Ramsar National Report to COP13

COP13 National Report

Background information
1. The COP13 National Report Format (NRF) has been approved by the Standing Committee 52 for the Ramsar Convention’s Contracting Parties to complete as their national reporting to the 13th meeting of the Conference of the Contracting Parties of the Convention (United Arab Emirates, 2018).

2. The Standing Committee through Decision SC52-07 has also agreed that an online National Reporting format could be made available to Parties by keeping the off-line system and requested the Secretariat to present an evaluation for the next COP regarding the use of the on-line system.

3. The National Report Format is being issued by the Secretariat in 2016 to facilitate Contracting Parties’ implementation planning and preparations for completing the Report. The deadline for submission of national targets is by 30 November 2016 and the deadline for submission of completed National Reports is January 21st 2018.

4. Following Standing Committee discussions, this COP13 NRF closely follows that of the NRF used for COP12, to permit continuity of reporting and analysis of implementation progress by ensuring that indicator questions are as far as possible consistent with previous NRFs (and especially the COP12 NRF). It is also structured in terms of the Goals and Strategies of the 2016-2024 Ramsar Strategic Plan adopted at COP12 as Resolution XII.2.

5. This COP13 NRF includes 92 indicator questions. In addition, Section 4 is provided as an optional Annex in order to facilitate the task of preparing the Party’s National Targets and Actions for the implementation of each of the targets of the Strategic Plan 2016-2024 according to Resolution XII.2.

6. As was the case for previous NRF, the COP13 Format includes an optional section (Section 5) to permit a Contracting Party to provide additional information, on indicators relevant to each individual Wetland of International Importance (Ramsar Site) within its territory.

7. Note that, for the purposes of this national reporting to the Ramsar Convention, the scope of the term “wetland” is that of the Convention text, i.e. all inland wetlands (including lakes and rivers), all nearshore coastal wetlands (including tidal marshes, mangroves and coral reefs) and human-made wetlands (e.g. rice paddy and reservoirs), even if a national definition of “wetland” may differ from that adopted by the Contracting Parties to the Ramsar Convention.

The purposes and uses of national reporting to the Conference of the Contracting Parties

8. National Reports from Contracting Parties are official documents of the Convention and are made publicly available on the Convention’s website.

9. There are seven main purposes for the Convention’s National Reports. These are to:
   i) provide data and information on how, and to what extent, the Convention is being implemented
   ii) provide tools for countries for their national planning
   iii) capture lessons and experience to help Parties plan future action;
   iv) identify emerging issues and implementation challenges faced by Parties that may require further attention from the Conference of the Parties;
   v) provide a means for Parties to account for their commitments under the Convention;
   vi) provide each Party with a tool to help it assess and monitor its progress in implementing the Convention, and to plan its future priorities; and
   vii) provide an opportunity for Parties to draw attention to their achievements during the triennium.

10. The data and information provided by Parties in their National Reports have another valuable purpose as well, since a number of the indicators in the National Reports on Parties’ implementation provide key sources of information for the analysis and assessment of the “ecological outcome-oriented indicators of
effectiveness of the implementation of the Convention”.

11. To facilitate the analysis and subsequent use of the data and information provided by Contracting Parties in their National Reports, the Ramsar Secretariat holds in a database all the information it has received and verified. The COP13 reports will be in an online National Reporting system.

12. The Convention’s National Reports are used in a number of ways. These include:
   i) providing an opportunity to compile and analyze information that contracting parties can use to inform their national planning and programming.
   ii) providing the basis for reporting by the Secretariat to each meeting of the Conference of the Parties on the global, national and regional implementation, and the progress in implementation, of the Convention. This is provided to Parties at the COP as a series of Information Papers, including:
      * the Report of the Secretary General on the implementation of the Convention at the global level;
      * the Report of the Secretary General pursuant to Article 8.2 (b), (c), and (d) concerning the List of Wetlands of International Importance); and
      * the reports providing regional overviews of the implementation of the Convention and its Strategic Plan in each Ramsar region;
   iii) providing information on specific implementation issues in support of the provision of advice and decisions by Parties at the COP.
   iv) providing the source data for time-series assessments of progress on specific aspects in the implementation of the Convention included in other Convention products. An example is the summary of progress since COP3 (Regina, 1997) in the development of National Wetland Policies, included as Table 1 in Ramsar Wise Use Handbook 2 (4th edition, 2010); and
   v) providing information for reporting to the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) on the national implementation of the CBD/Ramsar Joint Work Plan and the Ramsar Convention’s lead implementation role on wetlands for the CBD. In particular, the Ramsar Secretariat and STRP used the COP10 NRF indicators extensively in 2009 to prepare contributions to the in-depth review of the CBD programme of work on the biological diversity of inland water ecosystems for consideration by CBD SBSTTA14 and COP10 during 2010 (see UNEP/CBD/SBSTTA/14/3). Similar use of COP12 NRF indicators is anticipated for the CBD’s next such in-depth review.

The structure of the COP13 National Report Format

Section 1 provides the institutional information about the Administrative Authority and National Focal Points for the national implementation of the Convention.

Section 2 is a ‘free-text’ section in which the Party is invited to provide a summary of various aspects of national implementation progress and recommendations for the future.

Section 3 provides the 92 implementation indicator questions, grouped under each Convention implementation Goals and Targets in the Strategic Plan 2016-2024, and with an optional ‘free-text’ section under each indicator question in which the Contracting Party may, if it wishes, add further information on national implementation of that activity.

Section 4 is an optional annex to allow any Contracting Party that has developed national targets to provide information on the targets and actions for the implementation of each of the targets of the Strategic Plan 2016-2024.

In line with Resolution XII.2, which encourages Contracting Parties “to develop and submit to the Secretariat on or before December 2016, and according to their national priorities, capabilities and resources, their own quantifiable and time-bound national and regional targets in line with the targets set in the Strategic Plan”, all Parties are encouraged to consider using this comprehensive national planning tool as soon as possible, in order to identify the areas of highest priority for action and the relevant national targets and actions for each target.

The planning of national targets offers, for each of them, the possibility of indicating the national priority for that area of activity as well as the level of resourcing available, or that could be made available during the triennium, for its implementation. In addition, there are specific boxes to indicate the National Targets for implementation by 2018 and the planned national activities that are designed to deliver these targets. Ramsar Strategic Plan 2016-2024 shows the synergies between CBD Aichi Biodiversity Targets and Ramsar Targets. Therefore, the NRF provide an opportunity that Contracting Parties indicate as appropriate how the actions they undertake for the implementation of the Ramsar Convention contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets according to paragraph 51 of Resolution XII.3.

Section 5 is an optional annex to allow any Contracting Party that so wishes to provide additional information regarding any or all of its Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Sites).
General guidance for completing and submitting the COP13 National Report Format

All Sections of the COP13 NRF should be completed in one of the Convention’s official languages (English, French, Spanish).

The deadline for submission of the completed NRF is January 21st 2018. It will not be possible to include information from National Reports received after that date in the analysis and reporting on Convention implementation to COP13.

The deadline for submission of national targets is by 30 November 2016.

To help Contracting Parties refer to relevant information they provided in their National Report to COP12, for each appropriate indicator a cross-reference is provided to the equivalent indicator(s) in the COP12 NRF or previous NRF, shown thus: {x.x.x}

For follow up and where appropriate, a cross-reference is also provided to the relevant Key Result Area (KRA) relating to Contracting Parties implementation in the Strategic Plan 2009-2015.

Only Strategic Plan 2016-2024 Targets for which there are implementation actions for Contracting Parties are included in this reporting format; those targets of the Strategic Plan that do not refer directly to Parties are omitted (e.g. targets 6 and 14).

For each indicator question you can choose only one answer. If you wish to provide further information or clarification, do so in the additional information box below the relevant indicator question. Please be as concise as possible (maximum of 500 words in each free-text box).

The NRF should ideally be completed by the principal compiler in consultation with relevant colleagues in their agency and others within the government and, as appropriate, with NGOs and other stakeholders who might have fuller knowledge of aspects of the Party’s overall implementation of the Convention. The principal compiler can save the document at any point and return to it later to continue or to amend answers. Compilers should refer back to the National Report submitted for COP12 to ensure the continuity and consistency of information provided.

If you have any questions or problems, please contact the Ramsar Secretariat for advice (nationalreports@ramsar.org).
Section 1: Institutional Information

Important note: the responses below will be considered by the Ramsar Secretariat as the definitive list of your focal points, and will be used to update the information it holds. The Secretariat’s current information about your focal points is available at http://www.ramsar.org/search-contact.

Name of Contracting Party

The completed National Report must be accompanied by a letter in the name of the Head of Administrative Authority, confirming that this is the Contracting Party’s official submission of its COP13 National Report. It can be attached to this question using the "Manage documents" function (blue symbol below)

Czech Republic

Designated Ramsar Administrative Authority

Name of Administrative Authority

Ministry of the Environment of the Czech Republic

Head of Administrative Authority - name and title

Vladimír Dolejský, Deputy Minister for Section of Nature Conservation and Nature Protection

Mailing address

Vršovická 65, Prague 10, 100 10, Czech Republic

Telephone/Fax

+420 267 122 111

Email

info@mzp.cz

Designated National Focal Point for Ramsar Convention Matters

Name and title

Libuše Vlasáková, Mrs

Mailing address

Vršovická 65, Prague 10, 100 10, Czech Republic

Telephone/Fax

+420 267122 372

Email

libuse.vlasakova@mzp.cz

Designated National Focal Point for Matters Relating to The Scientific and Technical Review Panel (STRP)

Name and title

Martina Eiseltová, Mrs

Name of organisation

Crop Research Institute

Mailing address

Drnovská 507/73
161 06 Praha 6 - Ruzyně
Czech Republic

Telephone/Fax

+420 233 022 295

Email

eiseltova@vurv.cz

Designated Government National Focal Point for Matters Relating to The Programme on Communication, Education, Participation and Awareness (CEPA)
Name and title
› Libuše Vlasáková, Mrs

Name of organisation
› Ministry of the Environment of the Czech Republic

Mailing address
› Vršovická 65, Prague 10, 100 10, Czech Republic

Telephone/Fax
› +420 267 122 372

Email
› libuse.vlasakova@mzp.cz

**Designated Non-Government National Focal Point for Matters Relating to The Programme on Communication, Education, Participation and Awareness (CEPA)**

Name and title
› Not designated yet

Name of organisation
› Not relevant

Mailing address
› Not relevant

Telephone/Fax
› Not relevant

Email
› Not relevant
Section 2: General summary of national implementation progress and challenges

In your country, in the past triennium (i.e., since COP12 reporting)

A. What have been the five most successful aspects of implementation of the Convention?

1) Successfull implementation of the project: Conservation, research and sustainable use of wetlands in the Czech Republic (8/2014-4/2017). Thanks to the project we implemented several important resolutions of the Ramsar Convention, CMS and AEWA.

2) Establishment of wetland database of the Czech Republic. See http://mokrady.ochranaprirody.cz/

3) Finding out of ecological character of Ramsar Sites of the Czech Republic including state of their biodiversity.


5) Enlargement of the RS 3 Novozámecký a Břehyňský rybník. The official RIS with new data is in preparation.

B. What have been the five greatest difficulties in implementing the Convention?

1) Byrocracy.

2) Other priorities of the Ministry.

3) Huge workloat of NFP (responsibility for agenda of several MEAs and their implementation)

4) Insufficient of communication and exchange of information between responsibles bodies.

5) Lack of financial sources.

C. What are the five priorities for future implementation of the Convention?

1) Development of CEPA activities including designation of NGO-NFP. Wide use of existing materials for education and public awareness on wetlands.

2) Continuation in monitoring of selected characteristic of ecological state of Ramsar Sites.

3) Development of transboundary cooperation with Poland, Slovak Republic and Austria.

4) Support of CWI, implementation of training courses on wetlands in national and international level.

5) Conservation and wise use on wetlands in the Czech Republic in general.

D. Do you (AA) have any recommendations concerning implementation assistance from the Ramsar
E. Do you (AA) have any recommendations concerning implementation assistance from the Convention’s International Organisation Partners (IOPs)? (including ongoing partnerships and partnerships to develop)
› No.

F. How can national implementation of the Ramsar Convention be better linked with implementation of other multilateral environmental agreements (MEAs), especially those in the ‘biodiversity cluster’ (Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD), Convention on Migratory Species (CMS), Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species (CITES), World Heritage Convention (WHC), and United Nations Convention to Combat Desertification (UNCCD) and the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC))?
› Through establishment of common working groups that will cooperate in implementation of selected resolutions adopted by COP.

G. How can implementation of the Ramsar Convention be better linked with the implementation of water policy/strategy and other strategies in the country (e.g., on sustainable development, energy, extractive industries, poverty reduction, sanitation, food security, biodiversity)?
› The Ramsar Convention is mentioned in each important strategies in the country, important is the real use of Ramsar principles in practice.

H. Do you (AA) have any other general comments on the implementation of the Convention?
› No.

I. Please list the names of the organisations which have been consulted on or have contributed to the information provided in this report
› Crope Research Institute, Nature Conservation Agency including Administrations of Protected Landscape Areas with RS, Czech Society for Ornithology and individual experts and members of the Czech Ramsar Committee and its Expert group.
Section 3: Indicator questions and further implementation information

Goal 1. Addressing the drivers of wetland loss and degradation

Target 1
Wetland benefits are featured in national/local policy strategies and plans relating to key sectors such as water, energy, mining, agriculture, tourism, urban development, infrastructure, industry, forestry, aquaculture, fisheries at the national and local level.

1.1 Have wetland issues/benefits been incorporated into other national strategies and planning processes, including: {1.3.2} {1.3.3} KRA 1.3.i

Please select only one per square.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a) National Policy or strategy for wetland management</th>
<th>☐ A=Yes ☐ B=No ☐ C=Partially ☐ D=Planned ☐ X=Unknown ☐ Y=Not Relevant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>b) Poverty eradication strategies</td>
<td>☐ A=Yes ☐ B=No ☐ C=Partially ☐ D=Planned ☐ X=Unknown ☐ Y=Not Relevant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Water resource management and water efficiency plans</td>
<td>☐ A=Yes ☐ B=No ☐ C=Partially ☐ D=Planned ☐ X=Unknown ☐ Y=Not Relevant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Coastal and marine resource management plans</td>
<td>☐ A=Yes ☐ B=No ☐ C=Partially ☐ D=Planned ☐ X=Unknown ☐ Y=Not Relevant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) Integrated Coastal Zone Management Plan</td>
<td>☐ A=Yes ☐ B=No ☐ C=Partially ☐ D=Planned ☐ X=Unknown ☐ Y=Not Relevant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f) National forest programmes</td>
<td>☐ A=Yes ☐ B=No ☐ C=Partially ☐ D=Planned ☐ X=Unknown ☐ Y=Not Relevant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g) National policies or measures on agriculture</td>
<td>☐ A=Yes ☐ B=No ☐ C=Partially ☐ D=Planned ☐ X=Unknown ☐ Y=Not Relevant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h) National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plans drawn up under the CBD</td>
<td>☐ A=Yes ☐ B=No ☐ C=Partially ☐ D=Planned ☐ X=Unknown ☐ Y=Not Relevant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i) National policies on energy and mining</td>
<td>☐ A=Yes ☐ B=No ☐ C=Partially ☐ D=Planned ☐ X=Unknown ☐ Y=Not Relevant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Options</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>j) National policies on tourism</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k) National policies on urban development</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l) National policies on infrastructure</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m) National policies on industry</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n) National policies on aquaculture and fisheries (1.3.3) KRA 1.3.i</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o) National plans of actions (NPAs) for pollution control and management</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p) National policies on wastewater management and water quality</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 1.1 Additional information

- No

### Target 2

Water use respects wetland ecosystem needs for them to fulfil their functions and provide services at the appropriate scale inter alia at the basin level or along a coastal zone.

2.1 Has the quantity and quality of water available to, and required by, wetlands been assessed to support the implementation of the Guidelines for the allocation and management of water for maintaining the ecological functions of wetlands (Resolution VIII.1, VIII.2) ? 1.24.

**Please select only one option**

- ☐ A=Yes
- ☑ B=No
- □ C=Partially
- □ D=Planned
- □ X=Unknown
- □ Y=Not Relevant

2.1 Additional Information

- >

2.2 Have assessments of environmental flow been undertaken in relation to mitigation of impacts on the ecological character of wetlands (Action r3.4.iv)

**Please select only one option**

- ☐ A=Yes
- □ B=No
- ☑ C=Partially
- □ D=Planned
2.2 Additional Information

2.3 Have Ramsar Sites improved the sustainability of water use in the context of ecosystem requirements?

*Please select only one option*
- ☐ A=Yes
- ☐ B=No
- ☑ C=Partially
- ☐ D=Planned
- ☐ O=No Change
- ☐ X=Unknown

2.3 Additional Information

2.4 Have the Guidelines for allocation and management of water for maintaining ecological functions of wetlands (Resolutions VIII.1 and XII.12) been used/applied in decision-making processes. (Action 3.4.6.)

*Please select only one option*
- ☐ A=Yes
- ☐ B=No
- ☑ C=Partially
- ☐ D=Planned

2.4 Additional Information

2.5 Have projects that promote and demonstrate good practice in water allocation and management for maintaining the ecological functions of wetlands been developed. (Action r3.4.ix.)

*Please select only one option*
- ☑ A=Yes
- ☐ B=No
- ☐ C=Partially
- ☐ D=Planned

2.5 Additional Information

- Planned as activity of the proposed project Conservation of Birds and Wise use of Wetlands.

2.6 How many household/municipalities are linked to sewage system? SDG Target 6.3.1.

*Please select only one option*
- ☑ E=Exact number (households/municipalities)
- ☐ F=Less than (households/municipalities)
- ☐ G=More than (households/municipalities)
- ☐ X=Unknown
- ☐ Y=Not Relevant

2.6 Additional Information

- Resources: statistic data from 2016.

2.7 What is the percentage of sewerage coverage in the country? SDG Target 6.3.1.

*Please select only one option*
- ☑ E=Exact number (percentage)
- ☐ F=Less than (percentage)
- ☐ Y=Not Relevant
2.7 Additional Information
Resources: statistic data from 2016.

2.8 What is the percentage of users of septic tank/pit latrine? SDG Target 6.3.1.
Please select only one option
☐ E=Exact number (percentage)

☐ F=Less than (percentage)

☐ G=More than (percentage)

☐ X=Unknown
☐ Y=Not Relevant

2.8 Additional Information
Data not found.

2.9 Does the country use constructed wetlands/ponds as wastewater treatment technology? SDG Target 6.3.1.
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned
☐ X=Unknown
☐ Y=Not Relevant

2.9 Additional Information

2.10 How do the country use constructed wetlands/ponds as wastewater treatment technology perform? SDG Target 6.3.1.
Please select only one option
☐ A=Good
☐ B=Not Functioning
☐ C=Functioning
☐ Q=Obsolete
☐ X=Unknown
☐ Y=Not Relevant

2.10 Additional Information

2.11 How many centralised wastewater treatment plants exist at national level? SDG Target 6.3.1.

Please select only one option
☐ E=Exact number (plants)

> 2445
☐ F=Less than (plants)

☐ G=More than (plants)

☐ X=Unknown
☐ Y=Not Relevant

2.11 Additional Information
Resources: statistic data from 2016.
2.12 How is the functional status of the wastewater treatment plants? SDG Target 6.3.1.

*Please select only one option*
- A=Good
- B=Not functioning
- C=Functioning
- Q=Obsolete
- X=Unknown
- Y=Not Relevant

2.12 Additional Information

2.13 The percentage of decentralized wastewater treatment technology, including constructed wetlands/ponds is? SDG Target 6.3.1.

*Please select only one option*
- A=Good
- B=Not functioning
- C=Functioning
- Q=Obsolete
- X=Unknown
- Y=Not Relevant

2.13 Additional Information

› Data not found.

2.14 Is there a wastewater reuse system? SDG Target 6.3.1.

*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
- B=No
- C=Partially
- D=Planned
- X=Unknown
- Y=Not Relevant

2.14 Additional Information

›

2.15 What is the purpose of the wastewater reuse system? SDG Target 6.3.1.

*Please select only one option*
- R=Agriculture
- S=Landscape
- T=Industrial
- U=Drinking
- X=Unknown
- Y=Not Relevant

2.15 Additional Information

Please indicate if the wastewater reuse system is for free or taxed or add any additional information.

›

**Target 3**

Public and private sectors have increased their efforts to apply guidelines and good practices for the wise use of water and wetlands. (1.10)

3.1 Is the private sector encouraged to apply the Ramsar wise use principle and guidance (Ramsar handbooks for the wise use of wetlands) in its activities and investments concerning wetlands? (1.10.1) KRA 1.10.1

*Please select only one option*
- A=Yes
- B=No
- C=Partially
- D=Planned
3.1 Additional Information

3.2 Has the private sector undertaken activities or actions for the conservation, wise use and management of {1.10.2} KRA 1.10.ii

*Please select only one per square.*

| a) Ramsar Sites | ☑ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ D=Planned  
☐ X=Unknown  
☐ Y=Not Relevant |
|-----------------|-----------------
| b) Wetlands in general | ☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ D=Planned  
☐ X=Unknown  
☐ Y=Not Relevant |

3.2 Additional information

3.3 Have actions been taken to implement incentive measures which encourage the conservation and wise use of wetlands? {1.11.1} KRA 1.11.i

*Please select only one option*

☑ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ D=Planned  

3.3 Additional information

3.4 Have actions been taken to remove perverse incentive measures which discourage conservation and wise use of wetlands? {1.11.2} KRA 1.11.i

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ D=Planned  
☐ Z=Not Applicable

3.4 Additional Information

**Target 4**

Invasive alien species and pathways of introduction and expansion are identified and prioritized, priority invasive alien species are controlled or eradicated, and management responses are prepared and implemented to prevent their introduction and establishment.

4.1 Does your country have a comprehensive national inventory of invasive alien species that currently or potentially impact the ecological character of wetlands? {1.9.1} KRA 1.9.i

*Please select only one option*

☑ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ D=Planned

4.1 Additional information

4.2 Have national policies or guidelines on invasive species control and management been established or reviewed for wetlands? {1.9.2} KRA 1.9.iii

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No
4.2 Additional information

4.3 How many invasive species are being controlled through management actions.
*Please select only one option*
☐ E=Exact number (species)

☐ F=Less than (species)

☐ G=More than (species)

☐ C=Partially
☐ X=Unknown
☐ Y=Not Relevant

4.3 Additional information
If ‘Yes’, please indicate the year of assessment and the source of the information

4.4 Have the effectiveness of wetland invasive alien species control programmes been assessed?
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned
☐ X=Unknown
☐ Y=Not Relevant

4.4 Additional information

Goal 2. Effectively conserving and managing the Ramsar Site network

Target 5
The ecological character of Ramsar Sites is maintained or restored through effective, planning and integrated management {2.1.}

5.1 Have a national strategy and priorities been established for the further designation of Ramsar Sites, using the Strategic Framework for the Ramsar List? {2.1.1} KRA 2.1.i
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned
☐ X=Unknown
☐ Y=Not Relevant

5.1 Additional information
There is one potential RS - Floodplain of Lužnice River in South Bohemia. And we are going to declare transboundary RS with Poland that will include Jizera Headwaters and Upper Jizera River.

5.2 Are the Ramsar Sites Information Service and its tools being used in national identification of further Ramsar Sites to designate? {2.2.1} KRA 2.2.ii
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.2 Additional information

5.3 How many Ramsar Sites have an effective, implemented management plan? {2.4.1} KRA 2.4.i
*Please select only one option*
☒ E=Exact number (sites)
5.4 For how many of the Ramsar Sites with a management plan is the plan being implemented? {2.4.2} KRA 2.4.i
Please select only one option
☑ E=Exact number (sites)
☐ F=Less than (sites)
☐ G=More than (sites)
☐ X=Unknown
☐ Y=Not Relevant

5.5 For how many Ramsar Sites is effective management planning currently being implemented (outside of formal management plans)? {2.4.3} KRA 2.4.i
Please select only one option
☑ E=Exact number (sites)
☐ F=Less than (sites)
☐ G=More than (sites)
☐ X=Unknown
☐ Y=Not Relevant

5.3 – 5.5 Additional information

5.6 Have all Ramsar sites been assessed regarding the effectiveness of their management (through formal management plans where they exist or otherwise through existing actions for appropriate wetland management)? {1.6.2} KRA 1.6.ii
Please select only one option
☑ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

5.6 Additional information

5.7 How many Ramsar Sites have a cross-sectoral management committee? {2.4.4} {2.4.6} KRA 2.4.iv
Please select only one option
☑ E=Exact number (sites)
☐ F=Less than (sites)
☐ G=More than (sites)
☐ X=Unknown
☐ Y=Not Relevant

5.7 Additional information
If at least 1 site, please give the name and official number of the site or sites
☑ RS Krkonoše Mountains Mires and RS Šumava peatlands. Both RS are located in national parcs and there are Boards of management according to national legislation.
5.8 For how many Ramsar Sites has an ecological character description been prepared (see Resolution X.15)? {2.4.5} {2.4.7} KRA 2.4.v

Please select only one option
☐ E=Exact number (sites)
   > 14
☐ F=Less than (sites)
   >
☐ G=More than (sites)
   >
☐ C=Partially
☐ X=Unknown
☐ Y=Not Relevant

5.8 Additional information
If at least 1 site, please give the name and official number of the site or sites
   > All RS.

5.9 Have any assessments of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management been made? {2.5.1} KRA 2.5.i

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☑ B=No
☐ C=Some Sites

5.9 Additional information
If ‘Yes’ or ‘Some sites’, please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15, and the source of the information
   >

**Target 7**
Sites that are at risk of change of ecological character have threats addressed {2.6.}.

7.1 Are mechanisms in place for the Administrative Authority to be informed of negative human-induced changes or likely changes in the ecological character of Ramsar Sites, pursuant to Article 3.2? {2.6.1} KRA 2.6.i

Please select only one option
☑ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Some Sites
☐ D=Planned

7.1 Additional information
If ‘Yes’ or ‘Some sites’, please summarise the mechanism or mechanisms established
   > Each RS has its own guarantor who is a member of the Expert group of the Czech Ramsar Committee and is in regular contact with NFP of the Ramsar Convention. Guarantors inform about all changes during the meeting of the Czech Ramsar Committee.

7.2 Have all cases of negative human-induced change or likely change in the ecological character of Ramsar Sites been reported to the Ramsar Secretariat, pursuant to Article 3.2? {2.6.2} KRA 2.6.i

Please select only one option
☑ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Some Cases
☐ O=No Negative Change

7.2 Additional information
If ‘Yes’ or ‘Some cases’, please indicate for which Ramsar Sites the Administrative Authority has made Article 3.2 reports to the Secretariat, and for which sites such reports of change or likely change have not yet been made
   > Secretariat had been informed on negative aspects concerning ecological character of 4 RS and these RS have been included into Montreux Record. Czech Ramsar Committee has tried to find suitable solution for these RS for the longtime.

7.3 If applicable, have actions been taken to address the issues for which Ramsar Sites have been listed on the Montreux Record, including requesting a Ramsar Advisory Mission? {2.6.3} KRA 2.6.ii
7.3 Additional information
If ‘Yes’, please indicate the actions taken
＞ Concerning RS 2 Třeboňské fishponds - we have prepared the Set of recommendations for the management of fishponds that are part of the RS. Implementation and use of these recommendations should contribute to improvement of the ecological state of RS Třeboňské fishponds. Czech Ramsar Committee also sent several recommendations concerning plan of construction of Donau-Odra-Elbe canal to ministers of Environment. This canal is potential danger for 3 RS (Litovelské Pomoraví, Poodří and Floodplains of Lower Dyje River).

**Goal 3. Wisely Using All Wetlands**

**Target 8**
National wetland inventories have been either initiated, completed or updated and disseminated and used for promoting the conservation and effective management of all wetlands {1.1.1} KRA 1.1.i

8.1 Does your country have a complete National Wetland Inventory? {1.1.1} KRA 1.1.i
*Please select only one option*
☑ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=In Progress
☐ D=Planned

8.1 Additional information
＞ Electronic version of database is at: http://mokrady.ochranaprirody.cz/

8.2 Has your country updated a National Wetland Inventory in the last decade? {1.1.2} KRA 1.1.ii
*Please select only one option*
☑ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned
☐ X=Unknown
☐ Y=Not Relevant

8.2 Additional information
＞ NWI run in the framework of the project Conservation, Research and Sustainable Use of Wetlands in the Czech Republic (2014-2017). The continuation is planned.

8.3 Is wetland inventory data and information maintained? {1.1.3} KRA 1.1.ii
*Please select only one option*
☑ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

8.3 Additional information
＞ Data and information can be found at new wetland database: http://mokrady.ochranaprirody.cz/

8.4 Is wetland inventory data and information made accessible to all stakeholders? {1.1.4} KRA 1.1.ii
*Please select only one option*
☑ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

8.4 Additional information
＞ Data and information can be found at new wetland database: http://mokrady.ochranaprirody.cz/

8.5 Has the condition* of wetlands in your country, overall, changed during the last triennium? {1.1.5}
Please describe on the sources of the information on which your answer is based in the free-text box below. If there is a difference between inland and coastal wetland situations, please describe. If you are able to, please describe the
8.5 Additional information on a) and/or b)

Thanks to the project Conservation, Research and Sustainable Use of Wetlands of the Czech Republic the current ecological states of RS has been found out. The principal drivers of the changes are:

In case of peatlands:
1. Eutrophication of the landscape
2. Drainage
3. Global change

In case of fishponds:
1. Intensive fishfarming
2. Water pollution due to agriculture

In case of floodplains:
1. Change of hydrological regime
2. Vegetation of the landscape with woody vegetation
3. Eutrophication.

8.6 Based upon the National Wetland Inventory if available please provide a baseline figure in square kilometres for the extent of wetlands (according to the Ramsar definition) for the year 2017. SDG Target 6.6

Please select only one option
- ☐ E=Exact Number (km²)
- ☐ F=Less than (km²)
- ☐ G=More than (km²)
- ☑ A=Yes
- ☑ B=No
- ☐ C=Partially
- ☑ D=Planned
- ☐ X=Unknown
- ☐ Y=Not Relevant

8.6 Additional information

If the information is available please indicate the % of change in the extent of wetlands over the last three years.
- Still under evaluation.

Target 9

The wise use of wetlands is strengthened through integrated resource management at the appropriate scale, inter alia, within a river basin or along a coastal zone {1.3.}.

9.1 Is a Wetland Policy (or equivalent instrument) that promotes the wise use of wetlands in place? {1.3.1} KRA 1.3.i

If ‘Yes’, please give the title and date of the policy in the green text box

Please select only one option
- ☑ A=Yes
- ☐ B=No
- ☐ C=In Preparation
- ☑ D=Planned

9.1 Additional information
9.2 Have any amendments to existing legislation been made to reflect Ramsar commitments? (1.3.5) (1.3.6)

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☑ B=No
☐ C=In Progress
☐ D=Planned

9.2 Additional information

9.3 Do your country’s water governance and management systems treat wetlands as natural water infrastructure integral to water resource management at the scale of river basins? (1.7.1) (1.7.2) KRA 1.7.ii

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☑ D=Planned

9.3 Additional information

9.4 Have Communication, Education, Participation and Awareness (CEPA) expertise and tools been incorporated into catchment/river basin planning and management (see Resolution X.19)? (1.7.2) (1.7.3)

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☑ D=Planned

9.4 Additional information

9.5 Has your country established policies or guidelines for enhancing the role of wetlands in mitigating or adapting to climate change? (1.7.3) (1.7.5) KRA 1.7.iii

Please select only one option
☑ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

9.5 Additional information

9.6 Has your country formulated plans or projects to sustain and enhance the role of wetlands in supporting and maintaining viable farming systems? (1.7.4) (1.7.6) KRA 1.7.v

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

9.6 Additional information

9.7 Has research to inform wetland policies and plans been undertaken in your country on:

(1.6.1) KRA 1.6.i

Please select only one per square.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a) agriculture-wetland interactions</th>
<th>☐ A=Yes</th>
<th>☐ B=No</th>
<th>☐ D=Planned</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Ramsar National Report to COP13 [Libuse Vlasáková]
9.7 Additional information
› This research (a,b,c) was a part of the project Conservation, Research and Sustainable use of Wetlands of the Czech Republic (2014-2017).

9.8 Has your country submitted a request for Wetland City Accreditation of the Ramsar Convention, Resolution XII.10?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☑ D=Planned

9.8 Additional information
If ‘Yes’, please indicate How many request have been submitted
›

Target 10
The traditional knowledge innovations and practices of indigenous peoples and local communities relevant for the wise use of wetlands and their customary use of wetland resources, are documented, respected, subject to national legislation and relevant international obligations and fully integrated and reflected in the implementation of the Convention with a full and effective participation of indigenous and local communities at all relevant levels.

10.1 Have the guiding principles for taking into account the cultural values of wetlands including traditional knowledge for the effective management of sites (Resolution VIII.19) been used or applied? (Action 6.1.2/ 6.1.6)

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=In Preparation
☐ C1=Partially
☐ D=Planned
☐ X=Unknown
☑ Y=Not Relevant

10.1 Additional information
›

10.2 Have case studies, participation in projects or successful experiences on cultural aspects of wetlands been compiled. Resolution VIII.19 and Resolution IX.21? (Action 6.1.6)

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☑ C=In Preparation
☐ D=Planned

10.2 Additional information
If yes please indicate the case studies or projects documenting information and experiences concerning culture and wetlands
›

10.3 Have the guidelines for establishing and strengthening local communities’ and indigenous people’s participation in the management of wetlands been used or applied. (Resolution VII. 8) (Action 6.1.5)

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☒ B=No
☐ C=In Preparation
10.3 Additional information
If the answer is "yes" please indicate the use or application of the guidelines

10.4 Traditional knowledge and management practices relevant for the wise use of wetlands have been documented and their application encouraged (Action 6.1.2)

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☑ B=No
☐ C=In Preparation
☐ D=Planned

10.4 Additional information
> Partially. There is a book Pletení z orobince (Knitting from Bulrusch (Typha), that describe production of different products from Bulrusch. It is very old handicraft technology). The book is also one of outputs of the project on wetlands (2014-2017).

Target 11
Wetland functions, services and benefits are widely demonstrated, documented and disseminated. {1.4.}

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by Ramsar Sites and other wetlands? {1.4.1} KRA 1.4.ii

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☑ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned
☐ X=Unknown
☐ Y=Not Relevant

11.1 Additional information
If ‘Yes’ or ‘Partially’, please indicate, how many Ramsar Sites and their names
> The study on wetland ecosystem services covered all RS and wetlands in general.

11.2 Have wetland programmes or projects that contribute to poverty alleviation objectives or food and water security plans been implemented? {1.4.2} KRA 1.4.1

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned
☐ X=Unknown
☑ Y=Not Relevant

11.2 Additional information
> RS Třeboň Fishponds. The study is focused on importance of fishponds for development of sustainable tourism.

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for Ramsar Sites and other wetlands? {1.4.3} {1.4.4} KRA 1.4.iii

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Additional information
If ‘Yes’ or ‘Partially’, please indicate, if known, how many Ramsar Sites and their names
> RS Třeboň Fishponds. The study is focused on importance of fishponds for development of sustainable tourism.

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for Ramsar Sites and other wetlands? {1.4.3} {1.4.4} KRA 1.4.iii
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☑ D=Planned

11.4 Additional information
If ‘Yes’ or ‘Partially’, please indicate, if known, how many Ramsar Sites and their names

Target 12
Restoration is in progress in degraded wetlands, with priority to wetlands that are relevant for biodiversity conservation, disaster risk reduction, livelihoods and/or climate change mitigation and adaptation. {1.8.}

12.1 Have priority sites for wetland restoration been identified? {1.8.1} KRA 1.8.i
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned
☐ X=Unknown
☐ Y=Not Relevant

12.1 Additional information

12.2 Have wetland restoration/rehabilitation programmes, plans or projects been effectively implemented? {1.8.2} KRA 1.8.i
Please select only one option
☑ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned
☐ X=Unknown
☐ Y=Not Relevant

12.2 Additional information
If ‘Yes’ or ‘Partially’, please indicate, if available the extent of wetlands restored

Target 13
Enhanced sustainability of key sectors such as water, energy, mining, agriculture, tourism, urban development, infrastructure, industry, forestry, aquaculture and fisheries when they affect wetlands, contributing to biodiversity conservation and human livelihoods

13.1 Have actions been taken to enhance sustainability of key sectors such as water, energy, mining, agriculture, tourism, urban development, infrastructure, industry, forestry, aquaculture and fisheries when they affect wetlands?
Please select only one option
☑ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

13.1. Additional information
If ‘Yes’, please indicate the actions taken

13.2 Are Strategic Environmental Assessment practices applied when reviewing policies, programmes and plans that may impact upon wetlands? {1.3.3} {1.3.4} KRA 1.3.ii
Please select only one option
☑ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
13.2 Additional information

13.3 Are Environmental Impact Assessments made for any development projects (such as new buildings, new roads, extractive industry) from key sectors such as water, energy, mining, agriculture, tourism, urban development, infrastructure, industry, forestry, aquaculture and fisheries that may affect wetlands?

Please select only one option
- A=Yes
- B=No
- C=Some Cases

13.3 Additional information

Goal 4. Enhancing implementation

Target 15
Ramsar Regional Initiatives with the active involvement and support of the Parties in each region are reinforced and developed into effective tools to assist in the full implementation of the Convention. {3.2.}

15.1 Have you (AA) been involved in the development and implementation of a Regional Initiative under the framework of the Convention? {3.2.1} KRA 3.2.i

Please select only one option
- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

15.1 Additional information

If ‘Yes’ or ‘Planned’, please indicate the regional initiative(s) and the collaborating countries of each initiative

> Carpathian Wetland Initiative. We collaborate with Slovakia especially.

15.2 Has your country supported or participated in the development of other regional (i.e., covering more than one country) wetland training and research centres? {3.2.2}

Please select only one option
- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

15.2 Additional information

If ‘Yes’, please indicate the name(s) of the centre(s)

> Wetland centre in Banská Bystrica, Slovakia.

Target 16
Wetlands conservation and wise use are mainstreamed through communication, capacity development, education, participation and awareness {4.1}

16.1 Has an action plan (or plans) for wetland CEPA been established? {4.1.1} KRA 4.1.i

Even if no CEPA plans have been developed, if broad CEPA objectives for CEPA actions have been established, please indicate this in the Additional information section below

Please select only one per square.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a) At the national level</th>
<th>□ A=Yes</th>
<th>□ B=No</th>
<th>□ C=In Progress</th>
<th>□ D=Planned</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>b) Sub national level</td>
<td>□ A=Yes</td>
<td>□ B=No</td>
<td>□ C=In Progress</td>
<td>□ D=Planned</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
16.1 Additional information
If ‘Yes’ or ‘In progress’ to one or more of the four questions above, for each please describe the mechanism, who is responsible and identify if it has involved CEPA NFPs

- Administrations of protected landscape areas and national parcs where RS are located have their own plan and system of ecological education focused on wetlands. The electronic version of educational programme on wetlands and their role in ecosystems and in the landscape has been prepared for schools in the framework of the project Conservation, Research and Sustainable Use of Wetlands in the Czech Republic. See: http://pdpmokrady.cz/en/vystupy-projektu/

16.2a How many centres (visitor centres, interpretation centres, education centres) have been established? {4.1.2} KRA 4.1.ii
a) at Ramsar Sites
Please select only one option
☑ E=Exact Number (centres)
- 5
☐ F=Less than (centres)
- ☐ G=More than (centres)
  - ☐ C=Partially
  - ☐ X=Unknown
  - ☐ Y=Not Relevant

16.2b How many centres (visitor centres, interpretation centres, education centres) have been established? {4.1.2} KRA 4.1.ii
b) at other wetlands
Please select only one option
☑ E=Exact Number (centres)
- 8
☐ F=Less than (centres)
- ☐ G=More than (centres)
  - ☐ C=Partially
  - ☐ X=Unknown
  - ☐ Y=Not Relevant

16.2 Additional information
If centres are part of national or international networks, please describe the networks
- These centres are part of national network of Houses of Nature(see: http://www.dumprirody.cz/en/the-houses-of-nature/
  Vision
  Positive education and enlightenment for visitors and residents in protected areas.
  Mission
  To build a network of houses of nature in selected protected landscape areas and possibly also in the most visited national nature reserves and monuments administered by the Nature Conservation Agency of the Czech Republic, and to implement measures proposed in the management plans for these protected areas.

16.3 Does the Contracting Party {4.1.3} KRA 4.1.iii
Please select only one per square.
16.3 Additional information
If ‘Yes’ or ‘Partially’, please provide information about the ways in which stakeholders are involved

16.4 Do you have an operational cross-sectoral National Ramsar/Wetlands Committee? {4.1.6} KRA 4.3.v
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned
☐ X=Unknown
☐ Y=Not Relevant

16.4 Additional information
If ‘Yes’, indicate a) its membership; b) number of meetings since COP12; and c) what responsibilities the Committee has

The Czech Ramsar Committee shall be an advisory body of the Ministry of the Environment in relation to the conservation of wetlands. The Committee shall facilitate effective fulfillment of the obligations following for the Czech Republic from the Convention on Wetlands and promulgated in the Collection of Laws under No. 396/1990 Coll.

The Czech Ramsar Committee deals with topical issues related to implementation of the Ramsar Convention in the Czech Republic and prepare recommendations for negotiations of the Ministry with the bodies of the Ramsar Convention and other contracting parties to the Ramsar Convention; coordinate the preparation of expert documents required for designation of wetlands of international importance; participate in the preparation and updating of plans of management of specially protected territories encompassing wetlands of international importance; propose to the Ministry measures in case of danger to wetlands of international importance; participate in the preparation of bilateral and multilateral programs of cooperation between the Czech Republic and other contracting parties to the Ramsar Convention in conservation and wise use of wetlands; participate in the preparation of information and educational materials for raising public awareness of conservation of wetlands and waterfowl; fulfill other tasks as an advisory body in matters of Ramsar Convention, conservation of wetlands and their biodiversity.

The Committee shall have at least 7 and no more than 15 members. Employees of the Ministry and organizations of the Ministry, with the consent of their superiors, workers of scientific and research workplaces and members of public associations whose mission, according to their statutes, is to protect nature and the landscape may be members of the Committee. If necessary for activities of the Committee, its members may also include employees of the Ministry of Agriculture. Currently there are 2 representatives of MoE, 2 repres. of Nature Conservation Agency, and one representative of following institutions: Water Research Institute, National Muzeum, Univerzity of South Bohemia, Crop Research Institute, Administration of Sumava NP, Czech Society for Ornithology, Czech Union of Nature Conservationists, Ornithological station of Muzeum Komenského, Secretary of the Czech National Committee of MaB UNESCO. The Committee meets twice a year, since COP12 the Committee has met 5x.

16.5 Do you have an operational cross-sectoral body equivalent to a National Ramsar/Wetlands Committee? {4.1.6} KRA 4.3.v
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned
☐ X=Unknown
☐ Y=Not Relevant

16.5 Additional information
If ‘Yes’, indicate a) its membership; b) number of meetings since COP12; and c) what responsibilities the Committee
16.6 Are other communication mechanisms (apart from a national committee) in place to share Ramsar implementation guidelines and other information between the Administrative Authority and a), b) or c) below? {4.1.7} KRA 4.1.vi:
Please select only one per square.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A=Yes</th>
<th>B=No</th>
<th>C=Partially</th>
<th>D=Planned</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Ramsar Site managers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) other MEA national focal points</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) other ministries, departments and agencies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

16.6 Additional information
If ‘Yes’ or ‘Partially’, please describe what mechanisms are in place
› The communication is ensured through membership in the Czech Ramsar Committee and its Expert group, WG on CMS issues, WG for UNEP Conventions and WG for UNESCO.

16.7 Have Ramsar-branded World Wetlands Day activities (whether on 2 February or at another time of year), either government and NGO-led or both, been carried out in the country since COP12? {4.1.8}
Please select only one option
☑ A=Yes
☐ B=No

16.7 Additional information
› MoE and Nature Conservation Agency inform about WWD through websites and organise seminar on wetlands. Simultaneously there are amount of activities NGOs including the Czech Society for Ornithology, zoological sections of museums, Centres of Ecological Educations.

16.8 Have campaigns, programmes, and projects (other than for World Wetlands Day-related activities) been carried out since COP12 to raise awareness of the importance of wetlands to people and wildlife and the ecosystem benefits/services provided by wetlands? {4.1.9}
Please select only one option
☑ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.8 Additional information
If these and other CEPA activities have been undertaken by other organizations, please indicate this
› Czech Society for Ornithology, zoological sections of museums, regional offices of Nature Conservation Agency.

**Target 17**
Financial and other resources for effectively implementing the fourth Ramsar Strategic Plan 2016 – 2024 from all sources are made available. {4.2.}

17.1a Have Ramsar contributions been paid in full for 2015, 2016 and 2017? {4.2.1} KRA 4.2.i
Please select only one option
☑ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ Z=Not Applicable

17.1b If ‘No’ in 17.1 a), please clarify what plan is in place to ensure future prompt payment

17.2 Has any additional financial support been provided through voluntary contributions to non-core
funded Convention activities? {4.2.2} KRA 4.2.i

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☑ B=No

17.2 Additional information
If ‘Yes’ please state the amounts, and for which activities

17.3 [For Contracting Parties with a development assistance agency only (‘donor countries’)]: Has the agency provided funding to support wetland conservation and management in other countries? {3.3.1} KRA 3.3.i

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☑ Z=Not Applicable

17.3 Additional information
If ‘Yes’, please indicate the countries supported since COP12

17.4 [For Contracting Parties with a development assistance agency only (‘donor countries’)]: Have environmental safeguards and assessments been included in development proposals proposed by the agency? {3.3.2} KRA 3.3.ii

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ X=Unknown
☑ Y=Not Relevant
☐ Z=Not Applicable

17.4 Additional information

17.5 [For Contracting Parties that have received development assistance only (‘recipient countries’)]: Has funding support been received from development assistance agencies specifically for in-country wetland conservation and management? {3.3.3}

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☑ Z=Not Applicable

17.5 Additional information
If ‘Yes’, please indicate from which countries/agencies since COP12

17.6 Has any financial support been provided by your country to the implementation of the Strategic Plan?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☑ Z=Not Applicable

17.6 Additional information
If “Yes” please state the amounts, and for which activities

Target 18
International cooperation is strengthened at all levels {3.1}

18.1 Are the national focal points of other MEAs invited to participate in the National Ramsar/Wetland Committee? {3.1.1} {3.1.2} KRAs 3.1.i & 3.1.iv

Please select only one option
☑ A=Yes
18.1 Additional information
› NFP for Ramsar convention is simultaneously a NFP for CMS, AEWA, Eurobats and Raptors MoU. However members of the Czech Ramsar Committee and its expert group are also experts responsible for expertize of CMS and its agreements.

18.2 Are mechanisms in place at the national level for collaboration between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the focal points of UN and other global and regional bodies and agencies (e.g. UNEP, UNDP, WHO, FAO, UNECE, ITTO)? {3.1.2} {3.1.3} KRA 3.1.iv
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

18.2 Additional information
› There is a working group for all NFPs of conventions under UNEP. The WG meets one a year. Also there is a WG for CMS and its agreements. The meeting of the WG is organised once a year, in January usually. The WG evaluates implementation of all CMS agreements and plans activities and projects for next year, inform on COP, MOP and other relevant meetings, discuss topics important for the Czech Republic.

18.3 Has your country received assistance from one or more UN and other global and regional bodies and agencies (e.g. UNEP, UNDP, WHO, FAO, UNECE, ITTO) or the Convention’s IOPs in its implementation of the Convention? {4.4.1} KRA 4.4.ii.
The IOPs are: BirdLife International, the International Water Management Institute (IWMI), IUCN (International Union for Conservation of Nature), Wetlands International, WWF and Wildfowl & Wetland Trust (WWT).
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☑ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned
☐ X=Unknown
☐ Y=Not Relevant

18.3 Additional information
If ‘Yes’ please name the agency (es) or IOP (s) and the type of assistance received

18.4 Have networks, including twinning arrangements, been established, nationally or internationally, for knowledge sharing and training for wetlands that share common features? {3.4.1}
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☑ D=Planned

18.4 Additional information
If ‘Yes’ or ‘Partially’, please indicate the networks and wetlands involved

18.5 Has information about your country’s wetlands and/or Ramsar Sites and their status been made public (e.g., through publications or a website)? {3.4.2} KRA 3.4.iv
Please select only one option
☑ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

18.5 Additional information
› Information has been made through website.
18.6 Has information about your country’s wetlands and/or Ramsar Sites been transmitted to the Ramsar Secretariat for dissemination? {3.4.3} KRA 3.4.ii
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☑ D=Planned

18.6 Additional Information
› We are planning to translate results and outputs of the project Conservation, Research and Sustainable Use of Wetlands of the Czech Republic and provide them to the Ramsar Secretariat.

18.7 Have all transboundary wetland systems been identified? {3.5.1} KRA 3.5.i
Please select only one option
☑ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned
☐ Z=Not Applicable

18.7 Additional information
›

18.8 Is effective cooperative management in place for shared wetland systems (for example, in shared river basins and coastal zones)? {3.5.2} KRA 3.5.ii
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☑ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned
☐ Y=Not Relevant

18.8 Additional information
If ‘Yes’ or ‘Partially’, please indicate for which wetland systems such management is in place
›

18.9 Does your country participate in regional networks or initiatives for wetland-dependent migratory species? {3.5.3} KRA 3.5.iii
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☑ B=No
☐ D=Planned
☐ Z=Not Applicable

18.9 Additional information
›

Target 19
Capacity building for implementation of the Convention and the 4th Ramsar Strategic Plan 2016 – 2024 is enhanced.

19.1 Has an assessment of national and local training needs for the implementation of the Convention been made? {4.1.4} KRAs 4.1.iv & 4.1.viii
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☑ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

19.1 Additional information
›

19.2 Are wetland conservation and wise-use issues included in formal education programmes?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☑ C=Partially
19.2 Additional information
If you answer yes to the above please provide information on which mechanisms and materials

19.3a How many opportunities for wetland site manager training have been provided since COP12?
{4.1.5} KRA 4.1.iv
a) at Ramsar Sites
Please select only one option
☐ E=Exact number (opportunities)
☐ F=Less than (opportunities)
☐ G=More than (opportunities)
☐ C=Partially
☐ X=Unknown
☐ Y=Not Relevant

19.3b How many opportunities for wetland site manager training have been provided since COP12?
{4.1.5} KRA 4.1.iv
b) at other wetlands
Please select only one option
☐ E=Exact number (opportunities)
☐ F=Less than (opportunities)
☐ G=More than (opportunities)
☐ C=Partially
☐ X=Unknown
☐ Y=Not Relevant

19.3 Additional information
including whether the Ramsar Wise Use Handbooks were used in the training

19.4 Have you (AA) used your previous Ramsar National Reports in monitoring implementation of the Convention? {4.3.1} KRA 4.3.ii
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☑ B=No
☐ D=Planned
☐ Z=Not Applicable

19.4 Additional information
If 'Yes', please indicate how the Reports have been used for monitoring
Section 4. Optional annex to allow any Contracting Party that has developed national targets to provide information on those

Goal 1

Target 1: Wetland benefits
Wetland benefits are featured in national / local policy strategies and plans relating to key sectors such as water, energy, mining, agriculture, tourism, urban development, infrastructure, industry, forestry, aquaculture, fisheries at the national and local level. Contributes to Aichi Target 2

Target 1: Wetland benefits - Priority
Please select only one option
☐ A=High
☐ B=Medium
☐ C=Low
☐ D=Not relevant
☐ E=No answer

Target 1: Wetland benefits - Resourcing
Please select only one option
☐ A=Good
☐ B=Adequate
☐ C=Limiting
☐ D=Severely limiting
☐ E=No answer

Target 1: Wetland benefits - National Targets

Target 1: Wetland benefits - Planned activity

Target 1: Wetland benefits - Outcomes achieved by 2018
Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals

Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018

Target 1: Wetland benefits - Additional Information

**Target 2: Water Use**

Water use respects wetland ecosystem needs for them to fulfil their functions and provide services at the appropriate scale inter alia at the basin level or along a coastal zone. Contributes to Aichi Targets 7 and 8 and Sustainable Development Goal 6.3.1

**Target 2: Water Use - Priority**

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=High  
☐ B=Medium  
☐ C=Low  
☐ D=Not relevant  
☐ E=No answer

**Target 2: Water Use - Resourcing**

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Good  
☐ B=Adequate  
☐ C=Limiting  
☐ D=Severely limiting  
☐ E=No answer

**Target 2: Water Use - National Targets**

›

**Target 2: Water Use - Planned activity**

›

**Target 2: Water Use - Outcomes achieved by 2018**

Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals

**Note:** this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018

›

**Target 2: Water Use - Additional Information**

›
**Target 3: Public and private sectors**

Public and private sectors have increased their efforts to apply guidelines and good practices for the wise use of water and wetlands. \(1.10\). Contributes to Aichi Targets 3, 4, 7 and 8.

**Target 3: Public and private sectors - Priority**

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=High  
☐ B=Medium  
☐ C=Low  
☐ D=Not relevant  
☐ E=No answer

**Target 3: Public and private sectors - Resourcing**

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Good  
☐ B=Adequate  
☐ C=Limiting  
☐ D=Severely limiting  
☐ E=No answer

**Target 3: Public and private sectors - National Targets**

＞

**Target 3: Public and private sectors - Planned activity**

＞

**Target 3: Public and private sectors - Outcomes achieved by 2018**

Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals  
**Note:** this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018

＞

**Target 3: Public and private sectors - Additional Information**

＞
**Target 4: Invasive alien species**
Invasive alien species and pathways of introduction and expansion are identified and prioritized, priority invasive alien species are controlled or eradicated, and management responses are prepared and implemented to prevent their introduction and establishment. Contributes to Aichi Target 9.

**Target 4: Invasive alien species - Priority**
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=High  
☐ B=Medium  
☐ C=Low  
☐ D=Not relevant  
☐ E=No answer

**Target 4: Invasive alien species - Resourcing**
*Please select only one option*
☐ A=Good  
☐ B=Adequate  
☐ C=Limiting  
☐ D=Severely limiting  
☐ E=No answer

**Target 4: Invasive alien species - National Targets**

**Target 4: Invasive alien species - Planned activity**

**Target 4: Invasive alien species - Outcomes achieved by 2018**
Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals
*Note:* this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018

**Target 4: Invasive alien species - Additional Information**
Goal 2

**Target 5: Ecological character of Ramsar Sites**
The ecological character of Ramsar Sites is maintained or restored through effective, planning and integrated management {2.1.}. Contributes to Aichi Target 6,11, 12.

**Target 5: Ecological character of Ramsar Sites - Priority**
Please select only one option
☐ A=High
☐ B=Medium
☐ C=Low
☐ D=Not relevant
☐ E=No answer

**Target 5: Ecological character of Ramsar Sites - Resourcing**
Please select only one option
☐ A=Good
☐ B=Adequate
☐ C=Limiting
☐ D=Severely limiting
☐ E=No answer

**Target 5: Ecological character of Ramsar Sites - National Targets**

**Target 5: Ecological character of Ramsar Sites - Planned activity**

**Target 5: Ecological character of Ramsar Sites - Outcomes achieved by 2018**
Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals

**Note:** this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018

**Target 5: Ecological character of Ramsar Sites - Additional Information**
Target 7: Sites at risk
Sites that are at risk of change of ecological character have threats addressed {2.6.}. Contributes to Aichi Targets 5, 7, 11, 12.

Target 7: Sites at risk - Priority

Please select only one option
☐ A=High
☐ B=Medium
☐ C=Low
☐ D=Not relevant
☐ E=No answer

Target 7: Sites at risk - Resourcing

Please select only one option
☐ A=Good
☐ B=Adequate
☐ C=Limiting
☐ D=Severely limiting
☐ E=No answer

Target 7: Sites at risk - National Targets

>

Target 7: Sites at risk - Planned activity

>

Target 7: Sites at risk - Outcomes achieved by 2018

Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals

Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018

>

Target 7: Sites at risk - Additional Information

>
Goal 3

**Target 8: National wetland inventories**

National wetland inventories have been either initiated, completed or updated and disseminated and used for promoting the conservation and effective management of all wetlands {1.1.1} KRA 1.1.i. Contributes to Aichi Targets 12, 14, 18, 19.

Target 8: National wetland inventories - Priority

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=High  
☐ B=Medium  
☐ C=Low  
☐ D=Not relevant  
☐ E=No answer

Target 8: National wetland inventories - Resourcing

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Good  
☐ B=Adequate  
☐ C=Limiting  
☐ D=Severely limiting  
☐ E=No answer

Target 8: National wetland inventories - National Targets

>

Target 8: National wetland inventories - Planned activity

>

Target 8: National wetland inventories - Outcomes achieved by 2018

Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals  
**Note:** this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018

>

Target 8: National wetland inventories - Additional Information

>
**Target 9: Wise Use**
The wise use of wetlands is strengthened through integrated resource management at the appropriate scale, inter alia, within a river basin or along a coastal zone {1.3.}. Contributes to Aichi Targets 4, 6, 7.

**Target 9: Wise Use - Priority**
*Please select only one option*
- □ A=High
- □ B=Medium
- □ C=Low
- □ D=Not relevant
- □ E=No answer

**Target 9: Wise Use - Resourcing**
*Please select only one option*
- □ A=Good
- □ B=Adequate
- □ C=Limiting
- □ D=Severely limiting
- □ E=No answer

**Target 9: Wise Use - National Targets**

**Target 9: Wise Use - Planned activity**

**Target 9: Wise Use - Outcomes achieved by 2018**
Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals
*Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018*

**Target 9: Wise Use - Additional Information**
**Target 10: Traditional Knowledge**

The traditional knowledge innovations and practices of indigenous peoples and local communities relevant for the wise use of wetlands and their customary use of wetland resources, are documented, respected, subject to national legislation and relevant international obligations and fully integrated and reflected in the implementation of the Convention with a full and effective participation of indigenous and local communities at all relevant levels. Contributes to Aichi Target 18.

**Target 10: Traditional Knowledge - Priority**

*Please select only one option*
- ☐ A=High
- ☐ B=Medium
- ☐ C=Low
- ☐ D=Not relevant
- ☐ E=No answer

**Target 10: Traditional Knowledge - Resourcing**

*Please select only one option*
- ☐ A=Good
- ☐ B=Adequate
- ☐ C=Limiting
- ☐ D=Severely limiting
- ☐ E=No answer

**Target 10: Traditional Knowledge - National Targets**

›

**Target 10: Traditional Knowledge - Planned activity**

›

**Target 10: Traditional Knowledge - Outcomes achieved by 2018**

Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals

**Note:** this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018

›

**Target 10: Traditional Knowledge - Additional Information**

›
Target 11: Wetland functions

Wetland functions, services and benefits are widely demonstrated, documented and disseminated. {1.4.}. Contributes to Aichi Targets 1, 2, 13, 14.

Target 11: Wetland functions - Priority
Please select only one option
☐ A=High
☐ B=Medium
☐ C=Low
☐ D=Not relevant
☐ E=No answer

Target 11: Wetland functions - Resourcing
Please select only one option
☐ A=Good
☐ B=Adequate
☐ C=Limiting
☐ D=Severely limiting
☐ E=No answer

Target 11: Wetland functions - National Targets

Target 11: Wetland functions - Planned activity

Target 11: Wetland functions - Outcomes achieved by 2018

Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals

Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018

Target 11: Wetland functions - Additional Information

>
**Target 12: Restoration**

Restoration is in progress in degraded wetlands, with priority to wetlands that are relevant for biodiversity conservation, disaster risk reduction, livelihoods and/or climate change mitigation and adaptation. {1.8.}. Contributes to Aichi Targets 14 and 15.

**Target 12: Restoration - Priority**

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=High  
☐ B=Medium  
☐ C=Low  
☐ D=Not relevant  
☐ E=No answer

**Target 12: Restoration - Resourcing**

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Good  
☐ B=Adequate  
☐ C=Limiting  
☐ D=Severely limiting  
☐ E=No answer

**Target 12: Restoration - National Targets**

>

**Target 12: Restoration - Planned activity**

>

**Target 12: Restoration - Outcomes achieved by 2018**

Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals

**Note:** this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018

>

**Target 12: Restoration - Additional Information**

>
Target 13: Enhanced sustainability
Enhanced sustainability of key sectors such as water, energy, mining, agriculture, tourism, urban development, infrastructure, industry, forestry, aquaculture and fisheries when they affect wetlands, contributing to biodiversity conservation and human livelihoods. Contributes to Aichi Targets 6 and 7.

Target 13: Enhanced sustainability - Priority
Please select only one option
☐ A=High
☐ B=Medium
☐ C=Low
☐ D=Not relevant
☐ E=No answer

Target 13: Enhanced sustainability - Resourcing
Please select only one option
☐ A=Good
☐ B=Adequate
☐ C=Limiting
☐ D=Severely limiting
☐ E=No answer

Target 13: Enhanced sustainability - National Targets

Target 13: Enhanced sustainability - Planned activity

Target 13: Enhanced sustainability - Outcomes achieved by 2018
Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals
Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018

Target 13: Enhanced sustainability - Additional Information
Goal 4

Target 15: Regional Initiatives
Ramsar Regional Initiatives with the active involvement and support of the Parties in each region are reinforced and developed into effective tools to assist in the full implementation of the Convention. {3.2.}

Target 15: Regional Initiatives - Priority
*Please select only one option*
- ☐ A=High
- ☐ B=Medium
- ☐ C=Low
- ☐ D=Not relevant
- ☐ E=No answer

Target 15: Regional Initiatives - Resourcing
*Please select only one option*
- ☐ A=Good
- ☐ B=Adequate
- ☐ C=Limiting
- ☐ D=Severely limiting
- ☐ E=No answer

Target 15: Regional Initiatives - National Targets


Target 15: Regional Initiatives - Planned activity


Target 15: Regional Initiatives - Outcomes achieved by 2018
Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals

**Note:** this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018


Target 15: Regional Initiatives - Additional Information


Target 16: Wetlands conservation and wise use
Wetlands conservation and wise use are mainstreamed through communication, capacity development, education, participation and awareness {4.1}. Contributes to Aichi Target 1 and 18.

Target 16: Wetlands conservation and wise use - Priority
Please select only one option
☐ A=High
☐ B=Medium
☐ C=Low
☐ D=Not relevant
☐ E=No answer

Target 16: Wetlands conservation and wise use - Resourcing
Please select only one option
☐ A=Good
☐ B=Adequate
☐ C=Limiting
☐ D=Severely limiting
☐ E=No answer

Target 16: Wetlands conservation and wise use - National Targets
>

Target 16: Wetlands conservation and wise use - Planned activity
>

Target 16: Wetlands conservation and wise use - Outcomes achieved by 2018
Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals
Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018
>

Target 16: Wetlands conservation and wise use - Additional Information
>
Target 17: Financial and other resources
Financial and other resources for effectively implementing the fourth Ramsar Strategic Plan 2016 – 2024 from all sources are made available. {4.2.}. Contributes to Aichi Target 20.

Target 17: Financial and other resources - Priority
Please select only one option
☐ A=High
☐ B=Medium
☐ C=Low
☐ D=Not relevant
☐ E=No answer

Target 17: Financial and other resources - Resourcing
Please select only one option
☐ A=Good
☐ B=Adequate
☐ C=Limiting
☐ D=Severely limiting
☐ E=No answer

Target 17: Financial and other resources - National Targets

Target 17: Financial and other resources - Planned activity

Target 17: Financial and other resources - Outcomes achieved by 2018
Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals

Note: this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018

Target 17: Financial and other resources - Additional Information
**Target 18: International cooperation**

International cooperation is strengthened at all levels {3.1}

**Target 18: International cooperation - Priority**

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=High  
☐ B=Medium  
☐ C=Low  
☐ D=Not relevant  
☐ E=No answer

**Target 18: International cooperation - Resourcing**

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Good  
☐ B=Adequate  
☐ C=Limiting  
☐ D=Severely limiting  
☐ E=No answer

**Target 18: International cooperation - National Targets**

>  

**Target 18: International cooperation - Planned activity**

>  

**Target 18: International cooperation - Outcomes achieved by 2018**

Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals  
**Note:** this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018

>  

**Target 18: International cooperation - Additional Information**
**Target 19: Capacity Building**
Capacity building for implementation of the Convention and the 4th Ramsar Strategic Plan 2016 – 2024 is enhanced. Contributes to Aichi Targets 1 and 17.

**Target 19: Capacity Building - Priority**
*Please select only one option*

- □ A=High
- □ B=Medium
- □ C=Low
- □ D=Not relevant
- □ E=No answer

**Target 19: Capacity Building - Resourcing**
*Please select only one option*

- □ A=Good
- □ B=Adequate
- □ C=Limiting
- □ D=Severely limiting
- □ E=No answer

**Target 19: Capacity Building - National Targets**
>

**Target 19: Capacity Building - Planned activity**
>

**Target 19: Capacity Building - Outcomes achieved by 2018**
Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Goals

**Note:** this field has to be completed when the full report is submitted in January 2018
>

**Target 19: Capacity Building - Additional Information**
>
**Section 5: Optional annex to allow any Contracting Party that so wishes to provide additional information regarding any of all of its designated Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Sites)**

**Guidance for filling in this section**

1. Contracting Parties can provide additional information specific to any or all of their designated Ramsar Sites, given that the situation and status of individual Ramsar Sites can differ greatly within the territory of a Contracting Party.
2. The only indicator questions included in this section are those from Section 3 of the COP13 NRF which directly concern Ramsar Sites.
3. In some cases, to make them meaningful in the context of reporting on each Ramsar Site separately, some of these indicator questions and/or their answer options have been adjusted from their formulation in Section 3 of the COP13 NRF.
4. Please include information on only one site in each row. In the appropriate columns please add the name and official site number (from the Ramsar Sites Information Service).
5. For each ‘indicator question’, please select one answer from the legend.
6. A final column of this Annex is provided as a ‘free text’ box for the inclusion of any additional information concerning the Ramsar Site.

A final column of this Annex is provided as a ‘free text’ box for the inclusion of any additional information concerning the Ramsar Site.

**Czech Republic**

**Jizera Headwaters (2074)**

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

*Please select only one option*
- ☐ A=Yes
- ☐ B=No
- ☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

*Please select only one option*
- ☐ A=Yes
- ☐ B=No
- ☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*
- ☐ A=Yes
- ☐ B=No
- ☐ C=Partially
- ☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*
- ☐ A=Yes
- ☐ B=No
- ☐ C=Partially
- ☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*
- ☐ A=Yes
- ☐ B=No
- ☐ C=Partially
- ☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder
involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Krkonošská rašeliniště (637)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
Any additional comments/information about the site

Krušnohorská rašeliniště (1670)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ C=Partially  
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Lednické rybníky (497)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes  
☐ B=No  
☐ D=Planned
5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
- A=Yes
- B=No
- C=Partially
- D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
- A=Yes
- B=No
- C=Partially
- Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
- A=Yes
- B=No
- C=Partially
- Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Liběchovka and Pšovka Brooks (922)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
- A=Yes
- B=No
- D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
- A=Yes
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐A=Yes
☐B=No
☐C=Partially
☐Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐A=Yes
☐B=No
☐C=Partially
☐Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐A=Yes
☐B=No
☐D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option

☐A=Yes
☐B=No
☐D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Litovelské Pomoraví (638)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option

☐A=Yes
☐B=No
☐D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option

☐A=Yes
☐B=No
☐D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐A=Yes
☐B=No
☐C=Partially
☐D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐A=Yes
☐B=No
☐C=Partially
☐Z=No Management Plan
11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Mokřady dolního Podyjí (635)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
*Please select only one option*
- [ ] A=Yes
- [ ] B=No
- [ ] D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

**Novozámecký a Brehyňský rybník (496)**

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?
*Please select only one option*
- [ ] A=Yes
- [ ] B=No
- [ ] D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.
*Please select only one option*
- [ ] A=Yes
- [ ] B=No
- [ ] D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
- [ ] A=Yes
- [ ] B=No
- [ ] C=Partially
- [ ] D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
- [ ] A=Yes
- [ ] B=No
- [ ] C=Partially
- [ ] Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
- [ ] A=Yes
- [ ] B=No
- [ ] C=Partially
- [ ] Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?
*Please select only one option*
- [ ] A=Yes
- [ ] B=No
- [ ] D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?
*Please select only one option*
- [ ] A=Yes
- [ ] B=No
- [ ] D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site
Poodří (639)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

> 

Pramenné vývěry a rašeliniště Slavkovského lesa (2075)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

*Please select only one option*

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of
11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Punkva subterranean stream (1413)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option

☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned
11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Šumavská rašeliniště (494)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Třeboňská rašeliniště (636)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned
16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

Třeboňské rybníky (495)

5.7 Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

5.9 If an assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management has been made please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ D=Planned

11.3 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

11.4 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ C=Partially
☐ Z=No Management Plan

16.3a Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

16.6a Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

Please select only one option
☐ A=Yes
☐ B=No
☐ D=Planned

Any additional comments/information about the site

>