Ramsar National Report to COP13

COP13 National Report

Background information

- 1. The COP13 National Report Format (NRF) has been approved by the Standing Committee 52 for the Ramsar Convention's Contracting Parties to complete as their national reporting to the 13th meeting of the Conference of the Contracting Parties of the Convention (United Arab Emirates, 2018).
- 2. The Standing Committee through Decision SC52-07 has also agreed that an online National Reporting format could be made available to Parties by keeping the off-line system and requested the Secretariat to present an evaluation for the next COP regarding the use of the on-line system.
- 3. The National Report Format is being issued by the Secretariat in 2016 to facilitate Contracting Parties' implementation planning and preparations for completing the Report. The deadline for submission of national targets is by 30 November 2016 and the deadline for submission of completed National Reports is January 21st 2018.
- 4. Following Standing Committee discussions, this COP13 NRF closely follows that of the NRF used for COP12, to permit continuity of reporting and analysis of implementation progress by ensuring that indicator questions are as far as possible consistent with previous NRFs (and especially the COP12 NRF). It is also structured in terms of the Goals and Strategies of the 2016-2024 Ramsar Strategic Plan adopted at COP12 as Resolution XII.2.
- 5. This COP13 NRF includes 92 indicator questions. In addition, Section 4 is provided as an optional Annex in order to facilitate the task of preparing the Party's National Targets and Actions for the implementation of each of the targets of the Strategic Plan 2016-2024 according to Resolution XII.2.
- 6. As was the case for previous NRF, the COP13 Format includes an optional section (Section 5) to permit a Contracting Party to provide additional information, on indicators relevant to each individual Wetland of International Importance (Ramsar Site) within its territory.
- 7. Note that, for the purposes of this national reporting to the Ramsar Convention, the scope of the term "wetland" is that of the Convention text, i.e. all inland wetlands (including lakes and rivers), all nearshore coastal wetlands (including tidal marshes, mangroves and coral reefs) and human-made wetlands (e.g. rice paddy and reservoirs), even if a national definition of "wetland" may differ from that adopted by the Contracting Parties to the Ramsar Convention.

The purposes and uses of national reporting to the Conference of the Contracting Parties

- 8. National Reports from Contracting Parties are official documents of the Convention and are made publicly available on the Convention's website.
- 9. There are seven main purposes for the Convention's National Reports. These are to:
- i) provide data and information on how, and to what extent, the Convention is being implemented
- ii) provide tools for countries for their national planning
- iii) capture lessons and experience to help Parties plan future action;
- iv) identify emerging issues and implementation challenges faced by Parties that may require further attention from the Conference of the Parties;
- v) provide a means for Parties to account for their commitments under the Convention;
- vi) provide each Party with a tool to help it assess and monitor its progress in implementing the Convention, and to plan its future priorities; and
- vii) provide an opportunity for Parties to draw attention to their achievements during the triennium.
- 10. The data and information provided by Parties in their National Reports have another valuable purpose as well, since a number of the indicators in the National Reports on Parties' implementation provide key sources of information for the analysis and assessment of the "ecological outcome-oriented indicators of

effectiveness of the implementation of the Convention".

- 11. To facilitate the analysis and subsequent use of the data and information provided by Contracting Parties in their National Reports, the Ramsar Secretariat holds in a database all the information it has received and verified. The COP13 reports will be in an online National Reporting system.
- 12. The Convention's National Reports are used in a number of ways. These include:
- i) providing an opportunity to compile and analyze information that contracting parties can use to inform their national planning and programming.
- ii) providing the basis for reporting by the Secretariat to each meeting of the Conference of the Parties on the global, national and regional implementation, and the progress in implementation, of the Convention. This is provided to Parties at the COP as a series of Information Papers, including:
 - * the Report of the Secretary General on the implementation of the Convention at the global level;
- * the Report of the Secretary General pursuant to Article 8.2 (b), (c), and (d) concerning the List of Wetlands of International Importance); and
- * the reports providing regional overviews of the implementation of the Convention and its Strategic Plan in each Ramsar region;
- iii) providing information on specific implementation issues in support of the provision of advice and decisions by Parties at the COP.
- iv) providing the source data for time-series assessments of progress on specific aspects in the implementation of the Convention included in other Convention products. An example is the summary of progress since COP3 (Regina, 1997) in the development of National Wetland Policies, included as Table 1 in Ramsar Wise Use Handbook 2 (4th edition, 2010); and
- v) providing information for reporting to the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) on the national implementation of the CBD/Ramsar Joint Work Plan and the Ramsar Convention's lead implementation role on wetlands for the CBD. In particular, the Ramsar Secretariat and STRP used the COP10 NRF indicators extensively in 2009 to prepare contributions to the in-depth review of the CBD programme of work on the biological diversity of inland water ecosystems for consideration by CBD SBSTTA14 and COP10 during 2010 (see UNEP/CBD/SBSTTA/14/3). Similar use of COP12 NRF indicators is anticipated for the CBD's next such in-depth review.

The structure of the COP13 National Report Format

Section 1 provides the institutional information about the Administrative Authority and National Focal Points for the national implementation of the Convention.

Section 2 is a 'free-text' section in which the Party is invited to provide a summary of various aspects of national implementation progress and recommendations for the future.

Section 3 provides the 92 implementation indicator questions, grouped under each Convention implementation Goals and Targets in the Strategic Plan 2016-2024, and with an optional 'free-text' section under each indicator question in which the Contracting Party may, if it wishes, add further information on national implementation of that activity.

Section 4 is an optional annex to allow any Contracting Party that has developed national targets to provide information on the targets and actions for the implementation of each of the targets of the Strategic Plan 2016-2024.

In line with Resolution XII.2, which encourages Contracting Parties "to develop and submit to the Secretariat on or before December 2016, and according to their national priorities, capabilities and resources, their own quantifiable and time-bound national and regional targets in line with the targets set in the Strategic Plan", all Parties are encouraged to consider using this comprehensive national planning tool as soon as possible, in order to identify the areas of highest priority for action and the relevant national targets and actions for each target.

The planning of national targets offers, for each of them, the possibility of indicating the national priority for that area of activity as well as the level of resourcing available, or that could be made available during the triennium, for its implementation. In addition, there are specific boxes to indicate the National Targets for implementation by 2018 and the planned national activities that are designed to deliver these targets. Ramsar Strategic Plan 2016-2024 shows the synergies between CBD Aichi Biodiversity Targets and Ramsar Targets. Therefore, the NRF provide an opportunity that Contracting Parties indicate as appropriate how the actions they undertake for the implementation of the Ramsar Convention contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets according to paragraph 51 of Resolution XII.3.

Section 5 is an optional annex to allow any Contracting Party that so wishes to provide additional information regarding any or all of its Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Sites).

General guidance for completing and submitting the COP13 National Report Format

All Sections of the COP13 NRF should be completed in one of the Convention's official languages (English, French, Spanish).

The deadline for submission of the completed NRF is January 21st **2018**. It will not be possible to include information from National Reports received after that date in the analysis and reporting on Convention implementation to COP13.

The deadline for submission of national targets is by 30 November 2016

To help Contracting Parties refer to relevant information they provided in their National Report to COP12, for each appropriate indicator a cross-reference is provided to the equivalent indicator(s) in the COP12 NRF or previous NRF, shown thus: $\{x.x.x\}$

For follow up and where appropriate, a cross-reference is also provided to the relevant Key Result Area (KRA) relating to Contracting Parties implementation in the Strategic Plan 2009-2015.

Only Strategic Plan 2016-2024 Targets for which there are implementation actions for Contracting Parties are included in this reporting format; those targets of the Strategic Plan that do not refer directly to Parties are omitted (e.g. targets 6 and 14).

For each indicator question you can choose only one answer. If you wish to provide further information or clarification, do so in the additional information box below the relevant indicator question. Please be as concise as possible (**maximum of 500 words** in each free-text box).

The NRF should ideally be completed by the principal compiler in consultation with relevant colleagues in their agency and others within the government and, as appropriate, with NGOs and other stakeholders who might have fuller knowledge of aspects of the Party's overall implementation of the Convention. The principal compiler can save the document at any point and return to it later to continue or to amend answers. Compilers should refer back to the National Report submitted for COP12 to ensure the continuity and consistency of information provided.

If you have any questions or problems, please contact the Ramsar Secretariat for advice (nationalreports@ramsar.org).

Section 1: Institutional Information

Important note: the responses below will be considered by the Ramsar Secretariat as the definitive list of your focal points, and will be used to update the information it holds. The Secretariat's current information about your focal points is available at http://www.ramsar.org/search-contact.

Name of Contracting Party

The completed National Report **must be accompanied by a letter** in the name of the Head of Administrative Authority, confirming that this is the Contracting Party's official submission of its COP13 National Report. It can be attached to this question using the "Manage documents" function (blue symbol below) > Georgia

You have attached the following documents to this answer.

official letter.pdf - Letter from the Ministry of Envrionmental Protection and Agriculture

Designated Ramsar Administrative Authority

Name of Administrative Authority

> Biodiversity and Forest Policy Department, The Ministry of Environmental Protection and Agriculture

Head of Administrative Authority - name and title

> Mr. Carl Amirgulashvili, Head of the Biodiversity and Forest Policy Department

Mailing address

> 6 Gulua str, 0114, Tbilisi, Georgia

Telephone/Fax

> +995322 72 72 90

Email

> k.amirgulashvili@moe.gov.ge

Designated National Focal Point for Ramsar Convention Matters

Name and title

Ms. Mariam Sulkhanishvili, Second Category Senior Specialist of Biodiversity Division

Mailing address

> 6 Gulua str, 0114, Tbilisi, Georgia

Telephone/Fax

> +995322727231

Email

> ma.sulkhanishvili@moe.gov.ge

Designated National Focal Point for Matters Relating to The Scientific and Technical Review Panel (STRP)

Name and title

> Mr. Gia Sopadze, Environmental Expert

Name of organisation

> Union for Sustanable Development ECOVISION, Ivane Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University

Mailing address

> 4, General Mazniashvili St. Tbilisi, Georgia

Telephone/Fax

> +995 322366014

Email

> info@ecovision.ge

Designated Government National Focal Point for Matters Relating to The Programme on Communication, Education, Participation and Awareness (CEPA)

Name and title

> N/A

Name of organisation

> N/A

Mailing address

> N/A

Telephone/Fax

> N/A

Email

> N/A

Designated Non-Government National Focal Point for Matters Relating to The Programme on Communication, Education, Participation and Awareness (CEPA)

Name and title

> Mr. Irakli Shavgulidze, Chair of Board

Name of organisation

> Nacres - Biodiversity Conservation and Research Centre

Mailing address

> 29 Besarion Jgenti St, Tbilis

Telephone/Fax

> +995322 53 71 25

Email

> irakli.shavgulidze@nacres.org

Section 2: General summary of national implementation progress and challenges

In your country, in the past triennium (i.e., since COP12 reporting)

A. What have been the five most successful aspects of implementation of the Convention?

1)

> Identification, Delineation and Classification of Wetlands of Georgia, carried out by Ilia State University with financial support of GIZ

2)

> Identification of Critical freshwater habitats, "Freshwater Ecosystems and Biodiversity, Critical Sites for Threatened Freshwater Biodiversity in the Southern Caucasus" WWF, 2015

3)

> Conduction of monitoring of inland water ecosystems within the framework of the national biodiversity monitoring system: Chemical monitoring of rivers are held every month, of lakes – twice a year. Bio monitoring is held twice a year accordingly with plan. (920 samples were taken in 2016)

4)

> Colchic forest and wetlands were selected for nomination as World Heritage Sites for UNESCO, at this moment necessary documentation for submission are beeing prepared.

5)

> Nomination of Chorokhi Delta as a candidate Emerald Site to the secretariat of Bern Convention

B. What have been the five greatest difficulties in implementing the Convention?

1)

> Lack of modern and effective tools for data collection

2)

> No national legislation on wetland conservation

3)

> Lack of communication between relevant stakeholders

4)

> Lack of education and public awareness about wetlands.

5)

> Lack of willingness from society to protect wetlands

C. What are the five priorities for future implementation of the Convention?

1)

> Collaboration of national legislation on wetlands, this issue will be reflected in the law on "Biodivrsity", which is beeing drafted at this time.

2)

> Elaborate and adopt national guidelines for the management of wetlands

3)

> Improvement of monitoring system of Ramsar sites

4)

> Creating additional Ramsar sites

5)

٠..

D. Do you (AA) have any recommendations concerning implementation assistance from the Ramsar Secretariat?

> Assistance in awareness raising in society, assistance in elaborating of Ramsar site monitoring system and

assistance in capacity building of staff members from administrations of Ramsar sites.

- E. Do you (AA) have any recommendations concerning implementation assistance from the Convention's International Organisation Partners (IOPs)? (including ongoing partnerships and partnerships to develop) > Assistance in awareness raising in society, assistance in elaborating of Ramsar site monitoring system and assistance in capacity building of staff members from administrations of Ramsar sites.
- F. How can national implementation of the Ramsar Convention be better linked with implementation of other multilateral environmental agreements (MEAs), especially those in the 'biodiversity cluster' (Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD), Convention on Migratory Species (CMS), Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species (CITES), World Heritage Convention (WHC), and United Nations Convention to Combat Desertification (UNCCD) and the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC)?
- > Harmonization of planning and reporting processes for the multilateral environmental agreements; Implementation of Ramsar Convention is considered as a contribution to CBD
- G. How can implementation of the Ramsar Convention be better linked with the implementation of water policy/strategy and other strategies in the country (e.g., on sustainable development, energy, extractive industries, poverty reduction, sanitation, food security, biodiversity)?
- > Take wetland's issue into account while collaborating strategic documents and establishment of the inter sectoral committees
- H. Do you (AA) have any other general comments on the implementation of the Convention? > No comments
- I. Please list the names of the organisations which have been consulted on or have contributed to the information provided in this report
- Ministry of Environmental Protection and Agriculture
 Agency of Protected Areas
 Deutsche Gesellschaft für Internationale Zusammenarbeit Georgia (GIZ)
 WWF Caucasus
 United Water Supply Company of Georgia

Section 3: Indicator questions and further implementation information

Goal 1. Addressing the drivers of wetland loss and degradation

Target 1

Wetland benefits are featured in national/ local policy strategies and plans relating to key sectors such as water, energy, mining, agriculture, tourism, urban development, infrastructure, industry, forestry, aquaculture, fisheries at the national and local level.

1.1 Have wetland issues/benefits been incorporated into other national strategies and planning processes, including: {1.3.2} {1.3.3} KRA 1.3.i

Please select only one per square.

| a) National Policy or strategy for wetland management | ☐ A=Yes ☐ B=No ☐ C=Partially ☐ D=Planned ☐ X=Unknown ☑ Y=Not Relevant |
|---|--|
| b) Poverty eradication strategies | ☐ A=Yes ☐ B=No ☐ C=Partially ☐ D=Planned ☐ X=Unknown ☑ Y=Not Relevant |
| c) Water resource management and water efficiency plans | □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant |
| d) Coastal and marine resource management plans | □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned □ X=Unknown ☑ Y=Not Relevant |
| e) Integrated Coastal Zone Management Plan | □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned □ X=Unknown ☑ Y=Not Relevant |
| f) National forest programmes | □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant |
| g) National policies or measures on agriculture | □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant |
| h) National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plans drawn up under the CBD | ☐ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant |
| i) National policies on energy and mining | □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant |

| j) National policies on tourism | □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant | |
|---|--|--------------------------------|
| k) National policies on urban development | □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant | |
| I) National policies on infrastructure | □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant | |
| m) National policies on industry | □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant | |
| n) National policies on aquaculture and fisheries {1.3.3} KRA 1.3.i | □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant | |
| o) National plans of actions (NPAs) for pollution control and management | □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant | |
| p) National policies on wastewater management and water quality | □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant | |
| 1.1 Additional inform | nation | |
| | wetland ecosystem needs for them to fulfil their functior ter alia at the basin level or along a coastal zone | ns and provide services at the |
| the implementation | v and quality of water available to, and required by, wetlof the Guidelines for the allocation and management of of wetlands (Resolution VIII.1, VIII.2) ? 1.24. | |
| 2.1 Additional Inform | nation | |
| | nts of environmental flow been undertaken in relation to of wetlands (Action r3.4.iv) | mitigation of impacts on the |

| 2.2 Additional Information |
|--|
| > Methodology for assessment of environmental flow in rivers and streams have been drafted within the project ``Integrated Natural Resources Management in Watersheds of Georgia`` (INRMW), funded by USAID. At present, refining of environmental flow methodology is ongoing with support of USAID project "Government for Growth" (G4G). |
| 2.3 Have Ramsar Sites improved the sustainability of water use in the context of ecosystem requirements? |
| Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned □ O=No Change □ X=Unknown |
| 2.3 Additional Information > |
| 2.4 Have the Guidelines for allocation and management of water for maintaining ecological functions of wetlands (Resolutions VIII.1 and XII.12) been used/applied in decision-making processes. (Action 3.4.6.) |
| Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned |
| 2.4 Additional Information > At present, a new law ``On Water Resources Management`` is drafted. The purpose of drafting the new law is to harmonize Georgian legislation to the EU Water Framework Directive (Directive 2000/60/EC). The main novelty introduced by the draft law is the establishment of river basin management systems and decentralization of governance in the water sector. |
| 2.5 Have projects that promote and demonstrate good practice in water allocation and management for maintaining the ecological functions of wetlands been developed (Action r3.4.ix.) |
| Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned |
| 2.5 Additional Information |
| 2.6 How many household/municipalities are linked to sewage system? SDG Target 6.3.1. Please select only one option □ E=Exact number (households/municipalities) |
| > □ F=Less than (households/municipalities) |
| > ☐ G=More than (households/municipalities) |
| > |

2.6 Additional Information

☐ Y=Not Relevant

> According to different data and reports, sewage systems are in 41 cities and regions, 30 of them existed in past. Most of the wastewater treatment plants aren't functioning at this moment and polluted sewage waters are primary flowing into surface waters.

Information about the quantity of households linked to sewage system isn't available for the country.

| 2.7 What is the percentage of sewerage coverage in the country? SDG Target 6.3.1. Please select only one option ☑ E=Exact number (percentage) |
|---|
| > In big cities (with population more than 140 000) sewage system covers about 93.2%, in black sea coastal touristic cities - 32.3% and in other settling - 28.7% \Box F=Less than (percentage) |
| → □ G=More than (percentage) |
| > □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant |
| 2.7 Additional Information The length of sewage system is about 4000 km. the technical and sanitary condition of the system is inappropriate, which can cause water infections and illnesses. In big cities (with population more than 140 000) sewage system covers about 93.2%, in black sea coastal touristic cities - 32.3% and in other settling - 28.7%. |
| 2.8 What is the percentage of users of septic tank/pit latrine? SDG Target 6.3.1. Please select only one option □ E=Exact number (percentage) |
| > □ F=Less than (percentage) |
| G=More than (percentage) |
| > ☑ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant |
| 2.8 Additional Information |
| 2.9 Does the country use constructed wetlands/ponds as wastewater treatment technology? SDG Target 6.3.1. **Please select only one option** A=Yes |
| 2.9 Additional Information |
| 2.10 How do the country use constructed wetlands/ponds as wastewater treatment technology perform? SDG Target 6.3.1. Please select only one option □ A=Good □ B=Not Functioning □ C=Functioning □ Q=Obsolete □ X=Unknown ☑ Y=Not Relevant |
| 2.10 Additional Information |
| 2.11 How many centralised wastewater treatment plants exist at national level? SDG Target 6.3.1. |
| Please select only one option ☑ E=Exact number (plants) |

| → 3 □ F=Less than (plants) |
|---|
| → □ G=More than (plants) |
| > □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant |
| 2.11 Additional Information According to different data from Asian Development Bank (ADB) wastewater treatment plants existed in about 30 cities in Georgia. Most of this wastewater treatment plants aren't functioning at this moment. Asian Development Bank (ADB) has planned to build wastewater treatment plants in following settlings: Anaklia (100% of work is finished); Ureki (98% of works is finished); Poti (ongoing); Zugdidi (ongoing); Mestia (planned); Marneuli (planned), Chiatura (planned). European Investment Bank (EIB) has planned to build wastewater treatment plant in Kutaisi. With financial support of World Bank in close future building of wastewater treatment plant will start in Telavi. At this moment only 3 wastewater treatment plants are functioning: Gardabani, Sachkhere and Batumi. |
| 2.12 How is the functional status of the wastewater treatment plants? SDG Target 6.3.1. |
| Please select only one option □ A=Good □ B=Not functioning □ C=Functioning □ Q=Obsolete □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant |
| 2.12 Additional Information At this moment only 3 wastewater treatment plants are functioning: Gardabani, Sachkhere and Batumi. |
| 2.13 The percentage of decentralized wastewater treatment technology, including constructed wetlands/ponds is? SDG Target 6.3.1. Please select only one option □ A=Good □ B=Not Functioning □ C=Functioning □ Q=Obsolete □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant |
| 2.13 Additional Information |
| 2.14 Is there a wastewater reuse system? SDG Target 6.3.1. Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant |
| 2.14 Additional Information > wastewater reuse meaning and terms aren't defined in Georgia's legislation. |
| 2.15 What Is the purpose of the wastewater reuse system? SDG Target 6.3.1. Please select only one option R=Agriculture S=Landscape T=Industrial U=Drinking X=Unknown Y=Not Relevant |

| 2 | 15 | Addition | nnal | Inforn | nation |
|----|----|----------|-------|--------|---------|
| ∠. | | Augun | וחותו | | ומווטוו |

Please indicate if the wastewater reuse system is for free or taxed or add any additional information.

| Ta | rg | et | 3 |
|----|----|----|---|
| | | | |

Public and private sectors have increased their efforts to apply guidelines and good practices for the wise

| use of water and we | etlands. {1.10} |
|---|---|
| | ctor encouraged to apply the Ramsar wise use principle and guidance (Ramsar vise use of wetlands) in its activities and investments concerning wetlands? {1.10.1} |
| 3.1 Additional Inform | nation |
| 3.2 Has the private of {1.10.2} KRA 1.1 Please select only one pe | |
| | |
| a) Ramsar Sites | ☐ A=Yes ☐ B=No ☐ C=Partially ☐ D=Planned ☐ X=Unknown ☐ Y=Not Relevant |
| b) Wetlands in general | □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant |
| with Black sea Termina Development Fund, fro | nation of Environment and Natural Resources Protection of Georgia has signed the agreement al, according to which the Black Sea Terminal created the Kolkheti Protected Areas om which infrastructure rehabilitation, monitoring and scientific studies are funded for nds of Central Kolkheti. |
| | en taken to implement incentive measures which encourage the conservation and s? {1.11.1} KRA 1.11.i |
| 3.3 Additional inform | nation |
| | en taken to remove perverse incentive measures which discourage conservation and s? {1.11.2} KRA 1.11.i otion |
| 3.4 Additional Inforr | nation |

Target 4

Invasive alien species and pathways of introduction and expansion are identified and prioritized, priority invasive alien species are controlled or eradicated, and management responses are prepared and implemented to prevent their introduction and establishment.

| 4.1 Does your country have a comprehensive national inventory of invasive alien species that currently or potentially impact the ecological character of wetlands? {1.9.1} KRA 1.9.i Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned |
|--|
| 4.1 Additional information |
| 4.2 Have national policies or guidelines on invasive species control and management been established or reviewed for wetlands? {1.9.2} KRA 1.9.iii Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned |
| 4.2 Additional information |
| 4.3 How many invasive species are being controlled through management actions. Please select only one option □ E=Exact number (species) |
| > □ F=Less than (species) |
| > □ G=More than (species) |
| > □ C=Partially □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant |
| 4.3 Additional information |
| If 'Yes', please indicate the year of assessment and the source of the information > |
| 4.4 Have the effectiveness of wetland invasive alien species control programmes been assessed? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant |
| 4.4 Additional information > |

Goal 2. Effectively conserving and managing the Ramsar Site network

Target 5

The ecological character of Ramsar Sites is maintained or restored through effective, planning and integrated management {2.1.}

5.1 Have a national strategy and priorities been established for the further designation of Ramsar Sites, using the Strategic Framework for the Ramsar List? {2.1.1} KRA 2.1.i

Please select only one option

| □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned |
|--|
| 5.1 Additional information > The strategy of development of protected areas is being prepared at this moment, in the mentioned strategy Ramsar Sites for further designation will be identified. |
| 5.2 Are the Ramsar Sites Information Service and its tools being used in national identification of further Ramsar Sites to designate? {2.2.1} KRA 2.2.ii Please select only one option ☐ A=Yes ☐ B=No ☐ D=Planned |
| 5.2 Additional information |
| 5.3 How many Ramsar Sites have an effective, implemented management plan? {2.4.1} KRA 2.4.i Please select only one option ☑ E=Exact number (sites) |
| > 0 □ F=Less than (sites) |
| G=More than (sites) X=Unknown Y=Not Relevant |
| 5.4 For how many of the Ramsar Sites with a management plan is the plan being implemented? {2.4.2} KRA 2.4.i Please select only one option ☑ E=Exact number (sites) |
| > 0 □ F=Less than (sites) |
| G=More than (sites) |
| > □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant |
| 5.5 For how many Ramsar Sites is effective management planning currently being implemented (outside of formal management plans ? {2.4.3} KRA 2.4.i Please select only one option ☐ E=Exact number (sites) |
| > 0 □ F=Less than (sites) |
| ¬¬¬¬¬¬¬¬¬¬¬¬¬¬¬¬¬¬¬¬¬¬¬¬¬¬¬¬¬¬¬¬¬¬¬¬¬ |
| > □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant |
| 5.3 – 5.5 Additional information > Both Ramsar Sites (Ispani Mire and Wetlands of Central Kolkheti) had effective management plan and were implemented. At this stage new management plans are being prepared. |
| 5.6 Have all Ramsar sites been assessed regarding the effectiveness of their management (through formal management plans where they exist or otherwise through existing actions for appropriate wetland management ? {1.6.2} KRA 1.6.ii Please select only one option \Box A=Yes |

| ☑ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned |
|---|
| 5.6 Additional information |
| 5.7 How many Ramsar Sites have a cross-sectoral management committee? {2.4.4} {2.4.6} KRA 2.4.iv Please select only one option ☑ E=Exact number (sites) |
| > 0 □ F=Less than (sites) |
| G=More than (sites) |
| > □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant |
| 5.7 Additional information |
| If at least 1 site, please give the name and official number of the site or sites |
| 5.8 For how many Ramsar Sites has an ecological character description been prepared (see Resolution X.15)? {2.4.5} {2.4.7} KRA 2.4.v Please select only one option ☑ E=Exact number (sites) |
| > 2 □ F=Less than (sites) |
| G=More than (sites) |
| > □ C=Partially □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant |
| 5.8 Additional information |
| If at least 1 site, please give the name and official number of the site or sites > Wetlands of Central Kolkheti 893 and Ispani Mire 894. |
| 5.9 Have any assessments of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management been made? {2.5.1} KRA 2.5.i Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Some Sites |
| 5.9 Additional information |
| If 'Yes' or 'Some sites', please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15, and the source of the information > |
| Target 7 Sites that are at risk of change of ecological character have threats addressed {2.6.}. |
| 7.1 Are mechanisms in place for the Administrative Authority to be informed of negative human-induced changes or likely changes in the ecological character of Ramsar Sites, pursuant to Article 3.2? {2.6.1} KRA 2.6.i Please select only one option A=Yes B=No |
| □ C=Some Sites □ D=Planned |

| 7.1 | Additional information |
|---------------------------|--|
| > If agr | res' or 'Some sites', please summarise the mechanism or mechanisms established any project is planned which will have possible impact on Ramsar sites, the realization of this project is reed with the Ministry of Environment protection and Agriculture. Administrations of Ramsar sites are nitoring the sites and if any change occur the administration informs the Ministry about it. |
| Rai Plea □ A □ B | Have all cases of negative human-induced change or likely change in the ecological character of msar Sites been reported to the Ramsar Secretariat, pursuant to Article 3.2? {2.6.2} KRA 2.6.i ase select only one option A=Yes B=No C=Some Cases D=No Negative Change |
| 7.2 | Additional information |
| rep | res' or 'Some cases', please indicate for which Ramsar Sites the Administrative Authority has made Article 3.2 orts to the Secretariat, and for which sites such reports of change or likely change have not yet been made o changes during the reporting period |
| the Plea | If applicable, have actions been taken to address the issues for which Ramsar Sites have been listed on the Montreux Record, including requesting a Ramsar Advisory Mission? {2.6.3} KRA 2.6.ii A=Yes B=No Z=Not Applicable |
| 7.3 | Additional information |
| If '\ > | es', please indicate the actions taken |
| Go | oal 3. Wisely Using All Wetlands |
| Na | rget 8 tional wetland inventories have been either initiated, completed or updated and disseminated and used promoting the conservation and effective management of all wetlands {1.1.1} KRA 1.1.i |
| Plea ☑ A □ E | Does your country have a complete National Wetland Inventory? {1.1.1} KRA 1.1.i A=Yes B=No C=In Progress D=Planned |
| > Id | . Additional information entification, Delineation and Classification of Wetlands of Georgia, carried out by Ilia State University with ancial support of GIZ |
| Plea □ A □ C □ C □ C | Has your country updated a National Wetland Inventory in the last decade? A=Yes B=No C=In Progress C1=Partially D=Planned K=Unknown Y=Not Relevant |
| | Additional information rst national inventory was completed in 2017 |
| Plea | Is wetland inventory data and information maintained? $\{1.1.2\}$ KRA $1.1.ii$ ase select only one option A=Yes |

□ B=No□ C=Partially

| □ D=Planned | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| 8.3 Additional information The information is maintained by Biodiversity and Forest Policy Department of the Ministry of Environment Protection and Agriculture. | | | | | |
| 8.4 Is wetland inventory data and information made accessible to all stakeholders? {1.1.2} KRA 1.1.ii Please select only one option ☐ A=Yes ☐ B=No ☐ C=Partially ☐ D=Planned | | | | | |
| | 8.4 Additional information > Yes, anyone who requests the information by letter from the Ministry receives it. | | | | |
| 8.5 Has the condition | on* of wetlands in your country, overall, changed during the last triennium? {1.1.3} | | | | |
| a difference between principal driver(s) of | ands to ecological character, as defined by the Convention | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| a) Ramsar Sites | □ N=Status Deteriorated □ O=No Change □ P=Status Improved | | | | |
| b) Wetlands generally | □ N=Status Deteriorated □ O=No Change □ P=Status Improved | | | | |
| 8.5 Additional infor | mation on a) and/or b) | | | | |
| 8.6 Based upon the National Wetland Inventory if available please provide a baseline figure in square kilometres for the extent of wetlands (according to the Ramsar definition) for the year 2017. SDG Target 6.6 Please select only one option E=Exact Number (km2) | | | | | |
| > 515 km2 ☐ F=Less than (km2) | | | | | |
| › □ G=More than (km2 |) | | | | |
| > A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant | | | | | |
| 8.6 Additional infor | mation | | | | |
| If the information is a | vailable please indicate the % of change in the extent of wetlands over the last three years. | | | | |
| | tlands is strengthened through integrated resource management at the appropriate | | | | |

scale, inter alia, within a river basin or along a coastal zone {1.3.}.

9.1 Is a Wetland Policy (or equivalent instrument) that promotes the wise use of wetlands in place? {1.3.1} KRA 1.3.i

If 'Yes', please give the title and date of the policy in the green text box Please select only one option

| ☑ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=In Preparation □ D=Planned |
|---|
| 9.1 Additional information > It is represented in National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan of Georgia. |
| 9.2 Have any amendments to existing legislation been made to reflect Ramsar commitments? {1.3.5}{1.3.6} Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=In Progress □ D=Planned |
| 9.2 Additional information At this stage new law on "Biodiversity" is being drafted, in which Ramsar commitments will be reflected |
| 9.3 Do your country's water governance and management systems treat wetlands as natural water infrastructure integral to water resource management at the scale of river basins? {1.7.1} {1.7.2} KRA 1.7.ii Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned |
| 9.3 Additional information At present, a new law ``On Water Resources Management`` is drafted. The purpose of drafting the new law is to harmonize Georgian legislation to the EU Water Framework Directive (Directive 2000/60/EC). The main novelty introduced by the draft law is the establishment of river basin management systems and decentralization of governance in the water sector |
| 9.4 Have Communication, Education, Participation and Awareness (CEPA) expertise and tools been incorporated into catchment/river basin planning and management (see Resolution X.19)? {1.7.2}{1.7.3} Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned |
| 9.4 Additional information |
| 9.5 Has your country established policies or guidelines for enhancing the role of wetlands in mitigating or adapting to climate change? {1.7.3} {1.7.5} KRA 1.7.iii Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned |
| 9.5 Additional information |
| 9.6 Has your country formulated plans or projects to sustain and enhance the role of wetlands in supporting and maintaining viable farming systems? {1.7.4} {1.7.6} KRA 1.7.v Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned |
| 9.6 Additional information |

9.7 Has research to inform wetland policies and plans been undertaken in your country on:

{1.6.1} KRA 1.6.i

Please select only one per square.

| a) agriculture-wetland interactions | □ A=Yes ☑ B=No □ D=Planned |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| b) climate change | □ A=Yes ☑ B=No □ D=Planned |
| c) valuation of ecoystem services | ☐ A=Yes ☑ B=No ☐ D=Planned |

9.7 Additional information

>

| 9.8 Has your country subm | nitted a request fo | or Wetland City | Accreditation | of the Ramsar | Convention, |
|---------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|-------------|
| Resolution XII.10 ? | • | | | | |

Please select only one option

□ A=Yes

☑ B=No

☐ C=Partially

☐ D=Planned

9.8 Additional information

If 'Yes', please indicate How many request have been submitted

Target 10

The traditional knowledge innovations and practices of indigenous peoples and local communities relevant for the wise use of wetlands and their customary use of wetland resources, are documented, respected, subject to national legislation and relevant international obligations and fully integrated and reflected in the implementation of the Convention with a full and effective participation of indigenous and local communities at all relevant levels.

10.1 Have the guiding principles for taking into account the cultural values of wetlands including traditional knowledge for the effective management of sites (Resolution VIII.19) been used or applied?.(Action 6.1.2/6.1.6)

Please select only one option

□ A=Yes

□ B=No

☐ C=In Preparation

☐ C1=Partially

☑ D=Planned

☐ X=Unknown

☐ Y=Not Relevant

10.1 Additional information

> Draft of management plans for Kolkheti National Park and Kobuleti Protected Areas considers cultural values of wetlands and traditional knowledge of local communities

10.2 Have case studies, participation in projects or successful experiences on cultural aspects of wetlands been compiled. Resolution VIII.19 and Resolution IX.21? (Action 6.1.6)

Please select only one option

☑ A=Yes

□ B=No

☐ C=In Preparation

☐ D=Planned

10.2 Additional information

If yes please indicate the case studies or projects documenting information and experiences concerning culture and wetlands

> In Management Plan of Kolheti Protected Areas (Ispani Mire and Wetlands of Central Kolheti)

| 10.3 Have the guidelines for establishing and strengthening local communities' and indigenous people's participation in the management of wetlands been used or applied. (Resolution VII. 8) (Action 6.1.5) Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=In Preparation □ D=Planned |
|---|
| 10.3 Additional information |
| If the answer is "yes" please indicate the use or aplication of the guidelines There are no indigenous people, accordingly, management plans for Kolkheti and Kobuleti protected areas have been drafted in close consultation with local communities. |
| 10.4 Traditional knowledge and management practices relevant for the wise use of wetlands have been documented and their application encouraged (Action 6.1.2) Please select only one option ☐ A=Yes ☐ B=No ☐ C=In Preparation ☐ D=Planned |
| 10.4 Additional information In Kolkheti National Park traditional use zone is assigned, in this kind of places pasturing, mowing fishing and Manufacture of wood timber for local communities is allowed, also scientific research, educational activities, Eco-tourism is allowed. |
| Target 11 Wetland functions, services and benefits are widely demonstrated, documented and disseminated. {1.4.} |
| 11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by Ramsar Sites and other wetlands? {1.4.1} KRA 1.4.ii Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=In Preparation □ C1=Partially □ D=Planned □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant |
| 11.1 Additional information |
| If 'Yes' or 'Partially', please indicate, how many Ramsar Sites and their names > |
| 11.2 Have wetland programmes or projects that contribute to poverty alleviation objectives or food and water security plans been implemented? {1.4.2} KRA 1.4.i Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant |
| 11.2 Additional information |
| 11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for Ramsar Sites and other wetlands? {1.4.3}{1.4.4} KRA 1.4.iii Please select only one option ☐ A=Yes ☐ B=No ☐ C=Partially ☐ D=Planned |

Page 21 of 50

11.3 Additional information If 'Yes' or 'Partially', please indicate, if known, how many Ramsar Sites and their names > Wetlands of central Kolkheti and Ispani Mire. The management plans at this stage are being prepared. 11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for Ramsar Sites and other wetlands? {1.4.3}{1.4.4} KRA 1.4.iii Please select only one option ☑ A=Yes \square B=No ☐ C=Partially ☐ D=Planned 11.4 Additional information If 'Yes' or 'Partially', please indicate, if known, how many Ramsar Sites and their names > 2 Ramsar sites: Wetlands of central Kolkheti and Ispani Mire. The management plan at this stage are being prepared. Target 12 Restoration is in progress in degraded wetlands, with priority to wetlands that are relevant for biodiversity conservation, disaster risk reduction, livelihoods and/or climate change mitigation and adaptation. {1.8.} 12.1 Have priority sites for wetland restoration been identified? {1.8.1} KRA 1.8.i Please select only one option ☑ A=Yes \square B=No ☐ C=Partially □ D=Planned ☐ X=Unknown ☐ Y=Not Relevant 12.1 Additional information > There is a recommendation in draft management plan for Kolkheti Protected Areas for restoration of some areas in Ispani mire. 12.2 Have wetland restoration/rehabilitation programmes, plans or projects been effectively implemented? {1.8.2} KRA 1.8.i Please select only one option □ A=Yes \square B=No ☐ C=Partially ☐ D=Planned ☐ X=Unknown ☑ Y=Not Relevant 12.2 Additional information If 'Yes' or 'Partially', please indicate, if available the extent of wetlands restored Target 13 Enhanced sustainability of key sectors such as water, energy, mining, agriculture, tourism, urban development, infrastructure, industry, forestry, aquaculture and fisheries when they affect wetlands, contributing to biodiversity conservation and human livelihoods 13.1 Have actions been taken to enhance sustainability of key sectors such as water, energy, mining, agriculture, tourism, urban development, infrastructure, industry, forestry, aquaculture and fisheries when they affect wetlands? Please select only one option □ A=Yes

13.1. Additional information

□ B=No☑ D=Planned

If 'Yes', please indicate the actions taken

> Environmental Assessment Code has entered into force from 2018, according to which preparation of

Strategic Environmental assessment for different sectors for their development plans is required, this is the instrument for sustainable development of these sectors which will reduce negative impact on wetlands. Strategic Environmental assessment will be required from July 2018. 13.2 Are Strategic Environmental Assessment practices applied when reviewing policies, programmes and plans that may impact upon wetlands? {1.3.3} {1.3.4} KRA 1.3.ii Please select only one option □ A=Yes \square B=No ☐ C=Partially ☑ D=Planned 13.2 Additional information > Environmental Assessment Code has entered into force from 2018, according to which preparation of Strategic Environmental assessment for different sectors for their development plans is required, this is the instrument for sustainable development of these sectors which will reduce negative impact on wetlands. Strategic Environmental assessment will be required from July 2018. 13.3 Are Environmental Impact Assessments made for any development projects (such as new buildings, new roads, extractive industry) from key sectors such as water, energy, mining, agriculture, tourism, urban development, infrastructure, industry, forestry, aquaculture and fisheries that may affect wetlands? {1.3.4} {1.3.5} KRA 1.3.iii Please select only one option ☑ A=Yes \square B=No ☐ C=Some Cases 13.3 Additional information Goal 4. Enhancing implementation Target 15 Ramsar Regional Initiatives with the active involvement and support of the Parties in each region are reinforced and developed into effective tools to assist in the full implementation of the Convention. {3.2.} 15.1 Have you (AA) been involved in the development and implementation of a Regional Initiative under the framework of the Convention? {3.2.1} KRA 3.2.i Please select only one option □ A=Yes ☑ B=No □ D=Planned 15.1 Additional information If 'Yes' or 'Planned', please indicate the regional initiative(s) and the collaborating countries of each initiative 15.2 Has your country supported or participated in the development of other regional (i.e., covering more than one country) wetland training and research centres? {3.2.2} Please select only one option □ A=Yes ☑ B=No ☐ D=Planned 15.2 Additional information If 'Yes', please indicate the name(s) of the centre(s)

Target 16

Wetlands conservation and wise use are mainstreamed through communication, capacity development, education, participation and awareness {4.1}

16.1 Has an action plan (or plans) for wetland CEPA been established? {4.1.1} KRA 4.1.i

Even if no CEPA plans have been developed, if broad CEPA objectives for CEPA actions have been established, please

indicate this in the Additional information section below *Please select only one per square.*

| a) At the national level | ☐ A=Yes ☑ B=No ☐ C=In Progress ☐ D=Planned | |
|---|--|--|
| b) Sub national level | □ A=Yes ☑ B=No □ C=In Progress □ D=Planned | |
| c) Catchement/basin level | □ A=Yes ☑ B=No □ C=In Progress □ D=Planned | |
| d) Local/site level | □ A=Yes ☑ B=No □ C=In Progress □ D=Planned | |
| 16.1 Additional infor | rmation | |
| | to one or more of the four questions al fy if it has involved CEPA NFPs | pove, for each please describe the mechanism, who is |
| 16.2a How many ce established? {4.1.2] a) at Ramsar Sites Please select only one op ☑ E=Exact Number (c | KRA 4.1.ii | centres, education centres) have been |
| > 2 □ F=Less than (centre | es) | |
| → □ G=More than (centr | res) | |
| > □ C=Partially □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant | | |
| 16.2b How many ce established? {4.1.2] b) at other wetlands Please select only one op ☑ E=Exact Number (c → 1 | KRA 4.1.ii | centres, education centres) have been |

16.2 Additional information

 \square F=Less than (centres)

 \square G=More than (centres)

□ C=Partially□ X=Unknown□ Y=Not Relevant

If centres are part of national or international networks, please describe the networks > The visitor centers have been established on Ispani Mire and Wetlands of Central Kolkheti and also in Javakheti.

16.3 Does the Contracting Party {4.1.3} KRA 4.1.iii

Please select only one per square.

| | | 1 |
|--|--|---|
| a) promote stakeholder participation in decision- making on wetland planning and management | ☑ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned | |
| b) specifically involve local stakeholders in the selection of new Ramsar Sites and in Ramsar Site management? | ☑ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned | |
| 16.3 Additional info | rmation | |
| | ease provide information about the vions there are public discussions held | vays in which stakeholders are involved I. |
| 16.4 Do you have an Please select only one on □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant | • | onal Ramsar/Wetlands Committee? {4.1.6} KRA 4.3.v |
| 16.4 Additional info | rmation | |
| If 'Yes', indicate a) its has | membership; b) number of meetings | since COP12; and c) what responsibilities the Committee |
| 16.5 Do you have an Committee? {4.1.6} Please select only one on □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant | KRA 4.3.v | equivalent to a National Ramsar/Wetlands |
| 16.5 Additional info | rmation | |
| If 'Yes', indicate a) its has | membership; b) number of meetings | since COP12; and c) what responsibilities the Committee |
| | delines and other information bet 4.1.vi: | om a national committee) in place to share Ramsar ween the Administrative Authority and a), b) or c) |
| | | |
| a) Ramsar Site managers | ☑ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned | |
| b) other MEA national focal points | ☑ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned | |
| c) other ministries, departments and agencies | ☑ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned | |

16.6 Additional information

Page 25 of 50

| ietters. |
|---|
| 16.7 Have Ramsar-branded World Wetlands Day activities (whether on 2 February or at another time of year), either government and NGO-led or both, been carried out in the country since COP12? {4.1.8} Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No |
| 16.7 Additional information |
| 16.8 Have campaigns, programmes, and projects (other than for World Wetlands Day-related activities) been carried out since COP12 to raise awareness of the importance of wetlands to people and wildlife and the ecosystem benefits/services provided by wetlands? {4.1.9} Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned |
| 16.8 Additional information |
| If these and other CEPA activities have been undertaken by other organizations, please indicate this > Every year administration of Kolkheti protected areas organizes conference about wetlands. The trips for students, bird watching for students, informative activities regarding methods of data collection are held every year. |
| Target 17 Financial and other resources for effectively implementing the fourth Ramsar Strategic Plan 2016 – 2024 from all sources are made available. {4.2.} |
| 17.1a Have Ramsar contributions been paid in full for 2015, 2016 and 2017? {4.2.1} KRA 4.2.i Please select only one option ☐ A=Yes ☐ B=No ☐ Z=Not Applicable |
| 17.1b If 'No' in 17.1 a), please clarify what plan is in place to ensure future prompt payment |
| 17.2 Has any additional financial support been provided through voluntary contributions to non-core funded Convention activities? {4.2.2} KRA 4.2.i Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No |
| 17.2 Additional information |
| If 'Yes' please state the amounts, and for which activities > |
| 17.3 [For Contracting Parties with a development assistance agency only ('donor countries')]: Has the agency provided funding to support wetland conservation and management in other countries? {3.3.1} KRA 3.3.i Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ Z=Not Applicable |
| 17.3 Additional information |
| If 'Yes', please indicate the countries supported since COP12 > |

17.4 [For Contracting Parties with a development assistance agency only ('donor countries')]: Have environmental safeguards and assessments been included in development proposals proposed by the

If 'Yes' or 'Partially', please describe what mechanisms are in place

> If necessary, Administrative Authority and other relevant organizations exchange information by official

| agency? {3.3.2} KRA 3.3.ii Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ X=Unknown □ Y=Not Relevant □ Z=Not Applicable |
|---|
| 17.4 Additional information |
| 17.5 [For Contracting Parties that have received development assistance only ('recipient countries')]: Has funding support been received from development assistance agencies specifically for in-country wetland conservation and management? {3.3.3} Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ Z=Not Applicable |
| 17.5 Additional information |
| If 'Yes', please indicate from which countries/agencies since COP12 > |
| 17.6 Has any financial support been provided by your country to the implementation of the Strategic Plan Please select only one option □ A=Yes ☑ B=No □ Z=Not Applicable |
| 17.6 Additional information |
| If "Yes" please state the amounts, and for which activities > |
| Target 18 International cooperation is strengthened at all levels {3.1} |
| 18.1 Are the national focal points of other MEAs invited to participate in the National Ramsar/Wetland Committee? {3.1.1} {3.1.2} KRAs 3.1.i & 3.1.iv Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned |
| 18.1 Additional information |
| 18.2 Are mechanisms in place at the national level for collaboration between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the focal points of UN and other global and regional bodies and agencies (e.g. UNEP, UNDP, WHO, FAO, UNECE, ITTO)? {3.1.2} {3.1.3} KRA 3.1.iv Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned |
| 18.2 Additional information |
| |

18.3 Has your country received assistance from one or more UN and other global and regional bodies and agencies (e.g. UNEP, UNDP, WHO, FAO, UNECE, ITTO) or the Convention's IOPs in its implementation of the Convention? {4.4.1} KRA 4.4.ii.

The IOPs are: BirdLife International, the International Water Management Institute (IWMI), IUCN (International Union for

| Conservation of Nature), Wetlands International, WWF and Wildfowl & Wetland Trust (WWT). Please select only one option A=Yes B=No C=Partially D=Planned X=Unknown Y=Not Relevant |
|---|
| 18.3 Additional information |
| If 'Yes' please name the agency (es) or IOP (s) and the type of assistance received > wetland inventory was completed with financial support from GIZ |
| 18.4 Have networks, including twinning arrangements, been established, nationally or internationally, for knowledge sharing and training for wetlands that share common features? {3.4.1} Please select only one option □ A=Yes ☑ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned |
| 18.4 Additional information |
| If 'Yes' or 'Partially', please indicate the networks and wetlands involved > |
| 18.5 Has information about your country's wetlands and/or Ramsar Sites and their status been made public (e.g., through publications or a website)? {3.4.2} KRA 3.4.iv Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned |
| 18.5 Additional information |
| 18.6 Has information about your country's wetlands and/or Ramsar Sites been transmitted to the Ramsar Secretariat for dissemination? {3.4.3} KRA 3.4.ii Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned |
| 18.6 Additional Information |
| 18.7 Have all transboundary wetland systems been identified? {3.5.1} KRA 3.5.i Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned □ Z=Not Applicable |
| 18.7 Additional information Only transboundary wetland system with Armenia has been identified. Javakheti protected areas from the side of Georgia and Arpi national park from the side of Armenia. |
| 18.8 Is effective cooperative management in place for shared wetland systems (for example, in shared river basins and coastal zones)? {3.5.2} KRA 3.5.ii Please select only one option ☐ A=Yes ☐ B=No ☐ C=Partially ☐ D=Planned |

| ☐ Y=Not Relevant |
|---|
| 18.8 Additional information |
| If 'Yes' or 'Partially', please indicate for which wetland systems such management is in place > For Ispani Mire and Wetlands of Central Kolkheti |
| 18.9 Does your country participate in regional networks or initiatives for wetland-dependent migratory species? {3.5.3} KRA 3.5.iii Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned □ Z=Not Applicable |
| 18.9 Additional information |
| Target 19 Capacity building for implementation of the Convention and the 4th Ramsar Strategic Plan 2016 – 2024 is enhanced. |
| 19.1 Has an assessment of national and local training needs for the implementation of the Convention been made? {4.1.4} KRAs 4.1.iv & 4.1.viii Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned |
| 19.1 Additional information |
| 19.2 Are wetland conservation and wise-use issues included in formal education programmes? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned |
| 19.2 Additional information |
| If you answer yes to the above please provide information on which mechanisms and materials > |
| 19.3a How many opportunities for wetland site manager training have been provided since COP12? {4.1.5} KRA 4.1.iv a) at Ramsar Sites Please select only one option ☑ E=Exact number (opportunities) |
| > 1 □ F=Less than (opportunities) |
| G=More than (opportunities) |
| C=Partially X=Unknown Y=Not Relevant |
| 19.3b How many opportunities for wetland site manager training have been provided since COP12? {4.1.5} KRA 4.1.iv b) at other wetlands Please select only one option □ E=Exact number (Opportunities) |
| |

| ☐ F=Less than (Opportunities) |
|---|
| > ☐ G=More than (Opportunities) ☐ C=Partially ☐ X=Unknown ☑ Y=Not Relevant |
| 19.3 Additional information |
| including whether the Ramsar Wise Use Handbooks were used in the training > In 2017 Georgia has participated in Training Seminar on Wetland Conservation and Management for Developing Countries, in China, Hangzhou. |
| 19.4 Have you (AA) used your previous Ramsar National Reports in monitoring implementation of the Convention? {4.3.1} KRA 4.3.ii Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned □ Z=Not Applicable |
| 19.4 Additional information |
| If 'Yes', please indicate how the Reports have been used for monitoring |

Section 4. Optional annex to allow any Contracting Party that has developed national targets to provide information on those

Goal 1

Target 1: Wetland benefits

Wetland benefits are featured in national / local policy strategies and plans relating to key sectors such as water, energy, mining, agriculture, tourism, urban development, infrastructure, industry, forestry, aquaculture, fisheries at the national and local level. Contributes to Aichi Target 2

| larget 1: Wetland benefits - Priority | |
|--|---------------|
| Please select only one option | |
| □ A=High □ B=Medium | |
| □ C=Low | |
| □ D=Not relevant | |
| □ E=No answer | |
| Target 1: Wetland benefits - Resourcing Please select only one option □ A=Good □ B=Adequate □ C=Limiting □ D=Severely limiting □ E=No answer | |
| Target 1: Wetland benefits - National Targets | |
| Target 1: Wetland benefits - Planned activity | |
| Target 1: Wetland benefits - Outcomes achieved by 2018 | |
| Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Development Goals | d Sustainable |
| Note : this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018 | |

Target 2: Water Use

Water use respects wetland ecosystem needs for them to fulfil their functions and provide services at the appropriate scale inter alia at the basin level or along a coastal zone. Contributes to Aichi Targets 7 and 8 and Sustainable Development Goal 6.3.1

| Target 2: Water Use - Priority |
|---|
| Please select only one option |
| □ A=High |
| □ B=Medium |
| □ C=Low |
| □ D=Not relevant □ E=No answer |
| L = NO allswer |
| Target 2: Water Use - Resourcing Please select only one option □ A=Good □ B=Adequate □ C=Limiting □ D=Severely limiting □ E=No answer |
| Target 2: Water Use - National Targets |
| Target 2: Water Use - Planned activity |
| Target 2: Water Use - Outcomes achieved by 2018 |
| Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals |
| Note : this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018 |

Target 3: Public and private sectorsPublic and private sectors have increased their efforts to apply guidelines and good practices for the wise use of water and wetlands. {1.10}. Contributes to Aichi Targets 3, 4, 7 and 8.

| Target 3: Public and private sectors - Priority Please select only one option □ A=High □ B=Medium □ C=Low □ D=Not relevant □ E=No answer |
|---|
| Target 3: Public and private sectors - Resourcing Please select only one option □ A=Good □ B=Adequate □ C=Limiting □ D=Severely limiting □ E=No answer |
| Target 3: Public and private sectors - National Targets |
| Target 3: Public and private sectors - Planned activity > |
| Target 3: Public and private sectors - Outcomes achieved by 2018 |
| Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018 |

Target 4: Invasive alien species

Invasive alien species and pathways of introduction and expansion are identified and prioritized, priority invasive alien species are controlled or eradicated, and management responses are prepared and implemented to prevent their introduction and establishment. Contributes to Aichi Target 9.

| Target 4: Invasive alien species - Priority Please select only one option A=High B=Medium C=Low D=Not relevant E=No answer |
|---|
| Target 4: Invasive alien species - Resourcing Please select only one option □ A=Good □ B=Adequate □ C=Limiting □ D=Severely limiting □ E=No answer |
| Target 4: Invasive alien species - National Targets |
| Target 4: Invasive alien species - Planned activity |
| Target 4: Invasive alien species - Outcomes achieved by 2018 |
| Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018 |
| |

Goal 2

Target 5: Ecological character of Ramsar Sites

The ecological character of Ramsar Sites is maintained or restored through effective, planning and integrated management {2.1.}. Contributes to Aichi Target 6,11, 12.

| Target 5: Ecological character of Ramsar Sites - Priority Please select only one option □ A=High □ B=Medium □ C=Low □ D=Not relevant □ E=No answer |
|---|
| Target 5: Ecological character of Ramsar Sites - Resourcing Please select only one option □ A=Good □ B=Adequate □ C=Limiting □ D=Severely limiting □ E=No answer |
| Target 5: Ecological character of Ramsar Sites - National Targets |
| Target 5: Ecological character of Ramsar Sites - Planned activity |
| Target 5: Ecological character of Ramsar Sites - Outcomes achieved by 2018 |
| Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018 |
| 、 |

Target 7: Sites at risk

Development Goals

Sites that are at risk of change of ecological character have threats addressed {2.6.}. Contributes to Aichi Targets 5, 7, 11, 12.

| Target 7: Sites at risk - Priority Please select only one option □ A=High □ B=Medium □ C=Low □ D=Not relevant □ E=No answer |
|---|
| Target 7: Sites at risk - Resourcing Please select only one option □ A=Good □ B=Adequate □ C=Limiting □ D=Severely limiting □ E=No answer |
| Target 7: Sites at risk - National Targets |
| Target 7: Sites at risk - Planned activity |
| Target 7: Sites at risk - Outcomes achieved by 2018 |
| Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable |

Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018

Goal 3

Target 8: National wetland inventories

National wetland inventories have been either initiated, completed or updated and disseminated and used for promoting the conservation and effective management of all wetlands {1.1.1} KRA 1.1.i. Contrubutes to Aichi Targets 12, 14, 18, 19.

| Target 8: National wetland inventories - Priority |
|---|
| Please select only one option |
| □ A=High |
| □ B=Medium |
| □ C=Low |
| □ D=Not relevant |
| □ E=No answer |
| Target 8: National wetland inventories - Resourcing Please select only one option □ A=Good |
| □ B=Adequate□ C=Limiting |
| □ C=Limiting □ D=Severely limiting |
| □ E=No answer |
| L-No driswer |
| Target 8: National wetland inventories - National Targets > |
| Target 8: National wetland inventories - Planned activity |
| Target 8: National wetland inventories - Outcomes achieved by 2018 |
| Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals |
| Note : this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018 |

Target 9: Wise Use

The wise use of wetlands is strengthened through integrated resource management at the appropriate scale, inter alia, within a river basin or along a coastal zone {1.3.}. Contributes to Aichi Targets 4, 6, 7.

| Target 9: Wise Use - Priority Please select only one option |
|---|
| □ A=High |
| □ B=Medium |
| □ C=Low |
| □ D=Not relevant |
| □ E=No answer |
| Target 9: Wise Use - Resourcing |
| Please select only one option |
| □ A=Good |
| □ B=Adequate |
| □ C=Limiting |
| □ D=Severely limiting |
| □ E=No answer |
| Target 9: Wise Use - National Targets |
| > |
| Target 9: Wise Use - Planned activity |
| > |
| Target 9: Wise Use - Outcomes achieved by 2018 |
| Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable |
| Development Goals |
| Note : this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018 |

Target 10: Traditional Knowledge

The traditional knowledge innovations and practices of indigenous peoples and local communities relevant for the wise use of wetlands and their customary use of wetland resources, are documented, respected, subject to national legislation and relevant international obligations and fully integrated and reflected in the implementation of the Convention with a full and effective participation of indigenous and local communities at all relevant levels. Contributes to Aichi Target 18.

| Target 10: Traditional Knowledge - Priority Please select only one option □ A=High |
|---|
| □ B=Medium |
| □ C=Low |
| □ D=Not relevant |
| □ E=No answer |
| Target 10: Traditional Knowledge - Resourcing Please select only one option □ A=Good □ B=Adequate □ C=Limiting □ D=Severely limiting □ E=No answer |
| Target 10: Traditional Knowledge - National Targets |
| Target 10: Traditional Knowledge - Planned activity |
| Target 10: Traditional Knowledge - Outcomes achieved by 2018 |
| Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018 |
| |

Target 11: Wetland functions

Wetland functions, services and benefits are widely demonstrated, documented and disseminated. {1.4.}. Contributes to Aichi Targets 1, 2, 13, 14.

| Target 11: Wetland functions - Priority Please select only one option □ A=High □ B=Medium □ C=Low □ D=Not relevant □ E=No answer |
|---|
| Target 11: Wetland functions - Resourcing Please select only one option □ A=Good □ B=Adequate □ C=Limiting □ D=Severely limiting □ E=No answer |
| Target 11: Wetland functions - National Targets |
| Target 11: Wetland functions - Planned activity |
| Target 11: Wetland functions - Outcomes achieved by 2018 |
| Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018 |

Target 12: Restoration

Restoration is in progress in degraded wetlands, with priority to wetlands that are relevant for biodiversity conservation, disaster risk reduction, livelihoods and/or climate change mitigation and adaptation. {1.8.}. Contributes to Aichi Targets 14 and 15.

| Target 12: Restoration - Priority Please select only one option □ A=High □ B=Medium □ C=Low □ D=Not relevant □ E=No answer |
|---|
| Target 12: Restoration - Resourcing Please select only one option □ A=Good □ B=Adequate □ C=Limiting □ D=Severely limiting □ E=No answer |
| Target 12: Restoration - National Targets |
| Target 12: Restoration - Planned activity |
| Target 12: Restoration - Outcomes achieved by 2018 |
| Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018 |

Target 13: Enhanced sustainability

Enhanced sustainability of key sectors such as water, energy, mining, agriculture, tourism, urban development, infrastructure, industry, forestry, aquaculture and fisheries when they affect wetlands, contributing to biodiversity conservation and human livelihoods. Contributes to Aichi Targets 6 and 7.

| Target 13: Enhanced sustainability - Priority Please select only one option A=High B=Medium C=Low D=Not relevant E=No answer |
|---|
| Target 13: Enhanced sustainability - Resourcing Please select only one option □ A=Good □ B=Adequate □ C=Limiting □ D=Severely limiting □ E=No answer |
| Target 13: Enhanced sustainability - National Targets |
| Target 13: Enhanced sustainability - Planned activity |
| Target 13: Enhanced sustainability - Outcomes achieved by 2018 |
| Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018 |

Goal 4

Target 15: Regional Initiatives

Ramsar Regional Initiatives with the active involvement and support of the Parties in each region are reinforced and developed into effective tools to assist in the full implementation of the Convention. {3.2.}

| Target 15: Regional Initiatives - Priority Please select only one option □ A=High □ B=Medium □ C=Low □ D=Not relevant □ E=No answer |
|---|
| Target 15: Regional Initiatives - Resourcing Please select only one option □ A=Good □ B=Adequate □ C=Limiting □ D=Severely limiting □ E=No answer |
| Target 15: Regional Initiatives - National Targets |
| Target 15: Regional Initiatives - Planned activity |
| Target 15: Regional Initiatives - Outcomes achieved by 2018 |
| Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018 |

Target 16: Wetlands conservation and wise use

Wetlands conservation and wise use are mainstreamed through communication, capacity development, education, participation and awareness {4.1}. Contributes to Aichi Target 1 and 18.

| Target 16: Wetlands conservation and wise use - Priority Thease select only one option A=High B=Medium C=Low D=Not relevant E=No answer |
|--|
| Target 16: Wetlands conservation and wise use - Resourcing Tlease select only one option A=Good B=Adequate C=Limiting D=Severely limiting E=No answer |
| arget 16: Wetlands conservation and wise use - National Targets |
| arget 16: Wetlands conservation and wise use - Planned activity |
| arget 16: Wetlands conservation and wise use - Outcomes achieved by 2018 |
| Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals Note : this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018 |

Target 17: Financial and other resources

Financial and other resources for effectively implementing the fourth Ramsar Strategic Plan 2016 – 2024 from all sources are made available. {4.2.}. Contributes to Aichi Target 20.

| Target 17: Financial and other resources - Priority Please select only one option □ A=High □ B=Medium □ C=Low □ D=Not relevant □ E=No answer |
|---|
| Target 17: Financial and other resources - Resourcing Please select only one option □ A=Good □ B=Adequate □ C=Limiting □ D=Severely limiting □ E=No answer |
| Target 17: Financial and other resources - National Targets |
| Target 17: Financial and other resources - Planned activity |
| Target 17: Financial and other resources - Outcomes achieved by 2018 |
| Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018 |

Target 18: International cooperationInternational cooperation is strengthened at all levels {3.1}

| arget 18: International cooperation - Priority lease select only one option A=High B=Medium C=Low D=Not relevant E=No answer |
|--|
| Target 18: International cooperation - Resourcing lease select only one option A=Good B=Adequate C=Limiting D=Severely limiting E=No answer |
| arget 18: International cooperation - National Targets |
| arget 18: International cooperation - Planned activity |
| arget 18: International cooperation - Outcomes achieved by 2018 |
| Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals lote : this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018 |

Target 19: Capacity BuildingCapacity building for implementation of the Convention and the 4th Ramsar Strategic Plan 2016 – 2024 is enhanced. Contributes to Aichi Targets 1 and 17.

| Target 19: Capacity Building - Priority Please select only one option A=High B=Medium C=Low D=Not relevant E=No answer |
|---|
| Target 19: Capacity Building - Resourcing Please select only one option □ A=Good □ B=Adequate □ C=Limiting □ D=Severely limiting □ E=No answer |
| Target 19: Capacity Building - National Targets |
| Target 19: Capacity Building - Planned activity |
| Target 19: Capacity Building - Outcomes achieved by 2018 |
| Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018 |
| |

Section 5: Optional annex to allow any Contracting Party that so wishes to provide additional information regarding any of all of its designated Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Sites) Guidance for filling in this section

- 1. Contracting Parties can provide additional information specific to any or all of their designated Ramsar Sites, given that the situation and status of individual Ramsar Sites can differ greatly within the territory of a Contracting Party.
- 2. The only indicator questions included in this section are those from Section 3 of the COP13 NRF which directly concern Ramsar Sites.
- 3. In some cases, to make them meaningful in the context of reporting on each Ramsar Site separately, some of these indicator questions and/or their answer options have been adjusted from their formulation in Section 3 of the COP13 NRF.
- 4. Please include information on only one site in each row. In the appropriate columns please add the name and official site number (from the Ramsar Sites Information Service).
- 5. For each 'indicator guestion', please select one answer from the legend.
- 6. A final column of this Annex is provided as a 'free text' box for the inclusion of any additional information concerning the Ramsar Site.

A final column of this Annex is provided as a 'free text' box for the inclusion of any additional information concerning the Ramsar Site.

Georgia

| Ispani Mire (894) |
|--|
| 5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned |
| 5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information. $Please select only one option$ $A=Yes$ $B=No$ $D=Planned$ |
| 11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned |
| 11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ Z=No Management Plan |
| 11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ Z=No Management Plan |
| 16.3a is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder |

VIII]

involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

| Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned |
|---|
| 16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned |
| Any additional comments/information about the site |
| Wetlands of Central Kolkheti (893) |
| 5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned |
| 5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information. **Please select only one option** A=Yes |
| 11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ D=Planned |
| 11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ Z=No Management Plan |
| 11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ C=Partially □ Z=No Management Plan |
| 16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned |
| 16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)? Please select only one option □ A=Yes □ B=No □ D=Planned |

Any additional comments/information about the site