

### **RAMSAR CONVENTION**

### **Ramsar National Report to COP15**

#### Help desk

If you have any questions or problems, please contact Secretariat staff at national reports@ramsar.org. Use of this email address will facilitate a timely response from the Secretariat.

Link to online tutorials on how to access and use the ORS:

https://www.ramsar.org/document/ramsar-online-reporting-system-tutorial

Find previous reports here: https://www.ramsar.org/search

Link to sample National Report Submission Letter: https://www.ramsar.org/document/national-reports-cop15-sample-letter

Please read the general guidance section before starting to complete the form.

### **Section 1: Institutional Information**

**Important note**: The responses below will be considered by the Convention on Wetlands Secretariat as the definitive list of your focal points. All individuals listed below agree that the submitted information will be used to update the information in the Secretariat's contact database and will be published on the public website here Contacts on website.

#### Name of Contracting Party

The completed National Report **must be accompanied by a letter** in the name of the Head of Administrative Authority, confirming that this is the Contracting Party's official submission of its COP15 National Report. It can be attached to this question using the "Manage documents" function (blue symbol below) Link to sample National Report Submission Letter: https://www.ramsar.org/document/national-reports-cop15-sampleletter >>> Lithuania

You have attached the following documents to this answer.

Letter RAMSAR Lithuania.pdf - Electronically signed letter of confirmation

#### **Designated Administrative Authority for the Convention on Wetlands**

Name of Administrative Authority >>> Ministry of Environment

Head of Administrative Authority - name and title >>> Mr. Simonas Gentvilas, Minister of Ministry of Environment

Mailing address >>> A. Jakšto g. 4, LT-01105 Vilnius, Lietuva

Telephone >>> +370 626 22252

Email >>> simonas.gentvilas@am.lt

#### **Designated National Focal Point for the Convention on Wetlands**

Name and title >>> Mr. Žygimantas Obelevičius, Chief Officer of Biological Diversity Division, State Service for Protected Areas under the Ministry of Environment

Mailing address >>> Antakalnio g. 25, LT-10312 Vilnius, Lithuania

Telephone >>> +370 659 47337

Email >>> zygimantas.obelevicius@vstt.lt

#### Designated Scientific and Technical Review Panel (STRP) National Focal Point

Name and title >>> Mrs. Sigutė Ališauskienė, Advisor of Nature Protection Policy Group

Name of organisation >>> Ministry of Environment

Mailing address >>> A. Jakšto g. 4, LT-01105 Vilnius, Lietuva

Telephone >>> +370 620 17561

Email >>> sigute.alisauskiene@am.lt

# Section 2: General summary of national implementation progress and challenges

In your country, in the past triennium (i.e., since COP14 reporting)

# A. What have been the five main achievements of the implementation of the Convention since COP14?

1)

>>> Various projects for wetlands conservation and restoration are implemented or being implemented in Ramsar sites and non-Ramsar wetlands.

#### 2)

>>> Preparation and implementation of nature management plans.

#### 3)

>>> Implementation of the Law on Special Land Use Conditions.

#### 4)

>>> New studies on ecosystem services in Lithuania.

5)

>>> Maintenance and renewal of existing infrastructure.

### **B.** What have been the five main challenges in implementing the Convention since COP14?

1)

>>> Mismatches in political measures between governmental institutions and ministries and associations.

2)

>>> Lack of financial and human resources .

3)

>>> The effects of climate change and its impact on water levels.

4)

>>> Unfavorable public attitude towards implementation of the Law on Special Land Use Conditions.

# C. Please outline five priorities for implementing the Convention in your country during the coming triennium (2026-2028)

1)

>>> Increase the total land cover of protected areas, including the ones that specifies on wetland protection.

2)

>>> Preparation and implementation of nature management plans.

3)

>>> Revision and updating the information on the existing Ramsar sites in Lithuania.

4)

>>> Continue to raise public awareness about the importance of wetlands.

5)

>>> Implementation of EU Nature Restoration Regulation, also habitat improvement measures.

D. Does the Administrative Authority have any recommendations concerning implementation assistance from the Convention Secretariat?

>>> No recommendations.

E. Does the Administrative Authority have any recommendations concerning implementation assistance from the Convention's International Organization Partners (IOPs) (including ongoing partnerships and partnerships to be developed)? >>> No particular recommendations. F. In accordance with paragraph 21 of Resolution XIII.18 on Gender and wetlands, please provide a short description about the balance between genders participating in wetland-related decisions, programmes and research.

>>> No indications of gender inequality.

G. On the basis of your indications above, list possible areas where change is necessary for the achievement of gender equality. >>> No change is necessary.

H. Please describe lessons learnt in the context of wetlands and gender equality work in your country. >>> In Lithuania, women as well as men play a crucial role in wetland-related decisions, programmes and research, therefore Lithuania has no issues with the balance between men and women participating.

I. If possible, please list gender-related policies, strategies and action plans in place relevant to wetlands in your country.

>>> Not applicable.

J. If applicable, identify examples of strategies and actions your country is implementing to support youth participation in the implementation of the Convention's Strategic Plan or in wetlands management (Resolution XIV.12 on Strengthening Ramsar connections through youth, paragraph 21). >>> Not applicable.

K. Please list the names of the organizations which have been consulted on or have contributed to the information provided in this report.

>>> Ministry of Environment; State Service for the Protected Areas; Ministry of Agriculture; The Environmental Protection Agency.

# Section 3 - all goals: Indicator questions and further implementation information

In responding to each of these questions, Contracting Parties are encouraged to provide links, references/ upload documents where applicable and relevant.

#### Section 3 - Goal 1. Addressing the drivers of wetland loss and degradation

In responding to each of these questions, Contracting Parties are encouraged to provide links, references/ upload documents where applicable and relevant.

[Reference to Sustainable Development Goals 1, 2, 6, 8, 11, 13, 14, 15]

#### Target 1

Wetland benefits are featured in national/local policy strategies and plans relating to key sectors such as water, energy, mining, agriculture, tourism, urban development, infrastructure, industry, forestry, aquaculture, fisheries at the national and local level. [Reference to Global Biodiversity Framework Target 14]

1.1 Have any actions been taken since COP14 to integrate wetland protection, wise use and restoration, or wetland benefits, into other national strategies and planning processes, including: {1.1} *Please select only one per square.* 

a) National policy or strategy for wetland management	<ul> <li>□ Y=Not Relevant</li> <li>□ X=Unknown</li> <li>□ D=Planned</li> <li>□ C=Partially</li> <li>☑ B=No</li> <li>□ A=Yes</li> </ul>
b) Poverty eradication strategies	<ul> <li>☑ Y=Not Relevant</li> <li>□ X=Unknown</li> <li>□ D=Planned</li> <li>□ C=Partially</li> <li>□ B=No</li> <li>□ A=Yes</li> </ul>
c) Water resource management and water efficiency plans	<ul> <li>Y=Not Relevant</li> <li>X=Unknown</li> <li>D=Planned</li> <li>C=Partially</li> <li>B=No</li> <li>A=Yes</li> </ul>
d) Coastal and marine resource management plans	<ul> <li>□ Y=Not Relevant</li> <li>□ X=Unknown</li> <li>□ D=Planned</li> <li>□ C=Partially</li> <li>□ B=No</li> <li>☑ A=Yes</li> </ul>
e) Integrated coastal zone management plan	□ Y=Not Relevant □ X=Unknown □ D=Planned □ C=Partially □ B=No ☑ A=Yes
f) National forest management plan/strategies	□ Y=Not Relevant □ X=Unknown □ D=Planned □ C=Partially □ B=No ☑ A=Yes
g) National policies or measures on agriculture	□ Y=Not Relevant □ X=Unknown □ D=Planned □ C=Partially □ B=No ☑ A=Yes

h) National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plans drawn up under the CBD	<ul> <li>□ Y=Not Relevant</li> <li>□ X=Unknown</li> <li>□ D=Planned</li> <li>□ C=Partially</li> <li>□ B=No</li> </ul>
i) National policies on energy and mining	<ul> <li>☑ A=Yes</li> <li>□ Y=Not Relevant</li> <li>□ X=Unknown</li> <li>□ D=Planned</li> <li>☑ C=Partially</li> <li>□ B=No</li> <li>□ A=Yes</li> </ul>
j) National policies on tourism	□ Y=Not Relevant □ X=Unknown □ D=Planned ☑ C=Partially □ B=No □ A=Yes
k) National policies on urban development	□ Y=Not Relevant □ X=Unknown □ D=Planned ☑ C=Partially □ B=No □ A=Yes
<ol> <li>National policies on infrastructure</li> </ol>	□ Y=Not Relevant □ X=Unknown □ D=Planned ☑ C=Partially □ B=No □ A=Yes
m) National policies on industry	□ Y=Not Relevant □ X=Unknown □ D=Planned ☑ C=Partially □ B=No □ A=Yes
n) National policies on aquaculture and fisheries {1.3.3}	□ Y=Not Relevant □ X=Unknown □ D=Planned □ C=Partially □ B=No ☑ A=Yes
o) National plans of actions (NPAs) for pollution control and management	□ Y=Not Relevant □ X=Unknown □ D=Planned ☑ C=Partially □ B=No □ A=Yes
<ul> <li>p) National policies on wastewater management and water quality</li> </ul>	□ Y=Not Relevant □ X=Unknown □ D=Planned □ C=Partially □ B=No ☑ A=Yes
q) National policies, strategies or plans on sanitation	□ Y=Not Relevant □ X=Unknown □ D=Planned □ C=Partially □ B=No □ A=Yes
r) National policies, strategies or plans on food security	<ul> <li>Y=Not Relevant</li> <li>✓ X=Unknown</li> <li>D=Planned</li> <li>C=Partially</li> <li>B=No</li> <li>A=Yes</li> </ul>

### Target 2

Water userespects wetland ecosystem needs for them to fulfil their functions and provide services at the appropriate scale inter alia at the basin level or along a coastal zone.

[Reference to Global Biodiversity Framework Target 7, Sustainable Development Goal 6, Indicator 6.3.1]

2.1 Have the Guidelines for allocation and management of water for maintaining the ecological functions of wetlands and the additional guidance on tools and methodologies been brought to the attention of national ministries and/or agencies at different levels of territorial organizations (Resolutions VIII.1, VIII.2)?  $\{2.1\}$  $\square$  B=No

2.2 Have assessments of environmental flow been undertaken in relation to mitigation of impacts on the ecological character of wetlands?  $\{2.2\}$  $\square$  B=No

2.3 Have the designation or management of Wetlands of International Importance ("Ramsar Sites") improved the sustainable use of water (e.g. reduced drainage, reduced use of pesticides, controlled pollution etc.) in your country?

C=Partially

2.4 Have the Guidelines for allocation and management of water for maintaining ecological functions of wetlands (Resolutions VIII.1 and XII.12 ) been used/applied in decision-making processes? {2.3}

☑ A=Yes

2.5 Have projects that promote and demonstrate good practice in water allocation and management for maintaining the ecological functions of wetlands been developed  $\{2.4\}$  $\square$  A=Yes

2.6 Does the country use constructed wetlands/ponds as wastewater treatment technology? {2.8}  $\ensuremath{\boxtimes}$  A=Yes

#### Target 3

Public and private sectors have increased their efforts to apply guidelines and good practices for the wise use of water and wetlands.

[Reference to Global Biodiversity Framework Targets 7, 10, 15, 16 and 18]

3.1 Has your country put in place policies, including incentives, guidelines or other instruments to encourage the private sector to apply the wise use principle and guidance (Ramsar handbooks for the wise use of wetlands) in activities and investments related to wetlands? {3.1}

3.2 Has the private sector undertaken any activities or actions for the conservation, wise use, and management of (a) Ramsar Sites or (b) wetlands in general? {3.2} *Please select only one per square.* 

a) Ramsar Sites	<ul> <li>□ Y=Not relevant</li> <li>□ X=Unknown</li> <li>□ D=Planned</li> <li>□ C=Partially</li> <li>□ B=No</li> <li>☑ A=Yes</li> </ul>
b) Wetlands in general	<ul> <li>□ Y=Not relevant</li> <li>□ X=Unknown</li> <li>□ D=Planned</li> <li>☑ C=Partially</li> <li>□ B=No</li> <li>□ A=Yes</li> </ul>

#### 3.2 Additional information

>>> Projects funded by EU.

3.3 Have actions been taken to implement incentive measures which encourage the conservation and wise use of wetlands?  $\{3.3\}$ 

☑ C=Partially

#### 3.3 Additional information

Please specify the types of incentive measures (loans, tax breaks, or others). >>> The actions are partially implemented due to EU funds for the Rural Development Program.

3.4 Have actions been taken to remove perverse incentive measures which lead to degradation or loss of wetlands?  $\{3.4\}$  $\square$  Z=Not Applicable

#### Target 4

Invasive alien species and pathways of introduction and expansion are identified and prioritized, priority invasive alien species are controlled or eradicated, and management responses are prepared and implemented to prevent their introduction and establishment. [Reference to Global Biodiversity Framework Target 6]

4.1 Does your country have a national inventory of invasive alien species that currently or potentially impact the ecological character of wetlands? {4.1} ☑ A=Yes

#### 4.1 Additional information

>>> - Thirty-five species are included in the List of Invasive Species of Lithuania approved by Ministerial Order.
 Some of the plants included in this list, such as Impatiens glandulifera, Heracleum sosnowskyi, Echinocystis lobata, solidago canadensis, Solidago gigantea, Bidens frondosa, are found in wetland habitats.
 During the period 2019-2022 the project "Investigations of the Status of Invasive and Alien Species in Lithuania" was implemented during which the survey of invasive and alien species across Lithuania was carried out. The objective of the project was to investigate the status of invasive and alien species in the country and to prepare descriptions of their state of populations, spread, threats, and distribution maps. The initial list of objects to be studied (target species) consisted of 100 taxa (13 mammals, 5 fish, 9 crustaceans, 11 other animals, and 62 plants) included in the List of Invasive Alien Species of Union concern (Union List) and in the Lithuanian Invasive Species List, as well as a group of species from Lithuania that were considered by the experts to be potentially invasive. During the course of the survey, the list was expanded by 39 taxa, which were newly added to the Union's list in 2019 ant 2022.

4.2 Has your country adopted any national policies, strategies, or guidelines on invasive species control and management that are relevant for wetlands? {4.2} ☑ A=Yes

#### 4.2 Additional information

>>> According to Regulation (EU) No 1143/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 22 October 2014 on the prevention and management of the introduction and spread of invasive alien species, and specifically in line with Article 13, Lithuania has developed action plans to address invasive species entry and spread. These include:

1. Action Plan for the Management of Entry Pathways and Spread of Plant Species Listed as Invasive Alien Species of Union Concern;

2. Action Plan for the Management of Entry Pathways and Spread of Animal Species Listed as Invasive Alien Species of Union Concern

These action plans outline targeted measures for preventing and controlling the spread of species designated as high concern under the Union List, ensuring that Lithuania meets EU regulatory requirements in protecting ecosystems from invasive alien species.

4.3. Has your country successfully controlled through management actions invasive species of high risk to wetland ecosystems? {4.3} ☑ X=Unknown

#### 4.3 Additional Information

>>> From 2021 to 2023, the Ministry of Environment allocated nearly 600,000 euros to eradicate Sosnowsky's hogweed. During the project implementation, over 330 hectares of Sosnowsky's hogweed were eradicated by municipalities.

4.4 Has the effectiveness of wetland invasive alien species control programmes been assessed?{4.5}  $\square$  A=Yes

#### Section 3 - Goal 2. Effectively conserving and managing the Ramsar Site network

In responding to each of these questions, Contracting Parties are encouraged to provide links, references/ upload documents where applicable and relevant. [Reference to Sustainable Development Goals 6, 11, 13, 14, 15]

Ramsar National Report to COP15 [Žygimantas Obelevičius, Lithuania]

#### Target 5

The ecological character of Ramsar Sites is maintained or restored through effective planning and integrated management

[Reference to Global Biodiversity Framework Targets 1, 3 and 5]

5.1 Have a national strategy and priorities been established for the further designation of Ramsar Sites, using the Strategic Framework for the Ramsar List?  $\{5.1\}$  $\square$  C=Partially

5.2 How many Ramsar Sites have a management plan? {5.3} ☑ E=# Sites

»» 4

5.3 How many of the Ramsar Sites are actively implementing their management plan?  $\{5.4\}$   $\square$  E=# Sites

»» 4

5.4 How many Ramsar Sites are implementing management actions outside of formal management plans? {5.5}

☑ E=# Sites

»» 3

5.5 Have all Ramsar Sites been assessed regarding the effectiveness of their management (through formal management plans where they exist or otherwise through existing actions for appropriate wetland management)? {5.6}

If "yes", please indicate the number of Ramsar Sites If "partially", please indicate the number of Ramsar Sites If "planned", please indicate the number of Ramsar Sites If X=Unknown

5.6 How many Ramsar Sites have a cross-sectoral management committee? {5.7}  $\square$  X=Unknown

5.7 For how many Ramsar Sites has an ecological character description been prepared (see Resolution X.15)?

☑ X=Unknown

5.8 Resolution VI.13 urges Parties to give priority to providing the Secretariat with maps and completed Ramsar Information Sheets (RIS) for all Sites designated for the Ramsar List, and to revise this data at least every six years. If your country has not updated its RIS as required, describe the challenges in updating RIS, particularly descriptions of ecological character.

>>> - One of the challenges of providing the appropriate map (case of "Žuvintas bog") is that during establishing of the Ramsar site in 1993 there were no digital borders of the site available, and it is difficult to recreate digital map from the low-resolution map.

- Main challenges of revising the data of all sites are the lack of human resources.

### Target 7

Sites that are at risk of change of ecological character have threats addressed {2.6.}. [Reference to Global Biodiversity Framework Targets 3, 4 and 10]

7.1 Are mechanisms in place for the Administrative Authority to be informed of negative human-induced changes or likely changes in the ecological character of Ramsar Sites, pursuant to Article 3.2?  $\{7.1\}$   $\square$  A=Yes

#### 7.1 Additional information

If "Yes", please provide the source links or upload the source documents here describing the mechanisms established >>> Every person has a right to report an environmental violations to Environmental Protection Department under the Ministry of Environment.

7.2 Have all cases of negative human-induced change or likely change in the ecological character of Ramsar Sites been reported to the Ramsar Secretariat, pursuant to Article 3.2?  $\{7.2\}$   $\Box$  O=No Negative Change

#### Section 3 - Goal 3. Wisely Using All Wetlands

In responding to each of these questions, Contracting Parties are encouraged to provide links, references/ upload documents where applicable and relevant. [Reference to Sustainable Development Goals 1, 2, 5, 6, 8, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15]

#### Target 8

National wetland inventories have been either initiated, completed or updated and disseminated and used for promoting the conservation and effective management of all wetlands [Reference to Global Biodiversity Framework Targets 1, 2, 3, 4, 6 and 21]

8.1 Does your country have a National Wetland Inventory (NWI)? {8.1}  $\ensuremath{\boxtimes}$  A=Yes

8.2 If your country has an NWI, has it been updated in the last decade [2014-2024]? {8.2}  $\ensuremath{\boxtimes}$  A=Yes

8.3 How often is the NWI updated? ☑ X=Unknown

8.4 Is wetland inventory data and information publicly available? {8.4}  $\ensuremath{\boxtimes}$  A=Yes

8.6 Based on the information in NWI, if available, please provide the total area in square kilometres (km2) for the extent of wetlands (according to the Convention on Wetland's definition) for the year of available data and provide the relevant disaggregated information in the box below. This information will also be used to report on SDG 6, Target 6.6, Indicator 6.6.1, for which the Convention is a co-custodian.  $\{8.6\}$   $\square$  E=# km2

>>> 17912

8.6 According to the Convention's definition and classification of wetlands, the disaggregated information on wetland extent is as follows

**Note**: The minimum information that should be provided is the total area of wetlands for each of the three major categories; "marine/coastal", "inland" and "human-made".

If the data on inventories are partial or not complete, use the available information to fill in the form, specifying if it is partial or not complete.

Guidance on information on national wetland extent can be consulted at: https://www.ramsar.org/document/guidanceon-information-on-national-wetland-extent.

>>> Data used from the comprehensive study on Lithuanian wetlands presented in 2011 in the publication "Lithuanian wetlands and their water protective importance: monograph." The study does not fully follows

Ramsar definition and classification of wetlands, therefore not every minor category was filled. For the "Marine/Coastal Wetlands" only reefs (Habitat directive 1170) and coastal lagoon (HD 1150) was taken into account.

Reference to the publication: Povilaitis, A., Taminskas, J., Gulbinas, Z., Linkevičienė, R. and Pileckas, M. (2011) Lietuvos šlapynės ir jų vandensauginė reikšmė. Monografija. Vilnius: Apyaušris.

#### 8.6 Marine/Coastal Wetlands

	Square kilometers (km2)
A Permanent shallow marine waters	
B Marine subtidal aquatic beds	
C Coral reefs	
D Rocky marine shores	
E Sand, shingle or pebble shores	
F Estuarine waters	

G Intertidal mud, sand or salt flats	
Ga Bivalve (shellfish) reefs	461
H Intertidal marshes	
l Intertidal forested wetlands	
J Coastal brackish/saline lagoons	
K Coastal freshwater lagoons	392
Zk(a) – Karst and other subterranean hydrological systems	

8.6 Marine/Coastal Wetlands total (km2) >>> 853

#### 8.6 Inland Wetlands

	Square kilometers (km2)
L Permanent inland deltas	
M Permanent rivers/streams/creeks; includes waterfalls	
N Seasonal/intermittent/irre gular rivers/streams/creeks	
O Permanent freshwater lakes	
P Seasonal/intermittent freshwater lakes	
Q Permanent saline/brackish/alkaline lakes	
R Seasonal/intermittent saline/brackish/alkaline lakes and flats	
Sp Permanent saline/brackish/alkaline marshes/pools	
Ss Seasonal/intermittent saline/brackish/alkaline marshes/pools	
Tp Permanent freshwater marshes/pools	
Ts Seasonal/intermittent freshwater marshes/pools on inorganic soils	
U Non-forested peatlands	
Va Alpine wetlands	

Vt Tundra wetlands	
W Shrub-dominated wetlands	
Xf Freshwater, tree- dominated wetlands	
Xp Forested peatlands	
Y Freshwater springs; oases.	
Zg Geothermal wetlands	
Zk(b) – Karst and other subterranean hydrological systems	

#### 8.6 Inland Wetlands total (km2) >>> 16587

#### 8.6 Human-made wetlands

	Square kilometers (km2)
1 Aquaculture ponds.	114.6
2 Ponds	50.54
3 Irrigated land	
4 Seasonally flooded agricultural land	
5 Salt exploitation sites	
6 Water storage areas	212.7
7 Excavations	25.8
8 Wastewater treatment areas	
9 Canals and drainage channels, ditches	69.1
Zk(c) – Karst and other subterranean hydrological systems	

8.6 Human-made wetlands total (km2) >>> 472.74

8.7 How has the ecological character of wetlands in your country, overall, changed since COP14 ? {8.5}

Ecological character is the combination of the ecosystem components, processes and benefits/services that characterize the wetland at a given point in time. *Please select only one per square.* 

a) Ramsar Sites	<ul> <li>□ P=Status improved</li> <li>☑ O=No change</li> <li>□ N=Status deteriorated</li> </ul>
b) All wetlands in your country	<ul> <li>□ P=Status improved</li> <li>☑ O=No change</li> <li>□ N=Status deteriorated</li> </ul>

8.8 On a scale of **1-5** rate the change in the ecological character of wetlands in your country, overall, since last COP

Please select only one per square.

a) Marine/coastal	<ul> <li>□ 5=major improvement</li> <li>□ 4=improvement</li> <li>☑ 3=no change</li> <li>□ 2=deterioration</li> <li>□ 1=major deterioration</li> </ul>
b) Inland	<ul> <li>□ 5=major improvement</li> <li>□ 4=improvement</li> <li>☑ 3=no change</li> <li>□ 2=deterioration</li> <li>□ 1=major deterioration</li> </ul>
c) Human-made	<ul> <li>□ 5=major improvement</li> <li>□ 4=improvement</li> <li>☑ 3=no change</li> <li>□ 2=deterioration</li> <li>□ 1=major deterioration</li> </ul>

8.9 What are your main needs in developing or updating an NWI to suport SDG Indicator 6.6.1 reporting for tracking global wetland status and trends? Please select below. {8.7}

	Ye s
a) Access to data and data acquisition standards	
b) Wetland delineation methods and approaches	
c) Habitat classifications	
d) Standardization in data interpretation methods	
e) Regulatory framework and governance structure	
f) Resources	$\checkmark$
g) Relevant skills	
h) Data collection and mapping	1
i) Collaboration	
j) Others	

8.10 Please select from the list below the main needs of your country in using NWI results to implement COP mandates, e.g. conservation and wise use of all wetlands (Resolutions X.2, XIII.12, XIII.13, XIII.14, XIII.16, XIV.17 and Nationally Determined Contributions (NDCs)) to achieve sustainable development.

	Ye s
a) Resources	2
b) Relevant skills	V
c) Data systems and management	
d) Application of NWI information for decision making (climate, biodiversity and sectoral planning/reporting)	
e) Regulatory framework and governance structure	

f) Data interpretation and communication	
g) Collaboration	
h) Others	

#### Target 9

The wise use of wetlands is strengthened through integrated resource management at the appropriate scale, inter alia, within a river basin or along a coastal zone {1.3.}. [Reference to Global Biodiversity Framework Targets 1, 9, 10 and 15].

9.1 Is a national wetland policy (or equivalent instrument) that promotes the wise use of wetlands in place? {9.1}

☑ A=Yes

#### 9.1 Additional information

>>> No specific Wetland Policy, but in 2015 the Action Plan on the Conservation of Landscape and Biological Diversity for 2015–2020 was approved by Order of the Minister of Environment. The action plan includes wetland protection issues. The measures contained in the Action Plan contributes to the implementation of various strategic plans, such as the National Progress Programme (2014-2020), the National Sustainable Development Strategy and the National Strategy for Climate Change Management Policy (2013-2020).

9.2 Since COP14 have any amendments to existing legislation or policies been made to reflect commitments under the Convention on Wetlands? {9.2} ☑ D=Planned

9.4 Have communication, capacity building, education, participation and awareness (CEPA) expertise and tools been incorporated into catchment/river basin planning and management (see Resolution X.19)? {9.4}

☑ D=Planned

9.5 Has your country established policies or guidelines for enhancing the role of wetlands in mitigating or adapting to climate change? {9.5}

9.8 Has research to inform wetland policies and plans been undertaken in your country on: {9.7} *Please select only one per square.* 

a) agriculture-wetland interactions	□ C=Planned □ B=No ☑ A=Yes
b) climate change	□ C=Planned □ B=No ☑ A=Yes
c) valuation of ecoystem services	□ C=Planned □ B=No ☑ A=Yes

9.9 Has your country made efforts to conserve and wisely use urban and peri-urban wetlands in line with Resolutions XI.11 and XIV.10? {9.8}

☑ B=No

9.10 Has your country made efforts to conserve small wetlands in line with Resolution XIII.21 and XIII.15? {9.9}

☑ C=Partially

#### Target 10

The traditional knowledge innovations and practices of indigenous peoples and local communities relevant for the wise use of wetlands and their customary use of wetland resources, are documented, respected, subject to national legislation and relevant international obligations and fully integrated and reflected in the implementation of the Convention with a full and effective participation of indigenous and local communities at all relevant levels.

[Reference to Global Biodiversity Framework Target 22]

10.1 Do you have national legislation or equivalent on indigenous and local communities at all relevant levels in wetland management, and/or Site management?  $\Box$  X= Unknown

10.3 Have case studies on the participation of indigenous people in projects or successful experiences on cultural aspects of wetlands been compiled? (Resolutions VIII.19 and IX.21) {10.1}  $\Box$  A=Yes

10.4 Have the guidelines for establishing and strengthening local communities' and indigenous people's participation in the management of wetlands been applied? (Resolution VII. 8) {10.2}  $\square$  D=Planned

10.5 Have traditional knowledge and management practices relevant to the wise use of wetlands been documented and their application encouraged  $\{10.3\}$  $\square$  D=Planned

#### Target 11

Wetland functions, services and benefits are widely demonstrated, documented and disseminated. {1.4.} [Reference to Global Biodiversity Framework Targets 11, 12 and 13]

11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by Ramsar Sites and other wetlands?  $\{11.1\}$  $\square$  C1=Partially

#### 11.1 Additional information

If "yes" or "partially", please indicate how many Ramsar Sites and their names >>> During the project "Demonstrative restoration of the Tyruliai bog as a part of the initiative of the re-wetting of Lithuanian peatlands" (LIFE12 NAT/LT/001186), an assessment of ecosystem services of the Tyruliai bog was performed.

11.2 Since COP14, have wetland programmes or projects that contribute to food and water security and hence poverty alleviation been implemented? {11.2}  $\Box$  Y=Not Relevant

11.3 Since COP14 have wetland programmes or projects that contribute to other benefits for human wellbeing been implemented? ☑ A=Yes

11.4 Have socio-economic values of wetlands been included in the management planning for Ramsar Sites and other wetlands? {11.3}

☑ A=Yes

#### 11.4 Additional information

If "yes" or "partially", please indicate, if known, how many Ramsar Sites and their names >>> "Socio-economic aspects of the site" is one of the required sections in the description of the rules for the preparation of management plans.

11.5 Have cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for Ramsar Sites and other wetlands in general? {11.4}

☑ A=Yes

#### Target 12

Restoration is in progress in degraded wetlands, with priority to wetlands that are relevant for biodiversity conservation, disaster risk reduction, livelihoods and/or climate change mitigation and adaptation. [Reference Global Biodiversity Framework Targets 2, 8 and 11]

12.1 Have national wetland restoration targets been established?  $\ensuremath{\boxdot}$  A=Yes

12.2 Have priority sites for wetland restoration been identified? {12.1}  $\ensuremath{\boxtimes}$  C=Partially

12.3 Since COP14 have wetland restoration/rehabilitation programmes, plans or projects been implemented?  $\{12.2\}$ 

12.3 If applicable provide information on the extent of restored wetland area and types since last COP, in square kilometres

	Restoration planned m2 or km2	Under restoration	Total Restored
Marine/Coastal			
Inland			
Human-made			

#### 12.3 Additional information

Explain/clarify the data/statistics presented in the table above

>>> During the project "LIFE Peat Restore - Reduction of CO2 emissions by restoring degraded peatlands in Northern European Lowland (LIFE15 CCM/DE/000138)", in five wetlands (total area - 465 hectares) degraded wetlands or exploited and abandoned peatlands will be restored.

12.4 Have the Guidelines for Global Action on Peatlands (Resolution VIII.1) and Resolution XII.11 on Peatlands, climate change and wise use: Implications for the Ramsar Convention been implemented? {12.3}

 $\square$  C=Partially

#### Target 13

Enhanced sustainability of key sectors such as water, energy, mining, agriculture, tourism, urban development, infrastructure, industry, forestry, aquaculture and fisheries when they affect wetlands, contributing to biodiversity conservation and human livelihoods. [Reference to Global Biodiversity Framework Targets 10 and 14]

13.1 Have actions been taken to enhance sustainability of wetlands when they are affected by key sectors including

Please select only one per square.

a) Energy	□ D=Planned ☑ B=No □ A=Yes
b) Mining	□ D=Planned ☑ B=No □ A=Yes
c) Agriculture	□ D=Planned □ B=No ☑ A=Yes
d) Tourism	□ D=Planned □ B=No ☑ A=Yes

e) Urban development	□ D=Planned ☑ B=No □ A=Yes
f) Infrastructure	□ D=Planned □ B=No ☑ A=Yes
g) Industry	□ D=Planned ☑ B=No □ A=Yes
h) Forestry	□ D=Planned □ B=No ☑ A=Yes
i) Aquaculture	□ D=Planned ☑ B=No □ A=Yes
j) Fisheries	□ D=Planned ☑ B=No □ A=Yes

13.2 Are Strategic Environmental Assessment practices applied when reviewing policies, programmes and plans that may impact wetlands?  $\{13.1\}$  $\square$  A=Yes

13.3 Is there a legal requirement in your country to conduct environmental impact assessments for development projects (such as new buildings, new roads, extractive industry) from key sectors (e.g., water, energy, mining and agriculture) that may impact wetlands? {13.2}

#### Section 3 - Goal 4. Enhancing implementation

In responding to each of these questions, Contracting Parties are encouraged to provide links, references/ upload documents where applicable and relevant.

[Reference to Sustainable Development Goals 1, 2, 6, 9, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15, 17]

#### Target 15

Ramsar Regional Initiatives with the active involvement and support of the Parties in each region are reinforced and developed into effective tools to assist in the full implementation of the Convention.

15.1 Has your country been part of the development and implementation of a Ramsar Regional Initiative?? {15.1}

☑ A=Yes

15.1 Additional information

If "yes", please list the Ramsar Regional Initiatives in which your country is actively involved. >>> NorBalWet

15.2 Has your country supported or participated in the development of other regional (i.e., covering more than one country) wetland training and research centres?  $\{15.2\}$  $\square$  B=No

#### Target 16

Wetlands conservation and wise use are mainstreamed through communication, capacity development, education, participation and awareness.

[Reference to Global Biodiversity Framework Target 21].

16.1 Has an action plan (or plans) for wetland CEPA been established? {16.1}

Even if no CEPA plans have been developed, if broad CEPA objectives for CEPA actions have been established, please indicate this in the Additional information section below *Please select only one per square.* 

a) At the national level	□ D=Planned □ C=In Progress ☑ B=No □ A=Yes
b) Sub-national level	□ D=Planned □ C=In Progress ☑ B=No □ A=Yes
c) Catchment/basin level	□ D=Planned □ C=In Progress ☑ B=No □ A=Yes
d) Local/site level	□ D=Planned □ C=In Progress ☑ B=No □ A=Yes

16.2 How many centres (visitor centres, interpretation centres, education centres) that focus on wetlands have been established? {16.2}

a) at Ramsar Sites

☑ E=# centres

>>> 4

b) at other wetlands

☑ E=# centres

»» 36

16.2 Additional information

>>> In Lithuania, there are 28 visitors centers in Regional parks, 5 in National parks and 3 in Strict Nature Reserves in Lithuania.

#### 16.3 Does the Contracting Party {16.3}

Please select only one per square.

a) ensure stakeholder participation in decision- making on wetland planning and management	□ D=Planned □ C=Partially □ B=No ☑ A=Yes
b) specifically involve local stakeholders in the selection of new Ramsar Sites and in Ramsar Site management?	□ D=Planned ☑ C=Partially □ B=No □ A=Yes

16.4 Do you have an operational cross-sectoral national Ramsar/wetlands committee? {16.4}  $\ensuremath{\boxtimes}$  B=No

16.5 Do you have an operational cross-sectoral body equivalent to a national Ramsar/wetlands committee? {16.5}

☑ B=No

16.6 Are other communication mechanisms (apart from a national committee) in place to share the Convention's implementation guidelines and other information between the Administrative Authority and: {16.6}

Please select only one per square.

a) Ramsar Site managers	□ D=Planned ☑ C=Partially □ B=No □ A=Yes

b) other MEA national focal points	□ D=Planned ☑ C=Partially □ B=No □ A=Yes
c) other ministries, departments and agencies	□ D=Planned ☑ C=Partially □ B=No □ A=Yes

16.7 Has your country organized any Convention on Wetlands-branded World Wetlands Day events, whether led by government or NGOs, since COP14? {16.7}  $\Box$  A=Yes

16.8 Did your country undertake any campaigns, programmes or projects to raise awareness about the importance of wetlands to people and wildlife during the World Wetlands Days since COP14? {16.8}  $\Box$  A=Yes

16.9 Has information about your country's wetlands and/or Ramsar Sites and their status been made public (e.g., through publications or a website)?  $\{18.5\}$  $\square$  A=Yes

#### Target 17

Financial and other resources for effectively implementing the Convention's fourth Strategic Plan 2016 – 2024 from all sources are made available.

[Reference to Global Biodiversity Framework Target 19]

17.1 [For Contracting Parties with a development assistance agency ("donor countries")] Since COP14, has the agency provided funding to support wetland conservation and management efforts in other countries? {17.3}

☑ Z=Not Applicable

17.2 [For Contracting Parties with a development assistance agency ("donor countries")] Have environmental safeguards and assessments been included in development proposals proposed the development of projects by the agency?  $\{17.4\}$  $\Box$  Z=Not Applicable

17.3 [For Contracting Parties that have received development assistance since COP14] Has your country received financial support specifically for national wetland conservation and management: {17.5} *Please select only one per square.* 

a) from development	☑ Z=Not applicable
assistance agencies of	□ B=No
another country?	□ A=Yes
b) from non-national or	☑ Z=Not applicable
multilateral development	□ B=No
assistance agencies?	□ A=Yes

17.4 Has any financial support from the national budget been provided by your country to facilitate the implementation of the Convention on Wetlands?  $\{17.6\}$  $\square$  Z=Not Applicable

#### Target 18

International cooperation is strengthened at all levels

18.1 Are the national focal points of other MEAs invited to participate in the national Ramsar /wetland committee? {18.1}

18.2 Are mechanisms in place at the national level for collaboration between the Convention on Wetland's Administrative Authority and the focal points of UN and other global and regional bodies and agencies (e.g. UNEP, UNDP, WHO, FAO, UNECE, ITTO)? {18.2}  $\Box$  C=Partially

18.3 Has your country received assistance from any of the following UN or other global and regional bodies and agencies in implementing the Convention on Wetlands since COP14? {18.3}

a) UNEP	
b) FAO	
c) UNECE	
d) UNFCCC	
e) Global Environment Facility	
f) UNDP	
g) UNESCO	$\checkmark$
h) World Health Organization	
i) World Meteorological Organization	
ј) ІТТО	
k) The Convention's IOPs	

#### 18.4 Additional information

>>> There used to be partnerships with Belarus: Čepkeliai mire (Site No. 625) together with Kotra Ramsar Site in Belarus (Site No. 1216) formed Kotra-Čepkeliai Transboundary Ramsar Site established in 2010. Together with Vileity in Belarus (Site No. 2251), Adutiškis-Svyla-Birvėta wetland complex (Site No. 1992) formed Adutiskis-Vileity Transboundary Ramsar Site established in 2016.

18.5 Have all transboundary wetland systems been identified? {18.6}  $\ensuremath{\boxtimes}$  A=Yes

18.6 Is effective cooperative management in place for shared wetland systems (for example, in shared river basins and coastal zones)? {18.7}C=Partially

18.6 Additional information

>>> Cooperative management plan has been developed for the Transboundary Ramsar Site Kotra-Čepkeliai.

18.7 Does your country participate in regional networks or initiatives for wetland-dependent migratory species? {18.8}

☑ A=Yes

#### 18.7 Additional information

If "yes", please list which regional networks or initiatives >>> Cooperative management plan has been developed for the Transboundary Ramsar Site Kotra-Čepkeliai

#### Target 19

Capacity building for implementation of the Convention and its 4th Strategic Plan 2016 – 2024 is enhanced.

[Reference to Global Biodiversity Framework Target 20]

19.1 Has your country conducted any national needs assessment since COP14 to inform capacity building planning to implement the Convention's Strategic Plan?  $\{19.1\}$  $\square$  B=No

19.2 Does your country or institution implement capacity development strategies or actions for the Convention's Strategic Plan?

#### ☑ B=No

19.3 Are wetland conservation and wise-use issues included in formal education programmes (Resolution XIV.11)? {19.2}  $\Box$  A=Yes

19.3 Additional information

>>> Issues with nature protection and ecology are among the topics that are being taught in school, as well as sustainable development during natural science education.

19.4 How many training events for wetland site managers have occurred since COP14? {19.3}

a) at Ramsar Sites

☑ X=Unknown

b) at other wetlands

☑ X=Unknown

19.5 Have you (AA) used your previous National Reports in monitoring implementation of the Convention? {19.4}  $\square$  B=No