Ramsar National Report to COP13

COP13 National Report

Background information

- 1. The COP13 National Report Format (NRF) has been approved by the Standing Committee 52 for the Ramsar Convention's Contracting Parties to complete as their national reporting to the 13th meeting of the Conference of the Contracting Parties of the Convention (United Arab Emirates, 2018).
- 2. The Standing Committee through Decision SC52-07 has also agreed that an online National Reporting format could be made available to Parties by keeping the off-line system and requested the Secretariat to present an evaluation for the next COP regarding the use of the on-line system.
- 3. The National Report Format is being issued by the Secretariat in 2016 to facilitate Contracting Parties' implementation planning and preparations for completing the Report. The deadline for submission of national targets is by 30 November 2016 and the deadline for submission of completed National Reports is January 21st 2018.
- 4. Following Standing Committee discussions, this COP13 NRF closely follows that of the NRF used for COP12, to permit continuity of reporting and analysis of implementation progress by ensuring that indicator questions are as far as possible consistent with previous NRFs (and especially the COP12 NRF). It is also structured in terms of the Goals and Strategies of the 2016-2024 Ramsar Strategic Plan adopted at COP12 as Resolution XII.2.
- 5. This COP13 NRF includes 92 indicator questions. In addition, Section 4 is provided as an optional Annex in order to facilitate the task of preparing the Party's National Targets and Actions for the implementation of each of the targets of the Strategic Plan 2016-2024 according to Resolution XII.2.
- 6. As was the case for previous NRF, the COP13 Format includes an optional section (Section 5) to permit a Contracting Party to provide additional information, on indicators relevant to each individual Wetland of International Importance (Ramsar Site) within its territory.
- 7. Note that, for the purposes of this national reporting to the Ramsar Convention, the scope of the term "wetland" is that of the Convention text, i.e. all inland wetlands (including lakes and rivers), all nearshore coastal wetlands (including tidal marshes, mangroves and coral reefs) and human-made wetlands (e.g. rice paddy and reservoirs), even if a national definition of "wetland" may differ from that adopted by the Contracting Parties to the Ramsar Convention.

The purposes and uses of national reporting to the Conference of the Contracting Parties

- 8. National Reports from Contracting Parties are official documents of the Convention and are made publicly available on the Convention's website.
- 9. There are seven main purposes for the Convention's National Reports. These are to:
- i) provide data and information on how, and to what extent, the Convention is being implemented
- ii) provide tools for countries for their national planning
- iii) capture lessons and experience to help Parties plan future action;
- iv) identify emerging issues and implementation challenges faced by Parties that may require further attention from the Conference of the Parties;
- v) provide a means for Parties to account for their commitments under the Convention;
- vi) provide each Party with a tool to help it assess and monitor its progress in implementing the Convention, and to plan its future priorities; and
- vii) provide an opportunity for Parties to draw attention to their achievements during the triennium.
- 10. The data and information provided by Parties in their National Reports have another valuable purpose as well, since a number of the indicators in the National Reports on Parties' implementation provide key sources of information for the analysis and assessment of the "ecological outcome-oriented indicators of

effectiveness of the implementation of the Convention".

- 11. To facilitate the analysis and subsequent use of the data and information provided by Contracting Parties in their National Reports, the Ramsar Secretariat holds in a database all the information it has received and verified. The COP13 reports will be in an online National Reporting system.
- 12. The Convention's National Reports are used in a number of ways. These include:
- i) providing an opportunity to compile and analyze information that contracting parties can use to inform their national planning and programming.
- ii) providing the basis for reporting by the Secretariat to each meeting of the Conference of the Parties on the global, national and regional implementation, and the progress in implementation, of the Convention. This is provided to Parties at the COP as a series of Information Papers, including:
 - * the Report of the Secretary General on the implementation of the Convention at the global level;
- * the Report of the Secretary General pursuant to Article 8.2 (b), (c), and (d) concerning the List of Wetlands of International Importance); and
- * the reports providing regional overviews of the implementation of the Convention and its Strategic Plan in each Ramsar region;
- iii) providing information on specific implementation issues in support of the provision of advice and decisions by Parties at the COP.
- iv) providing the source data for time-series assessments of progress on specific aspects in the implementation of the Convention included in other Convention products. An example is the summary of progress since COP3 (Regina, 1997) in the development of National Wetland Policies, included as Table 1 in Ramsar Wise Use Handbook 2 (4th edition, 2010); and
- v) providing information for reporting to the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) on the national implementation of the CBD/Ramsar Joint Work Plan and the Ramsar Convention's lead implementation role on wetlands for the CBD. In particular, the Ramsar Secretariat and STRP used the COP10 NRF indicators extensively in 2009 to prepare contributions to the in-depth review of the CBD programme of work on the biological diversity of inland water ecosystems for consideration by CBD SBSTTA14 and COP10 during 2010 (see UNEP/CBD/SBSTTA/14/3). Similar use of COP12 NRF indicators is anticipated for the CBD's next such in-depth review.

The structure of the COP13 National Report Format

Section 1 provides the institutional information about the Administrative Authority and National Focal Points for the national implementation of the Convention.

Section 2 is a 'free-text' section in which the Party is invited to provide a summary of various aspects of national implementation progress and recommendations for the future.

Section 3 provides the 92 implementation indicator questions, grouped under each Convention implementation Goals and Targets in the Strategic Plan 2016-2024, and with an optional 'free-text' section under each indicator question in which the Contracting Party may, if it wishes, add further information on national implementation of that activity.

Section 4 is an optional annex to allow any Contracting Party that has developed national targets to provide information on the targets and actions for the implementation of each of the targets of the Strategic Plan 2016-2024.

In line with Resolution XII.2, which encourages Contracting Parties "to develop and submit to the Secretariat on or before December 2016, and according to their national priorities, capabilities and resources, their own quantifiable and time-bound national and regional targets in line with the targets set in the Strategic Plan", all Parties are encouraged to consider using this comprehensive national planning tool as soon as possible, in order to identify the areas of highest priority for action and the relevant national targets and actions for each target.

The planning of national targets offers, for each of them, the possibility of indicating the national priority for that area of activity as well as the level of resourcing available, or that could be made available during the triennium, for its implementation. In addition, there are specific boxes to indicate the National Targets for implementation by 2018 and the planned national activities that are designed to deliver these targets. Ramsar Strategic Plan 2016-2024 shows the synergies between CBD Aichi Biodiversity Targets and Ramsar Targets. Therefore, the NRF provide an opportunity that Contracting Parties indicate as appropriate how the actions they undertake for the implementation of the Ramsar Convention contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets according to paragraph 51 of Resolution XII.3.

Section 5 is an optional annex to allow any Contracting Party that so wishes to provide additional information regarding any or all of its Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Sites).

General guidance for completing and submitting the COP13 National Report Format

All Sections of the COP13 NRF should be completed in one of the Convention's official languages (English, French, Spanish).

The deadline for submission of the completed NRF is January 21st **2018**. It will not be possible to include information from National Reports received after that date in the analysis and reporting on Convention implementation to COP13.

The deadline for submission of national targets is by 30 November 2016

To help Contracting Parties refer to relevant information they provided in their National Report to COP12, for each appropriate indicator a cross-reference is provided to the equivalent indicator(s) in the COP12 NRF or previous NRF, shown thus: $\{x.x.x\}$

For follow up and where appropriate, a cross-reference is also provided to the relevant Key Result Area (KRA) relating to Contracting Parties implementation in the Strategic Plan 2009-2015.

Only Strategic Plan 2016-2024 Targets for which there are implementation actions for Contracting Parties are included in this reporting format; those targets of the Strategic Plan that do not refer directly to Parties are omitted (e.g. targets 6 and 14).

For each indicator question you can choose only one answer. If you wish to provide further information or clarification, do so in the additional information box below the relevant indicator question. Please be as concise as possible (**maximum of 500 words** in each free-text box).

The NRF should ideally be completed by the principal compiler in consultation with relevant colleagues in their agency and others within the government and, as appropriate, with NGOs and other stakeholders who might have fuller knowledge of aspects of the Party's overall implementation of the Convention. The principal compiler can save the document at any point and return to it later to continue or to amend answers. Compilers should refer back to the National Report submitted for COP12 to ensure the continuity and consistency of information provided.

If you have any questions or problems, please contact the Ramsar Secretariat for advice (nationalreports@ramsar.org).

Section 1: Institutional Information

Important note: the responses below will be considered by the Ramsar Secretariat as the definitive list of your focal points, and will be used to update the information it holds. The Secretariat's current information about your focal points is available at http://www.ramsar.org/search-contact.

Name of Contracting Party

The completed National Report **must be accompanied by a letter** in the name of the Head of Administrative Authority, confirming that this is the Contracting Party's official submission of its COP13 National Report. It can be attached to this question using the "Manage documents" function (blue symbol below)

> Confirmation letter submitted

You have attached the following documents to this answer.

NORWAY confirmation letter.DOCX - Confirmation letter NORWAY

Designated Ramsar Administrative Authority

Name of Administrative Authority

> Norwegian Environment Agency - NEA (Miljødirektoratet)

Head of Administrative Authority - name and title

> Ms Ellen Hambro, Director General

Mailing address

> P.O. Box 5672, Sluppen, NO-7485 Trondheim, NORWAY

Telephone/Fax

> +47 73 580 500/ +47 73 580 501

Email

> ellen.hambro@miljodir.no

Designated National Focal Point for Ramsar Convention Matters

Name and title

> Jan-Petter Huberth Hansen

Mailing address

> P.O. Box 5672, Sluppen, NO-7485 Trondheim, NORWAY

Telephone/Fax

> +47 91372303 / +47 73 580 501

Email

> jan.petter.huberth.hansen@miljodir.no

Designated National Focal Point for Matters Relating to The Scientific and Technical Review Panel (STRP)

Name and title

> Jan-Petter Huberth Hansen

Name of organisation

> Norwegian Environment Agency - NEA (Miljødirektoratet)

Mailing address

> P.O. Box 5672, Sluppen, NO-7485 Trondheim, NORWAY

Telephone/Fax

> +47 91372303 / +47 73 580 501

Email

> jan.petter.huberth.hansen@miljodir.no

Designated Government National Focal Point for Matters Relating to The Programme on Communication, Education, Participation and Awareness (CEPA)

Name and title

> Maja Stade Aarønæs

Name of organisation

> Norwegian Environment Agency - NEA (Miljødirektoratet)

Mailing address

> P.O. Box 5672, Sluppen, NO-7485 Trondheim, NORWAY

Telephone/Fax

> +47 98685179/ +47 73 580 501

Email

> maja.stade.aaronas@miljodir.no

Designated Non-Government National Focal Point for Matters Relating to The Programme on Communication, Education, Participation and Awareness (CEPA)

Name and title

> Not identified

Name of organisation

>

Mailing address

>

Telephone/Fax

>

Email

>

Section 2: General summary of national implementation progress and challenges

In your country, in the past triennium (i.e., since COP12 reporting)

A. What have been the five most successful aspects of implementation of the Convention?

1)

> In progress of updating Ramsar information sheets for all Norway's 63 Ramsar sites. To be completed in February 2018.

2)

> Authorization of six national wetland visitor centers

3)

> Development and implementation of national peatland restoration plan

4)

> Successful cooperation with several other Parties to the Convention, such as Myanmar, India and the Czech Republic, on the implementation of the convention

5)

> Successful cooperation within the Government to implement wetlands in policy for climate mitigation

B. What have been the five greatest difficulties in implementing the Convention?

1)

> Increased pressure on Ramsar sites from infrastructure development

2)

> Raising further awareness towards other sectors and general public on the values of wetlands for ecosystem services and human well-being

3)

> Increased pressure on wetland sites with no national protection, from urbanization and development of infrastructure

4)

>

5)

>

C. What are the five priorities for future implementation of the Convention?

1)

> Include wetlands in implementation of national plan for expansion of the protected area network

2)

> Seek to increase wetland awareness through the Government's support to wetland information centers

3)

> Restrictions on convertion of peatlands to agriculture lands have been ordered by the Parliament, but not jet implemented

4)

> Seek to increase awareness among gardeners about alternatives to the use of peat in soil improvement

5)

> Raising further awareness towards institutions responsible for land-use planning on the values of wetlands for climate mitigation and adaptation

D. Do you (AA) have any recommendations concerning implementation assistance from the Ramsar Secretariat?

> Norway is satisfied with the assistance we have received.

- E. Do you (AA) have any recommendations concerning implementation assistance from the Convention's International Organisation Partners (IOPs)? (including ongoing partnerships and partnerships to develop)
- F. How can national implementation of the Ramsar Convention be better linked with implementation of other multilateral environmental agreements (MEAs), especially those in the 'biodiversity cluster' (Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD), Convention on Migratory Species (CMS), Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species (CITES), World Heritage Convention (WHC), and United Nations Convention to Combat Desertification (UNCCD) and the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC)?
- > We believe there are good linkages between these conventions, being coordinated through the same Ministry and Agency. The national goals and implementation of national strategies related to the various conventions all build on the same holistic national strategy to safeguard nature and it's services. However, more aligned reporting between the MEAs on common issues would facilitate improved cooperation nationally and internationally
- G. How can implementation of the Ramsar Convention be better linked with the implementation of water policy/strategy and other strategies in the country (e.g., on sustainable development, energy, extractive industries, poverty reduction, sanitation, food security, biodiversity)?
- > In general, awareness of the Ramsar Convention and the commitments therein should be better communicated across sectors
- H. Do you (AA) have any other general comments on the implementation of the Convention?

 > Consider to discuss with the contracting partners to identify a National Focal Point for the Wise Use Pillar to increase the awareness of this element of the convention. This focal point could potentially be selected from an economic or development sector
- I. Please list the names of the organisations which have been consulted on or have contributed to the information provided in this report
- Norwegian Environment Agency (NEA)
 Norwegian Development Assistance Agency (Norad)
 Norwegian Ministry of Climate and Environment

Section 3: Indicator questions and further implementation information

Goal 1. Addressing the drivers of wetland loss and degradation

Target 1

Wetland benefits are featured in national/ local policy strategies and plans relating to key sectors such as water, energy, mining, agriculture, tourism, urban development, infrastructure, industry, forestry, aquaculture, fisheries at the national and local level.

1.1 Have wetland issues/benefits been incorporated into other national strategies and planning processes, including: {1.3.2} {1.3.3} KRA 1.3.i

Please select only one per square.

a) National Policy or strategy for wetland management	☑ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant
b) Poverty eradication strategies	☐ A=Yes ☐ B=No ☐ C=Partially ☐ D=Planned ☐ X=Unknown ☑ Y=Not Relevant
c) Water resource management and water efficiency plans	 ☑ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant
d) Coastal and marine resource management plans	□ A=Yes □ B=No ☑ C=Partially □ D=Planned □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant
e) Integrated Coastal Zone Management Plan	□ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant
f) National forest programmes	□ A=Yes □ B=No ☑ C=Partially □ D=Planned □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant
g) National policies or measures on agriculture	□ A=Yes □ B=No ☑ C=Partially □ D=Planned □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant
h) National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plans drawn up under the CBD	☐ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant
i) National policies on energy and mining	□ A=Yes □ B=No ☑ C=Partially □ D=Planned □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant

j) National policies on tourism	☐ A=Yes ☐ B=No ☐ C=Partially ☐ D=Planned ☐ X=Unknown ☐ Y=Not Relevant	
k) National policies on urban development	☐ A=Yes ☐ B=No ☐ C=Partially ☐ D=Planned ☐ X=Unknown ☐ Y=Not Relevant	
I) National policies on infrastructure	□ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant	
m) National policies on industry	□ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned ☑ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant	
n) National policies on aquaculture and fisheries {1.3.3} KRA 1.3.i	□ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant	
o) National plans of actions (NPAs) for pollution control and management	☐ A=Yes ☐ B=No ☐ C=Partially ☐ D=Planned ☐ X=Unknown ☐ Y=Not Relevant	
p) National policies on wastewater management and water quality	☐ A=Yes ☐ B=No ☐ C=Partially ☐ D=Planned ☐ X=Unknown ☐ Y=Not Relevant	
1.1 Additional inforr	nation	
	wetland ecosystem needs for them to fulfil their functior ter alia at the basin level or along a coastal zone	ns and provide services at the
the implementation	v and quality of water available to, and required by, wetl of the Guidelines for the allocation and management of of wetlands (Resolution VIII.1, VIII.2) ? 1.24.	• •
2.1 Additional Inform > This applies for wetla estuaries, marshlands	ands country wide, not for Ramsar sites in particular. Applies m	nainly to rivers, lakes and
	nts of environmental flow been undertaken in relation to of wetlands (Action r3.4.iv)	mitigation of impacts on the

□ D=Planned
2.2 Additional Information
> This applies for wetlands country wide, not for Ramsar sites in particular. Applies mainly to rivers, lakes and estuaries, marshlands to a lesser extent.
2.3 Have Ramsar Sites improved the sustainability of water use in the context of ecosystem requirements?
Please select only one option A=Yes B=No C=Partially D=Planned O=No Change X=Unknown
2.3 Additional Information >
2.4 Have the Guidelines for allocation and management of water for maintaining ecological functions of wetlands (Resolutions VIII.1 and XII.12) been used/applied in decision-making processes. (Action 3.4.6.)
Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned
2.4 Additional Information > This applies for wetlands country wide, not for Ramsar sites in particular. Applies mainly to rivers, lakes and estuaries, marshlands to a lesser extent.
2.5 Have projects that promote and demonstrate good practice in water allocation and management for maintaining the ecological functions of wetlands been developed (Action r3.4.ix.)
Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned
2.5 Additional Information > This applies for wetlands country wide, not for Ramsar sites in particular. Applies mainly to rivers, lakes and estuaries, marshlands to a lesser extent.
2.6 How many household/municipalities are linked to sewage system? SDG Target 6.3.1. Please select only one option □ E=Exact number (households/municipalities)
> □ F=Less than (households/municipalities)
→ □ G=More than (households/municipalities)
> □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant
2.6 Additional Information
2.7 What is the percentage of sewerage coverage in the country? SDG Target 6.3.1. Please select only one option

☐ E=Exact number (percentage)
> □ F=Less than (percentage)
G=More than (percentage)
> □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant
2.7 Additional Information
2.8 What is the percentage of users of septic tank/pit latrine? SDG Target 6.3.1. Please select only one option □ E=Exact number (percentage)
> □ F=Less than (percentage)
→ □ G=More than (percentage)
> □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant
2.8 Additional Information
2.9 Does the country use constructed wetlands/ponds as wastewater treatment technology? SDG Target 6.3.1. **Please select only one option** A=Yes
2.9 Additional Information
2.10 How do the country use constructed wetlands/ponds as wastewater treatment technology perform? SDG Target 6.3.1. Please select only one option A=Good B=Not Functioning C=Functioning Q=Obsolete X=Unknown Y=Not Relevant
2.10 Additional Information
2.11 How many centralised wastewater treatment plants exist at national level? SDG Target 6.3.1.
Please select only one option □ E=Exact number (plants)
→ □ F=Less than (plants)
G=More than (plants)

□ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant
2.11 Additional Information
2.12 How is the functional status of the wastewater treatment plants? SDG Target 6.3.1.
Please select only one option □ A=Good □ B=Not functioning □ C=Functioning □ Q=Obsolete □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant
2.12 Additional Information
2.13 The percentage of decentralized wastewater treatment technology, including constructed wetlands/ponds is? SDG Target 6.3.1. Please select only one option A=Good B=Not Functioning C=Functioning Q=Obsolete X=Unknown Y=Not Relevant
2.13 Additional Information
2.14 Is there a wastewater reuse system? SDG Target 6.3.1. Please select only one option A=Yes B=No C=Partially D=Planned X=Unknown Y=Not Relevant
2.14 Additional Information
2.15 What Is the purpose of the wastewater reuse system? SDG Target 6.3.1. Please select only one option R=Agriculture S=Landscape T=Industrial U=Drinking X=Unknown Y=Not Relevant
2.15 Additional Information
Please indicate if the wastewater reuse system is for free or taxed or add any additonal information.
Target 3 Public and private sectors have increased their efforts to apply quidelines and good practices for the wire

Public and private sectors have increased their efforts to apply guidelines and good practices for the wise use of water and wetlands. {1.10}

3.1 Is the private sector encouraged to apply the Ramsar wise use principle and guidance (Ramsar handbooks for the wise use of wetlands) in its activities and investments concerning wetlands? $\{1.10.1\}$ KRA 1.10.i

Please select only one op □ A=Yes ☑ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned	ption	
3.1 Additional Inform	mation	
3.2 Has the private of {1.10.2} KRA 1.1 Please select only one pe		ion, wise use and management
a) Ramsar Sites	□ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant	
b) Wetlands in general	□ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant	
Norwegian governmer	mation ased tourism will in many instances contribute to the conserval nt has initiated a program where the goal is to create values (b nature-based tourism including wetlands.	
	en taken to implement incentive measures which encos? {1.11.1} KRA 1.11.i	urage the conservation and
legal instruments and diversity act (including water regulation, the parties water resources at In Svalbard key legisla Key economic incentives species, wetlands restand wise use of wetlands.	er of incentives to encourage the conservation and wise use of economic incentives. Key legal instruments on mainland Norw grotected areas, endangered species and conservation of sel planning and building act (including spatial planning and EIA), oct and the water course regulation act (including hydropower liation is embedded in the Svalbard environment act. Eves include government grant schemes for protected areas, contauration, flood control efforts, conservation of selected nature ands in relation to agriculture and cultural landscapes. Important unication and outreach (including wetland information centres)	ray include the nature ected nature types), the the pollution control act, icenses). nservation of endangered types, and conservation of efforts are also being
	en taken to remove perverse incentive measures which s? {1.11.2} KRA 1.11.i otion	discourage conservation and

3.4 Additional Information

> A review of state subsidies for business and industry in relation to ecosystem services is provided in the report NOU 2013:10 (cf. question 1.4.1), which also includes a set of recommendations on economic policy instruments in general and on state subsidies to industries in particular. The review includes aspects related to agricultural subsidies and to schemes designed to promote the production of renewable energy, including the Norwegian-Swedish green certificates scheme.

A green tax shift is characterized by the refocusing of taxes onto environmentally harmful activities, and to provide input on this the Norwegian government in August 2014 established a Green Tax Commission which provided its report in December 2015. The commission was mandated to evaluate whether and how a green tax reform can be used to promote improved resource utilization and the achievement of the objectives contained in the cross-party broad agreement on climate policy. In this regard the term "improved resource utilization" also covers the question of how environmental taxes can be used to reduce local environmental problems such as local pollution of air, water and earth, noise, the use of chemicals hazardous to the environment and human health, undesirable interventions in nature and activities that negatively impact the enjoyment of nature. The report recognizes the values of wetlands and suggestions are made on how to safeguard these values with the use of the tax system.

Target 4

Invasive alien species and pathways of introduction and expansion are identified and prioritized, priority invasive alien species are controlled or eradicated, and management responses are prepared and implemented to prevent their introduction and establishment.

.1 Does your country have a comprehensive national inventory of invasive alien species that currently or otentially impact the ecological character of wetlands? {1.9.1} KRA 1.9.i lease select only one option A=Yes B=No C=Partially D=Planned
Norwegian Biodiversity Information Centre (NBIC) (link: http://www.biodiversity.no/) has provided risk ssessments of all invasive alien species already established in Norway. It has also provided risk assessment or several species known as "door knockers". orway's first official foray into evaluating the impacts posed by alien species was with the publication of the 007 Norwegian Black List by the Norwegian Biodiversity Information Centre. The publication Alien species in orway - with the Norwegian Black List 2012 includes impact assessments for all 1180 known reproducing lien species in Norway, including what comprise Norway's Black List of alien species (assigned to the two ighest impact categories - severe and high impact). The report also includes information on the 1140 non-peroducing species known from Norwegian territories, including Svalbard, as well as data on distribution and athways (vectors) into Norway. All information is found using NBIC's alien species search online unfortunately only available in Norwegian). For more info: http://www.biodiversity.no/Pages/135426. The black st is revised every five years. Next revision is due in 2018. Currently, Norway has not developed a filter for wetlands", so that the specific number of aliens for this ecosystem can be readily assessed. a addition, the Norwegian Scientific Authority on Food and Environment, have provided extensive risk sesessments on certain species, among them also alien species that are doorknockers or occur in wetlands. Ilien species are also included in other public data bases. assed on the black list and and other sources of information, the environmenal authorities has banned several pecies from import and use (e.g. Elodea Canadensis and Elodea nuttallii), and has also established national cition plans against some of the invasive alien species that constitute the biggest threat to Norwegian lodiversisty (e.g. Neovison vison, Nyctereutes procyonoides, Crassostrea gigas, Elodea Canadensis and lodea nuttallii). For example, The action
.2 Have national policies or guidelines on invasive species control and management been established or eviewed for wetlands? {1.9.2} KRA 1.9.iii lease select only one option A=Yes B=No C=Partially

4.2 Additional information

☐ D=Planned

> Wetlands are included in national and regional control policies against alien species, but there are no specific policies or guidelines for wetlands other than "Significant negative impacts concerning biodiversity in

wetlands due to alien species should be avoided." In general terms, Norway has through its legal framework and through cooperation with relevant sectors, including national customs authorities and other sectors, measures to prevent introduction and establishment of invasive alien species. This framework regulates import, intentional release and control of trade in live alien species. Most of these measures can be found in exisiting legal frameworks or guidelines to these frameworks, such as: The Nature Diversity Act (2009) Regulations relating to alien organisms (2016) Regulations relation to the spread of alien organisms in ballast water (2010). 4.3 How many invasive species are being controlled through management actions. Please select only one option \square E=Exact number (species) \square F=Less than (species) \square G=More than (species) ☐ C=Partially ☑ X=Unknown ☐ Y=Not Relevant 4.3 Additional information If 'Yes', please indicate the year of assessment and the source of the information > Although Norway has a well-established system for risk assessment of alien species, control measures in the field is still under development. Many alien species are challenging to control if they already have established populations. The action plan against Elodea sp. Acknowledges this and places a greater emphasis on information / Awareness raising to hinder further spread. There is also a massive spread of alien (and regional alien) freshwater fish species, where the environmental authorities have measures but where "control" of the whole spread is impossible due to the sheer scale and therefore the focus is on preventing spread into certain valuable areas. An action plan agains mink has also been adopted. 4.4 Have the effectiveness of wetland invasive alien species control programmes been assessed? Please select only one option □ A=Yes \square B=No ☑ C=Partially ☐ D=Planned ☐ X=Unknown ☐ Y=Not Relevant 4.4 Additional information > Effectiveness has only been assessed for the action plan against Elodea sp. Here mechanical and chemical control measures were evaluated as inadequate to stop further spread within and between lakes and wetlands. For other species there has been no such assessment Goal 2. Effectively conserving and managing the Ramsar Site network Target 5 The ecological character of Ramsar Sites is maintained or restored through effective, planning and integrated management {2.1.} 5.1 Have a national strategy and priorities been established for the further designation of Ramsar Sites, using the Strategic Framework for the Ramsar List? {2.1.1} KRA 2.1.i Please select only one option □ A=Yes \square B=No ☐ C=Partially □ D=Planned

5.1 Additional information

> The priorities for the designation of possible new Ramsar sites is connected to the further development of the protected area network in Norway.

5.2 Are the Ramsar Sites Information Service and its tools being used in national identification of further Ramsar Sites to designate? {2.2.1} KRA 2.2.ii Please select only one option ☐ A=Yes ☐ B=No ☐ D=Planned
5.2 Additional information
5.3 How many Ramsar Sites have an effective, implemented management plan? {2.4.1} KRA 2.4.i Please select only one option ☑ E=Exact number (sites)
> 32 □ F=Less than (sites)
G=More than (sites) X=Unknown Y=Not Relevant
5.4 For how many of the Ramsar Sites with a management plan is the plan being implemented? {2.4.2} KRA 2.4.i Please select only one option ☑ E=Exact number (sites)
> 32 □ F=Less than (sites)
→ G=More than (sites)
> □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant
5.5 For how many Ramsar Sites is effective management planning currently being implemented (outside of formal management plans ? {2.4.3} KRA 2.4.i Please select only one option ☑ E=Exact number (sites)
> 31 □ F=Less than (sites)
→ □ G=More than (sites)
> □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant
5.3 – 5.5 Additional information > 5.5: All Ramsar sites in Norway are designated as protected areas, and will therefore be managed according to regulations given for the protected areas
5.6 Have all Ramsar sites been assessed regarding the effectiveness of their management (through formal management plans where they exist or otherwise through existing actions for appropriate wetland management ? {1.6.2} KRA 1.6.ii **Please select only one option** A=Yes
5.6 Additional information Mainly being carried out as part of developing or revision of protected area management plans.

5.7 How many Ramsar Sites have a cross-sectoral management committee? {2.4.4} {2.4.6} KRA 2.4.iv

Page 16 of 103

Please select only one option

☑ E=Exact number (sites)
> 0 □ F=Less than (sites)
G=More than (sites)
> □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant
5.7 Additional information
If at least 1 site, please give the name and official number of the site or sites \rightarrow
5.8 For how many Ramsar Sites has an ecological character description been prepared (see Resolution X.15)? {2.4.5}{2.4.7} KRA 2.4.∨ **Please select only one option** □ E=Exact number (sites)
> 63 □ F=Less than (sites)
G=More than (sites)
> □ C=Partially □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant
5.8 Additional information
If at least 1 site, please give the name and official number of the site or sites > All Norwegian Ramsar Sites are protected according to national laws. As a part of these protection processes a core biodiversity inventory is developed. All sites will by February 2018 have updated RIS. The management plans also describe ecological character.
5.9 Have any assessments of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management been made? {2.5.1} KRA 2.5.1 Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Some Sites
5.9 Additional information
If 'Yes' or 'Some sites', please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15, and the source of the information > See 5.6.
Target 7 Sites that are at risk of change of ecological character have threats addressed {2.6.}.
7.1 Are mechanisms in place for the Administrative Authority to be informed of negative human-induced changes or likely changes in the ecological character of Ramsar Sites, pursuant to Article 3.2? {2.6.1} KRA 2.6.i Please select only one option A=Yes B=No C=Some Sites D=Planned
7.1 Additional information
If 'Yes' or 'Some sites', please summarise the mechanism or mechanisms established > The county governor in each county is instructed to report to the administrative authority on any potential change or threat to the Ramsar site.

7.2 Have all cases of negative human-induced change or likely change in the ecological character of

Ramsar Sites been reported to the Ramsar Secretariat, pursuant to Article 3.2? {2.6.2} KRA 2.6.i Please select only one option ☐ A=Yes ☐ B=No ☐ C=Some Cases ☐ O=No Negative Change
7.2 Additional information
If 'Yes' or 'Some cases', please indicate for which Ramsar Sites the Administrative Authority has made Article 3.2 reports to the Secretariat, and for which sites such reports of change or likely change have not yet been made >
7.3 If applicable, have actions been taken to address the issues for which Ramsar Sites have been listed or the Montreux Record, including requesting a Ramsar Advisory Mission? {2.6.3} KRA 2.6.ii Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ Z=Not Applicable
7.3 Additional information
If 'Yes', please indicate the actions taken
Goal 3. Wisely Using All Wetlands
Target 8 National wetland inventories have been either initiated, completed or updated and disseminated and used for promoting the conservation and effective management of all wetlands $\{1.1.1\}$ KRA $1.1.i$
8.1 Does your country have a complete National Wetland Inventory? {1.1.1} KRA 1.1.i Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=In Progress □ D=Planned
8.1 Additional information A national wetland inventory as such, has not been compiled and launced as one document, but the elements that normally would constitute an inventory are to be found in a set of data bases operated by the NEA and partners.
8.2 Has your country updated a National Wetland Inventory in the last decade? Please select only one option A=Yes B=No C=In Progress C1=Partially D=Planned X=Unknown Y=Not Relevant
8.2 Additional information
8.3 Is wetland inventory data and information maintained? {1.1.2} KRA 1.1.ii Please select only one option ✓ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned
8.3 Additional information > For the existing databases containing information on wetlands, data and information are continuously

maintained.

8.4 Is wetland inversely and a select only one of the select only of the select only of the select only one of th	ntory data and information made accessible to	all stakeholders? {1.1.2} KRA 1.1.ii
	mation aining wetlands, other nature or environmental data nce of most of these data bases are also generally	
8.5 Has the condition	on* of wetlands in your country, overall, chang	ged during the last triennium? {1.1.3}
a difference between principal driver(s) of t	onds to ecological character, as defined by the Conv	ribe. If you are able to, please describe the
		4
a) Ramsar Sites	□ N=Status Deteriorated☑ O=No Change□ P=Status Improved	
b) Wetlands generally	☑ N=Status Deteriorated□ O=No Change□ P=Status Improved	
development are key However, the Nature in coastal waters in fr 0,55). Some types of motorised transport (rable to economic development in general, where in examples. index of Norway shows that the state of biological creshwater and in the mountains. While mires-spring mires are particularly vulnerable to nitrogen (air power). when no snow cover). Ditat Red List (2011) palsa mire is considered as encountered as encountered.	diversity is good in the marine areas, -floodplain is in poorer state (NI - ollution), climate change and
kilometres for the 6 6.6 Please select only one o □ E=Exact Number (l		•
F=Less than (km2)	ı	
→ □ G=More than (km2	2)	
A=Yes B=No C=Partially D=Planned X=Unknown Y=Not Relevant		
8.6 Additional infor	mation	
If the information is a >	available please indicate the % of change in the ext	ent of wetlands over the last three years.

Target 9

The wise use of wetlands is strengthened through integrated resource management at the appropriate scale, inter alia, within a river basin or along a coastal zone {1.3.}.

9.1 Is a Wetland Policy (or equivalent instrument) that promotes the wise use of wetlands in place? $\{1.3.1\}$ KRA 1.3.i

If 'Yes', please give the title and date of the policy in the green text box Please select only one option □ A=Yes ☑ B=No □ C=In Preparation □ D=Planned
9.1 Additional information > Several instruments could however be seen as part of a national policy, for example the implementation of the EU Water Framework Directive. According to the Norwegian Nature Diversity Act, in addition to protected areas, selected nature types (including wetlands) could be identified and given a special "conservation" status. Wetlands and rivers/lakes are included in the National Biodiversity and Action Plan, approved by the Norwegian Parliament in 2015, as two of seven main ecosystem types to be preserved and wisely used.
9.2 Have any amendments to existing legislation been made to reflect Ramsar commitments? {1.3.5}{1.3.6} Please select only one option ☐ A=Yes ☐ B=No ☐ C=In Progress ☐ D=Planned
9.2 Additional information > Reference to the nomination of Ramsar sites can be found in the Norwegian Nature Diversity Act
9.3 Do your country's water governance and management systems treat wetlands as natural water infrastructure integral to water resource management at the scale of river basins? {1.7.1} {1.7.2} KRA 1.7.ii Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
9.3 Additional information > Wetlands are considered as an integral part of River Basin Management Planning. However, in the first planning cycles the main focus has been on the aquatic ecosystems, and we see the need to improve guidance on wetlands for the river basin planners and managers.
9.4 Have Communication, Education, Participation and Awareness (CEPA) expertise and tools been incorporated into catchment/river basin planning and management (see Resolution X.19)? {1.7.2}{1.7.3} Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
9.4 Additional information > Wetland restoration has been on the agenda in annual, national river restoration seminars for several years, thereby raising awareness on the issue among the river basin planners and managers.
9.5 Has your country established policies or guidelines for enhancing the role of wetlands in mitigating or adapting to climate change? {1.7.3} {1.7.5} KRA 1.7.iii Please select only one option A=Yes B=No C=Partially D=Planned
9.5 Additional information > The role of wetlands in mitigating and adapting to climate change is recognised in the White Papers on biodiversity (Meld.St. 14(2015-2016)), on climate adaptation (Meld. St. 33 (2012-2013)and the climate mitigation strategy for 2030 (Meld. St. 41 (2016-2017) Reports to the Storting). Also, a national plan (Plan for restaurering av våtmark i Norge (2016-2020)) for restoration of wetlands in Norway (2016-2020) has been developed, in which the goals are to contribute to both climate mitigation, adaptation and biodiversity.

9.6 Has your country formulated plans or projects to sustain and enhance the role of wetlands in supporting and maintaining viable farming systems? $\{1.7.4\}$ $\{1.7.6\}$ KRA 1.7.v

Please select only one op □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned	ption	
years. Approximately Framework Directive.	cted wetlands have been i 200 of those have been co	made in the agricultural areas of Norway during the last 20 nstructed since Norway implemented the EU Water s have also been implemented by reopening of creeks in the m and Oslo.
9.7 Has research to	inform wetland policies	and plans been undertaken in your country on:
{1.6.1} KRA 1.6.i Please select only one pe	er square.	
a) agriculture-wetland interactions	☑ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned	
b) climate change	☑ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned	
c) valuation of ecoystem services	☑ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned	
for carbon storage and calculating changes in knowledge basis with possible hydrological region a) and b) In connect purposes, a review of b and c) In connectic consequences for biodiconsequences fo	nation: national plan for restoration of reduction in GHG emissions when restoration in GHG emissions when restorated to the potential formonitoring, was also conduction with considerations about the socio-economic consector with considerations about the socio-economic consector with considerations about the socio-economic consector with considerations about the socio-economic consideration and ecosystem sectoration and agronomy to esearch programme Kliman estoration and agronomy to be published in 2018. The ironment Agency. The study to Norwegian wetlands are for more holistic, cross-sector dies have been undertaked on nature-based solutions rban ecosystem services agonse).	out a possible ban on cultivation of peatland for agricultural quences and effects on GHG emission, has been conducted. ut phasing out peat extraction in Norway, a report on the rvices and a report on GHG emissions from peat extraction in decision about the peat extraction has been made yet). ts relating to wetlands and climate change are financed forsk (2014-2023), among others concerning peatlands and
Resolution XII.10 ? Please select only one op A=Yes B=No C=Partially D=Planned	•	or victiona city Accreditation of the Namisal Convention,
9.8 Additional inform	nation	
If 'Yes', please indicate	e How many request have	been submitted

Target 10

The traditional knowledge innovations and practices of indigenous peoples and local communities relevant for the wise use of wetlands and their customary use of wetland resources, are documented, respected, subject to national legislation and relevant international obligations and fully integrated and reflected in the implementation of the Convention with a full and effective participation of indigenous and local communities at all relevant levels.

10.1 Have the guiding principles for taking into account the cultural values of wetlands including traditional knowledge for the effective management of sites (Resolution VIII.19) been used or applied?.(Action 6.1.2/6.1.6) Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=In Preparation □ C1=Partially □ D=Planned □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant
10.1 Additional information > Guidance developed under the Ramsar convention is not used. However, according to the Nature Diversity Act, local communities and indigenous people, including local and traditional knowledge and values, are included in the process when designating protected areas in Norway.
10.2 Have case studies, participation in projects or successful experiences on cultural aspects of wetlands been compiled. Resolution VIII.19 and Resolution IX.21? (Action 6.1.6) Please select only one option □ A=Yes ☑ B=No □ C=In Preparation □ D=Planned
10.2 Additional information
If yes please indicate the case studies or projects documenting information and experiences concerning culture and wetlands > Not known
10.3 Have the guidelines for establishing and strengthening local communities' and indigenous people's participation in the management of wetlands been used or applied. (Resolution VII. 8) (Action 6.1.5) Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=In Preparation □ D=Planned
10.3 Additional information
If the answer is "yes" please indicate the use or aplication of the guidelines > Guidance developed under the Ramsar convention is not used. However, according to the Nature Diversity Act, local communities and indigenous people, including local and traditional knowledge and values, are included in the process when designating protected areas in Norway.
10.4 Traditional knowledge and management practices relevant for the wise use of wetlands have been documented and their application encouraged (Action 6.1.2) Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=In Preparation □ D=Planned
10.4 Additional information Not known
T 1 44

Target 11

Wetland functions, services and benefits are widely demonstrated, documented and disseminated. {1.4.}

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by Ramsar Sites and

other wetlands? {1.4.1} KRA 1.4.ii Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=In Preparation ☑ C1=Partially □ D=Planned □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant
11.1 Additional information
If 'Yes' or 'Partially', please indicate, how many Ramsar Sites and their names No specific assessments have been conducted of the ecosystem services provided by Ramsar sites, but studies have been conducted on ecosystem services from wetlands. One major assessment was published in 2013 by an expert Commission which was appointed by the Norwegian Government in October 2011 to assess and study the value of ecosystem services. The Commission was asked, among other things, to describe the consequences for society of the degradation of ecosystem services, to identify how relevant knowledge can best be communicated to decision makers, and to make recommendations about how greater consideration can be given to ecosystem services in private and public decision making. The Commission submitted its recommendations to the Minister of the Environment in August 2013 (Norwegian Official Report entitled NOU 2013: 10 Natural benefits – on the values of ecosystem services). In summary, the Commission concludes that the ecosystem services approach can be a useful supplement to Norway's environmental and resource management in order to show more clearly why protecting nature is important to our own well-being. The Commission argues that the values of ecosystem services must be better demonstrated and reflected in decision making, and that values in nature must be communicated through policy instruments and framework conditions. In the Commission's opinion the state of Norwegian ecosystems in summary is relatively good, but Norway's biological diversity and Norwegian ecosystems are also under pressure from many directions. Key factors include land use and land use change, as well as climate change and ocean acidification, pollution, environmental toxins and invasive species. A national assessment of the ecosystem services from wetlands is currently being developed, and will be finalized in 2018. The assessment bases itself upon IPBES' procedures and represents a new way of assessing
nature values in Norway. See also 9.7c).
11.2 Have wetland programmes or projects that contribute to poverty alleviation objectives or food and water security plans been implemented? {1.4.2} KRA 1.4.i Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned □ X=Unknown ☑ Y=Not Relevant
11.2 Additional information
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for Ramsar Sites and other wetlands? {1.4.3}{1.4.4} KRA 1.4.iii Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned
11.3 Additional information
If 'Yes' or 'Partially', please indicate, if known, how many Ramsar Sites and their names > Socio-economic values are included in the process towards designing protected areas, but not so far for Ramsar sites.
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for Ramsar Sites and other wetlands? {1.4.3}{1.4.4} KRA 1.4.iii Please select only one option ☐ A=Yes ☐ B=No

□ C=Partially □ D=Planned
11.4 Additional information
If 'Yes' or 'Partially', please indicate, if known, how many Ramsar Sites and their names > According to the Nature Diversity Act, for areas with Sami interests, cultural values are included in the management of the protected areas.
Target 12 Restoration is in progress in degraded wetlands, with priority to wetlands that are relevant for biodiversity conservation, disaster risk reduction, livelihoods and/or climate change mitigation and adaptation. {1.8.}
12.1 Have priority sites for wetland restoration been identified? {1.8.1} KRA 1.8.i Please select only one option ☐ A=Yes ☐ B=No ☐ C=Partially ☐ D=Planned ☐ X=Unknown ☐ Y=Not Relevant
12.1 Additional information > Based on a report commissioned by NEA describing several hundred potential wetland restoration objects, a first national plan for restoration was developed 2012. Priority was then given to ten described projects, covering wetland types like oxbow lakes, meandering rivers, inland lakes and bogs. I 2016 a national restoration plan for peatlands and other wetlands (2016-2020) was launched by NEA. The plan will reflect national goals to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, promote climate adaptation, and improve ecological status. The plan will include a review of the latest research on wetland restoration, a criteria set for choosing the best localities for restoration, and a concrete plan for implementation.
12.2 Have wetland restoration/rehabilitation programmes, plans or projects been effectively implemented? {1.8.2} KRA 1.8.i **Please select only one option** □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant
12.2 Additional information
If 'Yes' or 'Partially', please indicate, if available the extent of wetlands restored > The plan referred to in 12.1 is being implemented.
Target 13 Enhanced sustainability of key sectors such as water, energy, mining, agriculture, tourism, urban development, infrastructure, industry, forestry, aquaculture and fisheries when they affect wetlands, contributing to biodiversity conservation and human livelihoods
13.1 Have actions been taken to enhance sustainability of key sectors such as water, energy, mining, agriculture, tourism, urban development, infrastructure, industry, forestry, aquaculture and fisheries when they affect wetlands? Please select only one option
13.1. Additional information
If 'Yes', please indicate the actions taken > The Nature Diversity Act: The purpose of the Nature Diversity Act is to protect biological, geological and landscape diversity and ecological processes through conservation and sustainable use, and in such a way that the environment provides a basis for human activity, culture, health and well-being, now and in the future, including a basis for Sami culture. The Act applies to all Norwegian land territory, including river systems, and to Norwegian

territorial waters. The Act includes objectives to maintain species and their genetic diversity for the long term, general duty of care, base official decisions on sound scientific knowledge, that any pressure on an ecosystem shall be assessed on the basis of the cumulative environmental effects on the ecosystem now or in the future, and to use environmentally sound techniques and methods of operation. Central principles in the Act includes precautionary principle and user-pays principle. The Planning and Building Act: Through the Planning and building act, SEA/EIA regulation and Petroleum act, all sectors are to assess their impact on the environment before plans and projects are implemented. 13.2 Are Strategic Environmental Assessment practices applied when reviewing policies, programmes and plans that may impact upon wetlands? {1.3.3} {1.3.4} KRA 1.3.ii Please select only one option □ A=Yes \square B=No ☑ C=Partially ☐ D=Planned 13.2 Additional information > The EU SEA Directive is implemented I Norway, SEA/EIA regulations under the planning and building act. 13.3 Are Environmental Impact Assessments made for any development projects (such as new buildings, new roads, extractive industry) from key sectors such as water, energy, mining, agriculture, tourism, urban development, infrastructure, industry, forestry, aquaculture and fisheries that may affect wetlands? {1.3.4} {1.3.5} KRA 1.3.iii Please select only one option ☑ A=Yes \square B=No ☐ C=Some Cases 13.3 Additional information > EIA Directive. The Norwegian Planning and Building Act applies. EIA regulation. Goal 4. Enhancing implementation Target 15 Ramsar Regional Initiatives with the active involvement and support of the Parties in each region are reinforced and developed into effective tools to assist in the full implementation of the Convention. {3.2.} 15.1 Have you (AA) been involved in the development and implementation of a Regional Initiative under the framework of the Convention? {3.2.1} KRA 3.2.i Please select only one option ☑ A=Yes \square B=No □ D=Planned 15.1 Additional information If 'Yes' or 'Planned', please indicate the regional initiative(s) and the collaborating countries of each initiative > The NorBalWet Initiative, a Norwegian initiative, was established in 2006, but have had limited activities the last period. 15.2 Has your country supported or participated in the development of other regional (i.e., covering more than one country) wetland training and research centres? {3.2.2} Please select only one option □ A=Yes ☑ B=No ☐ D=Planned

15.2 Additional information

If 'Yes', please indicate the name(s) of the centre(s)

Target 16

Wetlands conservation and wise use are mainstreamed through communication, capacity development, education, participation and awareness {4.1}

16.1 Has an action plan (or plans) for wetland CEPA been established? {4.1.1} KRA 4.1.i

Even if no CEPA plans have been developed, if broad CEPA objectives for CEPA actions have been established, please indicate this in the Additional information section below *Please select only one per square.*

a) At the national level	☑ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=In Progress □ D=Planned
b) Sub national level	□ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=In Progress □ D=Planned
c) Catchement/basin level	□ A=Yes ☑ B=No □ C=In Progress □ D=Planned
d) Local/site level	☑ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=In Progress □ D=Planned

16.1 Additional information

If 'Yes' or 'In progress' to one or more of the four questions above, for each please describe the mechanism, who is responsible and identify if it has involved CEPA NFPs

> A CEPA Action Plan for Norway is in place.

(http://www.miljodirektoratet.no/Documents/publikasjoner/M76/M76.pdf). It has been translated into Russian and English. The Gov CEPA FP, the NFP/STRP FP and representaives from wetland information centres, county governors, environmental department and the Norwegian Nature State Inspectorate participated in the development of the action plan. It is mandatory to include CEPA in management plans for protected wetlands including all Ramsar site.

including all Ramsar site.
16.2a How many centres (visitor centres, interpretation centres, education centres) have been established? {4.1.2} KRA 4.1.ii a) at Ramsar Sites Please select only one option ☑ E=Exact Number (centres)
> 5 □ F=Less than (centres)
→ □ G=More than (centres)
> □ C=Partially □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant
16.2b How many centres (visitor centres, interpretation centres, education centres) have been established? {4.1.2} KRA 4.1.ii b) at other wetlands Please select only one option ☑ E=Exact Number (centres)
> 1 □ F=Less than (centres)
→ □ G=More than (centres)
> □ C=Partially □ X=Unknown

16.2 Additional information

☐ Y=Not Relevant

If centres are part of national or international networks, please describe the networks

> Norway has certified 6 wetland visitor centers. Out of these, five are in close proximity to Ramsar sites. The centers aim at enhancing the awareness about the value of wetlands, especially among children and young people.

The certified centers receives funding and are part of a network with other nature visitor centers that share a marketing strategy with a common brand. The network meets annually and through the network the centers are offered capacity building seminars and workshops.

In addition, there are about five operational wetland centers that inform about wetlands. Some of the certified national park visitor centers also informs about wetlands in their work.

16.3 Does the Contracting Party {4.1.3} KRA 4.1.iii

Please select only one per square.

a) promote stakeholder participation in decision- making on wetland planning and management	☐ A=Yes ☐ B=No ☐ C=Partially ☐ D=Planned
b) specifically involve local stakeholders in the selection of new Ramsar Sites and in Ramsar Site management?	☐ A=Yes ☐ B=No ☐ C=Partially ☐ D=Planned

16.3 Additional information

If 'Yes' or 'Partially', please provide information about the ways in which stakeholders are involved > Any land use plans proposed according to the Planning and Building Act, or proposed plans that include any kind of water or hydropower development are subjects to wide hearings were all relevant stakeholders are invitet to participate.

In Norway all existing / proposed new Ramsar Sites are already protected by either the Svalbard Environmental Protection Act, or the Nature Diversity Act (former the nature protection Act). Prior to selection and establishment of protected areas there are wide hearings were all stakeholders are invited/included. When a management plan for the protected area is developed, the same procedure is followed. Norway has applied for Ramsar status for selected sites in several rounds. In this connection the County governors (18) and the Governor of Svalbard, research institutions and NGOs and others have been consulted for candidates (The report on Important Bird Areas

document). All management plans for PAs are subject to hearings were relevant stakeholders are invited to participate.
16.4 Do you have an operational cross-sectoral National Ramsar/Wetlands Committee? {4.1.6} KRA 4.3.v Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant
16.4 Additional information
If 'Yes', indicate a) its membership; b) number of meetings since COP12; and c) what responsibilities the Committee has
16.5 Do you have an operational cross-sectoral body equivalent to a National Ramsar/Wetlands Committee? {4.1.6} KRA 4.3.v Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned □ X=Unknown

☐ Y=Not Relevant

16.5 Additional infoll If 'Yes', indicate a) its has		since COP12; and c) what responsibilities the Committee
	delines and other information bet 4.1.vi:	om a national committee) in place to share Ramsar ween the Administrative Authority and a), b) or c)
a) Ramsar Site managers	□ A=Yes ☑ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned	
b) other MEA national focal points	□ A=Yes ☑ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned	
c) other ministries, departments and agencies	□ A=Yes ☑ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned	
16.7 Have Ramsar-b	ease describe what mechanisms are branded World Wetlands Day actionent and NGO-led or both, been	in place vities (whether on 2 February or at another time of carried out in the country since COP12? {4.1.8}
16.7 Additional info	rmation	
been carried out sin	ice COP12 to raise awareness of tefits/services provided by wetland	her than for World Wetlands Day-related activities) the importance of wetlands to people and wildlife and ls? {4.1.9}
16.8 Additional info	rmation	
	he mandate, and continous awarene	y other organizations, please indicate this ss activities of the autorized wetland information
Target 17 Financial and other	resources for effectively implement	enting the fourth Ramsar Strategic Plan 2016 - 2024

from all sources are made available. {4.2.}

17.1a Have Ramsar contributions been paid in full for 2015, 2016 and 2017? {4.2.1} KRA 4.2.i Please select only one option

☑ A=Yes

 \square B=No

 \square Z=Not Applicable

17.1b If 'No' in 17.1 a), please clarify what plan is in place to ensure future prompt payment

17.2 Has any additional financial support been provided through voluntary contributions to non-core

funded Convention activities? {4.2.2} KRA 4.2.i Please select only one option ☑ A=Yes ☐ B=No
17.2 Additional information
If 'Yes' please state the amounts, and for which activities > Includes travel support to Ramsar regional meetings. Support to the Secretariat's work on Ramsar Information Sheets (Europe)
17.3 [For Contracting Parties with a development assistance agency only ('donor countries')]: Has the agency provided funding to support wetland conservation and management in other countries? {3.3.1} KRA 3.3.i Please select only one option ☐ A=Yes ☐ B=No ☐ Z=Not Applicable
17.3 Additional information
If 'Yes', please indicate the countries supported since COP12 Myanmar: The Norwegian Environment Agency and the Nature and Wildlife Conservation Division of the Ministry of Natural Resources and Environmental Conservation (MONREC) run the project "Conservation of Biodiversity and Improved Management of Protected Areas in Myanmar 2015-2018". A main component is development and implementation of a Wetland Action Plan for Myanmar that includes among other things; a National Wetland Policy, Ramsar Site Designations, a National Wetland Inventory, mgt. plans for protected areas wetlands/ Ramsar sites, capacity building and training for PA wetland managers, CEPA and WWD events. An MFA /Norwegian Embassy funded project. In total about NOK 25 million – of which around NOK 6-7 million for specific wetland purpose. Palestine: Wadi Gaza Coastal Wetland and Recreational Area Development: Protecting natural resources and safeguarding the environment. The project aims to protect public health of the Gaza Stip and its natural resources through restoration and rehabilitation of Wadi Gaza as a recognized natural reserve and coastal wetland. The project will enhance the livelihood of residents of surrounding towns though development of recreational facilities and creating job opportunities through the planned project activities. Norway will contribute with 12,5 million NOK for the period 2017-2019. South-Sudan and Uganda: The project is a capacity building project under the NORHED programme on capacity building in higher education and research. The institutions involved are The University of Juba in South Sudan, Makerere University in Uganda and Norwegian University of Life Sciences. The project agreement runs from 2013 to 2018 (with a no-cost extension until 2019). The total funding for the agreement is NOK 17 885 000. The impact level of the results framework aims to "contribute to improved natural resources management and utilization". Indonesia: Samdhana has been working in the peat land restoration priority district of P
17.4 [For Contracting Parties with a development assistance agency only ('donor countries')]: Have environmental safeguards and assessments been included in development proposals proposed by the agency? {3.3.2} KRA 3.3.ii Please select only one option ☐ A=Yes ☐ B=No ☐ C=Partially ☐ X=Unknown ☐ Y=Not Relevant
□ Z=Not Applicable 17.4 Additional information > Grant recipients must identify substantial risk factors caused by the project that may have a negative impact on climate change and the environment, and analyse and manage these risk factors throughout the project cycle. Norad has the responsibility to consider whether the grant recipient's risk management can sufficiently

ensure that unintended negative effects of the project will be avoided. The intention is to reduce risks to a level that can be tolerated.

17.5 [For Contracting Parties that have received development assistance only ('recipient countries')]: Has funding support been received from development assistance agencies specifically for in-country wetland

conservation and management? {3.3.3} Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ Z=Not Applicable
17.5 Additional information
If 'Yes', please indicate from which countries/agencies since COP12 >
17.6 Has any financial support been provided by your country to the implementation of the Strategic Plan? Please select only one option ☐ A=Yes ☐ B=No ☐ Z=Not Applicable
17.6 Additional information If "Yes" please state the amounts, and for which activities
Target 18
International cooperation is strengthened at all levels {3.1}
18.1 Are the national focal points of other MEAs invited to participate in the National Ramsar/Wetland Committee? {3.1.1} {3.1.2} KRAs 3.1.i & 3.1.iv Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned
18.1 Additional information > Not applicable
18.2 Are mechanisms in place at the national level for collaboration between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the focal points of UN and other global and regional bodies and agencies (e.g. UNEP, UNDP, WHO, FAO, UNECE, ITTO)? {3.1.2} {3.1.3} KRA 3.1.iv Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned
18.2 Additional information > Several of the focal points for environmentally related bodies are located within the National Authority or line ministry, facilitating collaboration where relevant.
18.3 Has your country received assistance from one or more UN and other global and regional bodies and agencies (e.g. UNEP, UNDP, WHO, FAO, UNECE, ITTO) or the Convention's IOPs in its implementation of the Convention? {4.4.1} KRA 4.4.ii.
The IOPs are: BirdLife International, the International Water Management Institute (IWMI), IUCN (International Union for Conservation of Nature), Wetlands International, WWF and Wildfowl & Wetland Trust (WWT). **Please select only one option** A=Yes B=No C=Partially D=Planned X=Unknown Y=Not Relevant
18.3 Additional information
If 'Yes' please name the agency (es) or IOP (s) and the type of assistance received >

18.4 Have networks, including twinning arrangements, been established, nationally or internationally, for knowledge sharing and training for wetlands that share common features? {3.4.1} Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned
18.4 Additional information
If 'Yes' or 'Partially', please indicate the networks and wetlands involved > Crossborder cooperation on wetland related issues/activities between Norway and Russia at Pasvik river (Pazvik zapovednik - pasvik nature reserve). Some wetland centers have contact with collegaues in other countries.
18.5 Has information about your country's wetlands and/or Ramsar Sites and their status been made public (e.g., through publications or a website)? {3.4.2} KRA 3.4.iv Please select only one option ☐ A=Yes ☐ B=No ☐ C=Partially ☐ D=Planned
18.5 Additional information > Widely spread information on wetlands and Ramsar sites through e g web sites of the Norwegian Environment Agency, county governors, national authorized wetland centers (and others), NGOs. Books and leaflets by organizations and private writers. (A booklet on Norway's 63 Ramsar sites is planned.)
18.6 Has information about your country's wetlands and/or Ramsar Sites been transmitted to the Ramsar Secretariat for dissemination? {3.4.3} KRA 3.4.ii Please select only one option ☐ A=Yes ☐ B=No ☐ C=Partially ☐ D=Planned
18.6 Additional Information Norway aims to finalize the update of Ramsar Information Sheets for all 63 sites within February 2018.
18.7 Have all transboundary wetland systems been identified? {3.5.1} KRA 3.5.i Please select only one option ☐ A=Yes ☐ B=No ☐ D=Planned ☐ Z=Not Applicable
18.7 Additional information
18.8 Is effective cooperative management in place for shared wetland systems (for example, in shared river basins and coastal zones)? {3.5.2} KRA 3.5.ii Please select only one option ☐ A=Yes ☐ B=No ☐ C=Partially ☐ D=Planned ☐ Y=Not Relevant
18.8 Additional information
If 'Yes' or 'Partially', please indicate for which wetland systems such management is in place
18.9 Does your country participate in regional networks or initiatives for wetland-dependent migratory species? {3.5.3} KRA 3.5.iii Please select only one option ☑ A=Yes

 □ B=No □ D=Planned □ Z=Not Applicable
18.9 Additional information Norway have signed and participates in the Agreement on the Conservation of African-Eurasian Migratory Waterbirds (AEWA). Norway also takes part in the Arctic Migratory Bird Initiative (AMBI) under The Arctic Council.
Target 19 Capacity building for implementation of the Convention and the 4th Ramsar Strategic Plan 2016 – 2024 is enhanced.
19.1 Has an assessment of national and local training needs for the implementation of the Convention been made? {4.1.4} KRAs 4.1.iv & 4.1.viii Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned
19.1 Additional information
19.2 Are wetland conservation and wise-use issues included in formal education programmes? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned
19.2 Additional information
If you answer yes to the above please provide information on which mechanisms and materials >
19.3a How many opportunities for wetland site manager training have been provided since COP12? {4.1.5} KRA 4.1.iv a) at Ramsar Sites Please select only one option □ E=Exact number (opportunities)
> □ F=Less than (opportunities)
→ □ G=More than (opportunities)
> □ C=Partially □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant
19.3b How many opportunities for wetland site manager training have been provided since COP12? {4.1.5} KRA 4.1.iv b) at other wetlands Please select only one option □ E=Exact number (Opportunities)
> □ F=Less than (Opportunities)
> ☐ G=More than (Opportunities) ☐ C=Partially ☑ X=Unknown ☐ Y=Not Relevant

19.3 Additional information

Ramsar sites managers participates at annual gatherings with all national nature information centers, convened by the national management authority.
 19.4 Have you (AA) used your previous Ramsar National Reports in monitoring implementation of the Convention? {4.3.1} KRA 4.3.ii
 Please select only one option
 □ A=Yes
 □ B=No
 □ D=Planned
 □ Z=Not Applicable
 19.4 Additional information

including whether the Ramsar Wise Use Handbooks were used in the training

If 'Yes', please indicate how the Reports have been used for monitoring

Ramsar National Report to COP13 [Jan-Petter Huberth Hansen]

Section 4. Optional annex to allow any Contracting Party that has developed national targets to provide information on those

Goal 1

Target 1: Wetland benefits

Wetland benefits are featured in national / local policy strategies and plans relating to key sectors such as water, energy, mining, agriculture, tourism, urban development, infrastructure, industry, forestry, aquaculture, fisheries at the national and local level. Contributes to Aichi Target 2

Target 1: Wetland benefits - Please select only one option □ A=High □ B=Medium □ C=Low □ D=Not relevant □ E=No answer	Priority
Target 1: Wetland benefits - Please select only one option □ A=Good □ B=Adequate □ C=Limiting □ D=Severely limiting □ E=No answer	Resourcing
Target 1: Wetland benefits -	National Targets
Target 1: Wetland benefits -	Planned activity
Target 1: Wetland benefits -	Outcomes achieved by 2018
Development Goals	d how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable leted when the full report is submitted in January 2018

Target 2: Water Use

Water use respects wetland ecosystem needs for them to fulfil their functions and provide services at the appropriate scale inter alia at the basin level or along a coastal zone. Contributes to Aichi Targets 7 and 8 and Sustainable Development Goal 6.3.1

Target 2: Water Use - Priority Please select only one option
□ A=High
□ B=Medium
□ C=Low
□ D=Not relevant
□ E=No answer
Target 2: Water Use - Resourcing Please select only one option □ A=Good □ B=Adequate □ C=Limiting □ D=Severely limiting □ E=No answer
Target 2: Water Use - National Targets
Target 2: Water Use - Planned activity
Target 2: Water Use - Outcomes achieved by 2018
Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted, in January 2018
Note : this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018

Target 3: Public and private sectors

Public and private sectors have increased their efforts to apply guidelines and good practices for the wise use of water and wetlands. {1.10}. Contributes to Aichi Targets 3, 4, 7 and 8.

arget 3: Public and private sectors - Priority lease select only one option A=High B=Medium C=Low D=Not relevant E=No answer
arget 3: Public and private sectors - Resourcing lease select only one option A=Good B=Adequate C=Limiting D=Severely limiting E=No answer
arget 3: Public and private sectors - National Targets
arget 3: Public and private sectors - Planned activity
arget 3: Public and private sectors - Outcomes achieved by 2018
outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable evelopment Goals lote: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018

Target 4: Invasive alien species

Invasive alien species and pathways of introduction and expansion are identified and prioritized, priority invasive alien species are controlled or eradicated, and management responses are prepared and implemented to prevent their introduction and establishment. Contributes to Aichi Target 9.

Target 4: Invasive alien species - Priority Please select only one option A=High B=Medium C=Low D=Not relevant E=No answer
Target 4: Invasive alien species - Resourcing Please select only one option □ A=Good □ B=Adequate □ C=Limiting □ D=Severely limiting □ E=No answer
Target 4: Invasive alien species - National Targets
Target 4: Invasive alien species - Planned activity
Target 4: Invasive alien species - Outcomes achieved by 2018
Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018

Goal 2

Target 5: Ecological character of Ramsar Sites

The ecological character of Ramsar Sites is maintained or restored through effective, planning and integrated management {2.1.}. Contributes to Aichi Target 6,11, 12.

Target 7: Sites at risk

Development Goals

Sites that are at risk of change of ecological character have threats addressed {2.6.}. Contributes to Aichi Targets 5, 7, 11, 12.

Target 7: Sites at risk - Priority Please select only one option □ A=High □ B=Medium □ C=Low □ D=Not relevant □ E=No answer
Target 7: Sites at risk - Resourcing Please select only one option □ A=Good □ B=Adequate □ C=Limiting □ D=Severely limiting □ E=No answer
Target 7: Sites at risk - National Targets
Target 7: Sites at risk - Planned activity
Target 7: Sites at risk - Outcomes achieved by 2018 Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable

Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018

Goal 3

Target 8: National wetland inventories

National wetland inventories have been either initiated, completed or updated and disseminated and used for promoting the conservation and effective management of all wetlands {1.1.1} KRA 1.1.i. Contrubutes to Aichi Targets 12, 14, 18, 19.

Target 8: National wetland inventories - Priority
Please select only one option
□ A=High □ B=Medium
□ C=Low
□ D=Not relevant
□ E=No answer
Target 8: National wetland inventories - Resourcing Please select only one option □ A=Good
☐ B=Adequate ☐ C=Limiting
□ D=Severely limiting□ E=No answer
Target 8: National wetland inventories - National Targets
Target 8: National wetland inventories - Planned activity
Target 8: National wetland inventories - Outcomes achieved by 2018
Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals
Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018

Target 9: Wise Use

The wise use of wetlands is strengthened through integrated resource management at the appropriate scale, inter alia, within a river basin or along a coastal zone {1.3.}. Contributes to Aichi Targets 4, 6, 7.

Target 9: Wise Use - Priority
Please select only one option
□ A=High
□ B=Medium
□ C=Low
□ D=Not relevant
□ E=No answer
Target 9: Wise Use - Resourcing
Please select only one option
□ A=Good
□ B=Adequate
□ C=Limiting
□ D=Severely limiting
□ E=No answer
Target 9: Wise Use - National Targets
>
Target 9: Wise Use - Planned activity
>
Target 9: Wise Use - Outcomes achieved by 2018
·
Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals
Note : this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018

Target 10: Traditional Knowledge

The traditional knowledge innovations and practices of indigenous peoples and local communities relevant for the wise use of wetlands and their customary use of wetland resources, are documented, respected, subject to national legislation and relevant international obligations and fully integrated and reflected in the implementation of the Convention with a full and effective participation of indigenous and local communities at all relevant levels. Contributes to Aichi Target 18.

Target 10: Traditional Knowledge - Priority Please select only one option □ A=High
□ B=Medium
□ C=Low
□ D=Not relevant
□ E=No answer
Target 10: Traditional Knowledge - Resourcing Please select only one option □ A=Good □ B=Adequate □ C=Limiting □ D=Severely limiting □ E=No answer
Target 10: Traditional Knowledge - National Targets
Target 10: Traditional Knowledge - Planned activity
Target 10: Traditional Knowledge - Outcomes achieved by 2018
Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018

Target 11: Wetland functions

Wetland functions, services and benefits are widely demonstrated, documented and disseminated. {1.4.}. Contributes to Aichi Targets 1, 2, 13, 14.

Target 11: Wetland functions - Priority Please select only one option □ A=High □ B=Medium □ C=Low □ D=Not relevant □ E=No answer
Target 11: Wetland functions - Resourcing Please select only one option A=Good B=Adequate C=Limiting D=Severely limiting E=No answer
Target 11: Wetland functions - National Targets
Target 11: Wetland functions - Planned activity
Target 11: Wetland functions - Outcomes achieved by 2018
Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018

Target 12: Restoration

Restoration is in progress in degraded wetlands, with priority to wetlands that are relevant for biodiversity conservation, disaster risk reduction, livelihoods and/or climate change mitigation and adaptation. {1.8.}. Contributes to Aichi Targets 14 and 15.

Target 12: Restoration - Priority Please select only one option □ A=High □ B=Medium □ C=Low □ D=Not relevant □ E=No answer
Target 12: Restoration - Resourcing Please select only one option □ A=Good □ B=Adequate □ C=Limiting □ D=Severely limiting □ E=No answer
Target 12: Restoration - National Targets
Target 12: Restoration - Planned activity
Target 12: Restoration - Outcomes achieved by 2018
Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018

Target 13: Enhanced sustainability

Enhanced sustainability of key sectors such as water, energy, mining, agriculture, tourism, urban development, infrastructure, industry, forestry, aquaculture and fisheries when they affect wetlands, contributing to biodiversity conservation and human livelihoods. Contributes to Aichi Targets 6 and 7.

arget 13: Enhanced sustainability - Priority ease select only one option A=High B=Medium C=Low D=Not relevant E=No answer
arget 13: Enhanced sustainability - Resourcing ease select only one option A=Good B=Adequate C=Limiting D=Severely limiting E=No answer
arget 13: Enhanced sustainability - National Targets
arget 13: Enhanced sustainability - Planned activity
arget 13: Enhanced sustainability - Outcomes achieved by 2018
utcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable evelopment Goals ote: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018

Goal 4

Target 15: Regional Initiatives

Ramsar Regional Initiatives with the active involvement and support of the Parties in each region are reinforced and developed into effective tools to assist in the full implementation of the Convention. {3.2.}

Target 15: Regional Initiatives - Priority Please select only one option □ A=High □ B=Medium □ C=Low □ D=Not relevant □ E=No answer
Target 15: Regional Initiatives - Resourcing Please select only one option □ A=Good □ B=Adequate □ C=Limiting □ D=Severely limiting □ E=No answer
Target 15: Regional Initiatives - National Targets
Target 15: Regional Initiatives - Planned activity
Target 15: Regional Initiatives - Outcomes achieved by 2018
Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018

Target 16: Wetlands conservation and wise use

Wetlands conservation and wise use are mainstreamed through communication, capacity development, education, participation and awareness {4.1}. Contributes to Aichi Target 1 and 18.

Target 16: Wetlands conservation and wise use - Priority Please select only one option □ A=High
□ B=Medium
□ C=Low
□ D=Not relevant □ E=No answer
Target 16: Wetlands conservation and wise use - Resourcing Please select only one option □ A=Good □ B=Adequate □ C=Limiting □ D=Severely limiting □ E=No answer
Target 16: Wetlands conservation and wise use - National Targets
Target 16: Wetlands conservation and wise use - Planned activity
Target 16: Wetlands conservation and wise use - Outcomes achieved by 2018
Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals
Note : this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018

Target 17: Financial and other resources

Financial and other resources for effectively implementing the fourth Ramsar Strategic Plan 2016 – 2024 from all sources are made available. {4.2.}. Contributes to Aichi Target 20.

Target 17: Financial and other resources - Priority Please select only one option □ A=High □ B=Medium □ C=Low □ D=Not relevant □ E=No answer
Target 17: Financial and other resources - Resourcing Please select only one option □ A=Good □ B=Adequate □ C=Limiting □ D=Severely limiting □ E=No answer
Target 17: Financial and other resources - National Targets
Target 17: Financial and other resources - Planned activity
Target 17: Financial and other resources - Outcomes achieved by 2018
Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals Note : this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018

Target 18: International cooperationInternational cooperation is strengthened at all levels {3.1}

Farget 18: International cooperation - Priority Please select only one option □ A=High □ B=Medium □ C=Low □ D=Not relevant □ E=No answer
Target 18: International cooperation - Resourcing Please select only one option □ A=Good □ B=Adequate □ C=Limiting □ D=Severely limiting □ E=No answer
Target 18: International cooperation - National Targets
Target 18: International cooperation - Planned activity
Target 18: International cooperation - Outcomes achieved by 2018
Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018

Target 19: Capacity BuildingCapacity building for implementation of the Convention and the 4th Ramsar Strategic Plan 2016 – 2024 is enhanced. Contributes to Aichi Targets 1 and 17.

Target 19: Capacity Building - Priority Please select only one option A=High B=Medium C=Low D=Not relevant E=No answer	
Target 19: Capacity Building - Resourcing Please select only one option □ A=Good □ B=Adequate □ C=Limiting □ D=Severely limiting □ E=No answer	
Target 19: Capacity Building - National Targets	
Target 19: Capacity Building - Planned activity	
Target 19: Capacity Building - Outcomes achieved by 2018	
Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and St Development Goals Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018	ustainable

Section 5: Optional annex to allow any Contracting Party that so wishes to provide additional information regarding any of all of its designated Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Sites) Guidance for filling in this section

- 1. Contracting Parties can provide additional information specific to any or all of their designated Ramsar Sites, given that the situation and status of individual Ramsar Sites can differ greatly within the territory of a Contracting Party.
- 2. The only indicator questions included in this section are those from Section 3 of the COP13 NRF which directly concern Ramsar Sites.
- 3. In some cases, to make them meaningful in the context of reporting on each Ramsar Site separately, some of these indicator questions and/or their answer options have been adjusted from their formulation in Section 3 of the COP13 NRF.
- 4. Please include information on only one site in each row. In the appropriate columns please add the name and official site number (from the Ramsar Sites Information Service).
- 5. For each 'indicator guestion', please select one answer from the legend.
- 6. A final column of this Annex is provided as a 'free text' box for the inclusion of any additional information concerning the Ramsar Site.

A final column of this Annex is provided as a 'free text' box for the inclusion of any additional information concerning the Ramsar Site.

Norway

Åkersvika (13)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site? Please select only one option A=Yes B=No D=Planned
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information. Please select only one option A=Yes B=No D=Planned
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option A=Yes B=No C=Partially D=Planned
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsai Site? Please select only one option A=Yes B=No C=Partially Z=No Management Plan
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option A=Yes B=No C=Partially Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder

Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned	
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)? Please select only one option $ \Box $	
Any additional comments/information about the site	
Anda (2155)	
5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned	
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (scotthe assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information. **Please select only one option** A=Yes** B=No** D=Planned**	
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned	?
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ran Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ Z=No Management Plan	nsar
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site Please select only one option A=Yes B=No C=Partially Z=No Management Plan	e?
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned	
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No	

□ D=Planned
Any additional comments/information about the site
Atnsjømyrene (1955)
5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information. **Please select only one option** A=Yes
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? **Please select only one option** A=Yes** B=No** C=Partially* Z=No Management Plan
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ Z=No Management Plan
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
Any additional comments/information about the site
Balsfjord Wetland System (1186)
5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information. **Please select only one option** A=Yes
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option A=Yes B=No C=Partially D=Planned
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? **Please select only one option** A=Yes
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option A=Yes B=No C=Partially Z=No Management Plan
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
Any additional comments/information about the site
Bear Island (1966)
5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information. **Please select only one option** A=Yes
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option \Box A=Yes

☐ C=Partially ☐ D=Planned	
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsa Site? Please select only one option A=Yes B=No C=Partially Z=No Management Plan	ır
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ Z=No Management Plan	
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned	
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned	
Any additional comments/information about the site	
Bliksvaer (1187)	
5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned	
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information. Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned	
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned	
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsa Site? Please select only one option A=Yes B=No C=Partially Z=No Management Plan	ır

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option A=Yes B=No C=Partially Z=No Management Plan
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
Any additional comments/information about the site
Dokkadelta (1188)
5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site? Please select only one option A=Yes B=No D=Planned
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information. **Please select only one option** A=Yes
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option A=Yes B=No C=Partially D=Planned
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? **Please select only one option** A=Yes
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option A=Yes B=No C=Partially Z=No Management Plan
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes

□ B=No □ D=Planned
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
Any additional comments/information about the site
Dunoyane (314)
5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information. $Please\ select\ only\ one\ option$ $A=Yes$ $B=No$ $D=Planned$
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? **Please select only one option** A=Yes
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ Z=No Management Plan
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Evenes wetland system (1949) 5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes \square B=No ☐ D=Planned 5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information. Please select only one option □ A=Yes \square B=No □ D=Planned 11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes \square B=No ☐ C=Partially ☐ D=Planned 11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes \square B=No ☐ C=Partially ☐ Z=No Management Plan 11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes \square B=No ☐ C=Partially ☐ Z=No Management Plan 16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes \square B=No ☐ D=Planned 16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)? Please select only one option □ A=Yes \square B=No ☐ D=Planned Any additional comments/information about the site Fiskumvannet Nature Reserve (2156) 5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes \square B=No

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of

☐ D=Planned

Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ Z=No Management Plan
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ Z=No Management Plan
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
Any additional comments/information about the site
Fokstumyra (1189)
5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information. Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option A=Yes B=No C=Partially Z=No Management Plan
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option A=Yes B=No C=Partially Z=No Management Plan
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
Any additional comments/information about the site
Forlandsoyane (313)
5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information. **Please select only one option** A=Yes** B=No** D=Planned
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option A=Yes B=No C=Partially D=Planned
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option A=Yes B=No C=Partially Z=No Management Plan
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes

☐ B=No ☐ C=Partially ☐ Z=No Management Plan
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
Any additional comments/information about the site
Froan Nature Reserve and Landscape Protection Area (809)
5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information. Please select only one option $A = Yes$ $B = No$ $D = Planned$
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option A=Yes B=No C=Partially D=Planned
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ Z=No Management Plan
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option A=Yes B=No C=Partially Z=No Management Plan
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned

Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)? Please select only one option A=Yes B=No D=Planned
Any additional comments/information about the site > This has been a registered article 3.2 file for many years due to the expansion of fish farming. The case was closed in 2017, and a separate letter will be sent to the Ramsar Secretariat.
Gåsoyane (317)
5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information. Please select only one option $A=Yes$ $B=No$ $D=Planned$
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? **Please select only one option** A=Yes** B=No** C=Partially* Z=No Management Plan
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option A=Yes B=No C=Partially Z=No Management Plan
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
Any additional comments/information about the site

Giske Wetlands System (805)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information. *Please select only one option** A=Yes
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ Z=No Management Plan
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ Z=No Management Plan
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
Any additional comments/information about the site
Glomådeltaet (1954)
5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? **Please select only one option** A=Yes** B=No** C=Partially** Z=No Management Plan
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ Z=No Management Plan
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
Any additional comments/information about the site
Grunnfjorden (2147)
5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information. **Please select only one option** A=Yes
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option A=Yes B=No C=Partially Z=No Management Plan
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option A=Yes B=No C=Partially Z=No Management Plan
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
Any additional comments/information about the site
Haroya Wetlands System (806)
5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information. **Please select only one option** A=Yes** B=No** D=Planned
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option A=Yes B=No C=Partially D=Planned
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option A=Yes B=No C=Partially Z=No Management Plan
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option \Box A=Yes

☐ B=No ☐ C=Partially ☐ Z=No Management Plan
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
Any additional comments/information about the site
Havmyran (1190)
5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information. **Please select only one option** A = Yes
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ Z=No Management Plan
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option A=Yes B=No C=Partially Z=No Management Plan
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
Any additional comments/information about the site
Hedmarksvidda Wetland System (1951)
5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information. **Please select only one option** A=Yes
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? **Please select only one option** A=Yes
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ Z=No Management Plan
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
Any additional comments/information about the site

Hopen (1957)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information. **Please select only one option** A=Yes** B=No** D=Planned
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option A=Yes B=No C=Partially D=Planned
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? **Please select only one option** A=Yes** B=No** C=Partially* Z=No Management Plan
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ Z=No Management Plan
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
Any additional comments/information about the site
Horsvaer (2157)
5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information. $Please\ select\ only\ one\ option$ \square A=Yes

□ B=No □ D=Planned
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ Z=No Management Plan
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ Z=No Management Plan
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
Any additional comments/information about the site
Horta (2158)
5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information. **Please select only one option** A=Yes
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option A=Yes B=No C=Partially D=Planned
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar

Page 69 of 103

Site? Please select only one option A=Yes B=No C=Partially Z=No Management Plan
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ Z=No Management Plan
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
Any additional comments/information about the site
Hynna (1191)
5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information. Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ Z=No Management Plan
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially

□ Z=No Management Plan
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
Any additional comments/information about the site
Ilene and Presterodkilen Wetland System (308)
5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information. Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ Z=No Management Plan
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ Z=No Management Plan
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
Any additional comments/information about the site
Innherred Freshwater System (2159)
5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information. **Please select only one option** A=Yes
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ Z=No Management Plan
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ Z=No Management Plan
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
Any additional comments/information about the site

Isoyane (316)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site? Please select only one option

□ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information. **Please select only one option** A=Yes
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ Z=No Management Plan
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ Z=No Management Plan
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
Any additional comments/information about the site
Jaeren wetland system (309)
5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information. **Please select only one option** A=Yes

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem be Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned	nefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ Z=No Management Plan	ded in the management planning for the Ramsar
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the Please select only one option ☐ A=Yes ☐ B=No ☐ C=Partially ☐ Z=No Management Plan	e management planning for the Ramsar Site?
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making pror involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned	noted, especially with local stakeholder
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s) Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned	
Any additional comments/information about the site	
Karlsoyvaer (1192)	
5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee bee Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned	en established for the site?
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site is year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (of the assessment and the source of the information in the barbeless select only one option ☐ A=Yes ☐ B=No ☐ D=Planned	e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem be Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned	nefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes	ded in the management planning for the Ramsar

☐ C=Partially ☐ Z=No Management Plan
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ Z=No Management Plan
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
Any additional comments/information about the site
Kongsfjorden (315)
5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information. *Please select only one option** A=Yes** B=No** D=Planned**
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option A=Yes B=No C=Partially Z=No Management Plan
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ Z=No Management Plan

involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option A=Yes B=No D=Planned
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
Any additional comments/information about the site
Kurefjorden (306)
5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information. **Please select only one option** A=Yes
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option A=Yes B=No C=Partially D=Planned
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? **Please select only one option** A=Yes** B=No** C=Partially* Z=No Management Plan
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ Z=No Management Plan
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)? Please select only one option □ A=Yes

□ B=No □ D=Planned
Any additional comments/information about the site
Kvisleflået (1193)
5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information. **Please select only one option** A=Yes** B=No** D=Planned
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option A=Yes B=No C=Partially D=Planned
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? **Please select only one option** A=Yes
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option A=Yes B=No C=Partially Z=No Management Plan
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)? Please select only one option A=Yes B=No D=Planned
Any additional comments/information about the site
Laukvikoyene (2160)
5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No

□ D=Planned
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information. *Please select only one option** A=Yes** B=No** D=Planned
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option A=Yes B=No C=Partially D=Planned
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? **Please select only one option** A=Yes
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ Z=No Management Plan
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
Any additional comments/information about the site
Lista Wetlands System (804)
5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site? Please select only one option A=Yes B=No D=Planned
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information. *Please select only one option** A=Yes
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ Z=No Management Plan
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ Z=No Management Plan
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
Any additional comments/information about the site
Lovund-Lundeura (2161)
5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information. Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially

□ Z=No Management Plan
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ Z=No Management Plan
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
Any additional comments/information about the site >
Målselvutløpet (1956)
5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information. *Please select only one option** A=Yes** B=No** D=Planned
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option A=Yes B=No C=Partially D=Planned
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option A=Yes B=No C=Partially Z=No Management Plan
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ Z=No Management Plan
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder

involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
Any additional comments/information about the site
Mastadfjellet (2162)
5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information. **Please select only one option** A=Yes
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option A=Yes B=No C=Partially Z=No Management Plan
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ Z=No Management Plan
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site >
Mellandsvågen (808)
5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information. $ \begin{array}{c} Please \ select \ only \ one \ option \\ \square \ A=Yes \\ \square \ B=No \\ \square \ D=Planned \end{array} $
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ Z=No Management Plan
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ Z=No Management Plan
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
Any additional comments/information about the site
Mosvasstangen Landscape Protection Area (803)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option

□ A=Yes

□ B=No

□ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the

year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information. $Please\ select\ only\ one\ option$ $A=Yes$ $B=No$ $D=Planned$
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option A=Yes B=No C=Partially D=Planned
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option A=Yes B=No C=Partially Z=No Management Plan
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ Z=No Management Plan
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
Any additional comments/information about the site
Nordenskiöldkysten (1968)
5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information. Please select only one option $A = Yes$ $B = No$ $D = Planned$
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No

□ C=Partially □ D=Planned
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? **Please select only one option** A=Yes
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ Z=No Management Plan
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
Any additional comments/information about the site
Nordre Oyeren (307)
5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site? Please select only one option A=Yes B=No D=Planned
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information. **Please select only one option** A=Yes** B=No** D=Planned
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option A=Yes B=No C=Partially D=Planned
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option A=Yes B=No C=Partially Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option A=Yes B=No C=Partially Z=No Management Plan
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
Any additional comments/information about the site
Nordre Tyrifjord Wetland System (802)
5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site? Please select only one option A=Yes B=No D=Planned
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information. **Please select only one option** A=Yes
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option A=Yes B=No C=Partially D=Planned
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? **Please select only one option** A=Yes
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option A=Yes B=No C=Partially Z=No Management Plan
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes

□ B=No □ D=Planned
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
Any additional comments/information about the site
Ora (305)
5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information. $Please\ select\ only\ one\ option$ $A=Yes$ $B=No$ $D=Planned$
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option A=Yes B=No C=Partially D=Planned
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? **Please select only one option** A=Yes
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ Z=No Management Plan
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Page 86 of 103

Orland Wetland System (310) 5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes \square B=No ☐ D=Planned 5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information. Please select only one option □ A=Yes \square B=No □ D=Planned 11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes \square B=No ☐ C=Partially ☐ D=Planned 11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes \square B=No ☐ C=Partially ☐ Z=No Management Plan 11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes \square B=No ☐ C=Partially ☐ Z=No Management Plan 16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes \square B=No ☐ D=Planned 16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)? Please select only one option □ A=Yes \square B=No ☐ D=Planned Any additional comments/information about the site Ovre Forra (1194) 5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes \square B=No ☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of

the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information. Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ Z=No Management Plan
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ Z=No Management Plan
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
Any additional comments/information about the site
Pasvik Nature Reserve (810)
5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information. Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ Z=No Management Plan
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option A=Yes B=No C=Partially Z=No Management Plan
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
Any additional comments/information about the site
Reisautløpet (1958)
5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information. **Please select only one option** A=Yes** B=No** D=Planned
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option A=Yes B=No C=Partially D=Planned
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option A=Yes B=No C=Partially Z=No Management Plan
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes

□ B=No □ C=Partially □ Z=No Management Plan
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
Any additional comments/information about the site
Risøysundet (2163)
5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information. Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ Z=No Management Plan
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ Z=No Management Plan
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
Any additional comments/information about the site
Røstøyan (1950)
5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information. **Please select only one option** A=Yes
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ Z=No Management Plan
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ Z=No Management Plan
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
Any additional comments/information about the site

Rott-Håstein-Kjør (1952)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information. **Please select only one option** A = Yes
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option A=Yes B=No C=Partially Z=No Management Plan
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ Z=No Management Plan
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
Any additional comments/information about the site
Runde (2164)
5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information. Please select only one option \Box A=Yes

□ B=No □ D=Planned
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ Z=No Management Plan
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ Z=No Management Plan
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
Any additional comments/information about the site
Sandblåst-/Gaustadvågen Nature Reserve (807)
5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information. **Please select only one option** A=Yes
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar

Page 93 of 103

Site? Please select only one option A=Yes B=No C=Partially Z=No Management Plan
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option A=Yes B=No C=Partially Z=No Management Plan
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
Any additional comments/information about the site
Sklinna (1953)
5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information. **Please select only one option** A=Yes
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option A=Yes B=No C=Partially D=Planned
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? **Please select only one option** A=Yes
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially

□ Z=No Management Plan
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
Any additional comments/information about the site >
Skogvoll (1195)
5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information. **Please select only one option** A=Yes** B=No** D=Planned
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ Z=No Management Plan
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ Z=No Management Plan
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
Any additional comments/information about the site
Slettnes (1196)
5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information. **Please select only one option** A=Yes
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ Z=No Management Plan
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ Z=No Management Plan
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
Any additional comments/information about the site

Sørkapp (1965)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site? Please select only one option

□ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information. **Please select only one option** A=Yes
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? **Please select only one option** A=Yes
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ Z=No Management Plan
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
Any additional comments/information about the site
Stabbursneset (312)
5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information. *Please select only one option** A=Yes** B=No** D=Planned**

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option A=Yes B=No C=Partially D=Planned
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? **Please select only one option** A=Yes** B=No** C=Partially* Z=No Management Plan
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ Z=No Management Plan
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
Any additional comments/information about the site
Tanamunningen (1197)
5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information. **Please select only one option** A=Yes** B=No** D=Planned
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option A=Yes B=No C=Partially D=Planned
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes

□ B=No □ C=Partially □ Z=No Management Plan
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ Z=No Management Plan
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
Any additional comments/information about the site
Tautra & Svaet (311)
5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information. *Please select only one option** A=Yes** B=No** D=Planned**
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option A=Yes B=No C=Partially D=Planned
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option A=Yes B=No C=Partially Z=No Management Plan
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option A=Yes B=No C=Partially Z=No Management Plan

16.3a is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
Any additional comments/information about the site
Trondheimfjord wetland system (1198)
5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information. **Please select only one option** A=Yes** B=No** D=Planned**
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option A=Yes B=No C=Partially D=Planned
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? **Please select only one option** A=Yes** B=No** C=Partially* Z=No Management Plan
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option A=Yes B=No C=Partially Z=No Management Plan
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)? Please select only one option □ A=Yes

□ B=No □ D=Planned
Any additional comments/information about the site
Tufsingdeltaet (1199)
5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information. **Please select only one option** A=Yes** B=No** D=Planned
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option A=Yes B=No C=Partially D=Planned
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option A=Yes B=No C=Partially Z=No Management Plan
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option A=Yes B=No C=Partially Z=No Management Plan
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? **Please select only one option** A=Yes** B=No** D=Planned
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)? **Please select only one option** A=Yes
Any additional comments/information about the site
Ulendeltaet (1967)
5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsa Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ Z=No Management Plan
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ Z=No Management Plan
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
Any additional comments/information about the site