



## **NATIONAL REPORT ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE RAMSAR CONVENTION ON WETLANDS**

**National Reports to be submitted to the 12<sup>th</sup> Meeting  
of the Conference of the Contracting Parties,  
Uruguay, 2015**

Please submit the completed National Report in Microsoft Word format (.doc, 97-2003), as an electronic file (not a printed copy) and preferably by e-mail, to Alexia Dufour, Regional Affairs Officer, Ramsar Secretariat ([dufour@ramsar.org](mailto:dufour@ramsar.org)) by **1 September 2014**.

## The structure of the COP12 National Report Format

The COP12 National Report Format (NRF) is in four sections:

**Section 1** provides the institutional information about the Administrative Authority and National Focal Points for the national implementation of the Convention.



**Section 2** is a ‘free-text’ section in which the Party is invited to provide a summary of various aspects of national implementation progress and recommendations for the future.

**Section 3** provides the 66 implementation indicator questions, grouped under each Convention implementation strategy in the Strategic Plan 2009-2015, and with an optional ‘free-text’ section under each indicator question in which the Contracting Party may, if it wishes, add further information on national implementation of that activity.

**Section 4** is an optional annex to allow any Contracting Party that so wishes to provide additional information regarding any or all of its Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Sites).

## General guidance for completing and submitting the COP12 National Report Format

**IMPORTANT – PLEASE READ THIS GUIDANCE SECTION BEFORE STARTING TO COMPLETE THE NATIONAL REPORT FORMAT**

1. All Sections of the COP12 NRF should be completed in one of the Convention’s official languages (English, French, Spanish).
2. The deadline for submission of the completed NRF is **1 September 2014**. It will not be possible to include information from National Reports received after that date in the analysis and reporting on Convention implementation to COP12.
3. All fields with a pale yellow background  must be filled in.
4. Fields with a pale green background  are free-text fields in which to provide additional information, if the Contracting Party so wishes. Although providing information in these fields is optional, Contracting Parties are encouraged to provide such additional information wherever possible and relevant, as it helps us understand Parties’ progress and activity more fully, to prepare the best possible global and regional implementation reports to COP.
5. The Format is created as a form in Microsoft Word. You are only able to submit replies and information in the yellow or green boxes, as all other parts of the form are locked to ensure that the structure and wording of indicators will remain uniform and comparable for all Parties.
6. To select a yellow or green field you wish to complete, move the cursor over the relevant part of the form and left-click the mouse. The cursor will automatically move to the next field available.
7. To move down through the sequence of fields, you can also use the ‘Tab’ key on the computer keyboard.

8. For a 'free-text' field, you can type in whatever information you wish. Note that there is only limited facility within the Microsoft 'form' format to make editorial changes in the 'free-text' box once text has been entered. Therefore, if you wish to amend any of the text you have put in a green or yellow 'free-text' box, you should cut and paste the existing text into a separate document, make all the amendments, and then cut and paste the revised text back into the box.
9. Certain keyboard characters interfere with the automatic entry of data into the Secretariat's database. For that reason, please **do not use double quote marks " "** in the 'free-text' fields. Please **only use single quote marks ' '**. For the same reason, please **only use simple text in the 'free-text' fields: they cannot accept formatting, colours or objects such as tables and images.**
10. For each of the 'indicator questions' in Section 3, a drop-down menu of answer options is provided. These vary between indicators, depending on the question, but are generally of the form: 'Yes', 'No', 'Partly', 'In progress'. This is necessary so that statistical comparisons can be made of the replies.
11. For each indicator question you can choose only one answer. If you wish to provide further information or clarification, do so in the green additional information box below the relevant indicator question. Please be as concise as possible (**maximum of 500 words** in each free-text box).
12. To select an answer to an indicator question, use the Tab key, or move the cursor over the relevant yellow box and left-click the mouse. The drop-down menu of answer options will appear. Left-click the mouse on the answer option you choose, and this will appear in the centre of the yellow box.
13. An NRF is not usually completed by one person alone: for many indicators it is best for the principal compiler to consult with colleagues in their agency and others within the government and, as appropriate, with NGOs and other stakeholders who might have fuller knowledge of aspects of the Party's overall implementation of the Convention. The principal compiler can save the document at any point and return to it later to continue or to amend answers. Compilers should refer back to the National Report submitted for COP11 to ensure the continuity and consistency of information provided.
14. After each session, **remember to save the file** in Microsoft Word, .doc, 97-2003 format. A recommended filename structure is: COP12NRF [Country] [date], for example: COP12NRFSpain13July2014.doc
15. After the NRF has been completed, please **send it in this format to Alexia Dufour, Regional Affairs Officer, Ramsar Convention Secretariat, preferably by e-mail (dufour@ramsar.org).**
16. The completed NRF **must be accompanied by a letter or e-mail message in the name of the Head of Administrative Authority, confirming that this is the Contracting Party's official submission of its COP12 National Report.**
17. If you have any questions or problems, please contact the Ramsar Secretariat for advice (e-mail as above).

## NATIONAL REPORT TO RAMSAR COP12

### SECTION 1: INSTITUTIONAL INFORMATION

**Important note:** the responses below will be considered by the Ramsar Secretariat as the definitive list of your focal points, and will be used to update the information it holds. The Secretariat's current information about your focal points is available at [www.ramsar.org/contacts.en](http://www.ramsar.org/contacts.en).

**NAME OF CONTRACTING PARTY: SWAZILAND**

#### DESIGNATED RAMSAR ADMINISTRATIVE AUTHORITY

<b>Name of Administrative Authority:</b>	Swaziland National Trust Commission (SNTC)
<b>Head of Administrative Authority - name and title:</b>	Mr. Titus S. Dlamini - Chief Executive Officer
<b>Mailing address:</b>	Box 100 (H107) Lobamba, Swaziland Parliament Drive – National Museum Building
<b>Telephone/Fax:</b>	Tel: (+268) 2416 1481/ 2416 1179/ 2416 1516 Fax: (+268) 2416 1875
<b>Email:</b>	ceo@sntc.org.sz / www.sntc.org

#### DESIGNATED NATIONAL FOCAL POINT FOR RAMSAR CONVENTION MATTERS

<b>Name and title:</b>	Mr. S.T. Gumedze
<b>Mailing address:</b>	Box 100 (H107) Lobamba, Swaziland Parliament Drive – National Museum Building
<b>Telephone/Fax:</b>	Tel: (+268) 2416 3351/ 2416 1179 / 2416 1516 Fax: (+268) 2416 1875
<b>Email:</b>	ecology@sntc.org.sz or gumedzesan@gmail.com

#### DESIGNATED NATIONAL FOCAL POINT FOR MATTERS RELATING TO THE SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL REVIEW PANEL ( STRP)

<b>Name and title:</b>	Prof. Absalom Manyatsi
<b>Name of organisation:</b>	University of Swaziland
<b>Mailing address:</b>	Private Bag 4, Kwaluseni, M201, Swaziland
<b>Telephone/Fax:</b>	Tel: (+268) 25170528/ Mobile (+268) 76043128
<b>Email:</b>	manyatsi@uniswa.sz

#### DESIGNATED GOVERNMENT NATIONAL FOCAL POINT FOR MATTERS RELATING TO THE PROGRAMME ON COMMUNICATION, EDUCATION, PARTICIPATION AND AWARENESS (CEPA)

<b>Name and title:</b>	Mr. Bhekithemba Thusi
<b>Name of organisation:</b>	Swaziland National Trust Commission
<b>Mailing address:</b>	P.O. Box 100, Lobamba, H107, Swaziland
<b>Telephone/Fax:</b>	Tel: (+268) 24161489/ Fax: (+268) 24161875
<b>Email:</b>	neep@sntc.org.sz or bthusi2000@yahoo.co.uk

#### DESIGNATED NON-GOVERNMENT NATIONAL FOCAL POINT FOR MATTERS RELATING TO THE PROGRAMME ON COMMUNICATION, EDUCATION, PARTICIPATION AND AWARENESS (CEPA)

<b>Name and title:</b>	Mr. Zidumo Mkhathshwa
<b>Name of organisation:</b>	Community Forestry Network (CFN)
<b>Mailing address:</b>	P.O. Box 531, Manzini, Swaziland
<b>Telephone/Fax:</b>	(+268) 24736124, (+268) 76111780
<b>Email:</b>	zbumkhathshwa@hotmail.com



## SECTION 2: GENERAL SUMMARY OF NATIONAL IMPLEMENTATION PROGRESS AND CHALLENGES

**REMINDER:** Please do not use double quote marks “ ”: use single quotes ‘ ’ instead.

**In your country, in the past triennium (i.e., since COP11 reporting):**

**A. What have been the five most successful aspects of implementation of the Convention?**

1) Communication Education and Public Awareness campaigns.

Radio programmes (English and siSwati) broadcasts on the commemoration theme and its importance plus a three (3) wetlands news items, Television programme (SiSwati Programme on the Breakfast Show), Print Media Article Publication (The Swazi Observer and The Times of Swaziland) - English advert & editorial supplement on the 2014 theme on wetlands, Adaptation of Materials to SiSwati language (cartoon message was re-written in the local language as a picture message) this cartoon messages was developed for the SNTC Environmental Education Centres.

2) Promulgation of the Environment Management Act of 2002.

3) Protection of Selected Wetlands on Swazi Nation Land (SNL).

4) Training on Wetlands Management under the IUCN ROSA.

5) Hosting an IUCN ROSA national wetlands wetlands management workshop in Swaziland.

**B. What have been the five greatest difficulties in implementing the Convention?**

1) Delayed ratification of the Ramsar Convention by the Government.

2) Lack of cooperation and enforcement by the institutions with responsibilities on wetland issues.

3) Shortage of financial resources to support wetland management activities.

4) The absence of a national wetland policy and legislation.

5) The absence of a land tenure policy for the country.

**C. What are the five priorities for future implementation of the Convention?**

1) Developing a national wetlands working programme.

2) Developing the country's wetlands policy and legislation.

3) Proclaiming wetland reserves.

4) National Training of Wetland Committees.

5) Mainstreaming Wetland Issues.

**D. Do you (AA) have any recommendations concerning implementation assistance from the Ramsar Secretariat?**

None as the assistance is appropriate at the present moment.

**E. Do you (AA) have any recommendations concerning implementation assistance from the Convention's International Organisation Partners (IOPs)? (including ongoing partnerships and partnerships to develop)**

None as the assistance are appropriate at the present moment.

**F. How can national implementation of the Ramsar Convention be better linked with implementation of other multilateral environmental agreements (MEAs), especially those in the 'biodiversity cluster' (Ramsar, Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD), Convention**

on Migratory Species (CMS), CITES, and World Heritage Convention), and UNCCD and UNFCCC?

The need for collaboration, partnering, and cooperation cannot be overemphasised due to the existing interrelations amongst these MEAs. Joint planning of projects with outputs delivering significant benefits in all focal areas is key to support advancement in biodiversity conservation on a national scale. The sectoral and independent approach is inefficient in the pooling of resources. The need for the private sector such as water corporations in the forms of parastatls involvement in protection/ conservation initiatives on wetlands is necessary for ensuring their future on a sustainable basis.

G. How can implementation of the Ramsar Convention be better linked with the implementation of water policy/strategy and other strategies in the country (e.g., on sustainable development, energy, extractive industries, poverty reduction, sanitation, food security, biodiversity)?

There is need for the water Policy/Strategy and Acts to emphasise wetlands as important habitats/ ecosystems that are critical in availing goods and services for the benefit of mankind as opposed to the current state of omission. It is noted that the Draft Water Policy (2009) has a thin section on wetlands which needs improvement. The role played by wetlands as part of climate change regulation is of outmost importance that needs support through wise-use actions that should be mainstreamed and enforced through dynamic and stringent environment audit and assessment regulations which will project and safe-guard wetlands of the country.

H. Do you (AA) have any other general comments on the implementation of the Convention?

Swaziland being a new contracting party to the Ramsar Convention is yet to develop a comprehensive programme of action towards achieving the goals and the mission of the Ramsar Convention.

I. Please list the names of the organisations which have been consulted on or have contributed to the information provided in this report:

Swaziland Environment Authority (SEA)  
Ministry of Natural Resources & Energy (MNRE)  
Department of Water Affairs (DWA)  
Swaziland Water Services Corporation (SWSC)  
Swaziland Water Development Enterprise (SWADE)

## SECTION 3: INDICATOR QUESTIONS AND FURTHER IMPLEMENTATION INFORMATION

### REMINDER: Guidance for completing this section

1. For each 'indicator question', please select one answer from the 'drop-down' list in the yellow box.
2. If you wish to add any additional information on a specific indicator, please provide this information in the green 'free-text' boxes below the indicator questions.
3. If you wish to amend any of the text you have put in a green 'free-text' box, you should cut and paste the existing text into a separate file, make the amendments, and then cut and paste the revised text back into the green box.
4. Some characters used in the free text box prevent the automatic data entry into our database National Reports. For that reason, **please do not use double quote marks “ ” in the free text boxes. Use single quotes ‘ ’. Text in the ‘free text’ boxes should be simple text only: they cannot accept formatting, colours or objects such as tables and images.**
5. To help Contracting Parties refer to relevant information they provided in their National Report to COP11, for each appropriate indicator a cross-reference is provided to the equivalent indicator(s) in the COP11 NRF, shown thus: {x.x.x}
6. Where appropriate, a cross-reference is also provided to the relevant Key Result Area (KRA) relating to Contracting Parties implementation in the Strategic Plan 2009-2015.
7. Only Strategic Plan 2009-2015 Strategies and KRAs for which there are significant implementation actions for Contracting Parties are included in this reporting format; those parts of the Strategic Plan that do not refer directly to Parties are omitted.

## GOAL 1. THE WISE USE OF WETLANDS

**STRATEGY 1.1 Wetland inventory and assessment.** *Describe, assess and monitor the extent and condition of all types of wetlands as defined by the Ramsar Convention and wetland resources at relevant scales, in order to inform and underpin implementation of the Convention, in particular in the application of its provisions concerning the wise use of all wetlands.*

1.1.1 Does your country have a comprehensive National Wetland Inventory? {1.1.1} KRA 1.1.i	B - No
<p>1.1.1 Additional information:</p> <p>Several institutions have conducted studies with specific interest to their particular needs to their operations. See the list of institutions consulted above under section 1. (I).</p>	
1.1.2 Is wetland inventory data and information maintained and made accessible to all stakeholders? {1.1.2} KRA 1.1.ii	B - No
<p>1.1.2 Additional information:</p> <p>Efforts on data maintenance and management are largely sectoral just as the pieces of legislation that lies with various institutions.</p>	



<p>1.1.3 Has the condition* of wetlands in your country, overall, changed since the last triennium? {1.1.3}</p> <p>a) Ramsar Sites b) wetlands generally</p> <p>Please comment on the sources of the information on which your answer is based in the green free- text box below. If there is a difference between inland and coastal wetland situations, please describe. If you are able to, please describe the principal driver(s) of the change(s).</p> <p>* 'Condition' corresponds to ecological character, as defined by the Convention</p>	<p>a) O - No change b) N - Status deteriorated</p>
<p>1.1.3 Additional information on a) and/or b):</p> <p>a) The no change in status is largely relevant to the two (2) sites on Title Deed Land namely (Van Eck and Sand River dam, whilst the site on Swazi Nation Land (SNL) Hawane Nature Reserve &amp; Dam has changes as result of the unsecured land tenure, farming encroachment on the protected area, and overstocking pressure.</p> <p>b). The condition of country's wetlands in general has been changed as a result of livestock overstocking, draining, conversion, and siting of infrastructural projects.</p>	

**STRATEGY 1.3 Policy, legislation and institutions.** *Develop and implement policies, legislation, and practices, including growth and development of appropriate institutions, in all Contracting Parties, to ensure that the wise use provisions of the Convention are being effectively applied.*

<p>1.3.1 Is a National Wetland Policy (or equivalent instrument) in place? {1.3.1} KRA 1.3.i (If 'Yes', please give the title and date of the policy in the green text box)</p>	<p>B - No</p>
<p>1.3.1 Additional information:</p> <p>Swaziland does not have a clear policy on wetland use and management. The overall management of wetland resources is on an ad hoc basis through several uncoordinated pieces of legislation, spread among a number of Ministries as well as other institutions outside the government, that are aimed at solving specific issues without due consideration of harmonization. Enforcement of these regulations remains the main challenge in that, apart from Swaziland Environment Authority (SEA), Swaziland National Trust Commission (SNTC) and Ministry of Agriculture (MOA) most of the institutions do not have legal mandate to prosecute environmental offenders.</p>	

<p>1.3.2 Have wetland issues been incorporated into other national strategies and planning processes, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) Poverty eradication strategies</li> <li>b) Water resource management and water efficiency plans</li> <li>c) Coastal and marine resource management plans</li> <li>d) National forest programmes</li> <li>e) National strategies for sustainable development</li> <li>f) National policies or measures on agriculture</li> <li>g) National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plans drawn up under the CBD</li> </ul> <p>{1.3.3} KRA 1.3.i</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) C - Partly</li> <li>b) B - No</li> <li>c) Z - Not applicable</li> <li>d) Z - Not applicable</li> <li>e) A - Yes</li> <li>f) A - Yes</li> <li>g) A - Yes</li> </ul>
<p>1.3.2 Additional information:</p> <p>Wetland issues are mentioned within the national strategies and yet there is no further programme developed to ensure their persistence and functioning within the national landscape.</p>	
<p>1.3.3 Are Strategic Environmental Assessment practices applied when reviewing policies, programmes and plans that may impact upon wetlands? {1.3.4} KRA 1.3.ii</p>	<p>A - Yes</p>
<p>1.3.3 Additional information:</p> <p>The sugar cane estate has in a recent study (2014) consulted the conservation sector in bringing out relevant issues as the corporation expands its production.</p>	
<p>1.3.4 Are Environmental Impact Assessments made for any development projects (such as new buildings, new roads, extractive industry) that may affect wetlands,? {1.3.5} KRA 1.3.iii</p>	<p>A - Yes</p>
<p>1.3.4 Additional information:</p> <p>The Swaziland Environment Management Act of 2005 guides the screening of development projects, which in turn informs the level of the EIA process.</p>	
<p>1.3.5 Have any amendments to existing legislation been made to reflect Ramsar commitments? {1.3.6}</p>	<p>B - No</p>
<p>1.3.5 Additional information:</p> <p>This will be incorporated in due course, possibly under the wetland policy and legislation development project.</p>	

**STRATEGY 1.4: Cross-sectoral recognition of wetland services.** *Increase recognition of and attention in decision-making to the significance of wetlands for reasons of biodiversity conservation, water supply, coastal protection, integrated coastal zone management, flood defence, climate change*

*mitigation and/or adaptation, food security, poverty eradication, tourism, cultural heritage, and scientific research, by developing and disseminating methodologies to achieve wise use of wetlands.*

1.4.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by Ramsar Sites? {1.4.1} KRA 1.4.ii	B - No
<p>1.4.1 Additional information: The sites that have been designated provide ecosystem benefits/values that are yet to be formally assessed as per the guidelines of the Convention.</p>	
1.4.2 Have wetland programmes or projects that contribute to poverty alleviation objectives or food and water security plans been implemented? {1.4.2} KRA 1.4.i	B - No
<p>1.4.2 Additional information: These issues have not been well addressed in the current work plans though documents with consideration of such issues are available. The worth of wetlands has been undermined leading to their overexploitation and ultimately drainage and convention.</p>	
1.4.3 Have socio-economic and cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for Ramsar Sites and other wetlands? {1.4.4} KRA 1.4.iii	D - Planned
<p>1.4.3 Additional information (If 'Yes' or 'Partly', please indicate, if known, how many Ramsar Sites and their names): .....</p>	

**STRATEGY 1.5 Recognition of the role of the Convention.** *Raise the profile of the Convention by highlighting its capacity as a unique mechanism for wetland ecosystem management at all levels; promote the usefulness of the Convention as a possible implementation mechanism to meet the goals and targets of other global conventions and processes.*

<p>1.5.1 Since COP11, have you brought the 'Changwon Declaration' (Resolution X.3) to the attention of your:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. head of state</li> <li>b. parliament</li> <li>c. private sector</li> <li>d. civil society</li> </ul> <p>{1.5.2}</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. D - Planned</li> <li>b. D - Planned</li> <li>c. D - Planned</li> <li>d. D - Planned</li> </ul>
<p>1.5.1 Additional information: These conventions are processed through the above structures and it is envisaged that these actors are sensitised for meaningful support.</p>	

**STRATEGY 1.6 Science-based management of wetlands.** *Promote successful implementation of the wise use concept by ensuring that national policies and wetland management plans are based on the best available scientific knowledge, including technical and traditional knowledge.*

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| <p>1.6.1 Has research to inform wetland policies and plans been undertaken in your country on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. agriculture-wetland interactions</li> <li>b. climate change</li> <li>c. valuation of ecosystem services</li> </ul> <p>{1.6.1} KRA 1.6.i</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. A - Yes</li> <li>b. B - No</li> <li>c. B - No</li> </ul> |
|--|--|

1.6.1 Additional information:  
 Research undertaken on wetlands in the country has focussed on their proper use as they are widely acknowledged to provide important natural resources (water, grasses, habitat) of note to the cultural heritage of the Swazi Nation. The importance of species such as phragmites, cyperus, etc indicate their close affinity to the Swazi life. The use of wetlands for agricultural purposes is of relevance in terms of the research that has been undertaken. This research work will further inform future policies and plans.

- |   |               |
|---|---------------|
| <p>1.6.2 Have all wetland management plans been based on sound scientific research, including research on potential threats to the wetlands? {1.6.2} KRA 1.6.ii</p> | <p>B - No</p> |
|---|---------------|

1.6.2 Additional information:

These management plans are yet to be developed for the sites.

**STRATEGY 1.7 Integrated Water Resources Management.** *Ensure that policies and implementation of Integrated Water Resources Management (IWRM), applying an ecosystem-based approach, are included in the planning activities in all Contracting Parties and in their decision-making processes, particularly concerning groundwater management, catchment/river basin management, coastal and nearshore marine zone planning and climate change mitigation and/or adaptation activities.*

- |  |               |
|--|---------------|
| <p>1.7.1 Do your country's water governance and management systems treat wetlands as natural water infrastructure integral to water resource management at the scale of river basins? {1.7.2} KRA 1.7.ii</p> | <p>B - No</p> |
|--|---------------|

1.7.1 Additional information:

The current Water Act of 2003 does not make mention nor refers to wetlands as important infrastructure.

- |  |                    |
|--|--------------------|
| <p>1.7.2 Have Communication, Education, Participation and Awareness (CEPA) expertise and tools been incorporated into catchment/river basin planning and management (see <a href="#">Resolution X.19</a>)? {1.7.3}</p> | <p>D - Planned</p> |
|--|--------------------|

1.7.2 Additional information:

.....

1.7.3 Has your country established policies or guidelines for enhancing the role of wetlands in mitigating or adapting to climate change? {1.7.5} KRA 1.7.iii

B - No

1.7.3 Additional information:

.....

1.7.4 Has your country formulated plans or projects to sustain and enhance the role of wetlands in supporting and maintaining viable farming systems? {1.7.6} KRA 1.7.v

B - No

1.7.4 Additional information:

.....

**STRATEGY 1.8 Wetland restoration.** *Identify priority wetlands and wetland systems where restoration or rehabilitation would be beneficial and yield long-term environmental, social or economic benefits, and implement the necessary measures to recover these sites and systems.*

1.8.1 Have priority sites for wetland restoration been identified? {1.8.1} KRA 1.8.i

B - No

1.8.1 Additional information:

The need for restoration has been noted for several sites and no activity has been undertaken due to the absence of developed workplans.

1.8.2 Have wetland restoration/rehabilitation programmes or projects been implemented? {1.8.2} KRA 1.8.i

B - No

1.8.2 Additional information:

Ad hoc wetland conservation activities have been undertaken by the Swaziland Environment Authority (SEA) and the Swaziland National Trust Commission (SNTC).

**STRATEGY 1.9 Invasive alien species.** *Encourage Contracting Parties to develop a national inventory of invasive alien species that currently and/or potentially impact the ecological character of wetlands, especially Ramsar Sites, and ensure mutual supportiveness between the national inventory and IUCN's Global Register on Invasive Species (GRIS); develop guidance and promote procedures and actions to prevent, control or eradicate such species in wetland systems.*

1.9.1 Does your country have a comprehensive national inventory of invasive alien species that currently or potentially impact the ecological character of wetlands? {1.9.1} KRA 1.9.i

C - Partly

## 1.9.1 Additional information:

Several institutions (Government - Forestry department, parastals - Swaziland National Trust Commission, Swaziland Environment Authority, and private sector – botanist, zoologist) have compiled list of the invasive alien species that are hosted on the Swaziland National Trust Commission webpage ([www.sntc.org.sz](http://www.sntc.org.sz)).

1.9.2 Have national policies or guidelines on invasive species control and management been established for wetlands?  
{1.9.2} KRa 1.9.iii

B - No

## 1.9.2 Additional information:

A national project exists that targets controlling all invasive species including those occurring in wetlands.

**STRATEGY 1.10 Private sector.** *Promote the involvement of the private sector in the conservation and wise use of wetlands.*

1.10.1 Is the private sector encouraged to apply the Ramsar wise use principle and guidance (Ramsar handbooks for the wise use of wetlands) in its activities and investments concerning wetlands? {1.10.1} KRA 1.10.i

D - Planned

## 1.10.1 Additional information:

This stakeholder grouping is yet to be engaged.

1.10.2 Has the private sector undertaken activities or actions for the wise use and management of:

a. Ramsar Sites

a. A - Yes

b. Wetlands in general

b. B - No

{1.10.2} KRA 1.10.ii

## 1.10.2 Additional information:

a) Sites under the management and ownership of the private sector are under better management due to the appreciable asset value derived from thereon.

b) Wetlands in general are in the public sector domain are not supported with adequate conservation and wise-use practice guidelines due to the poor undeveloped incentive measures fostering good practice.

**STRATEGY 1.11: Incentive measures.** *Promote incentive measures that encourage the application of the wise use provisions of the Convention.*

1.11.1 Have actions been taken to implement incentive measures which encourage the conservation and wise use of wetlands? {1.11.1} KRA 1.11.i	B - No
1.11.1 Additional information: .....	

1.11.2 Have actions been taken to remove perverse incentive measures which discourage conservation and wise use of wetlands? {1.11.2} KRA 1.11.i	B - No
1.11.2 Additional information: .....	

## GOAL 2. WETLANDS OF INTERNATIONAL IMPORTANCE

**Note:** An optional Annex (Section 4) to this COP12 National Report Format is provided so that a Contracting Party, if it so wishes, can also provide additional information separately on each of its designated Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Sites).

**REMINDER:** In 'free-text' boxes please do not use double quotes " "; use single quotes ' ' instead.

**STRATEGY 2.1 Ramsar Site designation.** *Apply the 'Strategic Framework and guidelines for the future development of the List of Wetlands of International Importance' (Handbook 14, 3<sup>rd</sup> edition).*

2.1.1 Have a national strategy and priorities been established for the further designation of Ramsar Sites, using the *Strategic Framework for the Ramsar List*? {2.1.1} KRA 2.1.i

B - No

2.1.1 Additional information:  
.....

2.1.2 How many Ramsar Site designations are planned for the next triennium (2015-2018)? {2.1.4} KRA 2.1.iii

5 sites

2.1.2 Additional information (If possible, please indicate the name(s) of the Site(s) and anticipated year of designation):  
Matsapha, Motjane, Ntondozi, Shiselweni, Steki.

**STRATEGY 2.2 Ramsar Site information.** *Ensure that the Ramsar Sites Information Service . . . is available and enhanced as a tool for guiding the further designation of wetlands for the List of Wetlands of International Importance and for research and assessment, and is effectively managed by the Secretariat.*

2.2.1 Are the Ramsar Sites Information Service and its tools being used in national identification of further Ramsar Sites to designate? {2.2.1} KRA 2.2.ii

D - Planned

2.2.1 Additional information:  
.....

**STRATEGY 2.3 Management planning - new Ramsar Sites.** *While recognizing that Ramsar Site designation can act as a stimulus for development of effective site management plans, generally encourage the philosophy that all new Ramsar Sites should have effective management planning in place before designation, as well as resources for implementing such management.*

2.3.1 Have all sites being prepared for Ramsar designation (2.1.2 above) had adequate management planning processes established? {2.3.1} KRA 2.3.i

B - No



## 2.3.1 Additional information:

.....

**STRATEGY 2.4 Ramsar Site ecological character.** *Maintain the ecological character of all designated Ramsar Sites, through planning and management.*

2.4.1 How many Ramsar Sites have a management plan? {2.4.1} KRA 2.4.i	0 sites
2.4.2 For how many of the Ramsar Sites with a management plan is the plan being implemented? {2.4.2} KRA 2.4.i	0 sites
2.4.3 For how many Ramsar Sites is a management plan currently being prepared? {2.4.3} KRA 2.4.i	0 sites
2.4.1 – 2.4.3 Additional information: These will be developed using participatory approaches involving all stakeholders.	

2.4.4 How many Ramsar Sites have a cross-sectoral management committee? {2.4.6} KRA 2.4.iv	0 sites
2.4.4 Additional information (If at least 1 site, please give the name and official number of the site or sites): Yet to be established.....	

2.4.5 For how many Ramsar Sites has an ecological character description been prepared? {2.4.7} KRA 2.4.v	0 sites
2.4.5 Additional information (If at least 1 site, please give the name and official number of the site or sites): Yet to be undertaken.	

**STRATEGY 2.5 Ramsar Site management effectiveness.** *Review all existing Ramsar Sites to determine the effectiveness of management arrangements, in line with the 'Strategic Framework and guidelines for the future development of the List of Wetlands of International Importance'.*

2.5.1 Have any assessments of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management been made? {2.5.1} KRA 2.5.i	B - No
2.5.1 Additional information (If 'Yes' or 'Some sites', please indicate the year of assessment and the source of the information): These will be guided by the establishment of site management committees with wetlands management guidelines.	

**STRATEGY 2.6 Ramsar Site status.** *Monitor the condition of Ramsar Sites and address negative changes in their ecological character, notify the Ramsar Secretariat of changes affecting Ramsar Sites, and apply the Montreux Record, if appropriate, and Ramsar Advisory Mission as tools to address problems.*

2.6.1 Are mechanisms in place for the Administrative Authority to be informed of negative human-induced changes or likely changes in the ecological character of Ramsar Sites, pursuant to Article 3.2? {2.6.1} KRA 2.6.i	B - No
2.6.1 Additional information (If 'Yes' or 'Some sites', please summarise the mechanism or mechanisms established): These arrangements are yet to be put in place.	

2.6.2 Have all cases of negative human-induced change or likely change in the ecological character of Ramsar Sites been reported to the Ramsar Secretariat, pursuant to Article 3.2? {2.6.2} KRA 2.6.i	B - No
2.6.2 Additional information (If 'Yes' or 'Some cases', please indicate for which Ramsar Sites the Administrative Authority has made Article 3.2 reports to the Secretariat, and for which sites such reports of change or likely change have not yet been made): .....	

2.6.3 If applicable, have actions been taken to address the issues for which Ramsar Sites have been listed on the Montreux Record, including requesting a Ramsar Advisory Mission? {2.6.3} KRA 2.6.ii	Z - Not applicable
2.6.3 Additional information (If 'Yes', please indicate the actions taken): .....	

**STRATEGY 2.7 Management of other internationally important wetlands.** *Appropriate management and wise use achieved for those internationally important wetlands that have not yet been formally designated as Ramsar Sites but have been identified through domestic application of the Strategic Framework or an equivalent process.*

2.7.1 Has the ecological character of internationally important wetlands not yet designated as Ramsar Sites been maintained? {2.7.1} KRA 2.7.i	D - Planned
2.7.1 Additional information:  There has been partial maintenance of the sites through traditional structures.	

<b>GOAL 3. INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION</b>
--

Note: in 'free-text' boxes please do not use double quotes " ": use single quotes ' ' instead.

<p><b>STRATEGY 3.1 Synergies and partnerships with MEAs and IGOs.</b> <i>Work as partners with international and regional multilateral environmental agreements (MEAs) and other intergovernmental agencies (IGOs).</i></p>
---

<p>3.1.1 Are the national focal points of other MEAs invited to participate in the National Ramsar/Wetland Committee? {3.1.2} KRAs 3.1.i &amp; 3.1.iv</p>	<p>D - Planned</p>
---	--------------------

3.1.1 Additional information:

An arrangement of participation, collaboration, and cooperation will be facilitated following the signing of the ratification of the Convention.

<p>3.1.2 Are mechanisms in place at the national level for collaboration between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the focal points of UN and other global and regional bodies and agencies (e.g. UNEP, UNDP, WHO, FAO, UNECE, ITTO)? {3.1.3} KRA 3.1.iv</p>	<p>A - Yes</p>
--	----------------

3.1.2 Additional information:

These institutions are largely under the same ministry (Ministry of Environmental Affairs).

<p><b>STRATEGY 3.2 Regional initiatives.</b> <i>Support existing regional arrangements under the Convention and promote additional arrangements.</i></p>
--

<p>3.2.1 Have you (AA) been involved in the development and implementation of a Regional Initiative under the framework of the Convention? {3.2.1} KRA 3.2.i</p>	<p>B - No</p>
--	---------------

3.2.1 Additional information (If 'Yes' or 'Planned', please indicate the regional initiative(s) and the collaborating countries of each initiative):

Not much participation due to limitations due to non-signatory status.

<p>3.2.2 Has your country supported or participated in the development of other regional (i.e., covering more than one country) wetland training and research centres? {3.2.2}</p>	<p>B - No</p>
--	---------------

3.2.2 Additional information (If 'Yes', please indicate the name(s) of the centre(s):

.....

**STRATEGY 3.3 International assistance.** *Promote international assistance to support the conservation and wise use of wetlands, while ensuring that environmental safeguards and assessments are an integral component of all development projects that affect wetlands, including foreign and domestic investments.*

3.3.1 [For Contracting Parties with a development assistance agency only ('donor countries')]: Has the agency provided funding to support wetland conservation and management in other countries? {3.3.1} KRA 3.3.i

Z - Not applicable

3.3.1 Additional information (If 'Yes', please indicate the countries supported since COP11):

.....

3.3.2 [For Contracting Parties with a development assistance agency only ('donor countries')]: Have environmental safeguards and assessments been included in development proposals proposed by the agency? {3.3.2} KRA 3.3.ii

Z - Not applicable

3.3.2 Additional information:

.....

3.3.3 [For Contracting Parties that have received development assistance only ('recipient countries')]: Has funding support been received from development assistance agencies specifically for in-country wetland conservation and management? {3.3.3}

B - No

3.3.3 Additional information (If 'Yes', please indicate from which countries/agencies since COP11):

.....

**STRATEGY 3.4 Sharing information and expertise.** *Promote the sharing of expertise and information concerning the conservation and wise use of wetlands.*

3.4.1 Have networks, including twinning arrangements, been established, nationally or internationally, for knowledge sharing and training for wetlands that share common features? {3.4.1}

C - Partly

3.4.1 Additional information (If 'Yes' or 'Partly', please indicate the networks and wetlands involved):

IUCN ROSA programme.

3.4.2 Has information about your country's wetlands and/or Ramsar Sites and their status been made public (e.g., through publications or a website)? {3.4.2} KRA 3.4.iv

B - No

3.4.2 Additional information:

Several national reports have been compiled of key conservation sites with high species richness (biodiversity) and these sites are recognised as protection worthy.

3.4.3 Has information about your country's wetlands and/or Ramsar Sites been transmitted to the Ramsar Secretariat for dissemination? {3.4.3} KRA 3.4.ii

C - Partly

3.4.3 Additional information:

A temporary website depicting the country's distribution of wetlands was developed (2006) and hosted as part of the IUCN-ROSA programme.

**STRATEGY 3.5 Shared wetlands, river basins and migratory species.** *Promote inventory and cooperation for the management of shared wetlands and hydrological basins, including cooperative monitoring and management of shared wetland-dependent species.*

3.5.1 Have all transboundary wetland systems been identified? {3.5.1} KRA 3.5.i

A - Yes

3.5.1 Additional information:

The network river basin authorities in place are informed by the transboundary inland riverine wetlands.

3.5.2 Is effective cooperative management in place for shared wetland systems (for example, in shared river basins and coastal zones)? {3.5.2} KRA 3.5.ii

A - Yes

3.5.2 Additional information (If 'Yes' or 'Partly', please indicate for which wetland systems such management is in place):

The SADC Protocol on shared water courses - those that are inland for Lomati, Usuthu, Mbuluzi, Ngwavuma, and Komat.

3.5.3 Does your country participate in regional networks or initiatives for wetland-dependent migratory species? {3.5.3} KRA 3.5.iii

D - Planned

3.5.3 Additional information:

The state has recently begun participation following the recent signing of the conventions (AEWA, CMS).

<b>GOAL 4. IMPLEMENTATION CAPACITY</b>
--

**Note:** in 'free-text' boxes please do not use double quotes “ ”: use single quotes ‘ ’ instead.

<p><b>STRATEGY 4.1 CEPA.</b> <i>Support, and assist in implementing at all levels, where appropriate, the Convention's Communication, Education, Participation and Awareness Programme (Resolution X.8) for promoting the conservation and wise use of wetlands through communication, education, participation and awareness (CEPA) and work towards wider awareness of the Convention's goals, mechanisms, and key findings.</i></p>
--

4.1.1 Has an action plan (or plans) for wetland CEPA been established? {4.1.1} KRA 4.1.i

- a) At the national level
- b) Sub-national level
- c) Catchment/basin level
- d) Local/site level

- a) B - No
- b) B - No
- c) B - No
- d) B - No

(Even if no CEPA plans have been developed, if broad CEPA objectives for CEPA actions have been established, please indicate this in the Additional information section below)

4.1.1 Additional information (If 'Yes' or 'In progress' to one or more of the four questions above, for each please describe the mechanism, who is responsible and identify if it has involved CEPA NFPs):

The SEA and SNTC undertake environmental education and public awareness activities specifically aimed at sensitizing the public on wetland issues including their conservation and wise-use benefits.

4.1.2 How many centres (visitor centres, interpretation centres, education centres) have been established? {4.1.2} KRA 4.1.ii

- a) at Ramsar Sites
- b) at other wetlands

- a) 0 centres
- b) 0 centres

4.1.2 Additional information (If centres are part of national or international networks, please describe the networks):

These will be promoted in future activities.

4.1.3 Does the Contracting Party:

- a) promote stakeholder participation in decision-making on wetland planning and management
- b) specifically involve local stakeholders in the selection of new Ramsar Sites and in Ramsar Site management?

- a) A - Yes
- b) A - Yes

{4.1.3} KRA 4.1.iii

4.1.3 Additional information (If 'Yes' or 'Partly', please provide information about the ways in

which stakeholders are involved):

The process of nominating sites is a participatory process involving the consultation a number of stakeholders (landowners, communities, private sector, government, non governmental organisations, etc). The consultaion of these groups is critical for ownership and support of the broad goals of the Convention. The sites that have been nominated are still undergoing this process as it is ongoing.

4.1.4 Has an assessment of national and local training needs for the implementation of the Convention been made? {4.1.4} KRAs 4.1.iv & 4.1.viii

B - No

4.1.4 Additional information:

This specific assessment has not been made due to the failure to ractify the Convention in the previous years. it is now envisaged that an overall assessment will be made on the national institutional and personnel capacity towards implementing the Convention.

4.1.5 How many opportunities for wetland site manager training have been provided since COP11? {4.1.5} KRA 4.1.iv  
a) at Ramsar Sites  
b) at other wetlands

Number of opportunities:

a) 0  
b) 0

4.1.5 Additional information (including whether the Ramsar Wise Use Handbooks were used in the training):

.....

4.1.6 Do you have an operational cross-sectoral National Ramsar/Wetlands Committee or equivalent body? {4.1.6} KRA 4.3.v

B - No

4.1.6 Additional information (If 'Yes', indicate a) its membership; b) number of meetings since COP11; and c) what responsibilities the Committee has):

In the process of establishing the National Wetlands Working Committee or group.

4.1.7 Are other communication mechanisms (apart from a national committee) in place to share Ramsar implementation guidelines and other information between the Administrative Authority and:

- a) Ramsar Site managers
  - b) other MEA national focal points
  - c) other ministries, departments and agencies
- {4.1.7} KRA 4.1.vi

a) B - No  
b) C - Partly  
c) B - No

4.1.7 Additional information (If 'Yes' or 'Partly', please describe what mechanisms are in place):

The "ad hoc" in country work programmes mainly by the SNTC, SEA, and the Meteorology Department are relevant in this regard as all these institutions are under the same ministry (Ministry of Tourism & Environmental Affairs). Wetlands are an ecosystem that is common to all these sectors of the government. The overall work programmes have oversight on wetland matters.

4.1.8 Have Ramsar-branded World Wetlands Day activities (whether on 2 February or at another time of year), either government and NGO-led or both, been carried out in the country since COP11? {4.1.8}

A - Yes

4.1.8 Additional information:

WWD activities have been undertaken for the past decade though the effort was not constant due to financial support challenges.

4.1.9 Have campaigns, programmes, and projects (other than for World Wetlands Day-related activities) been carried out since COP11 to raise awareness of the importance of wetlands to people and wildlife and the ecosystem benefits/services provided by wetlands? {4.1.9}

A - Yes

4.1.9 Additional information (If these and other CEPA activities have been undertaken by other organizations, please indicate this):

Wetlands conservation and wise-use advocacy were undertaken for the year 2013 (wetlands & agriculture - partners for growth) wherein the electronic and print media package of awareness materials were aired nationally on radio programmes, national television and the local newspapers.

**STRATEGY 4.2 Convention financial capacity.** *Provide the financial resources necessary for the Convention's governance, mechanisms and programmes to achieve the expectations of the Conference of the Contracting Parties, within the availability of existing resources and by the effective use of such resources; explore and enable options and mechanism for mobilization of new and additional resources for implementation of the Convention.*

4.2.1

a) Have Ramsar contributions been paid in full for 2012, 2013 and 2014? {4.2.1} KRA 4.2.i

B - No

b) If 'No' in 4.2.1 a), please clarify what plan is in place to ensure future prompt payment:



The SNTC (AA) will endeavour to seek financial assistance from the Government of Swaziland, through budget requisitions, especially the Ministry (MTEA) in order to meet this obligation of the Convention.

4.2.2 Has any additional financial support been provided through voluntary contributions to non-core funded Convention activities? {4.2.2} KRA 4.2.i

B - No

4.2.2 Additional information (If 'Yes' please state the amounts, and for which activities):

.....

**STRATEGY 4.3 Convention bodies' effectiveness.** *Ensure that the Conference of the Contracting Parties, Standing Committee, Scientific and Technical Review Panel, and Secretariat are operating at a high level of efficiency and effectiveness to support the implementation of the Convention.*

4.3.1 Have you (AA) used your previous Ramsar National Reports in monitoring implementation of the Convention? {4.3.1} KRA 4.3.ii

Z - Not applicable

4.3.1 Additional information (If 'Yes', please indicate how the Reports have been used for monitoring):

.....

**STRATEGY 4.4 Working with IOPs and others.** *Maximize the benefits of working with the Convention's International Organization Partners (IOPs \*) and others.*

\* The IOPs are: BirdLife International, the International Water Management Institute (IWMI), IUCN (International Union for Conservation of Nature), Wetlands International, and WWF International.

4.4.1 Has your country received assistance from one or more of the Convention's IOPs in its implementation of the Convention? {4.4.1} KRA 4.4.iii

A - Yes

4.4.1 Additional information (If 'Yes' please name the IOP (or IOPs) and the type of assistance received):

Birdlife International - Developing a monitoring plan for the Blue Swallow at Kaapsehoop Nature Reserve, South Africa

IWMI - A evaluation Assessment of the Inland Wetlands Programme in Zimbabwe.

IUCN ROSA - Training in Wetlands Management and Conflict Resolution in Capetown, South Africa.

4.4.2 Has your country provided assistance to one or more of the Convention's IOPs? {4.4.2} KRA 4.4.iii

B - No

4.4.2 Additional information (If 'Yes' please name the IOP (or IOPs) and the type of assistance provided):

.....