## Ramsar National Report to COP13

## **COP13 National Report**

## **Background information**

- 1. The COP13 National Report Format (NRF) has been approved by the Standing Committee 52 for the Ramsar Convention's Contracting Parties to complete as their national reporting to the 13th meeting of the Conference of the Contracting Parties of the Convention (United Arab Emirates, 2018).
- 2. The Standing Committee through Decision SC52-07 has also agreed that an online National Reporting format could be made available to Parties by keeping the off-line system and requested the Secretariat to present an evaluation for the next COP regarding the use of the on-line system.
- 3. The National Report Format is being issued by the Secretariat in 2016 to facilitate Contracting Parties' implementation planning and preparations for completing the Report. The deadline for submission of national targets is by 30 November 2016 and the deadline for submission of completed National Reports is January 21st 2018.
- 4. Following Standing Committee discussions, this COP13 NRF closely follows that of the NRF used for COP12, to permit continuity of reporting and analysis of implementation progress by ensuring that indicator questions are as far as possible consistent with previous NRFs (and especially the COP12 NRF). It is also structured in terms of the Goals and Strategies of the 2016-2024 Ramsar Strategic Plan adopted at COP12 as Resolution XII.2.
- 5. This COP13 NRF includes 92 indicator questions. In addition, Section 4 is provided as an optional Annex in order to facilitate the task of preparing the Party's National Targets and Actions for the implementation of each of the targets of the Strategic Plan 2016-2024 according to Resolution XII.2.
- 6. As was the case for previous NRF, the COP13 Format includes an optional section (Section 5) to permit a Contracting Party to provide additional information, on indicators relevant to each individual Wetland of International Importance (Ramsar Site) within its territory.
- 7. Note that, for the purposes of this national reporting to the Ramsar Convention, the scope of the term "wetland" is that of the Convention text, i.e. all inland wetlands (including lakes and rivers), all nearshore coastal wetlands (including tidal marshes, mangroves and coral reefs) and human-made wetlands (e.g. rice paddy and reservoirs), even if a national definition of "wetland" may differ from that adopted by the Contracting Parties to the Ramsar Convention.

## The purposes and uses of national reporting to the Conference of the Contracting Parties

- 8. National Reports from Contracting Parties are official documents of the Convention and are made publicly available on the Convention's website.
- 9. There are seven main purposes for the Convention's National Reports. These are to:
  - i) provide data and information on how, and to what extent, the Convention is being implemented
  - ii) provide tools for countries for their national planning
  - iii) capture lessons and experience to help Parties plan future action;
- iv) identify emerging issues and implementation challenges faced by Parties that may require further attention from the Conference of the Parties;
- v) provide a means for Parties to account for their commitments under the Convention;
- vi) provide each Party with a tool to help it assess and monitor its progress in implementing the Convention, and to plan its future priorities; and
- vii) provide an opportunity for Parties to draw attention to their achievements during the triennium.
- 10. The data and information provided by Parties in their National Reports have another valuable purpose as well, since a number of the indicators in the National Reports on Parties' implementation provide key sources of information for the analysis and assessment of the "ecological outcome-oriented indicators of

effectiveness of the implementation of the Convention".

- 11. To facilitate the analysis and subsequent use of the data and information provided by Contracting Parties in their National Reports, the Ramsar Secretariat holds in a database all the information it has received and verified. The COP13 reports will be in an online National Reporting system.
- 12. The Convention's National Reports are used in a number of ways. These include:
- i) providing an opportunity to compile and analyze information that contracting parties can use to inform their national planning and programming.
- ii) providing the basis for reporting by the Secretariat to each meeting of the Conference of the Parties on the global, national and regional implementation, and the progress in implementation, of the Convention. This is provided to Parties at the COP as a series of Information Papers, including:
  - \* the Report of the Secretary General on the implementation of the Convention at the global level;
- \* the Report of the Secretary General pursuant to Article 8.2 (b), (c), and (d) concerning the List of Wetlands of International Importance); and
- \* the reports providing regional overviews of the implementation of the Convention and its Strategic Plan in each Ramsar region;
- iii) providing information on specific implementation issues in support of the provision of advice and decisions by Parties at the COP.
- iv) providing the source data for time-series assessments of progress on specific aspects in the implementation of the Convention included in other Convention products. An example is the summary of progress since COP3 (Regina, 1997) in the development of National Wetland Policies, included as Table 1 in Ramsar Wise Use Handbook 2 (4th edition, 2010); and
- v) providing information for reporting to the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) on the national implementation of the CBD/Ramsar Joint Work Plan and the Ramsar Convention's lead implementation role on wetlands for the CBD. In particular, the Ramsar Secretariat and STRP used the COP10 NRF indicators extensively in 2009 to prepare contributions to the in-depth review of the CBD programme of work on the biological diversity of inland water ecosystems for consideration by CBD SBSTTA14 and COP10 during 2010 (see UNEP/CBD/SBSTTA/14/3). Similar use of COP12 NRF indicators is anticipated for the CBD's next such in-depth review.

## The structure of the COP13 National Report Format

**Section 1** provides the institutional information about the Administrative Authority and National Focal Points for the national implementation of the Convention.

**Section 2** is a 'free-text' section in which the Party is invited to provide a summary of various aspects of national implementation progress and recommendations for the future.

**Section 3** provides the 92 implementation indicator questions, grouped under each Convention implementation Goals and Targets in the Strategic Plan 2016-2024, and with an optional 'free-text' section under each indicator question in which the Contracting Party may, if it wishes, add further information on national implementation of that activity.

**Section 4** is an optional annex to allow any Contracting Party that has developed national targets to provide information on the targets and actions for the implementation of each of the targets of the Strategic Plan 2016-2024.

In line with Resolution XII.2, which encourages Contracting Parties "to develop and submit to the Secretariat on or before December 2016, and according to their national priorities, capabilities and resources, their own quantifiable and time-bound national and regional targets in line with the targets set in the Strategic Plan", all Parties are encouraged to consider using this comprehensive national planning tool as soon as possible, in order to identify the areas of highest priority for action and the relevant national targets and actions for each target.

The planning of national targets offers, for each of them, the possibility of indicating the national priority for that area of activity as well as the level of resourcing available, or that could be made available during the triennium, for its implementation. In addition, there are specific boxes to indicate the National Targets for implementation by 2018 and the planned national activities that are designed to deliver these targets. Ramsar Strategic Plan 2016-2024 shows the synergies between CBD Aichi Biodiversity Targets and Ramsar Targets. Therefore, the NRF provide an opportunity that Contracting Parties indicate as appropriate how the actions they undertake for the implementation of the Ramsar Convention contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets according to paragraph 51 of Resolution XII.3.

**Section 5** is an optional annex to allow any Contracting Party that so wishes to provide additional information regarding any or all of its Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Sites).

## General guidance for completing and submitting the COP13 National Report Format

All Sections of the COP13 NRF should be completed in one of the Convention's official languages (English, French, Spanish).

The deadline for submission of the completed NRF is January 21st **2018**. It will not be possible to include information from National Reports received after that date in the analysis and reporting on Convention implementation to COP13.

The deadline for submission of national targets is by 30 November 2016

To help Contracting Parties refer to relevant information they provided in their National Report to COP12, for each appropriate indicator a cross-reference is provided to the equivalent indicator(s) in the COP12 NRF or previous NRF, shown thus:  $\{x.x.x\}$ 

For follow up and where appropriate, a cross-reference is also provided to the relevant Key Result Area (KRA) relating to Contracting Parties implementation in the Strategic Plan 2009-2015.

Only Strategic Plan 2016-2024 Targets for which there are implementation actions for Contracting Parties are included in this reporting format; those targets of the Strategic Plan that do not refer directly to Parties are omitted (e.g. targets 6 and 14).

For each indicator question you can choose only one answer. If you wish to provide further information or clarification, do so in the additional information box below the relevant indicator question. Please be as concise as possible (**maximum of 500 words** in each free-text box).

The NRF should ideally be completed by the principal compiler in consultation with relevant colleagues in their agency and others within the government and, as appropriate, with NGOs and other stakeholders who might have fuller knowledge of aspects of the Party's overall implementation of the Convention. The principal compiler can save the document at any point and return to it later to continue or to amend answers. Compilers should refer back to the National Report submitted for COP12 to ensure the continuity and consistency of information provided.

If you have any questions or problems, please contact the Ramsar Secretariat for advice (nationalreports@ramsar.org).

## **Section 1: Institutional Information**

**Important note**: the responses below will be considered by the Ramsar Secretariat as the definitive list of your focal points, and will be used to update the information it holds. The Secretariat's current information about your focal points is available at http://www.ramsar.org/search-contact.

#### Name of Contracting Party

The completed National Report **must be accompanied by a letter** in the name of the Head of Administrative Authority, confirming that this is the Contracting Party's official submission of its COP13 National Report. It can be attached to this question using the "Manage documents" function (blue symbol below)

## **Designated Ramsar Administrative Authority**

Name of Administrative Authority
> DEPARTMENT OF NATIONAL PARKS AND WILDLIFE

Head of Administrative Authority - name and title > MR BRIGHTON.K. KUMCHEDWA, DIRECTOR

Mailing address

> DEPARTMENT OF NATIONAL PARKS AND WILDLIFE,
MUNIF HOUSE, KENYATTA DRIVE
P.O BOX 30131, CAPITAL CITY
LILONGWE 3
MALAWI

#### Telephone/Fax

> TEL:(+265) 1 759 833, FAX (+265) 1 759 832

#### **Email**

> brightkumchedwa@wildlifemw.net / bright.kumchedwa@gmail.com

## **Designated National Focal Point for Ramsar Convention Matters**

Name and title > MR WILLIAM.O.MGOOLA,

#### Mailing address

> DEPARTMENT OF NATIONAL PARKS AND WILDLIFE, MUNIF HOUSE, KENYATTA DRIVE P.O BOX 30131, CAPITAL CITY LILONGWE 3 MALAWI

#### Telephone/Fax

> TEL/FAX: (+265) 1 759 832 CELL: (+265) 888 353 993

#### **Fmail**

> wmgoola@yahoo.co.uk / wmgoola@wildlifemw.net

# Designated National Focal Point for Matters Relating to The Scientific and Technical Review Panel (STRP)

Name and title
> MR WILLIAM.O.MGOOLA

Name of organisation

> DEPARTMENT OF NATIONAL PARKS AND WILDLIFE

#### Mailing address

> DEPARTMENT OF NATIONAL PARKS AND WILDLIFE, MUNIF HOUSE, KENYATTA DRIVE P.O BOX 30131, CAPITAL CITY LILONGWE 3 MAI AWI

#### Telephone/Fax

> TEL/FAX: (+265) 1 759 832 CELL: (+265) 888 353 993

#### Email

> wmgoola@yahoo.co.uk / wmgoola@wildlifemw.net

## Designated Government National Focal Point for Matters Relating to The Programme on Communication, Education, Participation and Awareness (CEPA)

#### Name and title

> MR JESTER KAUNGA NYIRENDA

#### Name of organisation

> DEPARTMENT OF NATIONAL PARKS AND WILDLIFE

## Mailing address

> DEPARTMENT OF NATIONAL PARKS AND WILDLIFE, MUNIF HOUSE, KENYATTA DRIVE P.O BOX 30131, CAPITAL CITY LILONGWE 3 MALAWI

## Telephone/Fax

> TEL/FAX: (+265) 1 759 832 CELL: (+265) 993 189 352

#### Email

> jkaunganyirenda@gmail.com

## Designated Non-Government National Focal Point for Matters Relating to The Programme on Communication, Education, Participation and Awareness (CEPA)

#### Name and title

> MR PATSON NTHALA

## Name of organisation

> THE WILDLIFE AND ENVIRONMENTAL SOCIETY OF MALAWI

#### Mailing address

> THE SECRETARIAT PRIVATE BAG 578, LIMBE MALAWI

## Telephone/Fax

> TEL:(+265) 1 843 502 FAX : (+265) 1 843 765

#### **Emai**

> wesm-hq@africa-online.net / wesmhq@wesm.mw

# Section 2: General summary of national implementation progress and challenges

In your country, in the past triennium (i.e., since COP12 reporting)

## A. What have been the five most successful aspects of implementation of the Convention?

1)

> The Government commissioned a study on climate resilient livelihoods and sustainable natural resources management in the Elephant Marsh, one of the important wetlands, with support form GEF under the Shire River Basin Management Program whose overall objective is to increase sustainable social, economic and environmental benefits by effectively and collaboratively planning, developing and managing the Shire River Basin's natural. The three key objectives of the study in the Elephant Marsh were to improve understanding of the functional ecology of the Elephant Marsh incorporating hydromorphology, ecosystem services, biodiversity, and livelihoods; assess the feasibility of designating the Elephant Marsh as a community-managed protected area and as a Ramsar site; model past, present, and future possible management strategies and identify strategies and development options that would build the resilience of local communities to environmental change.

The sub-studies on hydromorphology, biodiversity, livelihoods, and ecosystem services have provided a solid scientific basis for the development of the management strategies incorporating lessons from other wetlands in Southern Africa and beyond in order to address the threats facing the Elephant Marsh and enhance its contribution to local livelihoods resources.

2)

> Malawi designated the Elephant Marsh on 1st July, 2017 as the Second Wetland of International Importance in Malawi after Lake Chilwa which was designated a Ramsar Site in 1997.

3)

> Increased stakeholder awareness and collaboration on wetland issues. A number of awareness campaigns and consultation workshops were undertaken including the commemoration of the world wetlands day on 2nd February 2017. The Vice President of the Republic of Malawi the Right Honourable Dr S.Chilima officially launched the airboat for the Elephant Marsh specifically purchased for wetland management and flood risk management.

4)

> Development of the management plan for the Elephant Marsh

5)

> Four members of staff from the Department of National Parks and Wildlife attended a wetland management short course from 5th to 11th March 2017 at the Rietvlei Nature Reserve in Pretoria, South Africa. The wetland management short course was offered by the Centre of Environmental Management, University of the Free State in association with the Centre of Wetland Research. The course offered a broad overview of wetlands covering functioning (ecology, hydrology and biodiversity), wetland delineation and introduced various wetland assessment tools and the legal framework for wetland management.

## B. What have been the five greatest difficulties in implementing the Convention?

1)

Aquatic ecosystems cover about 20% of the total surface area of Malawi, and only a small proportion of the wetlands are protected. The majority of the wetlands are under the open access regime with no regulatory mechanisms in place at the community level for sustainable management. The key to achieving sustainable management of wetlands is through community participation which is constrained by lack of an enabling wetlands policy and legal framework to regulate sustainable use of wetlands. Malawi does not have a specific wetland policy and there are many existing sectoral policies and legislation that address wetland management and use. These existing policies do not comprehensively deal with wetland management and use, and therefore there is need to develop policy tools to guide wetland utilization and management

2)

> There is increasing anthropogenic activities in wetlands exacerbated by population growth, rural poverty, climate change and market forces. The livelihood related pressures arising from unsustainable agricultural practices, stream bank cultivation, vegetation destruction, bushfires, invasive alien species, pollution, and over harvesting of natural resources poses a risk to the provision of ecosystem services and function of wetlands in Malawi

- 3)
- > Inadequate operational financial resources for the National Wetlands Committee and the Department of National Parks and Wildlife which is the Secretariat to have regular meetings
- 4)
- > Inadequate skills and technical knowledge on wetlands management and wise use.
- 5)
- > Threat of heavy mineral exploration

## C. What are the five priorities for future implementation of the Convention?

- 1)
- > Strengthening coordination among private sector, government, NGOs and local community resource users
- 2)
- > Enhance the visibility of wetlands and general public awareness
- 3)
- > Enhancing community based wetland management initiatives so that communities themselves actively participate in sustainable wetland management
- 4)
- > Development of specific wetland policy and legal framework to guide management and sustainable utilization.
- 5)
- > Listing of Vwaza Marsh Wildlife Reserve as a Ramsar Site.
- D. Do you (AA) have any recommendations concerning implementation assistance from the Ramsar Secretariat?
- > Need to continue enhancing and strengthening the regular communication between the Secretariat and the AA
- E. Do you (AA) have any recommendations concerning implementation assistance from the Convention's International Organisation Partners (IOPs)? (including ongoing partnerships and partnerships to develop) > Need for the Ramsar Secretariat to have a platform for the IOPs where they can network and interface with the AA
- F. How can national implementation of the Ramsar Convention be better linked with implementation of other multilateral environmental agreements (MEAs), especially those in the 'biodiversity cluster' (Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD), Convention on Migratory Species (CMS), Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species (CITES), World Heritage Convention (WHC), and United Nations Convention to Combat Desertification (UNCCD) and the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC)?
- > There is need to provide a forum where AA and National Focal Points of the various multilateral environmental agreements (MEAs) within the existing national committees can interact and enhance synergies in joint planning and development of national biodiversity strategic action plans
- G. How can implementation of the Ramsar Convention be better linked with the implementation of water policy/strategy and other strategies in the country (e.g., on sustainable development, energy, extractive industries, poverty reduction, sanitation, food security, biodiversity)?
- > The development of national Integrated wetlands policy will consider different natural resources sectors' roles in managing wetlands, networking and information sharing and exchange
- H. Do you (AA) have any other general comments on the implementation of the Convention?
- > There is need for also to plan for short training orientation training programs regarding the Ramsar Convention once in a while
- I. Please list the names of the organisations which have been consulted on or have contributed to the information provided in this report
- Department of National Parks and Wildlife Wildlife and Environmental Society of Malawi

# **Section 3: Indicator questions and further implementation information**

## Goal 1. Addressing the drivers of wetland loss and degradation

## Target 1

Wetland benefits are featured in national/ local policy strategies and plans relating to key sectors such as water, energy, mining, agriculture, tourism, urban development, infrastructure, industry, forestry, aquaculture, fisheries at the national and local level.

1.1 Have wetland issues/benefits been incorporated into other national strategies and planning processes, including: {1.3.2} {1.3.3} KRA 1.3.i

Please select only one per square.

a) National Policy or strategy for wetland management	☑ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant
b) Poverty eradication strategies	☑ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant
c) Water resource management and water efficiency plans	<ul> <li>☑ A=Yes</li> <li>□ B=No</li> <li>□ C=Partially</li> <li>□ D=Planned</li> <li>□ X=Unknown</li> <li>□ Y=Not Relevant</li> </ul>
d) Coastal and marine resource management plans	□ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned □ X=Unknown ☑ Y=Not Relevant
e) Integrated Coastal Zone Management Plan	□ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned □ X=Unknown ☑ Y=Not Relevant
f) National forest programmes	☐ A=Yes ☐ B=No ☐ C=Partially ☐ D=Planned ☐ X=Unknown ☐ Y=Not Relevant
g) National policies or measures on agriculture	□ A=Yes □ B=No ☑ C=Partially □ D=Planned □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant
h) National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plans drawn up under the CBD	☐ A=Yes ☐ B=No ☐ C=Partially ☐ D=Planned ☐ X=Unknown ☐ Y=Not Relevant
i) National policies on energy and mining	□ A=Yes □ B=No ☑ C=Partially □ D=Planned □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant

j) National policies on tourism	☑ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant
k) National policies on urban development	□ A=Yes □ B=No ☑ C=Partially □ D=Planned □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant
l) National policies on infrastructure	□ A=Yes □ B=No ☑ C=Partially □ D=Planned □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant
m) National policies on industry	□ A=Yes □ B=No ☑ C=Partially □ D=Planned □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant
n) National policies on aquaculture and fisheries {1.3.3} KRA 1.3.i	☑ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant
o) National plans of actions (NPAs) for pollution control and management	☑ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant
p) National policies on wastewater management and water quality	□ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant

## 1.1 Additional information

> The Malawi Growth and Development Strategy III (MGDS III) identifies wildlife as one of the sectors that could potentially contribute to the sustainable economic growth of the country, and calls for conservation and management of wildlife in protected areas and outside natural habitats which includes wetlands. The Malawi National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan outlines status of and strategies to ensure conservation, management and sustainable utilization of biodiversity resources in Malawi. It calls for integrated watershed management and minimization of winter cultivation in wetlands

## Target 2

Water use respects wetland ecosystem needs for them to fulfil their functions and provide services at the appropriate scale inter alia at the basin level or along a coastal zone

2.1 Has the quantity and quality of water available to, and required by, wetlands been assessed to support the implementation of the Guidelines for the allocation and management of water for maintaining the ecological functions of wetlands (Resolution VIII.1, VIII.2) ? 1.24.

Please	select	only	one	option
$\Box$ $\wedge$ $\wedge$	/			

☐ A=Yes

 $\square$  B=No

☑ C=Partially

☐ D=Planned

#### 2.1 Additional Information

- > For the Elephant Marsh, the hydromorphology study has been undertaken that provides information on the ecosystem functioning of the wetland
- 2.2 Have assessments of environmental flow been undertaken in relation to mitigation of impacts on the

ecological character of wetlands (Action r3.4.iv)  Please select only one option  A=Yes  B=No  C=Partially  D=Planned
2.2 Additional Information
> Under the Shire River Basin Management Program, assessment of ecosystem services within the Basin which includes the Elephant Marsh wetland which was done
2.3 Have Ramsar Sites improved the sustainability of water use in the context of ecosystem requirements?
Please select only one option  ☑ A=Yes  □ B=No  □ C=Partially  □ D=Planned  □ O=No Change  □ X=Unknown
2.3 Additional Information
2.4 Have the Guidelines for allocation and management of water for maintaining ecological functions of wetlands (Resolutions VIII.1 and XII.12 ) been used/applied in decision-making processes. (Action 3.4.6.)
Please select only one option  ☑ A=Yes  □ B=No  □ C=Partially  □ D=Planned
<ul><li>2.4 Additional Information</li><li>Also the Water Resources Act of Malawi 2013 on water abstraction and use provides for the protection of aquatic and wetland ecosystems, including their biological diversity, and to maintain essential ecosystem functions.</li></ul>
2.5 Have projects that promote and demonstrate good practice in water allocation and management for maintaining the ecological functions of wetlands been developed (Action r3.4.ix. )
Please select only one option  ☑ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned
2.5 Additional Information  > Under the Shire River Basin Management Program (SRBMP), the goal is to increase sustainable social, economic and environmental benefits by effectively and collaboratively planning, developing and managing the Shire River Basin's natural resources which the Shire River and other wetlands within the basin.
2.6 How many household/municipalities are linked to sewage system? SDG Target 6.3.1.  Please select only one option  □ E=Exact number (households/municipalities)
> □ F=Less than (households/municipalities)
> □ G=More than (households/municipalities)
> ☑ X=Unknown

☐ Y=Not Relevant
2.6 Additional Information
2.7 What is the percentage of sewerage coverage in the country? SDG Target 6.3.1.  Please select only one option  □ E=Exact number (percentage)
> □ F=Less than (percentage)
☐ G=More than (percentage)
>
2.7 Additional Information
2.8 What is the percentage of users of septic tank/pit latrine? SDG Target 6.3.1.  Please select only one option  □ E=Exact number (percentage)
> □ F=Less than (percentage)
→ □ G=More than (percentage)
> ☑ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant
2.8 Additional Information
2.9 Does the country use constructed wetlands/ponds as wastewater treatment technology? SDG Target 6.3.1.  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant
2.9 Additional Information
2.10 How do the country use constructed wetlands/ponds as wastewater treatment technology perform?  SDG Target 6.3.1.  Please select only one option  □ A=Good  □ B=Not Functioning  □ C=Functioning  □ Q=Obsolete  ☑ X=Unknown  □ Y=Not Relevant
2.10 Additional Information
2.11 How many centralised wastewater treatment plants exist at national level? SDG Target 6.3.1.

Please select only one option

□ E=Exact number (plants)
> □ F=Less than (plants)
→ □ G=More than (plants)
>  ☑ X=Unknown  ☐ Y=Not Relevant
2.11 Additional Information
2.12 How is the functional status of the wastewater treatment plants? SDG Target 6.3.1.
Please select only one option  □ A=Good  □ B=Not functioning  □ C=Functioning  □ Q=Obsolete  ☑ X=Unknown  □ Y=Not Relevant
2.12 Additional Information
2.13 The percentage of decentralized wastewater treatment technology, including constructed wetlands/ponds is? SDG Target 6.3.1.  Please select only one option  □ A=Good  □ B=Not Functioning  □ C=Functioning  □ Q=Obsolete  □ X=Unknown  □ Y=Not Relevant
2.13 Additional Information
2.14 Is there a wastewater reuse system? SDG Target 6.3.1.  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant
2.14 Additional Information
2.15 What Is the purpose of the wastewater reuse system? SDG Target 6.3.1.  Please select only one option  R=Agriculture S=Landscape T=Industrial U=Drinking X=Unknown Y=Not Relevant
2.15 Additional Information
Please indicate if the wastewater reuse system is for free or taxed or add any additional information.

## Target 3

Public and private sectors have increased their efforts to apply guidelines and good practices for the wise use of water and wetlands. {1.10}

	ctor encouraged to apply the Ramsar wise use principle and guidance (Ramsar vise use of wetlands) in its activities and investments concerning wetlands? {1.10.1}
3.1 Additional Inform  > For example private wetlands	nation tour operators on tourism are encouraged to use Ramar handbooks for the wise use of
3.2 Has the private of {1.10.2} KRA 1.1 Please select only one pe	
a) Ramsar Sites	☐ A=Yes ☐ B=No ☐ C=Partially ☐ D=Planned ☐ X=Unknown ☐ Y=Not Relevant
b) Wetlands in general	□ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant
programme was joint! Africa (LEAD SEA); Wo with Machinga, Phalor overall goal of the pro enhance resilience of district institutions to and help build cross-b throughout the Basin;	mation he Lake Chilwa Basin Climate Change Adaptation Programme (LCBCCAP), a five-year y implemented by Leadership for Environment and Development Southern & Eastern rld Fish Centre, and the Forestry Research Institute of Malawi (FRIM) in collaboration habe and Zomba district councils, and University of Malawi, Chancellor College. The gramme was to secure the livelihoods of 1.5 million people in the Lake Chilwa Basin and the natural resource base. Specifically, the programme aimed to strengthen local and manage natural resources sustainably and build resilience to climate change; facilitate asin and cross-sector natural resource management and planning for climate change improve household and enterprise adaptive capacity in Basin hotspots; and finally ficlimate change through improved forest management and governance
	en taken to implement incentive measures which encourage the conservation and s? {1.11.1} KRA 1.11.i  otion
	nation useholds in the Lake Chilwa Basin have been supported with livelihood incentives to roductivity under the Lake Chilwa Basin Climate Change Adaptation Programme
	en taken to remove perverse incentive measures which discourage conservation and s? {1.11.2} KRA 1.11.i  otion

Target 4
Invasive alien species and pathways of introduction and expansion are identified and prioritized, priority invasive alien species are controlled or eradicated, and management responses are prepared and implemented to prevent their introduction and establishment.
4.1 Does your country have a comprehensive national inventory of invasive alien species that currently or potentially impact the ecological character of wetlands? {1.9.1} KRA 1.9.i  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes  □ B=No  □ C=Partially  □ D=Planned
4.1 Additional information  > Under the National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan (2015-2020) it is planned under Target 9 that by 2020, invasive alien species and pathways are identified and prioritized, priority species are controlled or eradicated, and measures are in place to manage pathways to prevent their introduction and establishment.
4.2 Have national policies or guidelines on invasive species control and management been established or reviewed for wetlands? {1.9.2} KRA 1.9.iii  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned
<ul><li>4.2 Additional information</li><li>Under the National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan, it is planned to develop a National Invasive Alien Species management plan</li></ul>
4.3 How many invasive species are being controlled through management actions.  Please select only one option  □ E=Exact number (species)
> □ F=Less than (species)
> □ G=More than (species)
> □ C=Partially □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant
4.3 Additional information
If 'Yes', please indicate the year of assessment and the source of the information
4.4 Have the effectiveness of wetland invasive alien species control programmes been assessed?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant
4.4 Additional information

## Goal 2. Effectively conserving and managing the Ramsar Site network

## Target 5

3.4 Additional Information

integrated management {2.1.} 5.1 Have a national strategy and priorities been established for the further designation of Ramsar Sites, using the Strategic Framework for the Ramsar List? {2.1.1} KRA 2.1.i Please select only one option ☑ A=Yes  $\square$  B=No ☐ C=Partially ☐ D=Planned 5.1 Additional information > The National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan provides for the development of the national wetlands policy and conservation of areas with high biodiversity outside protected areas 5.2 Are the Ramsar Sites Information Service and its tools being used in national identification of further Ramsar Sites to designate? {2.2.1} KRA 2.2.ii Please select only one option ☑ A=Yes  $\square$  B=No □ D=Planned 5.2 Additional information > The designation of the Elephant Marsh as the second wetland of international importance in Malawi used the Ramsar Sites Information Service and its tools 5.3 How many Ramsar Sites have an effective, implemented management plan? {2.4.1} KRA 2.4.i Please select only one option ☑ E=Exact number (sites) > 2 sites (Lake Chilwa and Elephant Marsh )  $\square$  F=Less than (sites)  $\square$  G=More than (sites) ☐ X=Unknown ☐ Y=Not Relevant 5.4 For how many of the Ramsar Sites with a management plan is the plan being implemented? {2.4.2} KRA 2.4.i Please select only one option  $\square$  E=Exact number (sites) > 1 site  $\square$  F=Less than (sites)  $\square$  G=More than (sites) ☐ X=Unknown ☐ Y=Not Relevant 5.5 For how many Ramsar Sites is effective management planning currently being implemented (outside of formal management plans ? {2.4.3} KRA 2.4.i Please select only one option ☑ E=Exact number (sites) > 1 site  $\square$  F=Less than (sites)  $\square$  G=More than (sites) ☐ X=Unknown ☐ Y=Not Relevant 5.3 - 5.5 Additional information

The ecological character of Ramsar Sites is maintained or restored through effective, planning and

5.6 Have all Ramsar sites been assessed regarding the effectiveness of their management (through formal management plans where they exist or otherwise through existing actions for appropriate wetland management ? {1.6.2} KRA 1.6.ii  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned
5.6 Additional information  > For the Lake Chilwa wetland Ramsar Site under the Lake Chilwa Climate Change Adaptation Programme, an assessment was undertaken in 2016 on the impact of the interventions in the Basin and lessons learnt for future planning. To this effect, there is a publication on the assessment.  For the newly designated Ramsar Site, the Elephant Marsh, a management plan has just been developed
5.7 How many Ramsar Sites have a cross-sectoral management committee? {2.4.4} {2.4.6} KRA 2.4.iv  Please select only one option  ☑ E=Exact number (sites)
> 2 sites  □ F=Less than (sites)
G=More than (sites)
> □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant
5.7 Additional information
If at least 1 site, please give the name and official number of the site or sites > For Lake Chilwa wetland (No.869), there is the Lake Chilwa Management Board that was established. For the Elephant Marsh (No. 2308), there is the Elephant Marsh Association which was established
5.8 For how many Ramsar Sites has an ecological character description been prepared (see Resolution X.15)? {2.4.5}{2.4.7} KRA 2.4.∨  **Please select only one option**  □ E=Exact number (sites)
> 1 site □ F=Less than (sites)
G=More than (sites)
> □ C=Partially □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant
5.8 Additional information
If at least 1 site, please give the name and official number of the site or sites $\circ$ Elephant Marsh (No. 2308)
5.9 Have any assessments of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management been made? {2.5.1} KRA 2.5.i  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes  □ B=No  □ C=Some Sites
5.9 Additional information
If 'Yes' or 'Some sites', please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15, and the source of the information >

**Target 7**Sites that are at risk of change of ecological character have threats addressed {2.6.}.

7.1 Are mechanisms in place for the Administrative Authority to be informed of negative human-induced changes or likely changes in the ecological character of Ramsar Sites, pursuant to Article 3.2? {2.6.1} KRA 2.6.i  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Some Sites □ D=Planned
7.1 Additional information
If 'Yes' or 'Some sites', please summarise the mechanism or mechanisms established  > The Division Managers and District Council offices at the local level report monthly through the established institutional reporting system of Government
7.2 Have all cases of negative human-induced change or likely change in the ecological character of Ramsar Sites been reported to the Ramsar Secretariat, pursuant to Article 3.2? {2.6.2} KRA 2.6.i  Please select only one option  A=Yes  B=No  C=Some Cases  O=No Negative Change
7.2 Additional information
If 'Yes' or 'Some cases', please indicate for which Ramsar Sites the Administrative Authority has made Article 3.2 reports to the Secretariat, and for which sites such reports of change or likely change have not yet been made > The case of the Lake Chilwa proposal for heavy mineral exploration was report
7.3 If applicable, have actions been taken to address the issues for which Ramsar Sites have been listed o the Montreux Record, including requesting a Ramsar Advisory Mission? {2.6.3} KRA 2.6.ii  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ Z=Not Applicable
7.3 Additional information
If 'Yes', please indicate the actions taken  > The National Council on Environment and the Technical Committee which provide advice on environmental issues and Environmental Impact Assessment rejected the proposal to prospect in the Ramsar Site wetland.
Goal 3. Wisely Using All Wetlands
Target 8  National wetland inventories have been either initiated, completed or updated and disseminated and used for promoting the conservation and effective management of all wetlands {1.1.1} KRA 1.1.i
8.1 Does your country have a complete National Wetland Inventory? {1.1.1} KRA 1.1.i  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=In Progress □ D=Planned
8.1 Additional information  A regional wetlands inventory was carried out by the Southern African Development Community Wetlands  Programme in 1995.
8.2 Has your country updated a National Wetland Inventory in the last decade?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=In Progress □ C1=Partially □ D=Planned □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant

#### 8.2 Additional information

> For the Elephant Marsh Wetland the recent inventory was undertaken in 2016. There is need for the National Wetland inventory to be undertaken

8.3 Is wetland inventory data and information maintained? {1.1.2} KRA 1.1.ii  Please select only one option  ☐ A=Yes ☐ B=No ☐ C=Partially ☐ D=Planned
8.3 Additional information  Information about the Lake Chilwa Ramsar Site can be obtained from the (i) Environmental Affairs  Department who coordinated the surveys by DANIDA in 1995-98 for the establishment of the Ramsar Site (ii)  Wildlife and Environmental Society of Malawi, Zomba Branch who have projects in the area, (iii) the Lake  Chilwa Basin Climate Adaptation Programme information can be obtained from LEAD at Chancellor College.  For the Elephant Marsh Ramsar site inventory data is maintained by the Department of National Parks and  Wildlife.
8.4 Is wetland inventory data and information made accessible to all stakeholders? {1.1.2} KRA 1.1.ii  Please select only one option  ☐ A=Yes ☐ B=No ☐ C=Partially ☐ D=Planned
8.4 Additional information  Information about the Lake Chilwa Ramsar Site can be obtained from the (i) Environmental Affairs  Department who coordinated the surveys by DANIDA in 1995-98 for the establishment of the Ramsar Site (ii)  Wildlife and Environmental Society of Malawi, Zomba Branch who have projects in the area, (iii) the Lake  Chilwa Basin Climate Adaptation Programme information can be obtained from LEAD at Chancellor College on the website: www.lakechilwaproject.mw  For the Elephant Marsh Ramsar site inventory data is maintained by the Department of National Parks and Wildlife. The information is also shared to different stakeholders under the Shire River Basin Management Program:www.shirebasin.mw
8.5 Has the condition* of wetlands in your country, overall, changed during the last triennium? {1.1.3}
Please describe on the sources of the information on which your answer is based in the free- text box below. If there is a difference between inland and coastal wetland situations, please describe. If you are able to, please describe the principal driver(s) of the change(s).  * 'Condition' corresponds to ecological character, as defined by the Convention  Please select only one per square.

a) Ramsar Sites	<ul><li>☑ N=Status Deteriorated</li><li>□ O=No Change</li><li>□ P=Status Improved</li></ul>
b) Wetlands generally	<ul><li>☑ N=Status Deteriorated</li><li>□ O=No Change</li><li>□ P=Status Improved</li></ul>

## 8.5 Additional information on a) and/or b)

> (a) The Elephant Marsh has been significantly altered from its natural state in many different ways over the past 150 years. The surrounding population of people has increased dramatically and the natural vegetation remaining in the catchment has dwindled.

The increasing population pressure has led to the removal of most of the woody riparian vegetation along the banks of the Shire as well as the large scale conversion of floodplain vegetation to agriculture. The abundance of reptile, amphibian and mammal faunas that would normally have inhabited the area on a permanent or seasonal basis, has been curtailed by loss of habitat to cultivation, loss of prey species, or through direct hunting and persecution by people. Most large animal species have been extirpated from the area, which is likely to have altered the functioning of the system to some degree. Waterbird fauna seems to be in a reasonable state, but fish populations are likely to be significantly depressed due to fishing.

Turpie, J.K., Forsythe, K., Reinecke, M.K., Diedericks, G., Dijkdtra, K.D., Collins, S., Branch, W., Davies, T., Allan, D., Avenant, N. (2016) Climate resilient livelihoods and sustainable natural resources management in the Elephant Marshes, Malawi: Biodiversity of the Elephant marshes (Sub-study 4). Ministry of Irrigation and Water

Development. Shire River Basin Management Program Project 117617. 221 pp. (b) The Malawi State of Environment and Outlook Report 2010 highlights that human population increase, poverty and climate change poses threats to wetlands. There is increasing overexploitation and degradation of the wetlands. The main pressures and drivers of change consist of habitat loss and fragmentation, overexploitation of biodiversity, invasive alien species, pollution and climate change. 8.6 Based upon the National Wetland Inventory if available please provide a baseline figure in square kilometres for the extent of wetlands (according to the Ramsar definition) for the year 2017. SDG Target Please select only one option  $\square$  E=Exact Number (km2)  $\square$  F=Less than (km2)  $\square$  G=More than (km2) □ A=Yes  $\square$  B=No ☐ C=Partially □ D=Planned ☑ X=Unknown ☐ Y=Not Relevant 8.6 Additional information If the information is available please indicate the % of change in the extent of wetlands over the last three years. Target 9 The wise use of wetlands is strengthened through integrated resource management at the appropriate scale, inter alia, within a river basin or along a coastal zone {1.3.}. 9.1 Is a Wetland Policy (or equivalent instrument) that promotes the wise use of wetlands in place? {1.3.1} KRA 1.3.i If 'Yes', please give the title and date of the policy in the green text box Please select only one option □ A=Yes ☑ B=No ☐ C=In Preparation ☐ D=Planned 9.1 Additional information 9.2 Have any amendments to existing legislation been made to reflect Ramsar commitments? {1.3.5}{1.3.6} Please select only one option ☑ A=Yes  $\square$  B=No ☐ C=In Progress ☐ D=Planned 9.2 Additional information > The National Wildlife Policy currently under review provides for biodiversity management in wetlands 9.3 Do your country's water governance and management systems treat wetlands as natural water infrastructure integral to water resource management at the scale of river basins? {1.7.1} {1.7.2} KRA 1.7.ii Please select only one option ☑ A=Yes  $\square$  B=No ☐ D=Planned 9.3 Additional information

wetlands. For example social, economic and e	s Act 2013 has provisions for catchment management at the basin level including e under the Shire River Basin Management Program the aim is to increase sustainable environmental benefits by effectively and collaboratively planning, developing and iver Basin's natural resources including wetlands.	
	cation, Education, Participation and Awareness (CEPA) expertise and tools been atchment/river basin planning and management (see Resolution X.19)? {1.7.2}{1.ption	.7.3}
9.4 Additional inform	nation	
	y established policies or guidelines for enhancing the role of wetlands in mitigatin change? $\{1.7.3\}$ $\{1.7.5\}$ KRA $1.7.iii$	g or
9.5 Additional inform	nation	
	y formulated plans or projects to sustain and enhance the role of wetlands in ntaining viable farming systems? {1.7.4} {1.7.6} KRA 1.7.v	
9.6 Additional inform	nation	
	inform wetland policies and plans been undertaken in your country on:	
a) agriculture-wetland interactions	☑ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned	
b) climate change	☑ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned	
c) valuation of ecoystem services	☑ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned	
9.7 Additional inform  > Research on agricult in some wetlands in M	ure-wetland, climate change and valuation of ecosystem services have been carried out	
9.8 Has your country Resolution XII.10?  Please select only one op   A=Yes B=No C=Partially D=Planned	y submitted a request for Wetland City Accreditation of the Ramsar Convention,	
9.8 Additional inform	nation	

<b>&gt;</b>
<b>Target 10</b> The traditional knowledge innovations and practices of indigenous peoples and local communities relevant for the wise use of wetlands and their customary use of wetland resources, are documented, respected, subject to national legislation and relevant international obligations and fully integrated and reflected in the implementation of the Convention with a full and effective participation of indigenous and local communities at all relevant levels.
10.1 Have the guiding principles for taking into account the cultural values of wetlands including traditional knowledge for the effective management of sites (Resolution VIII.19) been used or applied?.(Action 6.1.2/6.1.6)  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=In Preparation □ C1=Partially □ D=Planned □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant
10.1 Additional information  Traditional knowledge and cultural values of communities have been taken into account in developing management plans for the Ramsar sites
10.2 Have case studies, participation in projects or successful experiences on cultural aspects of wetlands been compiled. Resolution VIII.19 and Resolution IX.21? (Action 6.1.6)  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes  □ B=No  □ C=In Preparation  □ D=Planned
10.2 Additional information
If yes please indicate the case studies or projects documenting information and experiences concerning culture and wetlands >
10.3 Have the guidelines for establishing and strengthening local communities' and indigenous people's participation in the management of wetlands been used or applied. (Resolution VII. 8) (Action 6.1.5)  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=In Preparation □ D=Planned
10.3 Additional information
If the answer is "yes" please indicate the use or aplication of the guidelines  > Some of the key lessons learnt during the few years of community based management of Lake Chilwa.  Generally the Lake Chilwa Basin Climate Change Adaptation Programme (2010-2017) managed to secure the livelihoods of the people in the Lake Chilwa basin by building resilience of their natural resource base. This was achieved through the ecosystems approach under different interventions of enhanced ecosystem resilience, social resilience while monitoring the resource base by communities themselves, innovative community outreach and communication, and building their capacity
10.4 Traditional knowledge and management practices relevant for the wise use of wetlands have been documented and their application encouraged (Action 6.1.2)  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=In Preparation □ D=Planned
10.4 Additional information

If 'Yes', please indicate How many request have been submitted

<b>Target 11</b> Wetland functions, services and benefits are widely demonstrated, documented and disseminated. {1.4.}
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by Ramsar Sites and other wetlands? {1.4.1} KRA 1.4.ii  Please select only one option  ☑ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=In Preparation □ C1=Partially □ D=Planned □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant
11.1 Additional information
If 'Yes' or 'Partially', please indicate, how many Ramsar Sites and their names > 2 sites Lake Chilwa and Elephant Marsh
11.2 Have wetland programmes or projects that contribute to poverty alleviation objectives or food and water security plans been implemented? {1.4.2} KRA 1.4.i  Please select only one option  ☐ A=Yes ☐ B=No ☐ C=Partially ☐ D=Planned ☐ X=Unknown ☐ Y=Not Relevant
11.2 Additional information  Lake Chilwa Climate Change Adaptation Programme and the Shire River Basin Management Programme have contributed to poverty reduction and food security
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for Ramsar Sites and other wetlands? {1.4.3}{1.4.4} KRA 1.4.iii  Please select only one option  ☐ A=Yes ☐ B=No ☐ C=Partially ☐ D=Planned
11.3 Additional information
If 'Yes' or 'Partially', please indicate, if known, how many Ramsar Sites and their names > 2 sites, Lake Chilwa and Elephant Marsh
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for Ramsar Sites and other wetlands? {1.4.3}{1.4.4} KRA 1.4.iii  Please select only one option  ☐ A=Yes ☐ B=No ☐ C=Partially ☐ D=Planned
11.4 Additional information
If 'Yes' or 'Partially', please indicate, if known, how many Ramsar Sites and their names > 2 sites, Lake Chilwa and Elephant Marsh
<b>Target 12</b> Restoration is in progress in degraded wetlands, with priority to wetlands that are relevant for biodiversity conservation, disaster risk reduction, livelihoods and/or climate change mitigation and adaptation. {1.8.}

Please select only one option

☑ A=Yes

12.1 Have priority sites for wetland restoration been identified? {1.8.1} KRA 1.8.i

<ul> <li>□ B=No</li> <li>□ C=Partially</li> <li>□ D=Planned</li> <li>□ X=Unknown</li> <li>□ Y=Not Relevant</li> </ul>
12.1 Additional information
12.2 Have wetland restoration/rehabilitation programmes, plans or projects been effectively implemented? {1.8.2} KRA 1.8.i  **Please select only one option**    A=Yes
12.2 Additional information
If 'Yes' or 'Partially', please indicate, if available the extent of wetlands restored >
<b>Target 13</b> Enhanced sustainability of key sectors such as water, energy, mining, agriculture, tourism, urban development, infrastructure, industry, forestry, aquaculture and fisheries when they affect wetlands, contributing to biodiversity conservation and human livelihoods
13.1 Have actions been taken to enhance sustainability of key sectors such as water, energy, mining, agriculture, tourism, urban development, infrastructure, industry, forestry, aquaculture and fisheries when they affect wetlands? Please select only one option $ \Box  A = Yes $ $ \Box  B = No $ $ \Box  D = Planned $
13.1. Additional information
If 'Yes', please indicate the actions taken >
13.2 Are Strategic Environmental Assessment practices applied when reviewing policies, programmes and plans that may impact upon wetlands? {1.3.3} {1.3.4} KRA 1.3.ii  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned
13.2 Additional information
13.3 Are Environmental Impact Assessments made for any development projects (such as new buildings, new roads, extractive industry) from key sectors such as water, energy, mining, agriculture, tourism, urban development, infrastructure, industry, forestry, aquaculture and fisheries that may affect wetlands? {1.3.4} {1.3.5} KRA 1.3.iii  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Some Cases
13.3 Additional information

## **Goal 4. Enhancing implementation**

## Target 15

Ramsar Regional Initiatives with the active involvement and support of the Parties in each region are reinforced and developed into effective tools to assist in the full implementation of the Convention. {3.2.}

15.1 Have you (AA) been involved in the development and implementation of a Regional Initiative under the framework of the Convention? {3.2.1} KRA 3.2.i  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
15.1 Additional information
If 'Yes' or 'Planned', please indicate the regional initiative(s) and the collaborating countries of each initiative >
15.2 Has your country supported or participated in the development of other regional (i.e., covering more than one country) wetland training and research centres? {3.2.2}  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned
15.2 Additional information
If 'Yes', please indicate the name(s) of the centre(s)
<b>Target 16</b> Wetlands conservation and wise use are mainstreamed through communication, capacity development, education, participation and awareness {4.1}

16.1 Has an action plan (or plans) for wetland CEPA been established? {4.1.1} KRA 4.1.i

Even if no CEPA plans have been developed, if broad CEPA objectives for CEPA actions have been established, please indicate this in the Additional information section below *Please select only one per square.* 

a) At the national level	☑ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=In Progress □ D=Planned
b) Sub national level	☑ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=In Progress □ D=Planned
c) Catchement/basin level	☑ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=In Progress □ D=Planned
d) Local/site level	☑ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=In Progress □ D=Planned

#### 16.1 Additional information

If 'Yes' or 'In progress' to one or more of the four questions above, for each please describe the mechanism, who is responsible and identify if it has involved CEPA NFPs

- > (a) At the National Level, the Department of National Parks and Wildlife developed the strategic Plan which also includes issues of communication, education and awareness which are part of the core activities to be undertaken. The CEPA NFP is part of the institutional set up of the Department and annual work plans on communication, education and awareness are drawn from the strategic plan.
- (b, c, d) The Division Manager and Community Extension Officers at the local level (including subnational, and basin level) also have a fully fledged unit to undertake the programmes of communication, education and awareness to stakeholders not only on wildlife conservation and management but also wetlands. They derive their annual work plans from the strategic plan

e e	6.2a How many centres (visitor centres, interpretation centres, education centres) have been established? {4.1.2} KRA 4.1.ii ) at Ramsar Sites lease select only one option  1 ==Exact Number (centres)					
	none ] F=Less than (centres)					
	> □ G=More than (centres)					
	C=Partially X=Unknown Y=Not Relevant					
ŀ	6.2b How many centres (visitor centres, interpretation centres, education centres) have been established? {4.1.2} KRA 4.1.ii a) at other wetlands lease select only one option E=Exact Number (centres)					
	none ] F=Less than (centres)					
> □ G=More than (centres)						
	C=Partially X=Unknown Y=Not Relevant					
	6.2 Additional information					
 	centres are part of national or international networks, please describe the networks					
	16.3 Does the Contracting Party {4.1.3} KRA 4.1.iii  Please select only one per square.					
ļ						
	a) promote stakeholder participation in decision-making on wetland planning and management  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned					
	b) specifically involve local stakeholders in the selection of new Ramsar Site management?  A=Yes  D=Planned  D=Planned					
•	6.3 Additional information					
If 'Yes' or 'Partially', please provide information about the ways in which stakeholders are involved  > (a) The development of the site management plans for Lake Chilwa and the Elephant Marsh involved stakeholder participation and planning (b) Stakeholders played a crucial role particularly the communities in the designation process who wanted to enhance the visibility and profile of the wetlands. Both the Elephant marsh and Lake Chilwa wetlands have multi-sectoral committees for management of the ramsar sites						
	6.4 Do you have an operational cross-sectoral National Ramsar/Wetlands Committee? {4.1.6} KRA 4.3.v lease select only one option  A=Yes B=No C=Partially D=Planned X=Unknown Y=Not Relevant					

#### 16.4 Additional information

If 'Yes', indicate a) its membership; b) number of meetings since COP12; and c) what responsibilities the Committee has

- > (a) Membership comprise the following:
- -Department of National Parks and Wildlife (Secretariat) -Environmental Affairs Department
- -Department of Lands
- -University of Malawi, Chancellor College
- -Lilongwe University of Agriculture and Natural Resources -Fisheries Department
- -Forestry Department
- -Water Department
- -Irrigation Department
- -Department of Community Health
- -Wildlife and Environmental Society of Malawi WESM) -Coordination Unit for the Rehabilitation of the Environment (CURE)
- (b) Four meeting have been undertaken since COP12 due to limited financial constraints

16.5 Do you	have a	an operational	cross-sectoral	body	equivalent to	a National	Ramsar/W	etlands
Committee?	{4.1.6	} KRA 4.3.v						

Please select only one option

	A:	=Ye
--	----	-----

☑ B=No

☐ C=Partially

□ D=Planned

☐ X=Unknown

☐ Y=Not Relevant

#### 16.5 Additional information

If 'Yes', indicate a) its membership; b) number of meetings since COP12; and c) what responsibilities the Committee has

16.6 Are other communication mechanisms (apart from a national committee) in place to share Ramsar implementation guidelines and other information between the Administrative Authority and a), b) or c) below? {4.1.7} KRA 4.1.vi:

Please select only one per square.

a) Ramsar Site managers	☑ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned
b) other MEA national focal points	☑ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned
c) other ministries, departments and agencies	☐ A=Yes ☐ B=No ☐ C=Partially ☐ D=Planned

#### 16.6 Additional information

If 'Yes' or 'Partially', please describe what mechanisms are in place

> (a) Ramsar Site Managers who mostly are Division Managers report monthly through an established institutional reporting

system

- (b) The Department of National Parks and Wildlife is also the focal point for CITES and World Heritage Convention; and is a member of the other National MEA Committees whose focal points are from other Departments
- (c) The Department of National Parks and Wildlife works in partnership with other Government Sectors such as Forestry, Environmental Affairs, Fisheries and NGOs such as WESM, Coordinating Unit for the Rehabilitation of the Environment (CURE), Center for Environmental Policy and Advocacy (CEPA) and Leadership for Environment and Development (LEAD) among others.
- 16.7 Have Ramsar-branded World Wetlands Day activities (whether on 2 February or at another time of year), either government and NGO-led or both, been carried out in the country since COP12? {4.1.8}

Please select only one option  ☑ A=Yes □ B=No
16.7 Additional information  > World Wetlands Day has been commemorated on 2nd February every year. that involve various stakeholders. Additionally various awareness campaigns on wetlands have been umdertaken including the official launch of the Elephant Marsh Ramsar Site in October 2016 as the second wetland of international importance in Malawi. Historic was the launch of the airboat by the Right Honorable the Vice President of the Republic of Malawi Dr S. Chilima. The airboat was purchased to facilitate community based wetland management and flood risk management in the Elephant Marsh.
16.8 Have campaigns, programmes, and projects (other than for World Wetlands Day-related activities) been carried out since COP12 to raise awareness of the importance of wetlands to people and wildlife and the ecosystem benefits/services provided by wetlands? {4.1.9}  Please select only one option  A=Yes  B=No  D=Planned
16.8 Additional information
If these and other CEPA activities have been undertaken by other organizations, please indicate this  > Under the Shire River Basin Management Programs, several awareness activities have been undertaken that highlight the importance of wetlands including the organization of yearly national conferences on the importance of the Shire Basin that draws a diverse range of stakeholders
Target 17
Financial and other resources for effectively implementing the fourth Ramsar Strategic Plan 2016 – 2024 from all sources are made available. {4.2.}
17.1a Have Ramsar contributions been paid in full for 2015, 2016 and 2017? {4.2.1} KRA 4.2.i  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes  ☑ B=No  □ Z=Not Applicable
17.1b If 'No' in 17.1 a), please clarify what plan is in place to ensure future prompt payment > The Government is committed to paying the arrears before the end of 2018.
17.2 Has any additional financial support been provided through voluntary contributions to non-core funded Convention activities? {4.2.2} KRA 4.2.i  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No
17.2 Additional information
If 'Yes' please state the amounts, and for which activities >
17.3 [For Contracting Parties with a development assistance agency only ('donor countries')]: Has the agency provided funding to support wetland conservation and management in other countries? {3.3.1} KRA 3.3.i  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ Z=Not Applicable
17.3 Additional information
If 'Yes', please indicate the countries supported since COP12
17.4 [For Contracting Parties with a development assistance agency only ('donor countries')]: Have environmental safeguards and assessments been included in development proposals proposed by the agency? {3.3.2} KRA 3.3.ii

Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant □ Z=Not Applicable
17.4 Additional information
17.5 [For Contracting Parties that have received development assistance only ('recipient countries')]: Has funding support been received from development assistance agencies specifically for in-country wetland conservation and management? {3.3.3}  Please select only one option  ☑ A=Yes □ B=No □ Z=Not Applicable
17.5 Additional information
If 'Yes', please indicate from which countries/agencies since COP12  > Under the Shire River Basin Management Programme, the World Bank and the Global Environmental Facility (GEF) provided support for wetland management
17.6 Has any financial support been provided by your country to the implementation of the Strategic Plan?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ Z=Not Applicable
17.6 Additional information
If "Yes" please state the amounts, and for which activities > Approximately 1000USD was provided to facilitate awareness programmes
Target 18 International cooperation is strengthened at all levels {3.1}
18.1 Are the national focal points of other MEAs invited to participate in the National Ramsar/Wetland Committee? {3.1.1} {3.1.2} KRAs 3.1.i & 3.1.iv  Please select only one option  ☑ A=Yes ☐ B=No ☐ C=Partially ☐ D=Planned
18.1 Additional information  > For example the National Biodiversity Committee which provides guidance on the implementation of the CBD was very instrumental in the review of the Elephant Marsh wetland studies designation. So other MEAs participate in the National wetland committee.
18.2 Are mechanisms in place at the national level for collaboration between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the focal points of UN and other global and regional bodies and agencies (e.g. UNEP, UNDP, WHO, FAO, UNECE, ITTO)? {3.1.2} {3.1.3} KRA 3.1.iv  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned
18.2 Additional information

18.3 Has your country received assistance from one or more UN and other global and regional bodies and agencies (e.g. UNEP, UNDP, WHO, FAO, UNECE, ITTO) or the Convention's IOPs in its implementation of the Convention? {4.4.1} KRA 4.4.ii.

The IOPs are: BirdLife International, the International Water Management Institute (IWMI), IUCN (International Union for Conservation of Nature), Wetlands International, WWF and Wildfowl & Wetland Trust (WWT).  **Please select only one option**    A=Yes   B=No   C=Partially   D=Planned   X=Unknown   Y=Not Relevant
18.3 Additional information
If 'Yes' please name the agency (es) or IOP (s) and the type of assistance received >
18.4 Have networks, including twinning arrangements, been established, nationally or internationally, for knowledge sharing and training for wetlands that share common features? {3.4.1}  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes  ☑ B=No  □ C=Partially  □ D=Planned
18.4 Additional information
If 'Yes' or 'Partially', please indicate the networks and wetlands involved >
18.5 Has information about your country's wetlands and/or Ramsar Sites and their status been made public (e.g., through publications or a website)? {3.4.2} KRA 3.4.iv  Please select only one option  ☐ A=Yes ☐ B=No ☐ C=Partially ☐ D=Planned
18.5 Additional information  The information for the Lake Chilwa and the Elephant Marsh was made public, and there are various publications for the two sites. In addition there are specific websites that provide information
18.6 Has information about your country's wetlands and/or Ramsar Sites been transmitted to the Ramsar Secretariat for dissemination? {3.4.3} KRA 3.4.ii  Please select only one option  ☐ A=Yes ☐ B=No ☐ C=Partially ☐ D=Planned
18.6 Additional Information  > Information and the Ramsar Information Sheet(RIS) were transmitted to the Ramsar Secretariat
18.7 Have all transboundary wetland systems been identified? {3.5.1} KRA 3.5.i  Please select only one option  ☑ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned □ Z=Not Applicable
18.7 Additional information  Malawi is a signatory to the Southern African Development Community (SADC) Protocol on Shared Water Courses which outlines the requirements and obligations on shared watercourses
18.8 Is effective cooperative management in place for shared wetland systems (for example, in shared river basins and coastal zones)? {3.5.2} KRA 3.5.ii  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No

<ul><li>□ C=Partially</li><li>□ D=Planned</li><li>□ Y=Not Relevant</li></ul>
18.8 Additional information
If 'Yes' or 'Partially', please indicate for which wetland systems such management is in place >
18.9 Does your country participate in regional networks or initiatives for wetland-dependent migratory species? {3.5.3} KRA 3.5.iii  Please select only one option  ☑ A=Yes ☐ B=No ☐ D=Planned ☐ Z=Not Applicable
18.9 Additional information  Malawi participates in the Convention on Migratory Species ,and Pan-African Ornithological Congress meetings.
<b>Target 19</b> Capacity building for implementation of the Convention and the 4th Ramsar Strategic Plan 2016 – 2024 enhanced.
19.1 Has an assessment of national and local training needs for the implementation of the Convention been made? {4.1.4} KRAs 4.1.iv & 4.1.viii  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned
19.1 Additional information
19.2 Are wetland conservation and wise-use issues included in formal education programmes?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned
19.2 Additional information
If you answer yes to the above please provide information on which mechanisms and materials > Undergraduate and graduate programs on natural resources management/ environmental sciences were introduced at universities in the country. Also the secondary school syllabus include issues of natural resources management
19.3a How many opportunities for wetland site manager training have been provided since COP12? {4.1.5} KRA 4.1.iv a) at Ramsar Sites  Please select only one option  ☑ E=Exact number (opportunities)
> 1 □ F=Less than (opportunities)
→ G=More than (opportunities)
C=Partially X=Unknown Y=Not Relevant
19.3b How many opportunities for wetland site manager training have been provided since COP12?

{4.1.5} KRA 4.1.iv

is

b) at other wetlands  Please select only one option  ☑ E=Exact number (Opportunities)
> none  □ F=Less than (Opportunities)
⇒ G=More than (Opportunities) □ C=Partially □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant  19.3 Additional information
including whether the Ramsar Wise Use Handbooks were used in the training >
19.4 Have you (AA) used your previous Ramsar National Reports in monitoring implementation of the Convention? {4.3.1} KRA 4.3.ii  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned □ Z=Not Applicable

## 19.4 Additional information

If 'Yes', please indicate how the Reports have been used for monitoring

> The national report is often referred to as one of the planning tools for implementing the Convention. For example the national report for COP 12 includes plans for the designation of the Elephant marsh which has been successfully undertaken last year.

# Section 4. Optional annex to allow any Contracting Party that has developed national targets to provide information on those

## Goal 1

## **Target 1: Wetland benefits**

Wetland benefits are featured in national / local policy strategies and plans relating to key sectors such as water, energy, mining, agriculture, tourism, urban development, infrastructure, industry, forestry, aquaculture, fisheries at the national and local level. Contributes to Aichi Target 2

Target 1: Wetland benefits - Priority  Please select only one option  □ A=High  □ B=Medium  □ C=Low  □ D=Not relevant  □ E=No answer
Target 1: Wetland benefits - Resourcing  Please select only one option  □ A=Good  □ B=Adequate  □ C=Limiting  □ D=Severely limiting  □ E=No answer
Target 1: Wetland benefits - National Targets
Target 1: Wetland benefits - Planned activity
Target 1: Wetland benefits - Outcomes achieved by 2018
Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals  Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018

## **Target 2: Water Use**

Water use respects wetland ecosystem needs for them to fulfil their functions and provide services at the appropriate scale inter alia at the basin level or along a coastal zone. Contributes to Aichi Targets 7 and 8 and Sustainable Development Goal 6.3.1

## **Target 3: Public and private sectors**

Public and private sectors have increased their efforts to apply guidelines and good practices for the wise use of water and wetlands. {1.10}. Contributes to Aichi Targets 3, 4, 7 and 8.

Target 3: Public and private sectors - Priority  Please select only one option  □ A=High
□ B=Medium
□ C=Low □ D=Not relevant
□ E=No answer
Target 3: Public and private sectors - Resourcing  Please select only one option  □ A=Good  □ B=Adequate  □ C=Limiting  □ D=Severely limiting  □ E=No answer
Target 3: Public and private sectors - National Targets
Target 3: Public and private sectors - Planned activity
Target 3: Public and private sectors - Outcomes achieved by 2018
Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals
<b>Note</b> : this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018

## **Target 4: Invasive alien species**

Invasive alien species and pathways of introduction and expansion are identified and prioritized, priority invasive alien species are controlled or eradicated, and management responses are prepared and implemented to prevent their introduction and establishment. Contributes to Aichi Target 9.

Target 4: Invasive alien species - Priority  Please select only one option  A=High B=Medium C=Low D=Not relevant E=No answer
Target 4: Invasive alien species - Resourcing  Please select only one option  □ A=Good □ B=Adequate □ C=Limiting □ D=Severely limiting □ E=No answer
Target 4: Invasive alien species - National Targets
Target 4: Invasive alien species - Planned activity
Target 4: Invasive alien species - Outcomes achieved by 2018
Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals  Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018

## Goal 2

## **Target 5: Ecological character of Ramsar Sites**

The ecological character of Ramsar Sites is maintained or restored through effective, planning and integrated management {2.1.}. Contributes to Aichi Target 6,11, 12.

Target 5: Ecological character of Ramsar Sites - Priority  Please select only one option  □ A=High  □ B=Medium  □ C=Low  □ D=Not relevant  □ E=No answer
Target 5: Ecological character of Ramsar Sites - Resourcing  Please select only one option  □ A=Good  □ B=Adequate  □ C=Limiting  □ D=Severely limiting  □ E=No answer
Target 5: Ecological character of Ramsar Sites - National Targets
Target 5: Ecological character of Ramsar Sites - Planned activity
Target 5: Ecological character of Ramsar Sites - Outcomes achieved by 2018
Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals  Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018

# Target 7: Sites at risk

Sites that are at risk of change of ecological character have threats addressed {2.6.}. Contributes to Aichi Targets 5, 7, 11, 12.

Target 7: Sites at risk - Priority  Please select only one option  □ A=High □ B=Medium □ C=Low □ D=Not relevant □ E=No answer
Target 7: Sites at risk - Resourcing  Please select only one option  □ A=Good  □ B=Adequate  □ C=Limiting  □ D=Severely limiting  □ E=No answer
Target 7: Sites at risk - National Targets
Target 7: Sites at risk - Planned activity
Target 7: Sites at risk - Outcomes achieved by 2018

Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals

Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018

# Goal 3

# **Target 8: National wetland inventories**

National wetland inventories have been either initiated, completed or updated and disseminated and used for promoting the conservation and effective management of all wetlands {1.1.1} KRA 1.1.i. Contrubutes to Aichi Targets 12, 14, 18, 19.

Target 8: National wetland inventories - Priority  Please select only one option  A=High B=Medium C=Low D=Not relevant E=No answer
Target 8: National wetland inventories - Resourcing  Please select only one option  □ A=Good  □ B=Adequate  □ C=Limiting  □ D=Severely limiting  □ E=No answer
Target 8: National wetland inventories - National Targets
Target 8: National wetland inventories - Planned activity
Target 8: National wetland inventories - Outcomes achieved by 2018
Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals  Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018

#### **Target 9: Wise Use**

The wise use of wetlands is strengthened through integrated resource management at the appropriate scale, inter alia, within a river basin or along a coastal zone {1.3.}. Contributes to Aichi Targets 4, 6, 7.

Target 9: Wise Use - Priority
Please select only one option
□ A=High
□ B=Medium
□ C=Low
□ D=Not relevant
□ E=No answer
Target 9: Wise Use - Resourcing
Please select only one option
□ A=Good
□ B=Adequate
□ C=Limiting
□ D=Severely limiting
□ E=No answer
Target 9: Wise Use - National Targets
<b>&gt;</b>
Target 9: Wise Use - Planned activity
>
Target 9: Wise Use - Outcomes achieved by 2018
·
Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals
<b>Note</b> : this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018

#### **Target 10: Traditional Knowledge**

The traditional knowledge innovations and practices of indigenous peoples and local communities relevant for the wise use of wetlands and their customary use of wetland resources, are documented, respected, subject to national legislation and relevant international obligations and fully integrated and reflected in the implementation of the Convention with a full and effective participation of indigenous and local communities at all relevant levels. Contributes to Aichi Target 18.

Target 10: Traditional Knowledge - Priority
Please select only one option
□ A=High
□ B=Medium
□ C=Low □ D=Not relevant
□ E=No answer
Target 10: Traditional Knowledge - Resourcing  Please select only one option  □ A=Good  □ B=Adequate  □ C=Limiting  □ D=Severely limiting  □ E=No answer
Target 10: Traditional Knowledge - National Targets
Target 10: Traditional Knowledge - Planned activity
Target 10: Traditional Knowledge - Outcomes achieved by 2018
Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals
Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018

# **Target 11: Wetland functions**

Wetland functions, services and benefits are widely demonstrated, documented and disseminated. {1.4.}. Contributes to Aichi Targets 1, 2, 13, 14.

Target 11: Wetland functions - Priority  Please select only one option  □ A=High □ B=Medium □ C=Low □ D=Not relevant □ E=No answer
Target 11: Wetland functions - Resourcing  Please select only one option  □ A=Good □ B=Adequate □ C=Limiting □ D=Severely limiting □ E=No answer
Target 11: Wetland functions - National Targets
Target 11: Wetland functions - Planned activity
Target 11: Wetland functions - Outcomes achieved by 2018
Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals  Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018

#### **Target 12: Restoration**

Restoration is in progress in degraded wetlands, with priority to wetlands that are relevant for biodiversity conservation, disaster risk reduction, livelihoods and/or climate change mitigation and adaptation. {1.8.}. Contributes to Aichi Targets 14 and 15.

Target 12: Restoration - Priority  Please select only one option  □ A=High □ B=Medium □ C=Low □ D=Not relevant □ E=No answer
Target 12: Restoration - Resourcing  Please select only one option  □ A=Good  □ B=Adequate  □ C=Limiting  □ D=Severely limiting  □ E=No answer
Target 12: Restoration - National Targets
Target 12: Restoration - Planned activity
Target 12: Restoration - Outcomes achieved by 2018
Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals  Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018

# Target 13: Enhanced sustainability

Enhanced sustainability of key sectors such as water, energy, mining, agriculture, tourism, urban development, infrastructure, industry, forestry, aquaculture and fisheries when they affect wetlands, contributing to biodiversity conservation and human livelihoods. Contributes to Aichi Targets 6 and 7.

Target 13: Enhanced sustainability - Priority  Please select only one option  A=High B=Medium C=Low D=Not relevant E=No answer
Target 13: Enhanced sustainability - Resourcing  Please select only one option  □ A=Good  □ B=Adequate  □ C=Limiting  □ D=Severely limiting  □ E=No answer
Target 13: Enhanced sustainability - National Targets
Target 13: Enhanced sustainability - Planned activity
Target 13: Enhanced sustainability - Outcomes achieved by 2018
Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals  Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018

# Goal 4

# **Target 15: Regional Initiatives**

Ramsar Regional Initiatives with the active involvement and support of the Parties in each region are reinforced and developed into effective tools to assist in the full implementation of the Convention. {3.2.}

Target 15: Regional Initiatives - Priority  Please select only one option  □ A=High  □ B=Medium  □ C=Low □ D=Not relevant □ E=No answer
Target 15: Regional Initiatives - Resourcing  Please select only one option  □ A=Good  □ B=Adequate  □ C=Limiting  □ D=Severely limiting  □ E=No answer
Target 15: Regional Initiatives - National Targets >
Target 15: Regional Initiatives - Planned activity
Target 15: Regional Initiatives - Outcomes achieved by 2018
Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals <b>Note</b> : this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018

# Target 16: Wetlands conservation and wise use

Wetlands conservation and wise use are mainstreamed through communication, capacity development, education, participation and awareness {4.1}. Contributes to Aichi Target 1 and 18.

Target 16: Wetlands conservation and wise use - Priority  Please select only one option  □ A=High □ B=Medium □ C=Low □ D=Not relevant □ E=No answer
Target 16: Wetlands conservation and wise use - Resourcing  Please select only one option  □ A=Good  □ B=Adequate □ C=Limiting □ D=Severely limiting □ E=No answer
Target 16: Wetlands conservation and wise use - National Targets
Target 16: Wetlands conservation and wise use - Planned activity
Target 16: Wetlands conservation and wise use - Outcomes achieved by 2018
Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals  Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018

# **Target 17: Financial and other resources**

Financial and other resources for effectively implementing the fourth Ramsar Strategic Plan 2016 – 2024 from all sources are made available. {4.2.}. Contributes to Aichi Target 20.

Target 17: Financial and other resources - Priority  Please select only one option  □ A=High □ B=Medium □ C=Low □ D=Not relevant □ E=No answer
Target 17: Financial and other resources - Resourcing  Please select only one option  □ A=Good  □ B=Adequate  □ C=Limiting  □ D=Severely limiting  □ E=No answer
Target 17: Financial and other resources - National Targets
Target 17: Financial and other resources - Planned activity
Target 17: Financial and other resources - Outcomes achieved by 2018
Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals <b>Note</b> : this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018

**Target 18: International cooperation**International cooperation is strengthened at all levels {3.1}

Target 18: International cooperation - Priority  Please select only one option  □ A=High  □ B=Medium  □ C=Low  □ D=Not relevant  □ E=No answer
Target 18: International cooperation - Resourcing  Please select only one option  □ A=Good □ B=Adequate □ C=Limiting □ D=Severely limiting □ E=No answer
Target 18: International cooperation - National Targets
Target 18: International cooperation - Planned activity
Target 18: International cooperation - Outcomes achieved by 2018
Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals  Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018 >

**Target 19: Capacity Building**Capacity building for implementation of the Convention and the 4th Ramsar Strategic Plan 2016 – 2024 is enhanced. Contributes to Aichi Targets 1 and 17.

# Section 5: Optional annex to allow any Contracting Party that so wishes to provide additional information regarding any of all of its designated Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Sites) Guidance for filling in this section

- 1. Contracting Parties can provide additional information specific to any or all of their designated Ramsar Sites, given that the situation and status of individual Ramsar Sites can differ greatly within the territory of a Contracting Party.
- 2. The only indicator questions included in this section are those from Section 3 of the COP13 NRF which directly concern Ramsar Sites.
- 3. In some cases, to make them meaningful in the context of reporting on each Ramsar Site separately, some of these indicator questions and/or their answer options have been adjusted from their formulation in Section 3 of the COP13 NRF.
- 4. Please include information on only one site in each row. In the appropriate columns please add the name and official site number (from the Ramsar Sites Information Service).
- 5. For each 'indicator guestion', please select one answer from the legend.
- 6. A final column of this Annex is provided as a 'free text' box for the inclusion of any additional information concerning the Ramsar Site.

A final column of this Annex is provided as a 'free text' box for the inclusion of any additional information concerning the Ramsar Site.

#### Malawi

### Lake Chilwa (869)

Lake Cilliwa (609)
5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes  □ B=No  □ D=Planned
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.  **Please select only one option**    A=Yes
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes  □ B=No  □ C=Partially  □ D=Planned
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ Z=No Management Plan
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes  □ B=No  □ C=Partially  □ Z=No Management Plan
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder

involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option  □ A=Yes  □ B=No  □ D=Planned	
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned	
Any additional comments/information about the site	
Elephant Marsh (2308)	
5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes  □ B=No  □ D=Planned	
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate to year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.  **Please select only one option**  A=Yes**  B=No**  D=Planned	
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?  Please select only one option  A=Yes  B=No  C=Partially  D=Planned	
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Rams Site?  Please select only one option  A=Yes  B=No  C=Partially  Z=No Management Plan	ar
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes  □ B=No  □ C=Partially  □ Z=No Management Plan	
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned	
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?  Please select only one option  □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned	

Any additional comments/information about the site