Ramsar National Report to COP13

Section 1: Institutional Information

Important note: the responses below will be considered by the Ramsar Secretariat as the definitive list of your focal points, and will be used to update the information it holds. The Secretariat’s current information about your focal points is available at http://www.ramsar.org/search-contact.

Name of Contracting Party
The completed National Report must be accompanied by a letter in the name of the Head of Administrative Authority, confirming that this is the Contracting Party’s official submission of its COP13 National Report. It can be attached to this question using the "Manage documents" function (blue symbol below)

Kiribati
You have attached the following documents to this answer.
Endorsement_letter_for_National_Report_submission.pdf

Designated Ramsar Administrative Authority

Name of Administrative Authority
› Ministry of Environment, Lands and Agricultural Development

Head of Administrative Authority - name and title
› Mrs Taare Aukitino, Secretary

Mailing address
› P. O. Box 234, Bikenibeu, Tarawa, Kiribati

Telephone/Fax
› 686 75228211

Email
› secretary@melad.gov.ki

Designated National Focal Point for Ramsar Convention Matters

Name and title
› Mrs Taouea Titaake-Reiher, Acting Director

Mailing address
› P. O. Box 234, Bikenibeu, Tarawa, Kiribati

Telephone/Fax
› 686 75228425

Email
› taouear@environment.gov.ki

Designated National Focal Point for Matters Relating to The Scientific and Technical Review Panel (STRP)

Name and title
› Ms Marii Marae, Senior Environment Officer

Name of organisation
› Environment and Conservation Division, Ministry of Environment, Lands and Agricultural Development

Mailing address
› P. O. Box 234, Bikenibeu, Tarawa, Kiribati

Telephone/Fax
› 686 75228425
Email
› mariim@environment.gov.ki

**Designated Government National Focal Point for Matters Relating to The Programme on Communication, Education, Participation and Awareness (CEPA)**

Name and title
› Mrs Robite Teaete, Environment Education Officer

Name of organisation
› Environment and Conservation Division, Ministry of Environment, Lands and Agricultural Development

Mailing address
› P. O. Box 234, Bikenibeu, Tarawa, Kiribati

Telephone/Fax
› 686 75228425

Email
› robitet@environment.gov.ki
Section 2: General summary of national implementation progress and challenges
In your country, in the past triennium (i.e., since COP12 reporting)

A. What have been the five most successful aspects of implementation of the Convention?

1) Development of the Community Based Mangrove Management Plan at the Nooto-North Tarawa Ramsar Site
2) Promoting and encouraging the planting of mangroves across the country
3) Awareness raising in schools as well as to communities at the Ramsar site
4) Develop the GIS map delineating the Ramsar boundary at Nooto-North Tarawa (Ramsar Site)

B. What have been the five greatest difficulties in implementing the Convention?

1) Lack of funding to implement the Convention
2) Monitoring of the status of the Ramsar Site: Regular monitoring is impossible due to the distance of the site from the capital. It is expensive to visit regularly as a boat is needed to get to the site.
3) Limited technical capacity to conduct assessments on the ecological character of the Ramsar Site and other wetlands and to monitor their status
4) Limited human resource: the Ramsar Convention's implementation is handled by those who also handle other biodiversity related conventions/agreements.
5) Insufficient community commitment: Community commitment plays a crucial role in the implementation of the Convention, in particular, in managing the Ramsar Site. Change in leadership within the village and not regularly visiting the village may have implications on the community’s commitment.

C. What are the five priorities for future implementation of the Convention?

1) Development and enhancement of the existing Ramsar Site
2) Awareness raising and education
3) Securing funding to assist in the implementation of the Convention at the national level
4) Capacity building
5) Designation of another Ramsar Site in Kiribati

D. Do you (AA) have any recommendations concerning implementation assistance from the Ramsar Secretariat?

› Secretariat to provide more funding opportunities for the implementation of the Convention at the national level, in particular to the least developed countries such as Kiribati. It is very hard to carry out plans without available resources.

E. Do you (AA) have any recommendations concerning implementation assistance from the Convention’s
International Organisation Partners (IOPs)? (including ongoing partnerships and partnerships to develop)
› To provide technical assistance needed in assessments of the ecological character of the Ramsar Site and other wetlands and monitoring of their status, engaging and motivating communities to protect and conserve their resources

F. How can national implementation of the Ramsar Convention be better linked with implementation of other multilateral environmental agreements (MEAs), especially those in the ‘biodiversity cluster’ (Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD), Convention on Migratory Species (CMS), Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species (CITES), World Heritage Convention (WHC), and United Nations Convention to Combat Desertification (UNCCD) and the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC)?
› There are programs carried out at the national level that contribute to meeting obligations under different biodiversity related agreements. It saves costs and makes it possible to implement partially the Conventions that have no resources such as the Ramsar Convention.

G. How can implementation of the Ramsar Convention be better linked with the implementation of water policy/strategy and other strategies in the country (e.g., on sustainable development, energy, extractive industries, poverty reduction, sanitation, food security, biodiversity)?
› The activities carried out for Ramsar Convention to some extent contribute to the implementation of some other strategies in the country, for example, the food security, climate change and disaster risks management plan.

H. Do you (AA) have any other general comments on the implementation of the Convention?
› It is important to provide funding and technical assistance to least developed countries to help them implement the Convention at the national level.

I. Please list the names of the organisations which have been consulted on or have contributed to the information provided in this report
› Public Utilities Board (PUB), Water Division - Ministry of Infrastructure and Sustainable Energy, Agriculture and Livestock Division, Fisheries Division,
**Section 3: Indicator questions and further implementation information**

**Goal 1. Addressing the drivers of wetland loss and degradation**

**Target 1**

Wetland benefits are featured in national/local policy strategies and plans relating to key sectors such as water, energy, mining, agriculture, tourism, urban development, infrastructure, industry, forestry, aquaculture, fisheries at the national and local level.

1.1 Have wetland issues/benefits been incorporated into other national strategies and planning processes, including:  

Please select only one per square.

| a) National Policy or strategy for wetland management | □ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ D=Planned  
☐ X=Unknown  
☐ Y=Not Relevant |
| --- | --- |
| b) Poverty eradication strategies | □ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ D=Planned  
☐ X=Unknown  
☐ Y=Not Relevant |
| c) Water resource management and water efficiency plans | □ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ D=Planned  
☐ X=Unknown  
☐ Y=Not Relevant |
| d) Coastal and marine resource management plans | □ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ D=Planned  
☐ X=Unknown  
☐ Y=Not Relevant |
| e) Integrated Coastal Zone Management Plan | □ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ D=Planned  
☐ X=Unknown  
☐ Y=Not Relevant |
| f) National forest programmes | □ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ D=Planned  
☐ X=Unknown  
☐ Y=Not Relevant |
| g) National policies or measures on agriculture | □ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ D=Planned  
☐ X=Unknown  
☐ Y=Not Relevant |
| h) National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plans drawn up under the CBD | □ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ D=Planned  
☐ X=Unknown  
☐ Y=Not Relevant |
| i) National policies on energy and mining | □ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ D=Planned  
☐ X=Unknown  
☐ Y=Not Relevant |
| j) National policies on tourism | ☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ D=Planned  
☐ X=Unknown  
☐ Y=Not Relevant |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|
| k) National policies on urban development | ☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ D=Planned  
☐ X=Unknown  
☐ Y=Not Relevant |
| l) National policies on infrastructure | ☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ D=Planned  
☐ X=Unknown  
☐ Y=Not Relevant |
| m) National policies on industry | ☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ D=Planned  
☐ X=Unknown  
☐ Y=Not Relevant |
| n) National policies on aquaculture and fisheries (1.3.3) KRA 1.3.i | ☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ D=Planned  
☐ X=Unknown  
☐ Y=Not Relevant |
| o) National plans of actions (NPAs) for pollution control and management | ☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ D=Planned  
☐ X=Unknown  
☐ Y=Not Relevant |
| p) National policies on wastewater management and water quality | ☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ D=Planned  
☐ X=Unknown  
☐ Y=Not Relevant |

1.1 Additional information  
› Some of the policies indicated have not been developed yet for Kiribati hence the responses are NOT RELEVANT

**Target 2**

Water use respects wetland ecosystem needs for them to fulfil their functions and provide services at the appropriate scale inter alia at the basin level or along a coastal zone.

2.1 Has the quantity and quality of water available to, and required by, wetlands been assessed to support the implementation of the Guidelines for the allocation and management of water for maintaining the ecological functions of wetlands (Resolution VIII.1, VIII.2) ? 1.24.  
☑ B=No

2.1 Additional Information  
› Wetlands in Kiribati are associated mostly with marine and coastal environments.

2.2 Have assessments of environmental flow been undertaken in relation to mitigation of impacts on the ecological character of wetlands (Action r3.4.iv)  
☑ B=No

2.3 Have Ramsar Sites improved the sustainability of water use in the context of ecosystem requirements?  
☑ X=Unknown
2.4 Have the Guidelines for allocation and management of water for maintaining ecological functions of wetlands (Resolutions VIII.1 and XII.12) been used/applied in decision-making processes. (Action 3.4.6.)
☑ A=Yes

2.4 Additional Information
› The guideline is used during the awareness and consultation at the Ramsar Site as well as in other islands, in particular, the capital where the majority of people reside.

2.5 Have projects that promote and demonstrate good practice in water allocation and management for maintaining the ecological functions of wetlands been developed (Action r3.4.ix.)
☑ C=Partially

2.6 How many household/municipalities are linked to sewage system? SDG Target 6.3.1.
☑ X=Unknown

2.7 What is the percentage of sewerage coverage in the country? SDG Target 6.3.1.
☑ X=Unknown

2.7 Additional Information
› 33.17% is the sewerage percentage coverage for South Tarawa only.

2.8 What is the percentage of users of septic tank/pit latrine? SDG Target 6.3.1.
☑ X=Unknown

2.9 Does the country use constructed wetlands/ponds as wastewater treatment technology? SDG Target 6.3.1.
☑ B=No

2.10 How do the country use constructed wetlands/ponds as wastewater treatment technology perform? SDG Target 6.3.1.
☑ Y=Not Relevant

2.11 How many centralised wastewater treatment plants exist at national level? SDG Target 6.3.1.
☑ E=Exact number (plants)
› 0

2.12 How is the functional status of the wastewater treatment plants? SDG Target 6.3.1.
☑ Y=Not Relevant

2.13 The percentage of decentralized wastewater treatment technology, including constructed wetlands/ponds is? SDG Target 6.3.1.
☑ Y=Not Relevant

2.14 Is there a wastewater reuse system? SDG Target 6.3.1.
☑ B=No

2.15 What is the purpose of the wastewater reuse system? SDG Target 6.3.1.
☑ Y=Not Relevant

Target 3
Public and private sectors have increased their efforts to apply guidelines and good practices for the wise use of water and wetlands. {1.10}

3.1 Is the private sector encouraged to apply the Ramsar wise use principle and guidance (Ramsar handbooks for the wise use of wetlands) in its activities and investments concerning wetlands? {1.10.1}
KRA 1.10.i
☑ B=No
3.1 Additional Information
- Private sector in Kiribati is underdeveloped compared to other developing and developed countries.

3.2 Has the private sector undertaken activities or actions for the conservation, wise use and management of KRA 1.10.ii

Please select only one per square.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a) Ramsar Sites</th>
<th>☐ A=Yes</th>
<th>☐ B=No</th>
<th>☐ C=Partially</th>
<th>☐ D=Planned</th>
<th>☐ X=Unknown</th>
<th>☑ Y=Not Relevant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>b) Wetlands in general</th>
<th>☐ A=Yes</th>
<th>☐ B=No</th>
<th>☐ C=Partially</th>
<th>☐ D=Planned</th>
<th>☐ X=Unknown</th>
<th>☑ Y=Not Relevant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

3.3 Have actions been taken to implement incentive measures which encourage the conservation and wise use of wetlands? KRA 1.11.i

☑ A=Yes

3.3 Additional information
- The establishment of the Community Based Mangrove Management Plan at the existing Ramsar Site along with the constitution that gives legal support to the management could be seen as an incentive, in particular, the constitution as it provides for provisions that could be enforced legally that could safeguard the village’s resources and environment. At the same time, it can generate income from the penalties reflected in the constitution. Additionally, the village (Ramsar Site) is recognized as an independent body/NGO under the Incorporated Societies Act giving them status that could qualify for any funding assistance.

3.4 Have actions been taken to remove perverse incentive measures which discourage conservation and wise use of wetlands? KRA 1.11.i

☑ D=Planned

Target 4
Invasive alien species and pathways of introduction and expansion are identified and prioritized, priority invasive alien species are controlled or eradicated, and management responses are prepared and implemented to prevent their introduction and establishment.

4.1 Does your country have a comprehensive national inventory of invasive alien species that currently or potentially impact the ecological character of wetlands? KRA 1.9.i

☑ C=Partially

4.2 Have national policies or guidelines on invasive species control and management been established or reviewed for wetlands? KRA 1.9.iii

☑ C=Partially

4.3 How many invasive species are being controlled through management actions.

☑ C=Partially

4.4 Have the effectiveness of wetland invasive alien species control programmes been assessed?

☑ B=No

Goal 2. Effectively conserving and managing the Ramsar Site network

Target 5
The ecological character of Ramsar Sites is maintained or restored through effective, planning and integrated management.

5.1 Have a national strategy and priorities been established for the further designation of Ramsar Sites, using the Strategic Framework for the Ramsar List? KRA 2.1.i

☑ C=Partially
5.2 Are the Ramsar Sites Information Service and its tools being used in national identification of further Ramsar Sites to designate? {2.2.1} KRA 2.2.ii
☑ A=Yes

5.3 How many Ramsar Sites have an effective, implemented management plan? {2.4.1} KRA 2.4.i
☑ E=Exact number (sites)
› 1

5.4 For how many of the Ramsar Sites with a management plan is the plan being implemented? {2.4.2} KRA 2.4.i
☑ E=Exact number (sites)
› 1

5.5 For how many Ramsar Sites is effective management planning currently being implemented (outside of formal management plans)? {2.4.3} KRA 2.4.i
☑ E=Exact number (sites)
› 1

5.3 – 5.5 Additional information
› Nooto-North Tarawa Ramsar Site

5.6 Have all Ramsar sites been assessed regarding the effectiveness of their management (through formal management plans where they exist or otherwise through existing actions for appropriate wetland management)? {1.6.2} KRA 1.6.ii
☑ D=Planned

5.7 How many Ramsar Sites have a cross-sectoral management committee? {2.4.4} {2.4.6} KRA 2.4.iv
☑ E=Exact number (sites)
› 0

5.8 For how many Ramsar Sites has an ecological character description been prepared (see Resolution X.15)? {2.4.5} {2.4.7} KRA 2.4.v
☑ E=Exact number (sites)
› 11

5.8 Additional information
If at least 1 site, please give the name and official number of the site or sites
› Nooto-North Tarawa Ramsar Site, Mckean Island, Birnie Island, Rawaki (Phoenix Island), Teeraina (Washington) Island, Tabuaeran (Fanning) Island, Kirimati (Christmas) Island, Malden Island, Starbuck Island, Vostok Island, Caroline Atoll. The ecological character of these priority sites have been described as part of the Directory of Wetlands of Kiribati – 2014’ or RIS of the Nooto-North Tarawa Ramsar Site describes some of the ecological characters of the site.

5.9 Have any assessments of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management been made? {2.5.1} KRA 2.5.i
☑ B=No

Target 7
Sites that are at risk of change of ecological character have threats addressed {2.6.}.

7.1 Are mechanisms in place for the Administrative Authority to be informed of negative human-induced changes or likely changes in the ecological character of Ramsar Sites, pursuant to Article 3.2? {2.6.1} KRA 2.6.i
☑ B=No

7.2 Have all cases of negative human-induced change or likely change in the ecological character of Ramsar Sites been reported to the Ramsar Secretariat, pursuant to Article 3.2? {2.6.2} KRA 2.6.i
☑ B=No

7.3 If applicable, have actions been taken to address the issues for which Ramsar Sites have been listed on the Montreux Record, including requesting a Ramsar Advisory Mission? {2.6.3} KRA 2.6.ii
☑ Z=Not Applicable
Goal 3. Wisely Using All Wetlands

Target 8
National wetland inventories have been either initiated, completed or updated and disseminated and used for promoting the conservation and effective management of all wetlands {1.1.1} KRA 1.1.i

8.1 Does your country have a complete National Wetland Inventory? {1.1.1} KRA 1.1.i
☑ A=Yes

8.1 Additional information
› The Directory of Wetlands in Kiribati was developed in 2014.

8.2 Has your country updated a National Wetland Inventory in the last decade?
☑ A=Yes

8.2 Additional information
› The Directory of Wetlands in Kiribati was developed in 2014.

8.3 Is wetland inventory data and information maintained? {1.1.2} KRA 1.1.ii
☑ A=Yes

8.3 Additional information
› The Directory of Wetlands in Kiribati was developed in 2014.

8.4 Is wetland inventory data and information made accessible to all stakeholders? {1.1.2} KRA 1.1.ii
☑ A=Yes

8.4 Additional information
› The Directory of Wetlands in Kiribati is available online on SPREP and MELAD web pages.

8.5 Has the condition* of wetlands in your country, overall, changed during the last triennium? {1.1.3}

Please describe on the sources of the information on which your answer is based in the free-text box below. If there is a difference between inland and coastal wetland situations, please describe. If you are able to, please describe the principal driver(s) of the change(s).

* ‘Condition’ corresponds to ecological character, as defined by the Convention

Please select only one per square.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>a) Ramsar Sites</th>
<th>b) Wetlands generally</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ N=Status Deteriorated</td>
<td>☐ N=Status Deteriorated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☒ O=No Change</td>
<td>☒ O=No Change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ P=Status Improved</td>
<td>☐ P=Status Improved</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8.6 Based upon the National Wetland Inventory if available please provide a baseline figure in square kilometres for the extent of wetlands (according to the Ramsar definition) for the year 2017. SDG Target 6.6
☑ X=Unknown

Target 9
The wise use of wetlands is strengthened through integrated resource management at the appropriate scale, inter alia, within a river basin or along a coastal zone {1.3.}. 

9.1 Is a Wetland Policy (or equivalent instrument) that promotes the wise use of wetlands in place? {1.3.1} KRA 1.3.i

If ‘Yes’, please give the title and date of the policy in the green text box
☑ B=No

9.1 Additional information
› No national wetland policy exist except for the Ramsar Site established through the Community Based Mangrove Management Plan.

9.2 Have any amendments to existing legislation been made to reflect Ramsar commitments?
9.3 Do your country’s water governance and management systems treat wetlands as natural water infrastructure integral to water resource management at the scale of river basins? {1.7.1} {1.7.2} KRA 1.7.ii
☐ A=Yes

9.3 Additional information
› Kiribati has just started the implementing of its regional Ridge to Reef program. Some of its components would contribute to protecting Kiribati’s freshwater lens (further pollution) and marine water (coastal).

9.4 Have Communication, Education, Participation and Awareness (CEPA) expertise and tools been incorporated into catchment/river basin planning and management (see Resolution X.19)? {1.7.2} {1.7.3}
☐ A=Yes

9.4 Additional information
› CEPA is one major components that support the international water program and Ridge to Reef program.

9.5 Has your country established policies or guidelines for enhancing the role of wetlands in mitigating or adapting to climate change? {1.7.3} {1.7.5} KRA 1.7.iii
☐ C=Partially

9.6 Has your country formulated plans or projects to sustain and enhance the role of wetlands in supporting and maintaining viable farming systems? {1.7.4} {1.7.6} KRA 1.7.v
☐ C=Partially

9.7 Has research to inform wetland policies and plans been undertaken in your country on:

Please select only one per square.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A=Yes</th>
<th>B=No</th>
<th>D=Planned</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) agriculture-wetland interactions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) climate change</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) valuation of ecosystem services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9.8 Has your country submitted a request for Wetland City Accreditation of the Ramsar Convention, Resolution XII.10?
☐ B=No

Target 10

The traditional knowledge innovations and practices of indigenous peoples and local communities relevant for the wise use of wetlands and their customary use of wetland resources, are documented, respected, subject to national legislation and relevant international obligations and fully integrated and reflected in the implementation of the Convention with a full and effective participation of indigenous and local communities at all relevant levels.

10.1 Have the guiding principles for taking into account the cultural values of wetlands including traditional knowledge for the effective management of sites (Resolution VIII.19) been used or applied? (Action 6.1.2/6.1.6)
☐ A=Yes

10.2 Have case studies, participation in projects or successful experiences on cultural aspects of wetlands been compiled. Resolution VIII.19 and Resolution IX.21? (Action 6.1.6)
☐ D=Planned

10.3 Have the guidelines for establishing and strengthening local communities’ and indigenous people’s
participation in the management of wetlands been used or applied. (Resolution VII. 8) (Action 6.1.5)
☑ A=Yes

10.3 Additional information
If the answer is “yes” please indicate the use or application of the guidelines
 › The main activity that local communities has recently participated in, in the Ramsar Site, is through the development of the Community Based Mangrove Management Plan along with the constitution that gives legal support to the management plan. The issues and recommendations on how to address the challenges they faced with their mangroves, marine and terrestrial resources which they depend on for livelihood and their environment (pollution and other issues) came from the communities themselves. They were the ones who identified issues and their recommendations on the way forward for a better environment and wetland site.

10.4 Traditional knowledge and management practices relevant for the wise use of wetlands have been documented and their application encouraged (Action 6.1.2)
☑ D=Planned

**Target 11**
Wetland functions, services and benefits are widely demonstrated, documented and disseminated. {1.4.}

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by Ramsar Sites and other wetlands? {1.4.1} KRA 1.4.ii
☑ A=Yes

11.1 Additional information
If ‘Yes’ or ‘Partially’, please indicate, how many Ramsar Sites and their names
 › Nooto - North Tarawa Ramsar Site - this is made through the reports from the people at the Ramsar Site.

11.2 Have wetland programmes or projects that contribute to poverty alleviation objectives or food and water security plans been implemented? {1.4.2} KRA 1.4.ii
☑ C=Partially

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for Ramsar Sites and other wetlands? {1.4.3}{1.4.4} KRA 1.4.iii
☑ C=Partially

11.3 Additional information
If ‘Yes’ or ‘Partially’, please indicate, if known, how many Ramsar Sites and their names
 › Nooto - North Tarawa Ramsar Site

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for Ramsar Sites and other wetlands? {1.4.3}{1.4.4} KRA 1.4.iii
☑ C=Partially

11.4 Additional information
If ‘Yes’ or ‘Partially’, please indicate, if known, how many Ramsar Sites and their names
 › Nooto - North Tarawa Ramsar Site

**Target 12**
Restoration is in progress in degraded wetlands, with priority to wetlands that are relevant for biodiversity conservation, disaster risk reduction, livelihoods and/or climate change mitigation and adaptation. {1.8.}

12.1 Have priority sites for wetland restoration been identified? {1.8.1} KRA 1.8.ii
☑ B=No

12.2 Have wetland restoration/rehabilitation programmes, plans or projects been effectively implemented? {1.8.2} KRA 1.8.ii
☑ Y=Not Relevant

**Target 13**
Enhanced sustainability of key sectors such as water, energy, mining, agriculture, tourism, urban development, infrastructure, industry, forestry, aquaculture and fisheries when they affect wetlands, contributing to biodiversity conservation and human livelihoods
13.1 Have actions been taken to enhance sustainability of key sectors such as water, energy, mining, agriculture, tourism, urban development, infrastructure, industry, forestry, aquaculture and fisheries when they affect wetlands?
☐ A=Yes

13.1. Additional information
If ‘Yes’, please indicate the actions taken
› Establishing the Community Based Mangrove Management Plan that also include resources of the site which the people depend on for their livelihood has to some extent include actions that encourage sustainability of some key sectors, for example, marine and terrestrial resources.

13.2 Are Strategic Environmental Assessment practices applied when reviewing policies, programmes and plans that may impact upon wetlands? {1.3.3} {1.3.4} KRA 1.3.ii
☐ B=No

13.3 Are Environmental Impact Assessments made for any development projects (such as new buildings, new roads, extractive industry) from key sectors such as water, energy, mining, agriculture, tourism, urban development, infrastructure, industry, forestry, aquaculture and fisheries that may affect wetlands? {1.3.4} {1.3.5} KRA 1.3.iii
☐ A=Yes

Goal 4. Enhancing implementation

Target 15
Ramsar Regional Initiatives with the active involvement and support of the Parties in each region are reinforced and developed into effective tools to assist in the full implementation of the Convention. {3.2.}

15.1 Have you (AA) been involved in the development and implementation of a Regional Initiative under the framework of the Convention? {3.2.1} KRA 3.2.i
☐ D=Planned

15.1 Additional information
If ‘Yes’ or ‘Planned’, please indicate the regional initiative(s) and the collaborating countries of each initiative
› Proposed Ramsar Regional Initiative for Oceania

15.2 Has your country supported or participated in the development of other regional (i.e., covering more than one country) wetland training and research centres? {3.2.2}
☐ B=No

Target 16
Wetlands conservation and wise use are mainstreamed through communication, capacity development, education, participation and awareness {4.1}

16.1 Has an action plan (or plans) for wetland CEPA been established? {4.1.1} KRA 4.1.i

Even if no CEPA plans have been developed, if broad CEPA objectives for CEPA actions have been established, please indicate this in the Additional information section below
Please select only one per square.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>A=Yes</th>
<th>B=No</th>
<th>C=In Progress</th>
<th>D=Planned</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) At the national level</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Sub national level</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Catchment/basin level</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
16.1 Additional information

If ‘Yes’ or ‘In progress’ to one or more of the four questions above, for each please describe the mechanism, who is responsible and identify if it has involved CEPA NFPs.

The CEPA NFP is currently responsible for the CEPA implementation at the national level. She is currently using mass media (local radio and newspaper), consultations, public awareness including school awareness programs.

16.2a How many centres (visitor centres, interpretation centres, education centres) have been established? {4.1.2} KRA 4.1.ii

a) at Ramsar Sites

☐ E=Exact Number (centres)

> 0

b) at other wetlands

☐ E=Exact Number (centres)

> 0

16.3 Does the Contracting Party {4.1.3} KRA 4.1.iii

Please select only one per square.

| a) promote stakeholder participation in decision-making on wetland planning and management | ☐ A=Yes
| ☐ B=No
| ☐ C=Partially
| ☐ D=Planned |

| b) specifically involve local stakeholders in the selection of new Ramsar Sites and in Ramsar Site management? | ☐ A=Yes
| ☐ B=No
| ☐ C=Partially
| ☐ D=Planned |

16.4 Do you have an operational cross-sectoral National Ramsar/Wetlands Committee? {4.1.6} KRA 4.3.v

☐ B=No

16.5 Do you have an operational cross-sectoral body equivalent to a National Ramsar/Wetlands Committee? {4.1.6} KRA 4.3.v

☐ B=No

16.6 Are other communication mechanisms (apart from a national committee) in place to share Ramsar implementation guidelines and other information between the Administrative Authority and a), b) or c) below? {4.1.7} KRA 4.1.vi:

Please select only one per square.

| a) Ramsar Site managers | ☐ A=Yes
| ☐ B=No
| ☐ C=Partially
| ☐ D=Planned |

| b) other MEA national focal points | ☐ A=Yes
| ☐ B=No
| ☐ C=Partially
| ☐ D=Planned |
16.6 Additional information
If ‘Yes’ or ‘Partially’, please describe what mechanisms are in place
› Consultations, Emails, Media

16.7 Have Ramsar-branded World Wetlands Day activities (whether on 2 February or at another time of year), either government and NGO-led or both, been carried out in the country since COP12? {4.1.8}
☑ A=Yes

16.8 Have campaigns, programmes, and projects (other than for World Wetlands Day-related activities) been carried out since COP12 to raise awareness of the importance of wetlands to people and wildlife and the ecosystem benefits/services provided by wetlands? {4.1.9}
☑ A=Yes

16.8 Additional information
If these and other CEPA activities have been undertaken by other organizations, please indicate this
› CEPA activities - media, school visits, community consultations, public awareness

**Target 17**
Financial and other resources for effectively implementing the fourth Ramsar Strategic Plan 2016 – 2024 from all sources are made available. {4.2.}

17.1a Have Ramsar contributions been paid in full for 2015, 2016 and 2017? {4.2.1} KRA 4.2.i
☑ B=No

17.2 Has any additional financial support been provided through voluntary contributions to non-core funded Convention activities? {4.2.2} KRA 4.2.i
☑ B=No

17.4 [For Contracting Parties with a development assistance agency only (‘donor countries’)]: Have environmental safeguards and assessments been included in development proposals proposed by the agency? {3.3.2} KRA 3.3.ii
☑ Z=Not Applicable

17.5 [For Contracting Parties that have received development assistance only (‘recipient countries’)]: Has funding support been received from development assistance agencies specifically for in-country wetland conservation and management? {3.3.3}
☑ B=No

17.6 Has any financial support been provided by your country to the implementation of the Strategic Plan?
☑ A=Yes

17.6 Additional information
If “Yes” please state the amounts, and for which activities
› There is no direct financial support for wetlands but the activities for wetlands are carried out as part of other biodiversity related projects to enable the implementation of the Ramsar Convention at the national level.

**Target 18**
International cooperation is strengthened at all levels {3.1}

18.1 Are the national focal points of other MEAs invited to participate in the National Ramsar/Wetland Committee? {3.1.1} {3.1.2} KRAs 3.1.i & 3.1.iv
☑ B=No

18.1 Additional information
› Currently there is no National Ramsar/Wetland Committee

18.2 Are mechanisms in place at the national level for collaboration between the Ramsar Administrative
Authority and the focal points of UN and other global and regional bodies and agencies (e.g. UNEP, UNDP, WHO, FAO, UNECE, ITTO)? {3.1.2} {3.1.3} KRA 3.1.iv
☑ A=Yes

18.3 Has your country received assistance from one or more UN and other global and regional bodies and agencies (e.g. UNEP, UNDP, WHO, FAO, UNECE, ITTO) or the Convention’s IOPs in its implementation of the Convention? {4.4.1} KRA 4.4.ii.
The IOPs are: BirdLife International, the International Water Management Institute (IWMI), IUCN (International Union for Conservation of Nature), Wetlands International, WWF and Wildfowl & Wetland Trust (WWT).
☑ X=Unknown

18.4 Have networks, including twinning arrangements, been established, nationally or internationally, for knowledge sharing and training for wetlands that share common features? {3.4.1}
☑ C=Partially

18.4 Additional information
If ‘Yes’ or ‘Partially’, please indicate the networks and wetlands involved
› Being included in mangrove networks through email with Asian countries and other countries sharing news on mangrove related experiences.

18.5 Has information about your country’s wetlands and/or Ramsar Sites and their status been made public (e.g., through publications or a website)? {3.4.2} KRA 3.4.iv
☑ A=Yes

18.6 Has information about your country’s wetlands and/or Ramsar Sites been transmitted to the Ramsar Secretariat for dissemination? {3.4.3} KRA 3.4.ii
☑ A=Yes

18.7 Have all transboundary wetland systems been identified? {3.5.1} KRA 3.5.i
☑ Z=Not Applicable

18.8 Is effective cooperative management in place for shared wetland systems (for example, in shared river basins and coastal zones)? {3.5.2} KRA 3.5.ii
☑ Y=Not Relevant

18.8 Additional information
If ‘Yes’ or ‘Partially’, please indicate for which wetland systems such management is in place
› Nooto - North Tarawa Ramsar Site: Community Based Mangrove Management Plan

18.9 Does your country participate in regional networks or initiatives for wetland-dependent migratory species? {3.5.3} KRA 3.5.iii
☑ B=No

Target 19
Capacity building for implementation of the Convention and the 4th Ramsar Strategic Plan 2016 – 2024 is enhanced.

19.1 Has an assessment of national and local training needs for the implementation of the Convention been made? {4.1.4} KRAs 4.1.iv & 4.1.viii
☑ C=Partially

19.1 Additional information
› Identified through the experiences and needs by the implementing body - Environment and Conservation Division, Ministry of Environment, Lands and Agricultural Development (MELAD)

19.2 Are wetland conservation and wise-use issues included in formal education programmes?
☑ C=Partially

19.2 Additional information
If you answer yes to the above please provide information on which mechanisms and materials
› The formal education program - curriculum does not reflect the wise use of wetlands directly but touches upon its roles and importance through the introduction of biodiversity.
19.3a How many opportunities for wetland site manager training have been provided since COP12?
{4.1.5} KRA 4.1.iv
a) at Ramsar Sites
☑ E=Exact number (opportunities)
> 0

19.3b How many opportunities for wetland site manager training have been provided since COP12?
{4.1.5} KRA 4.1.iv
b) at other wetlands
☑ E=Exact number (Opportunities)
> 0

19.3 Additional information
including whether the Ramsar Wise Use Handbooks were used in the training
> There was no funding available for training.

19.4 Have you (AA) used your previous Ramsar National Reports in monitoring implementation of the Convention? {4.3.1} KRA 4.3.ii
☑ D=Planned
Section 5: Optional annex to allow any Contracting Party that so wishes to provide additional information regarding any of all of its designated Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Sites)

Guidance for filling in this section

1. Contracting Parties can provide additional information specific to any or all of their designated Ramsar Sites, given that the situation and status of individual Ramsar Sites can differ greatly within the territory of a Contracting Party.
2. The only indicator questions included in this section are those from Section 3 of the COP13 NRF which directly concern Ramsar Sites.
3. In some cases, to make them meaningful in the context of reporting on each Ramsar Site separately, some of these indicator questions and/or their answer options have been adjusted from their formulation in Section 3 of the COP13 NRF.
4. Please include information on only one site in each row. In the appropriate columns please add the name and official site number (from the Ramsar Sites Information Service).
5. For each ‘indicator question’, please select one answer from the legend.
6. A final column of this Annex is provided as a ‘free text’ box for the inclusion of any additional information concerning the Ramsar Site.

A final column of this Annex is provided as a ‘free text’ box for the inclusion of any additional information concerning the Ramsar Site.

Kiribati

Nooto-North Tarawa (2143)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
☑ B=No

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.
☑ B=No

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
☑ B=No

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
☑ A=Yes

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
☑ C=Partially

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
☑ A=Yes

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
☑ A=Yes