □ C=Limiting  □ D=Severely limiting  □ E=No answer
Target 5: Ecological character of Ramsar Sites - National Targets
Target 5: Ecological character of Ramsar Sites - Planned activity
Target 5: Ecological character of Ramsar Sites - Outcomes achieved by 2018
Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals  Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018 >
Target 5: Ecological character of Ramsar Sites - Additional Information

Page 38 of 67

# **Target 7: Sites at risk**

Sites that are at risk of change of ecological character have threats addressed {2.6.}. Contributes to Aichi Targets 5, 7, 11, 12.

Target 7: Sites at risk - Priority  Please select only one option  □ A=High □ B=Medium □ C=Low □ D=Not relevant □ E=No answer
Target 7: Sites at risk - Resourcing  Please select only one option  □ A=Good  □ B=Adequate  □ C=Limiting  □ D=Severely limiting  □ E=No answer
Target 7: Sites at risk - National Targets
Target 7: Sites at risk - Planned activity
Target 7: Sites at risk - Outcomes achieved by 2018
Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals  Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018  >
Target 7: Sites at risk - Additional Information

## Goal 3

## **Target 8: National wetland inventories**

National wetland inventories have been either initiated, completed or updated and disseminated and used for promoting the conservation and effective management of all wetlands {1.1.1} KRA 1.1.i. Contrubutes to Aichi Targets 12, 14, 18, 19.

Target 8: National wetland inventories - Priority  Please select only one option  □ A=High
□ B=Medium
□ C=Low
□ D=Not relevant
□ E=No answer
Target 8: National wetland inventories - Resourcing  Please select only one option  □ A=Good  □ B=Adequate  □ C=Limiting  □ D=Severely limiting  □ E=No answer
Target 8: National wetland inventories - National Targets
Target 8: National wetland inventories - Planned activity
Target 8: National wetland inventories - Outcomes achieved by 2018
Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals  Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018 >
Target 8: National wetland inventories - Additional Information

# **Target 9: Wise Use**

The wise use of wetlands is strengthened through integrated resource management at the appropriate scale, inter alia, within a river basin or along a coastal zone {1.3.}. Contributes to Aichi Targets 4, 6, 7.

Target 9: Wise Use - Priority  Please select only one option  A=High B=Medium C=Low D=Not relevant E=No answer
Target 9: Wise Use - Resourcing  Please select only one option  A=Good  B=Adequate  C=Limiting  D=Severely limiting  E=No answer
Target 9: Wise Use - National Targets
Target 9: Wise Use - Planned activity
Target 9: Wise Use - Outcomes achieved by 2018
Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals  Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018  >
Target 9: Wise Use - Additional Information

#### **Target 10: Traditional Knowledge**

The traditional knowledge innovations and practices of indigenous peoples and local communities relevant for the wise use of wetlands and their customary use of wetland resources, are documented, respected, subject to national legislation and relevant international obligations and fully integrated and reflected in the implementation of the Convention with a full and effective participation of indigenous and local communities at all relevant levels. Contributes to Aichi Target 18.

Target 10: Traditional Knowledge - Priority  Please select only one option  □ A=High □ B=Medium □ C=Low □ D=Not relevant □ E=No answer
Target 10: Traditional Knowledge - Resourcing  Please select only one option  □ A=Good  □ B=Adequate  □ C=Limiting  □ D=Severely limiting  □ E=No answer
Target 10: Traditional Knowledge - National Targets
Target 10: Traditional Knowledge - Planned activity
Target 10: Traditional Knowledge - Outcomes achieved by 2018
Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals  Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018 >
Target 10: Traditional Knowledge - Additional Information

# **Target 11: Wetland functions**

Wetland functions, services and benefits are widely demonstrated, documented and disseminated. {1.4.}. Contributes to Aichi Targets 1, 2, 13, 14.

Target 11: Wetland functions - Priority  Please select only one option  □ A=High □ B=Medium □ C=Low □ D=Not relevant □ E=No answer
Target 11: Wetland functions - Resourcing  Please select only one option  □ A=Good □ B=Adequate □ C=Limiting □ D=Severely limiting □ E=No answer
Target 11: Wetland functions - National Targets
Target 11: Wetland functions - Planned activity
Target 11: Wetland functions - Outcomes achieved by 2018
Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals  Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018 >
Target 11: Wetland functions - Additional Information

# **Target 12: Restoration**

Restoration is in progress in degraded wetlands, with priority to wetlands that are relevant for biodiversity conservation, disaster risk reduction, livelihoods and/or climate change mitigation and adaptation. {1.8.}. Contributes to Aichi Targets 14 and 15.

Target 12: Restoration - Priority
Please select only one option  □ A - High
□ A=High □ B=Medium
□ C=Low
□ D=Not relevant
□ E=No answer
Target 12: Restoration - Resourcing
Please select only one option
□ A=Good
□ B=Adequate □ C=Limiting
□ D=Severely limiting
□ E=No answer
Target 12: Restoration - National Targets
Target 12: Restoration - Planned activity
Target 12: Restoration - Outcomes achieved by 2018
Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals
Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018
Target 12: Restoration - Additional Information

# Target 13: Enhanced sustainability

Enhanced sustainability of key sectors such as water, energy, mining, agriculture, tourism, urban development, infrastructure, industry, forestry, aquaculture and fisheries when they affect wetlands, contributing to biodiversity conservation and human livelihoods. Contributes to Aichi Targets 6 and 7.

Target 13: Enhanced sustainability - Priority  Please select only one option  □ A=High □ B=Medium □ C=Low □ D=Not relevant □ E=No answer
Target 13: Enhanced sustainability - Resourcing  Please select only one option  A=Good  B=Adequate  C=Limiting  D=Severely limiting  E=No answer
Target 13: Enhanced sustainability - National Targets
Target 13: Enhanced sustainability - Planned activity
Target 13: Enhanced sustainability - Outcomes achieved by 2018
Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals  Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018  >
Target 13: Enhanced sustainability - Additional Information

Page 45 of 67

## Goal 4

# **Target 15: Regional Initiatives**

Ramsar Regional Initiatives with the active involvement and support of the Parties in each region are reinforced and developed into effective tools to assist in the full implementation of the Convention. {3.2.}

Target 15: Regional Initiatives - Priority  Please select only one option  □ A=High  □ B=Medium  □ C=Low □ D=Not relevant □ E=No answer
Target 15: Regional Initiatives - Resourcing  Please select only one option  □ A=Good  □ B=Adequate  □ C=Limiting  □ D=Severely limiting  □ E=No answer
Target 15: Regional Initiatives - National Targets
Target 15: Regional Initiatives - Planned activity
Target 15: Regional Initiatives - Outcomes achieved by 2018
Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals  Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018
Target 15: Regional Initiatives - Additional Information

#### Target 16: Wetlands conservation and wise use

Wetlands conservation and wise use are mainstreamed through communication, capacity development, education, participation and awareness {4.1}. Contributes to Aichi Target 1 and 18.

Target 16: Wetlands conservation and wise use - Priority  Please select only one option  □ A=High □ B=Medium □ C=Low □ D=Not relevant □ E=No answer
Target 16: Wetlands conservation and wise use - Resourcing  Please select only one option  □ A=Good  □ B=Adequate  □ C=Limiting  □ D=Severely limiting  □ E=No answer
Target 16: Wetlands conservation and wise use - National Targets
Target 16: Wetlands conservation and wise use - Planned activity
Target 16: Wetlands conservation and wise use - Outcomes achieved by 2018
Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals  Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018 >
Target 16: Wetlands conservation and wise use - Additional Information

# **Target 17: Financial and other resources**

Financial and other resources for effectively implementing the fourth Ramsar Strategic Plan 2016 – 2024 from all sources are made available. {4.2.}. Contributes to Aichi Target 20.

Target 17: Financial and other resources - Priority  Please select only one option  □ A=High  □ B=Medium  □ C=Low  □ D=Not relevant  □ E=No answer
Target 17: Financial and other resources - Resourcing  Please select only one option  □ A=Good  □ B=Adequate  □ C=Limiting  □ D=Severely limiting  □ E=No answer
Target 17: Financial and other resources - National Targets
Target 17: Financial and other resources - Planned activity
Target 17: Financial and other resources - Outcomes achieved by 2018
Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals  Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018 >
Target 17: Financial and other resources - Additional Information

**Target 18: International cooperation**International cooperation is strengthened at all levels {3.1}

Target 18: International cooperation - Priority  Please select only one option  □ A=High □ B=Medium □ C=Low □ D=Not relevant □ E=No answer
Target 18: International cooperation - Resourcing  Please select only one option  □ A=Good □ B=Adequate □ C=Limiting □ D=Severely limiting □ E=No answer
Target 18: International cooperation - National Targets
Target 18: International cooperation - Planned activity
Target 18: International cooperation - Outcomes achieved by 2018
Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals  Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018 >
Target 18: International cooperation - Additional Information

**Target 19: Capacity Building**Capacity building for implementation of the Convention and the 4th Ramsar Strategic Plan 2016 – 2024 is enhanced. Contributes to Aichi Targets 1 and 17.

Target 19: Capacity Building - Priority
Please select only one option
□ A=High □ B=Medium
□ D=Not relevant
□ E=No answer
Target 19: Capacity Building - Resourcing  Please select only one option  □ A=Good  □ B=Adequate  □ C=Limiting  □ D=Severely limiting  □ E=No answer
Target 19: Capacity Building - National Targets
Target 19: Capacity Building - Planned activity
Target 19: Capacity Building - Outcomes achieved by 2018
Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals
<b>Note</b> : this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018
Target 19: Capacity Building - Additional Information

# Section 5: Optional annex to allow any Contracting Party that so wishes to provide additional information regarding any of all of its designated Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Sites) Guidance for filling in this section

- 1. Contracting Parties can provide additional information specific to any or all of their designated Ramsar Sites, given that the situation and status of individual Ramsar Sites can differ greatly within the territory of a Contracting Party.
- 2. The only indicator questions included in this section are those from Section 3 of the COP13 NRF which directly concern Ramsar Sites.
- 3. In some cases, to make them meaningful in the context of reporting on each Ramsar Site separately, some of these indicator questions and/or their answer options have been adjusted from their formulation in Section 3 of the COP13 NRF.
- 4. Please include information on only one site in each row. In the appropriate columns please add the name and official site number (from the Ramsar Sites Information Service).
- 5. For each 'indicator question', please select one answer from the legend.
- 6. A final column of this Annex is provided as a 'free text' box for the inclusion of any additional information concerning the Ramsar Site.

A final column of this Annex is provided as a 'free text' box for the inclusion of any additional information concerning the Ramsar Site.

#### Romania

#### **Bistret** (2063)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes  □ B=No □ D=Planned
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information. $Please select only one option$ $A=Yes$ $B=No$ $D=Planned$
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes  □ B=No  □ C=Partially □ D=Planned
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsal Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ Z=No Management Plan
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes  □ B=No  □ C=Partially  □ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder

involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes  □ B=No  □ D=Planned
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
Any additional comments/information about the site
Blahnita (2110)
5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes  □ B=No  □ D=Planned
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.  **Please select only one option**    A=Yes
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?  Please select only one option  A=Yes  B=No  C=Partially  D=Planned
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ Z=No Management Plan
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  Please select only one option  A=Yes  B=No  C=Partially  Z=No Management Plan
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No

□ D=Planned
Any additional comments/information about the site
Borcea Arm (2111)
5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes  □ B=No  □ D=Planned
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information. Please select only one option $A=Yes$ $B=No$ $D=Planned$
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  Please select only one option  A=Yes B=No C=Partially Z=No Management Plan
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes  □ B=No  □ C=Partially  □ Z=No Management Plan
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
Any additional comments/information about the site
Calafat-Ciuperceni-Danube (2112)
5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes  □ B=No  □ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.  *Please select only one option**    A=Yes**   B=No**   D=Planned**
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?  Please select only one option  A=Yes  B=No  C=Partially  D=Planned
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  Please select only one option  A=Yes B=No C=Partially Z=No Management Plan
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes  □ B=No  □ C=Partially  □ Z=No Management Plan
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
Any additional comments/information about the site
Canaralele de la Harsova (2113)
5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes  □ B=No  □ D=Planned
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.  **Please select only one option**  A=Yes**  B=No**  D=Planned
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option $\Box$ A=Yes

☐ C=Partially ☐ D=Planned
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ Z=No Management Plan
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes  □ B=No  □ C=Partially  □ Z=No Management Plan
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
Any additional comments/information about the site
Comana Natural Park (2004)
5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  Please select only one option  A=Yes  B=No  C=Partially  Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes  □ B=No  □ C=Partially  □ Z=No Management Plan
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
Any additional comments/information about the site
Danube Delta (521)
5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes  □ B=No  □ D=Planned
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.  **Please select only one option**  A=Yes**  B=No**  D=Planned
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes  □ B=No  □ C=Partially  □ D=Planned
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ Z=No Management Plan
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  Please select only one option  A=Yes  B=No  C=Partially  Z=No Management Plan
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes

□ B=No □ D=Planned
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
Any additional comments/information about the site
Danube Islands Bugeac-Iotormac (2114)
5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.  **Please select only one option**    A=Yes**   B=No**   D=Planned
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?  Please select only one option  A=Yes  B=No  C=Partially  D=Planned
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  Please select only one option  A=Yes  B=No  C=Partially  Z=No Management Plan
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  Please select only one option  A=Yes  B=No  C=Partially  Z=No Management Plan
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

**Dumbravita Fishpond Complex (1605)** 5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes  $\square$  B=No ☐ D=Planned 5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information. Please select only one option □ A=Yes  $\square$  B=No □ D=Planned 11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes  $\square$  B=No ☐ C=Partially ☐ D=Planned 11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes  $\square$  B=No ☐ C=Partially ☐ Z=No Management Plan 11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes  $\square$  B=No ☐ C=Partially ☐ Z=No Management Plan 16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes  $\square$  B=No ☐ D=Planned 16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)? Please select only one option □ A=Yes  $\square$  B=No ☐ D=Planned Any additional comments/information about the site Iron Gates Natural Park (1946) 5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of

□ B=No□ D=Planned

Please select only one option  □ A=Yes  □ B=No  □ D=Planned
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes  □ B=No  □ C=Partially  □ D=Planned
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  Please select only one option  A=Yes  B=No  C=Partially  Z=No Management Plan
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes  □ B=No  □ C=Partially  □ Z=No Management Plan
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
Any additional comments/information about the site
Jiu-Danube Confluence (2115)
5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes  □ B=No  □ D=Planned
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.  **Please select only one option**    A = Yes
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  Please select only one option  A=Yes  B=No  C=Partially  Z=No Management Plan
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  Please select only one option  A=Yes  B=No  C=Partially  Z=No Management Plan
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
Any additional comments/information about the site
Lake Calarasi (2064)
5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes  □ B=No  □ D=Planned
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.  **Please select only one option**    A=Yes**   B=No**   D=Planned
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?  Please select only one option  A=Yes  B=No  C=Partially  D=Planned
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  **Please select only one option**    A=Yes**   B=No**   C=Partially*   Z=No Management Plan
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option $\Box$ A=Yes

Page 60 of 67

☐ B=No ☐ C=Partially ☐ Z=No Management Plan
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
Any additional comments/information about the site
Lake Techirghiol (1610)
5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes  □ B=No  □ D=Planned
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?  Please select only one option  A=Yes  B=No  C=Partially D=Planned
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ Z=No Management Plan
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  Please select only one option  A=Yes  B=No  C=Partially  Z=No Management Plan
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
Any additional comments/information about the site
Mures Floodplain (1606)
5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes  □ B=No  □ D=Planned
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.  **Please select only one option**    A=Yes
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ Z=No Management Plan
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes  □ B=No  □ C=Partially  □ Z=No Management Plan
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
Any additional comments/information about the site

# Old Danube-Macin Arm (2116)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes  □ B=No  □ D=Planned
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.  **Please select only one option**    A = Yes
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes  □ B=No  □ C=Partially  □ Z=No Management Plan
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes  □ B=No  □ C=Partially  □ Z=No Management Plan
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
Any additional comments/information about the site
Olt-Danube Confluence (2065)
5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes  □ B=No  □ D=Planned
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes

□ B=No □ D=Planned
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ Z=No Management Plan
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ Z=No Management Plan
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
Any additional comments/information about the site >
Poiana Stampei Peat Bog (2003)
5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information. Please select only one option $A = Yes$ $B = No$ $D = Planned$
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar

Site?  Please select only one option  A=Yes  B=No  C=Partially  Z=No Management Plan
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  Please select only one option  A=Yes  B=No  C=Partially  Z=No Management Plan
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes  □ B=No  □ D=Planned
Any additional comments/information about the site
Small Island of Braila (1074)
5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes  □ B=No  □ D=Planned
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.  **Please select only one option**    A=Yes
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?  Please select only one option  A=Yes  B=No  C=Partially  D=Planned
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  **Please select only one option**    A=Yes
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes  □ B=No  □ C=Partially

□ Z=No Management Plan
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
Any additional comments/information about the site
Suhaia (2066)
5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.  **Please select only one option**    A=Yes
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  Please select only one option  A=Yes  B=No C=Partially Z=No Management Plan
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes  □ B=No  □ C=Partially  □ Z=No Management Plan
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

	Please select only one option  □ A=Yes  □ B=No □ D=Planned
4	Any additional comments/information about the site