CONVENTION ON WETLANDS (Ramsar, Iran, 1971)

52nd Meeting of the Standing Committee

Gland, Switzerland, 13-17 June 2016

**SC52-13**

**Draft format for National Reports to COP13**

**Actions requested:**

The Standing Committee is invited to review and approve the COP13 National Report format for finalization and issuing to Contracting Parties as soon as possible after SC52.

**Introduction**

1. The Secretariat has prepared the attached draft COP13 National Report format (NRF) for the Standing Committee’s consideration.
2. As discussed during SC51 the new COP13 NRF has been structured in line with the Goals and Targets of the new 2016-2024 Ramsar Strategic Plan adopted at COP12 as Resolution XII.2.
3. The Secretariat has sought to retain the overall structure and content of the National Report form approved for the 12th meeting of the Conference of the Parties (COP12), with the reporting areas plotted against the Goals and Targets of the new Strategic Plan and the Strategies and Key Result Areas (KRAs) of the 2009-2015 Ramsar Strategic Plan. Such consistency with previous National Report formats is particularly important for continuity and to permit time-series analyses and reporting on progress in the implementation of the Convention.
4. The Secretariat has sought the advice of the STRP and other relevant groups of experts in indicators, MEAs, organizations and processes concerning the adjustments or additional need for indicators.
5. In Section 3, several new indicators have been developed as appropriate for the new goals and targets of the 4th Strategic Plan.  In addition, the information on the national wetlands inventory and the wetlands extent in target 8 (question 8.5) is relevant to the indicator of target 6.6 for the Sustainable Development Goals.  After consultation with the STRP, any other indicators will be revised as needed for the next triennium.
6. In preparing the proposal for the COP13 NRF, the Secretariat has reviewed the answers provided by Contracting Parties to the general and specific questions in the COP12 format in order to determine whether certain questions might be duplicative or were difficult for Parties to answer, and it has incorporated feedback on such issues.
7. In line with Resolution XII.2, which urges Contracting Parties “to develop and submit to the Secretariat on or before December 2016, and according to their national priorities, capabilities and resources, their own quantifiable and time-bound national and regional targets in line with the targets set in the Strategic Plan”, all Parties are encouraged to consider using the NRF as a comprehensive national planning tool, in order to identify the areas of highest priority for action and the relevant national targets and actions for each of them.
8. The planning of national targets in the NRF offers, for each of them, the possibility of indicating the *national priority* for that area of activity as well as the *level of resourcing available*, *or that could be made available during the triennium,* for its implementation. In addition, there are specific boxes to indicate the *National Targets* for implementation by 2018 and the *planned national activities* that are designed to deliver these targets.
9. The Ramsar Strategic Plan 2016-2024 shows the synergies between CBD Aichi Biodiversity Targets and Ramsar Targets, so the NRF provides an opportunity for Contracting Parties to indicate as appropriate how the actions they undertake for the implementation of the Ramsar Convention contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets according to paragraph 51 of Resolution XII.3.
10. As in recent triennia, the Secretariat suggests that the format be finalized and issued as soon as possible after SC52. This would allow Parties to have the NRF available as early as possible in the triennium so they can use it to guide their continuing implementation and prepare national targets to be submitted to the Secretariat on or before December 2016 according to Resolution XII.2. The deadline for submission of completed reports should remain as nine months before COP, for the COP13 National Reports this would be in September 2017. This timetable will be updated once the dates for COP13 are agreed.
11. The Secretariat has explored the development of an online system for national reporting by Ramsar Parties to COP13 and will present an update of the progress during SC52, taking into consideration retention of the option of an “offline” format and additional voluntary funding. The Standing Committee may wish to consider whether it would be appropriate to develop such an online system for national reporting by Ramsar Parties to COP13.

1. The Standing Committee is invited to review and provide comments on the draft COP13 National Report format so that it can be finalized and issued to Parties in the three official languages of the Convention.



**NATIONAL REPORT ON THE IMPLEMENTATION   
OF THE RAMSAR CONVENTION ON WETLANDS**

**National Reports to be submitted to the 13th Meeting  
 of the Conference of the Contracting Parties,**

**Dubai, United Arab Emirates, 2018**

The purpose of this Microsoft Word form is to help Contracting Parties to collect data for the National Report. However, the data collected through this form must be transferred to the online National Reporting system at *link* by xxx 2017 for the official submission of the National Report.

**Ramsar COP13 National Report Format (NRF)**

**Background information**

1. The COP13 National Report Format (NRF) has been approved by the Standing Committee in Decision SC52-xx for the Ramsar Convention’s Contracting Parties to complete as their national reporting to the 13th meeting of the Conference of the Contracting Parties of the Convention (United Arab Emirates, 2018).

2. The National Report Format is being issued by the Secretariat in 2016 to facilitate Contracting Parties’ implementation planning and preparations for completing the Report. The deadline for submission of national targets is on or before December 2016 and the deadline for submission of completed National Reports is **September 2017** (this timetable will be updated once the dates for COP13 are agreed).

3. Following Standing Committee discussions, this COP13 NRF closely follows that of the NRF used for COP12, to permit continuity of reporting and analysis of implementation progress by ensuring that indicator questions are as far as possible consistent with previous NRFs (and especially the COP12 NRF). It is also structured in terms of the Goals and Strategies of the 2016-2024 Ramsar Strategic Plan adopted at COP12 as Resolution XII.2.

4. This COP13 NRF includes 79 indicator questions. In addition, Section 3 is provided in order to facilitate the task of preparing the Party’s National Targets and Actions for the implementation of each of the targets of the Strategic Plan 2016-2024 according to Resolution XII.2.

5. As was the case for previous NRF, the COP13 Format includes a section (Section 4) to permit a Contracting Party to provide additional information, on indicators relevant to each individual Wetland of International Importance (Ramsar Site) within its territory.

6. Note that, for the purposes of this national reporting to the Ramsar Convention, the scope of the term “wetland” is that of the Convention text, i.e. all inland wetlands (including lakes and rivers), all nearshore coastal wetlands (including tidal marshes, mangroves and coral reefs) and human-made wetlands (e.g. rice paddy and reservoirs), even if a national definition of “wetland” may differ from that adopted by the Contracting Parties to the Ramsar Convention.

**The purposes and uses of national reporting to the Conference of the Contracting Parties**

7. National Reports from Contracting Parties are official documents of the Convention and are made publicly available on the Convention’s website.

8. There are eight main purposes for the Convention’s National Reports. These are to:

1. develop national targets in line with the targets set in the Strategic Plan;
2. provide data and information on how, and to what extent, the Convention is being implemented
3. provide information on how the implementation of the Ramsar Convention contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets according to paragraph 51 of Resolution XII.3.

iv) capture lessons and experience to help Parties plan future action;

v) identify emerging issues and implementation challenges faced by Parties that may require further attention from the Conference of the Parties;

vi) provide a means for Parties to account for their commitments under the Convention;

vii) provide each Party with a tool to help it assess and monitor its progress in implementing the Convention, and to plan its future priorities; and

viii) provide an opportunity for Parties to draw attention to their achievements during the triennium.

9. The data and information provided by Parties in their National Reports have another valuable purpose as well, since a number of the indicators in the National Reports on Parties’ implementation provide key sources of information for the analysis and assessment of the “ecological outcome-oriented indicators of effectiveness of the implementation of the Convention”.

10. To facilitate the analysis and subsequent use of the data and information provided by Contracting Parties in their National Reports, the Ramsar Secretariat holds in a database all the information it has received and verified. The COP13 reports will be in an online National Reporting system.

11. The Convention’s National Reports are used in a number of ways. These include:

i) providing the basis for reporting by the Secretariat to each meeting of the Conference of the Parties on the global and regional implementation, and the progress in implementation, of the Convention. This is provided to Parties at the COP as a series of Information Papers, including:

* the Report of the Secretary General on the implementation of the Convention at the global level;
* the Report of the Secretary General pursuant to Article 8.2 (b), (c), and (d) concerning the List of Wetlands of International Importance); and
* the reports providing regional overviews of the implementation of the Convention and its Strategic Plan in each Ramsar region;

ii) providing information on specific implementation issues in support of the provision of advice and decisions by Parties at the COP.

iii) providing the source data for time-series assessments of progress on specific aspects in the implementation of the Convention included in other Convention products. An example is the summary of progress since COP3 (Regina, 1997) in the development of National Wetland Policies, included as Table 1 in Ramsar Wise Use Handbook 2 (4th edition, 2010); and

iv) providing information for reporting to the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) on the national implementation of the CBD/Ramsar Joint Work Plan and the Ramsar Convention’s lead implementation role on wetlands for the CBD. In particular, the Ramsar Secretariat and STRP used the COP10 NRF indicators extensively in 2009 to prepare contributions to the in-depth review of the CBD programme of work on the biological diversity of inland water ecosystems for consideration by CBD SBSTTA14 and COP10 during 2010 (see UNEP/CBD/SBSTTA/14/3). Similar use of COP12 NRF indicators is anticipated for the CBD’s next such in-depth review.

# The structure of the COP13 National Report Format

12. The COP13 National Report Format (NRF) is in four sections:

**Section 1** provides the institutional information about the Administrative Authority and National Focal Points for the national implementation of the Convention.

**Section 2** is a ‘free-text’ section in which the Party is invited to provide a summary of various aspects of national implementation progress and recommendations for the future.

**Section 3** This section is provided in order to facilitate the task of preparing the Party’s National Targets and Actions for the implementation of each of the targets of the Strategic Plan 2016-2024.

In line with Resolution XII.2, which urges Contracting Parties “to develop and submit to the Secretariat on or before December 2016, and according to their national priorities, capabilities and resources, their own quantifiable and time-bound national and regional targets in line with the targets set in the Strategic Plan”, all Parties are urged to consider using this comprehensive national planning tool as soon as possible, in order to identify the areas of highest priority for action and the relevant national targets and actions for each target.

The planning of national targets offers, for each of them, the possibility of indicating the *national priority* for that area of activity as well as the *level of resourcing available*, *or that could be made available during the triennium, for its implementation*. In addition, there are specific boxes to indicate the *National Targets* for implementation by 2018 and the *planned national activities* that are designed to deliver these targets.

Ramsar Strategic Plan 2016-2024 shows the synergies between CBD Aichi Biodiversity Targets and Ramsar Targets. Therefore, the NRF provide an opportunity that Contracting Parties indicate as appropriate how the actions they undertake for the implementation of the Ramsar Convention contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets according to paragraph 51 of Resolution XII.3.

This section also provides the 79 implementation indicator questions, grouped under each Convention implementation Goals and Targets in the Strategic Plan 2016-2024, and with an optional ‘free-text’ section under each indicator question in which the Contracting Party may, if it wishes, add further information on national implementation of that activity.

**Section 4** allows Contracting Parties to provide additional information regarding its Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Sites).

# General guidance for completing and submitting the COP13 National Report Format

Important – please read this guidance section before starting to complete the National Report format

1. All Sections of the COP13 NRF should be completed in one of the Convention’s official languages (English, French, Spanish).
2. The deadline for submission of the completed NRF is September **2017 (final date will be updated once dates for COP13 have been agreed)**. It will not be possible to include information from National Reports received after that date in the analysis and reporting on Convention implementation to COP13.
3. All fields with a pale yellow background must be filled in.

Fields with a pale green background are free-text fields in which to provide additional information, if the Contracting Party so wishes. Although providing information in these fields is optional, Contracting Parties are encouraged to provide such additional information wherever possible and relevant, as it helps us understand Parties’ progress and activity more fully, to prepare the best possible global and regional implementation reports to COP.

1. For each target the planning of national targets section looks as follows (in the example of Target 8 on inventory):

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Planning of National Targets | | |
| **Priority:** |  | A= High; B= Medium; C= Low; D= Not relevant; E= No answer |
| **Resourcing:** |  | A= Good; B= Adequate; C= Limiting; D= Severely limiting; E= No answer |
| **National Targets (Text Answer):** | *[Example text]* To have comprehensive inventory of all wetlands by 2018 | |
| **Planned Activities  (Text Answer):** | *[Example text]* To update the existing inventory so as to cover all the national territory, and to incorporate relevant information about wetlands, including digital information, when possible | |
| **Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets** | *[Example text] A comprehensive inventory of all wetlands* | |

The input has to be made only in the yellow boxes. For **Priority** and **resourcing**, the coded answers are given in the right part of the table *(*always in *italics)*. The answer chosen should be typed inside the yellow box at the left side of the coded options. **Targets** and **planned activities** are text boxes; here, Contracting Parties are invited to provide more detailed information in the respective box on their National Targets for achievement in implementation by 2018 and the planned national activities that are designed to deliver these targets.

***Please note that only ONE coded option –the one that better represents the situation in the Contracting Party– should be chosen. Blanks will be coded in COP13 National Reports Database as “No answer”.***

1. To help Contracting Parties refer to relevant information they provided in their National Report to COP12, for each appropriate indicator a cross-reference is provided to the equivalent indicator(s) in the COP12 NRF, shown thus: {x.x.x}
2. For follow up and where appropriate, a cross-reference is also provided to the relevant Key Result Area (KRA) relating to Contracting Parties implementation in the Strategic Plan 2009-2015.
3. Only Strategic Plan 2016-2024 Targets for which there are implementation actions for Contracting Parties are included in this reporting format; those targets of the Strategic Plan that do not refer directly to Parties are omitted (e.g. targets 6 and 14)

20. The Format is created as a form in Microsoft Word to collect the data. You will be able to enter replies and information in the yellow or green boxes.

For each of the ‘indicator questions’ in Section 3, a legend of answer options is provided. These vary between indicators, depending on the question, but are generally of the form: ‘A - Yes’, ‘B - No’, ‘C - Partly’, ‘D - In progress’. This is necessary so that statistical comparisons can be made of the replies. Please indicate the relevant letter (A, B etc.) in the yellow field.

For each indicator question you can choose only one answer. If you wish to provide further information or clarification, do so in the green additional information box below the relevant indicator question. Please be as concise as possible (**maximum of 500 words** in each free-text box).

The NRF should ideally be completed by the principal compiler in consultation with relevant colleagues in their agency and others within the government and, as appropriate, with NGOs and other stakeholders who might have fuller knowledge of aspects of the Party’s overall implementation of the Convention. The principal compiler can save the document at any point and return to it later to continue or to amend answers. Compilers should refer back to the National Report submitted for COP12 to ensure the continuity and consistency of information provided. In the online system there will be also an option to allow consultation with others.

After each session, **remember to save the file**. A recommended filename structure is: COP13NRF [Country] [date], for example: COP13NRFSpain13July2017.doc

After the NRF has been completed, please enter the data in the NR online system in this link: xxxx or enter the data directly by xxx 2017.

The completed NRF **must be accompanied by a letter that can be uploaded in the online system in the name of the Head of Administrative Authority, confirming that this is the Contracting Party’s official submission of its COP13 National Report**.

If you have any questions or problems, please contact the Ramsar Secretariat for advice (e-mail).

National report to Ramsar COP13

# Section 1: Institutional Information

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Important note: the responses below will be considered by the Ramsar Secretariat as the definitive list of your focal points, and will be used to update the information it holds. The Secretariat’s current information about your focal points is available at** [**http://www.ramsar.org/search-contact**](http://www.ramsar.org/search-contact)**.** | | |
| **Name of Contracting Party:** | |  |
|  | | |
| Designated Ramsar Administrative Authority | | |
| Name of Administrative Authority: |  | |
| Head of Administrative Authority - name and title: |  | |
| Mailing address: |  | |
| Telephone/Fax: |  | |
| Email: |  | |
| Designated National Focal Pointfor Ramsar Convention Matters | | |
| Name and title: |  | |
| Mailing address: |  | |
| Telephone/Fax: |  | |
| Email: |  | |
| Designated National Focal Point for Matters Relating to The Scientific and Technical Review Panel (STRP) | | |
| Name and title: |  | |
| Name of organisation: |  | |
| Mailing address: |  | |
| Telephone/Fax: |  | |
| Email: |  | |
| Designated Government National Focal Point for Matters Relating to The Programme on Communication, Education, Participation and Awareness (CEPA) | | |
| Name and title: |  | |
| Name of organisation: |  | |
| Mailing address: |  | |
| Telephone/Fax: |  | |
| Email: |  | |
| Designated Non-Government National Focal Point for Matters Relating to The Programme on Communication, Education, Participation and Awareness (CEPA) | | |
| Name and title: |  | |
| Name of organisation: |  | |
| Mailing address: |  | |
| Telephone/Fax: |  | |
| Email: |  | |

# Section 2: General summary of national implementation progress and challenges

**In your country, in the past triennium (i.e., since COP12 reporting):**

A. What have been the five most successful aspects of implementation of the Convention?

|  |
| --- |
| 1) |
| 2) |
| 3) |
| 4) |
| 5) |

B. What have been the five greatest difficulties in implementing the Convention?

|  |
| --- |
| 1) |
| 2) |
| 3) |
| 4) |
| 5) |

C. What are the five priorities for future implementation of the Convention?

|  |
| --- |
| 1) |
| 2) |
| 3) |
| 4) |
| 5) |

D. Do you (AA) have any recommendations concerning implementation assistance from the Ramsar Secretariat?

|  |
| --- |
|  |

E. Do you (AA) have any recommendations concerning implementation assistance from the Convention’s International Organisation Partners (IOPs)? (including ongoing partnerships and partnerships to develop)

|  |
| --- |
|  |

F. How can national implementation of the Ramsar Convention be better linked with implementation of other multilateral environmental agreements (MEAs), especially those in the ‘biodiversity cluster’ (Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD), Convention on Migratory Species (CMS), Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species (CITES), World Heritage Convention (WHC), and United Nations Convention to Combat Desertification (UNCCD) and the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC)?

|  |
| --- |
|  |

G. How can implementation of the Ramsar Convention be better linked with the implementation of water policy/strategy and other strategies in the country (e.g., on sustainable development, energy, extractive industries, poverty reduction, sanitation, food security, biodiversity)?

|  |
| --- |
|  |

H. Do you (AA) have any other general comments on the implementation of the Convention?

|  |
| --- |
|  |

I. Please list the names of the organisations which have been consulted on or have contributed to the information provided in this report:

|  |
| --- |
|  |

# Section 3: Planning of national targets, indicator questions and further implementation information

# Goal 1. Addressing the drivers of wetland loss and degradation

## *Target 1. Wetland benefits. Wetland benefits are featured in national/ local policy strategies and plans relating to key sectors such as water, energy, mining, agriculture, tourism, urban development, infrastructure, industry, forestry, aquaculture, fisheries at the national and local level.*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Planning of National Targets | | |
| **Priority:** |  | A= High; B= Medium; C= Low; D= Not relevant; E= No answer |
| **Resourcing:** |  | A= Good; B= Adequate; C= Limiting; D= Severely limiting; E= No answer |
| **National Targets (Text Answer):** |  | |
| **Planned Activities  (Text Answer):** |  | |
| **Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets** |  | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1.1 Have wetland issues/benefits been incorporated into other national strategies and planning processes, including: {1.3.2} {1.3.3} KRA 1.3.i | | |
|  | A=Yes; B=No; C=Partly; D=Planned | |
| a) | Poverty eradication strategies |  |
| b) | Water resource management and water efficiency plans |  |
| c) | Coastal and marine resource management plans |  |
| d) | National forest programmes |  |
| e) | National policies or measures on agriculture |  |
| f) | National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plans drawn up under the CBD |  |
| g) | National policies on energy and mining |  |
| h) | National policies on tourism |  |
| i) | National policies on urban development, infrastructure and industry |  |
| j) | National policies on aquaculture and fisheries {1.3.3} KRA 1.3.i |  |
| 1.1 Additional information: | | |

## *Target 2. Water use. Water use respects wetland ecosystem needs for them to fulfil their functions and provide services at the appropriate scale inter alia at the basin level or along a coastal zone*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Planning of National Targets** | | |
| **Priority:** |  | A= High; B= Medium; C= Low; D= Not relevant; E= No answer |
| **Resourcing:** |  | A= Good; B= Adequate; C= Limiting; D= Severely limiting; E= No answer |
| **National Targets (Text Answer):** |  | |
| **Planned Activities  (Text Answer):** |  | |
| **Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets** |  | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **COP13 REPORT** | |
| 2.1 Has the quantity and quality of water available to, and required by, wetlands been assessed to support the implementation of the Guidelines for the allocation and management of water for maintaining the ecological functions of wetlands (Resolution VIII.1, VIII.2) ? 1.24. |  |
| A=Yes; B=No; C=Partly; D=Planned |
| 2.1 Additional information: | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 2.2 Have assessments of environmental flow been undertaken in relation to mitigation of impacts on the ecological character of wetlands (Action r3.4.iv) |  |
| A=Yes; B=No; C=Partly; D=Planned |
| 2.2 Additional information: | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 2.3 Have Ramsar Sites improved the sustainability of water use in the context of ecosystem requirements? |  |
| A=Yes; B=No; C=Partly; D=Planned |
| 2.3 Additional information: | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 2.4 Has the Guidelines for allocation and management of water for maintaining ecological functions of wetlands (Resolutions VIII.1 and XII.12 ) been used/applied in decision-making processes. (Action 3.4.6.) |  |
| A=Yes; B=No; C=Partly; D=Planned |
| 2.4 Additional information: | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 2.5 Have projects that promote and demonstrate good practice in water allocation and management for maintaining the ecological functions of wetlands been developed (Action r3.4.ix. ) |  |
| A=Yes; B=No; C=Partly; D=Planned |
| 2.5 Additional information: | |

## *Target.3. Public and private sectors. Public and private sectors have increased their efforts to apply guidelines and good practices for the wise use of water and wetlands.* {1.10}

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Planning of National Targets** | | |
| **Priority:** |  | A= High; B= Medium; C= Low; D= Not relevant; E= No answer |
| **Resourcing:** |  | A= Good; B= Adequate; C= Limiting; D= Severely limiting; E= No answer |
| **National Targets (Text Answer):** |  | |
| **Planned Activities  (Text Answer):** |  | |
| **Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets** |  | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| COP13 REPORT | |
| 3.1 Is the private sector encouraged to apply the Ramsar wise use principle and guidance (Ramsar handbooks for the wise use of wetlands) in its activities and investments concerning wetlands? {1.10.1} KRA 1.10.i |  |
| A=Yes; B=No; C=Partly; D=Planned |
| 3.1 Additional information: | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 3.2 Has the private sector undertaken activities or actions for the conservation, wise use and management of {1.10.2} KRA 1.10.ii:  a) Ramsar Sites  b) Wetlands in general | A=Yes; B=No; D=Planned |
| a)  b) |
| 3.2 Additional information: | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 3.3 Have actions been taken to implement incentive measures which encourage the conservation and wise use of wetlands? {1.11.1} KRA 1.11.i |  |
| A=Yes; B=No; D=Planned |
| 3.3 Additional information: | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 3.4 Have actions been taken to remove perverse incentive measures which discourage conservation and wise use of wetlands? {1.11.2} KRA 1.11.i |  |
| A=Yes; B=No; D=Planned; Z=Not Applicable |
| 3.4 Additional information: | |

## *Target 4. Invasive alien species. Invasive alien species and pathways of introduction and expansion are identified and prioritized, priority invasive alien species are controlled or eradicated, and management responses are prepared and implemented to prevent their introduction and establishment.*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Planning of National Targets** | | |
| **Priority:** |  | A= High; B= Medium; C= Low; D= Not relevant; E= No answer |
| **Resourcing:** |  | A= Good; B= Adequate; C= Limiting; D= Severely limiting; E= No answer |
| **National Targets (Text Answer):** |  | |
| **Planned Activities  (Text Answer):** |  | |
| **Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets** |  | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **COP13 REPORT** | |
| 4.1 Does your country have a comprehensive national inventory of invasive alien species that currently or potentially impact the ecological character of wetlands? {1.9.1} KRA 1.9.i |  |
| A=Yes; B=No; C=Partly; D=Planned |
| 4.1 Additional information: | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 4.2 Have national policies or guidelines on invasive species control and management been established or reviewed for wetlands? {1.9.2} KRA 1.9.iii |  |
| A=Yes; B=No; C=Partly; D=Planned |
| 4.2 Additional information: | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 4.3 How many invasive species are being controlled through management actions. | Xxx species |
| 4.3 Additional information: (If ‘Yes’, please indicate the year of assessment and the source of the information): | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 4.4 Have the effectiveness of wetland invasive alien species control programmes been assessed? |  |
| A=Yes; B=No; C=Partly; D=Planned |
| 4.4 Additional information: | |

# Goal 2. Effectively conserving and managing the Ramsar Site network

## *Target 5. Ecological character of Ramsar Sites.* *The ecological character of Ramsar Sites is maintained or restored through effective, planning and integrated management {2.1.}*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Planning of National Targets** | | |
| **Priority:** |  | A= High; B= Medium; C= Low; D= Not relevant; E= No answer |
| **Resourcing:** |  | A= Good; B= Adequate; C= Limiting; D= Severely limiting; E= No answer |
| **National Targets (Text Answer):** |  | |
| **Planned Activities  (Text Answer):** |  | |
| **Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets** |  | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **COP13 REPORT** | |
| 5.1 Have a national strategy and priorities been established for the further designation of Ramsar Sites, using the *Strategic Framework for the Ramsar List*? {2.1.1} KRA 2.1.i |  |
| A=Yes; B=No; C=Partly; D=Planned |
| 5.1 Additional information: | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 5.2 Are the Ramsar Sites Information Service and its tools being used in national identification of further Ramsar Sites to designate? {2.2.1} KRA 2.2.ii |  |
| A=Yes; B=No; D=Planned |
| 5.2 Additional information: | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 5.3 How many Ramsar Sites have a management plan? {2.4.1} KRA 2.4.i | Xxx sites |
| 5.4 For how many of the Ramsar Sites with a management plan is the plan being effectively implemented?  {2.4.2} KRA 2.4.i | Xxx sites |
| 5.5 For how many Ramsar Sites is a management plan currently being prepared? {2.4.3} KRA 2.4.i | Xxx sites |
| 5.3 – 5.5 Additional information: | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 5.6 Have all wetland management plans been based on sound scientific research, including research on potential threats to the wetlands? {1.6.2} KRA 1.6.ii |  |
| A=Yes; B=No; C=Partly; D=Planned |
| 5.6 Additional information: | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 5.7 How many Ramsar Sites have a cross-sectoral management committee? {2.4.6} KRA 2.4.iv | Xxx sites |
| 5.7 Additional information (If at least 1 site, please give the name and official number of the site or sites): | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 5.8 For how many Ramsar Sites has an ecological character description been prepared (see Resolution X.15)? {2.4.7} KRA 2.4.v | Xxx sites |
| 5.8 Additional information (If at least 1 site, please give the name and official number of the site or sites): | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 5.9 Have any assessments of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management been made? {2.5.1} KRA 2.5.i |  |
| A=Yes; B=No; C=Some Sites |
| 5.9 Additional information (If ‘Yes’ or ‘Some sites’, please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15, and the source of the information): | |

## *Target 7.* *Sites at risk. Sites that are at risk of change of ecological character have threats addressed {2.6.}.*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Planning of National Targets** | | |
| **Priority:** |  | A= High; B= Medium; C= Low; D= Not relevant; E= No answer |
| **Resourcing:** |  | A= Good; B= Adequate; C= Limiting; D= Severely limiting; E= No answer |
| **National Targets (Text Answer):** |  | |
| **Planned Activities  (Text Answer):** |  | |
| **Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets** |  | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **COP13 REPORT** | |
| 7.1 Are mechanisms in place for the Administrative Authority to be informed of negative human-induced changes or likely changes in the ecological character of Ramsar Sites, pursuant to Article 3.2? {2.6.1} KRA 2.6.i |  |
| A=Yes; B=No; C=Some Sites; D=Planned |
| 7.1 Additional information (If ‘Yes’ or ‘Some sites’, please summarise the mechanism or mechanisms established): | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 7.2 Have all cases of negative human-induced change or likely change in the ecological character of Ramsar Sites been reported to the Ramsar Secretariat, pursuant to Article 3.2? {2.6.2} KRA 2.6.i |  |
| A=Yes; B=No; C=Some Cases; Z=No Negative Change |
| 7.2 Additional information (If ‘Yes’ or ‘Some cases’, please indicate for which Ramsar Sites the Administrative Authority has made Article 3.2 reports to the Secretariat, and for which sites such reports of change or likely change have not yet been made): | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 7.3 If applicable, have actions been taken to address the issues for which Ramsar Sites have been listed on the Montreux Record, including requesting a Ramsar Advisory Mission? {2.6.3} KRA 2.6.ii |  |
| A=Yes; B=No; Z=Not Applicable |
| 7.3 Additional information (If ‘Yes’, please indicate the actions taken): | |

# Goal 3. Wisely Using All Wetlands

## *Target 8.* *National wetland inventories.* *National wetland inventories have been either initiated, completed or updated and disseminated and used for promoting the conservation and effective management of all wetlands {1.1.1} KRA 1.1.i*

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Planning of National Targets | | | | | |
| **Priority:** | |  | A= High; B= Medium; C= Low; D= Not relevant; E= No answer | | |
| **Resourcing:** | |  | A= Good; B= Adequate; C= Limiting; D= Severely limiting; E= No answer | | |
| **National Targets (Text Answer):** | |  | | | |
| **Planned Activities  (Text Answer):** | |  | | | |
| **Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets** | |  | | | |
| **COP13 REPORT** | | | |
| 8.1 Does your country have a complete National Wetland Inventory? {1.1.1} KRA 1.1.i | | |  |
| A=Yes; B=No; C=In Progress; D=Planned |
| 8.1 Additional information: | | | |
| 8.2 Has your country updated a National Wetland Inventory in the last decade? | | |  |
| A=Yes; B=No; C=In Progress; D=Planned |
| 8.2 Additional information: | | | |
| 8.3 Is wetland inventory data and information maintained and made accessible to all stakeholders? {1.1.2} KRA 1.1.ii | | |  |
| A=Yes; B=No; C=Partly; D=Planned |
| 8.3 Additional information: | | | |
| 8.4 Has the condition\* of wetlands in your country, overall, changed since the last triennium? {1.1.3}  a) Ramsar Sites  b) wetlands generally  Please comment on the sources of the information on which your answer is based in the green free- text box below. If there is a difference between inland and coastal wetland situations, please describe. If you are able to, please describe the principal driver(s) of the change(s).  \* ‘Condition’ corresponds to ecological character, as defined by the Convention | | | N=Status Deteriorated; O=No Change; P=Status Improved |
| a)  b) |
| 8.4 Additional information on a) and/or b): | | | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 8.5 Based upon the National Wetland Inventory if available please provide a baseline figure in square kilometres for the extent of wetlands (according to the Ramsar definition) for the year 2017 | xx Km 2 |
| A=Yes; B=No; C=Partly; D=Planned |
| 8.5 Additional information: If the information is available please indicate the % of change in the extent of wetlands over the last three years. | |

## *Target 9. Wise Use. The wise use of wetlands is strengthened through integrated resource management at the appropriate scale, inter alia, within a river basin or along a coastal zone {1.3.}.*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Planning of National Targets** | | |
| **Priority:** |  | A= High; B= Medium; C= Low; D= Not relevant; E= No answe*r* |
| **Resourcing:** |  | A= Good; B= Adequate; C= Limiting; D= Severely limiting; E= No answer |
| **National Targets (Text Answer):** |  | |
| **Planned Activities  (Text Answer):** |  | |
| **Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets** |  | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **COP13 REPORT** | |
| 9.1 Is a National Wetland Policy (or equivalent instrument) in place? {1.3.1} KRA 1.3.i  (If ‘Yes’, please give the title and date of the policy in the green text box) |  |
| A=Yes; B=No; C=In Preparation; D=Planned |
| 9.1 Additional information: | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 9.2 Have any amendments to existing legislation been made to reflect Ramsar commitments? {1.3.6} |  |
| A=Yes; B=No; C=In Progress; D=Planned |
| 9.2 Additional information: | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 9.3 Do your country’s water governance and management systems treat wetlands as natural water infrastructure integral to water resource management at the scale of river basins? {1.7.2} KRA 1.7.ii |  |
| A=Yes; B=No; D=Planned |
| 9.3 Additional information: | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 9.4 Have Communication, Education, Participation and Awareness (CEPA) expertise and tools been incorporated into catchment/river basin planning and management (see Resolution X.19)? {1.7.3} |  |
| A=Yes; B=No; D=Planned |
| 9.4 Additional information: | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 9.5 Has your country established policies or guidelines for enhancing the role of wetlands in mitigating or adapting to climate change? {1.7.5} KRA 1.7.iii |  |
| A=Yes; B=No; C=Partly; D=Planned |
| 9.5 Additional information: | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 9.6 Has your country formulated plans or projects to sustain and enhance the role of wetlands in supporting and maintaining viable farming systems? {1.7.6} KRA 1.7.v |  |
| A=Yes; B=No; C=Partly; D=Planned |
| 9.6 Additional information: | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 9.7 Has research to inform wetland policies and plans been undertaken in your country on:  a) agriculture-wetland interactions  b) climate change  c) valuation of ecoystem services  {1.6.1} KRA 1.6.i | A=Yes; B=No; D=Planned |
| a)  b)  c) |
| 9.7 Additional information: | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 9.8 Has your country submitted a request for Wetland City Accreditation of the Ramsar Convention, Resolution XII.10 ? |  |
| A=Yes; B=No; C=Partly; D=Planned |
| 9.8 Additional information: (If ‘Yes’, please indicate How many request have been submitted): | |

## *Target 10. Traditional knowledge. The traditional knowledge innovations and practices of indigenous peoples and local communities relevant for the wise use of wetlands and their customary use of wetland resources, are documented, respected, subject to national legislation and relevant international obligations and fully integrated and reflected in the implementation of the Convention with a full and effective participation of indigenous and local communities at all relevant levels.*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Planning of National Targets** | | |
| **Priority:** |  | A= High; B= Medium; C= Low; D= Not relevant; E= No answer |
| **Resourcing:** |  | A= Good; B= Adequate; C= Limiting; D= Severely limiting; E= No answer |
| **National Targets (Text Answer):** |  | |
| **Planned Activities  (Text Answer):** |  | |
| **Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets** |  | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **COP13 REPORT** | |
| 10.1 Have the guiding principles for taking into account the cultural values of wetlands including traditional knowledge for the effective management of sites (Resolution VIII.19) been used or applied?.(Action 6.1.2/ 6.1.6) |  |
| A=Yes; B=No; C=In Preparation; D=Planned |
| 10.1 Additional information: | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 10.2 Have case studies, participation in projects or successful experiences on cultural aspects of wetlands been compiled. Resolution VIII.19 and Resolution IX.21? (Action 6.1.6) |  |
| A=Yes; B=No; C=In Preparation; D=Planned |
| 10.2 Additional information: (If yes please indicate the case studies or projects documenting information and experiences concerning culture and wetlands). | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 10.3 Have the guidelines for establishing and strengthening local communities’ and indigenous people’s participation in the management of wetlands been used or applied**. (Resolution VII. 8)** (Action 6.1.5) |  |
| A=Yes; B=No; C=In Preparation; D=Planned |
| 10.3 Additional information: (If the answer is “yes” please indicate the use or aplication of the guidelines) | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 10.4 Traditional knowledge and management practices relevant for the wise use of wetlands have been documented and their  application encouraged (Action 6.1.2 ) |  |
| A=Yes; B=No; C=In Preparation; D=Planned |
| 10.4 Additional information: | |

## *Target 11. Wetland functions. Wetland functions, services and benefits are widely demonstrated, documented and disseminated. {1.4.}*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Planning of National Targets** | | |
| **Priority:** |  | A= High; B= Medium; C= Low; D= Not relevant; E= No answer |
| **Resourcing:** |  |  |
| **National Targets (Text Answer):** |  | |
| **Planned Activities  (Text Answer):** |  | |
| **Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets** |  | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **COP13 REPORT** | |
| 11.1 Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by Ramsar Sites and other wetlands? {1.4.1} KRA 1.4.ii |  |
| A=Yes; B=No; C=In Preparation; D=Planned |
| 11.1 Additional information: (If ‘Yes’ or ‘Partly’, please indicate, how many Ramsar Sites and their names): | | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 11.2 Have wetland programmes or projects that contribute to poverty alleviation objectives or food and water security plans been implemented? {1.4.2} KRA 1.4.i |  |
| A=Yes; B=No; C=Partly; D=Planned |
| 11.2 Additional information: | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 11.3 Have socio-economic or cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for Ramsar Sites and other wetlands? {1.4.4} KRA 1.4.iii |  |
| A=Yes; B=No; C=Partly; D=Planned |
| 11.3 Additional information (If ‘Yes’ or ‘Partly’, please indicate, if known, how many Ramsar Sites and their names): | |

## *Target 12. Restoration. Restoration is in progress in degraded wetlands, with priority to wetlands that are relevant for biodiversity conservation, disaster risk reduction, livelihoods and/or climate change mitigation and adaptation. {1.8.}*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Planning of National Targets** | | |
| **Priority:** |  | A= High; B= Medium; C= Low; D= Not relevant; E= No answer |
| **Resourcing:** |  | A= Good; B= Adequate; C= Limiting; D= Severely limiting; E= No answer |
| **National Targets (Text Answer):** |  | |
| **Planned Activities  (Text Answer):** |  | |
| **Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets** |  | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **COP13 REPORT** | |
| 12.1 Have priority sites for wetland restoration been identified? {1.8.1} KRA 1.8.i |  |
| A=Yes; B=No; D=Planned |
| 12.1 Additional information: | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 12.2 Have wetland restoration/rehabilitation programmes, plans or projects been effectively implemented? {1.8.2} KRA 1.8.i |  |
| A=Yes; B=No; D=Planned |
| 12.2 Additional information: (If ‘Yes’ or ‘Partly’, please indicate, if available the extent of wetlands restored ): | |

## *Target 13. Enhanced sustainability. Enhanced sustainability of key sectors such as water, energy, mining, agriculture, tourism, urban development, infrastructure, industry, forestry, aquaculture and fisheries when they affect wetlands, contributing to biodiversity conservation and human livelihoods*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Planning of National Targets** | | |
| **Priority:** |  | A= High; B= Medium; C= Low; D= Not relevant; E= No answer |
| **Resourcing:** |  | A= Good; B= Adequate; C= Limiting; D= Severely limiting; E= No answer |
| **National Targets (Text Answer):** |  | |
| **Planned Activities  (Text Answer):** |  | |
| **Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets** |  | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| COP13 REPORT | |
| 13.1 Have actions been taken to enhance sustainability of key sectors such as water, energy, mining, agriculture, tourism, urban development, infrastructure, industry, forestry, aquaculture and fisheries when they affect wetlands? |  |
| A=Yes; B=No; D=Planned |
| 13.1. Additional information: (If ‘Yes’, please indicate the actions taken): | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 13.2 Are Strategic Environmental Assessment practices applied when reviewing policies, programmes and plans that may impact upon wetlands? {1.3.4} KRA 1.3.ii |  |
| A=Yes; B=No; C=Partly; D=Planned |
| 13.2 Additional information: | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 13.3 Are Environmental Impact Assessments made for any development projects (such as new buildings, new roads, extractive industry) from key sectors such as water, energy, mining, agriculture, tourism, urban development, infrastructure, industry, forestry, aquaculture and fisheries that may affect wetlands? {1.3.5} KRA 1.3.iii |  |
| A=Yes; B=No; C=Some Cases |
| 13.3 Additional information: | |

# GOAL 4. enhancing implementation

## *Target 15. Regional Initiatives.* *Ramsar Regional Initiatives with the active involvement and support of the Parties in each region are reinforced and developed into effective tools to assist in the full implementation of the Convention. {3.2.}*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Planning of National Targets** | | |
| **Priority:** |  | A= High; B= Medium; C= Low; D= Not relevant; E= No answer |
| **Resourcing:** |  | A= Good; B= Adequate; C= Limiting; D= Severely limiting; E= No answer |
| **National Targets (Text Answer):** |  | |
| **Planned Activities  (Text Answer):** |  | |
| **Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets** |  | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **COP13 REPORT** | |
| 15.1 Have you (AA) been involved in the development and implementation of a Regional Initiative under the framework of the Convention? {3.2.1} KRA 3.2.i |  |
| A=Yes; B=No; D=Planned |
| 15.1 Additional information (If ‘Yes’ or ‘Planned’, please indicate the regional initiative(s) and the collaborating countries of each initiative): | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 15.2 Has your country supported or participated in the development of other regional (i.e., covering more than one country) wetland training and research centres? {3.2.2} |  |
| A=Yes; B=No; D=Planned |
| 15.2 Additional information (If ‘Yes’, please indicate the name(s) of the centre(s): | |

## *Target 16*. *Wetlands conservation and wise use. Wetlands conservation and wise use are mainstreamed through communication, capacity development, education, participation and awareness {4.1}.*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Planning of National Targets** | | |
| **Priority:** |  | A= High; B= Medium; C= Low; D= Not relevant; E= No answer |
| **Resourcing:** |  | A= Good; B= Adequate; C= Limiting; D= Severely limiting; E= No answer |
| **National Targets (Text Answer):** |  | |
| **Planned Activities  (Text Answer):** |  | |
| **Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets** |  | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **COP13 REPORT** | |
| 16.1 Has an action plan (or plans) for wetland CEPA been established? {4.1.1} KRA 4.1.i   1. At the national level 2. Sub-national level 3. Catchment/basin level 4. Local/site level   (Even if no CEPA plans have been developed, if broad CEPA objectives for CEPA actions have been established, please indicate this in the Additional information section below) | A=Yes; B=No; C=In Progress; D=Planned |
| a)  b)  c)  d) |
| 16.1 Additional information (If ‘Yes’ or ‘In progress’ to one or more of the four questions above, for each please describe the mechanism, who is responsible and identify if it has involved CEPA NFPs): | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 16.2 How many centres (visitor centres, interpretation centres, education centres) have been established? {4.1.2} KRA 4.1.ii  a) at Ramsar Sites  b) at other wetlands | a) xxx centres  b) xxx centres |
| 16.2 Additional information (If centres are part of national or international networks, please describe the networks): | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 16.3 Does the Contracting Party:   1. promote stakeholder participation in decision-making on wetland planning and management 2. specifically involve local stakeholders in the selection of new Ramsar Sites and in Ramsar Site management?   {4.1.3} KRA 4.1.iii | A=Yes; B=No; C=Partly; D=Planned |
| a)  b) |
| 16.3 Additional information (If ‘Yes’ or ‘Partly’, please provide information about the ways in which stakeholders are involved): | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 16.4 Do you have an operational cross-sectoral National Ramsar/Wetlands Committee or equivalent body? {4.1.6} KRA 4.3.v |  |
| A=Yes; B=No; D=Planned |
| 16.4 Additional information (If ‘Yes’, indicate a) its membership; b) number of meetings since COP12; and c) what responsibilities the Committee has): | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 16.5 Are other communication mechanisms (apart from a national committee) in place to share Ramsar implementation guidelines and other information between the Administrative Authority and:   1. Ramsar Site managers 2. other MEA national focal points 3. other ministries, departments and agencies   {4.1.7} KRA 4.1.vi | A=Yes; B=No; C=Partly; D=Planned |
| a)  b)  c) |
| 16.5 Additional information (If ‘Yes’ or ‘Partly’, please describe what mechanisms are in place): | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 16.6 Have Ramsar-branded World Wetlands Day activities (whether on 2 February or at another time of year), either government and NGO-led or both, been carried out in the country since COP12? {4.1.8} |  |
| A=Yes; B=No |
| 16.6 Additional information: | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 16.7 Have campaigns, programmes, and projects (other than for World Wetlands Day-related activities) been carried out since COP12 to raise awareness of the importance of wetlands to people and wildlife and the ecosystem benefits/services provided by wetlands? {4.1.9} |  |
| A=Yes; B=No; D=Planned |
| 16.7 Additional information (If these and other CEPA activities have been undertaken by other organizations, please indicate this): | |

## *Target 17.* *Financial and other resources. Financial and other resources for effectively implementing the fourth Ramsar Strategic Plan 2016 – 2024 from all sources are made available. {4.2.}*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Planning of National Targets | | |
| **Priority:** |  | A= High; B= Medium; C= Low; D= Not relevant; E= No answer |
| **Resourcing:** |  | A= Good; B= Adequate; C= Limiting; D= Severely limiting; E= No answer |
| **National Targets (Text Answer):** |  | |
| **Planned Activities  (Text Answer):** |  | |
| **Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets** |  | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **COP13 REPORT** | |
| 17.1  a) Have Ramsar contributions been paid in full for 2015, 2016 and 2017? {4.2.1} KRA 4.2.i |  |
| A=Yes; B=No; Z=Not Applicable |
| b) If ‘No’ in 17.1 a), please clarify what plan is in place to ensure future prompt payment: | |
|  | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 17.2 Has any additional financial support been provided through voluntary contributions to non-core funded Convention activities? {4.2.2} KRA 4.2.i |  |
| A=Yes; B=No |
| 17.2 Additional information (If ‘Yes’ please state the amounts, and for which activities): | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 17.3 [For Contracting Parties with a development assistance agency only (‘donor countries’)]: Has the agency provided funding to support wetland conservation and management in other countries? {3.3.1} KRA 3.3.i |  |
| A=Yes; B=No; Z=Not Applicable |
| 17.3 Additional information (If ‘Yes’, please indicate the countries supported since COP12):  .......... | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 17.4 [For Contracting Parties with a development assistance agency only (‘donor countries’)]: Have environmental safeguards and assessments been included in development proposals proposed by the agency? {3.3.2} KRA 3.3.ii |  |
| A=Yes; B=No; Z=Not Applicable |
| 17.4 Additional information: | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 17.5 [For Contracting Parties that have received development assistance only (‘recipient countries’)]: Has funding support been received from development assistance agencies specifically for in-country wetland conservation and management? {3.3.3} |  |
| A=Yes; B=No; Z=Not Applicable |
| 17.5 Additional information (If ‘Yes’, please indicate from which countries/agencies since COP12): | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 17.6 Has any financial support been provided by your country to the implementation of the Strategic Plan? |  |
| A=Yes; B=No; Z=Not Applicable |
| 17.6 Additional information (If “Yes” please state the amounts, and for which activities):  .......... | |

## *Target 18. International cooperation.* *International cooperation is strengthened at all levels {3.1}*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Planning of National Targets** | | |
| **Priority:** |  | A= High; B= Medium; C= Low; D= Not relevant; E= No answer |
| **Resourcing:** |  | A= Good; B= Adequate; C= Limiting; D= Severely limiting; E= No answer |
| **National Targets (Text Answer):** |  | |
| **Planned Activities  (Text Answer):** |  | |
| **Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets** |  | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **COP13 REPORT** | |
| 18.1 Are the national focal points of other MEAs invited to participate in the National Ramsar/Wetland Committee? {3.1.2} KRAs 3.1.i & 3.1.iv |  |
| A=Yes; B=No; C=Partly; D=Planned |
| 18.1 Additional information: | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 18.2 Are mechanisms in place at the national level for collaboration between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the focal points of UN and other global and regional bodies and agencies (e.g. UNEP, UNDP, WHO, FAO, UNECE, ITTO)? {3.1.3} KRA 3.1.iv |  |
| A=Yes; B=No; C=Partly; D=Planned |
| 18.2 Additional information: | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 18.3 Has your country received assistance from one or more UN and other global and regional bodies and agencies (e.g. UNEP, UNDP, WHO, FAO, UNECE, ITTO) or the Convention’s IOPs in its implementation of the Convention? {4.4.1} KRA 4.4.ii.  The IOPs are: BirdLife International, the International Water Management Institute (IWMI), IUCN (International Union for Conservation of Nature), Wetlands International, WWF and Wildfowl & Wetland Trust (WWT). |  |
| A=Yes; B=No; D=Planned |
| 18.3 Additional information (If ‘Yes’ please name the agency (es) or IOP (s) and the type of assistance received): | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 18.4 Have networks, including twinning arrangements, been established, nationally or internationally, for knowledge sharing and training for wetlands that share common features? {3.4.1} |  |
| A=Yes; B=No; C=Partly; D=Planned |
| 18.4 Additional information (If ‘Yes’ or ‘Partly’, please indicate the networks and wetlands involved): | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 18.5 Has information about your country’s wetlands and/or Ramsar Sites and their status been made public (e.g., through publications or a website)? {3.4.2} KRA 3.4.iv |  |
| A=Yes; B=No; C=Partly; D=Planned |
| 18.5 Additional information: | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 18.6 Has information about your country’s wetlands and/or Ramsar Sites been transmitted to the Ramsar Secretariat for dissemination? {3.4.3} KRA 3.4.ii |  |
| A=Yes; B=No; C=Partly; D=Planned |
| 18.6 Additional information: | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 18.7 Have all transboundary wetland systems been identified? {3.5.1} KRA 3.5.i |  |
| A=Yes; B=No; D=Planned; Z=Not Applicable |
| 18.7 Additional information: | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 18.8 Is effective cooperative management in place for shared wetland systems (for example, in shared river basins and coastal zones)? {3.5.2} KRA 3.5.ii |  |
| A=Yes; B=No; C=Partly; D=Planned |
| 18.8 Additional information (If ‘Yes’ or ‘Partly’, please indicate for which wetland systems such management is in place): | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 18.9 Does your country participate in regional networks or initiatives for wetland-dependent migratory species? {3.5.3} KRA 3.5.iii |  |
| A=Yes; B=No; D=Planned; Z=Not Applicable |
| 18.9 Additional information: | |

## *Target 19. Capacity building.* *Capacity building for implementation of the Convention and the 4th Ramsar Strategic Plan 2016 – 2024 is enhanced.*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Planning of National Targets** | | |
| **Priority:** |  | A= High; B= Medium; C= Low; D= Not relevant; E= No answer |
| **Resourcing:** |  | A= Good; B= Adequate; C= Limiting; D= Severely limiting; E= No answer |
| **National Targets (Text Answer):** |  | |
| **Planned Activities  (Text Answer):** |  | |
| **Outcomes achieved by 2018 and how they contribute to achievement of the Aichi Targets** |  | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **COP13 REPORT** | |
| 19.1 Has an assessment of national and local training needs for the implementation of the Convention been made? {4.1.4} KRAs 4.1.iv & 4.1.viii |  |
| A=Yes; B=No; C=Partly; D=Planned |
| 19.1 Additional information: | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 19.2 Are wetland conservation and wise-use issues included in formal education programmes}.  Additional information: |  |
| A=Yes; B=No; C=Partly; D=Planned |
| If you answer yes to the above please provide information on which mechanisms and materials | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 19.3 How many opportunities for wetland site manager training have been provided since COP12? {4.1.5} KRA 4.1.iv  a) at Ramsar Sites  b) at other wetlands | Number of opportunities:   1. xxx 2. xxx |
| 19.3 Additional information (including whether the Ramsar Wise Use Handbooks were used in the training): | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 19.4 Have you (AA) used your previous Ramsar National Reports in monitoring implementation of the Convention? {4.3.1} KRA 4.3.ii |  |
| A=Yes; B=No; D=Planned; Z=Not Applicable |
| 19.4 Additional information (If ‘Yes’, please indicate how the Reports have been used for monitoring): | |

# Section 4: Additional information on individual designated Wetlands of International Importance

**Guidance for filling in this section**

1. A Contracting Party is required to complete this Section 4 Annex as part of its COP13 Reporting.
2. Contracting Parties can provide additional information specific to any or all of their designated Ramsar Sites, given that the situation and status of individual Ramsar Sites can differ greatly within the territory of a Contracting Party.
3. The only indicator questions included in this section are those from Section 3 of the COP13 NRF which directly concern Ramsar Sites.
4. In some cases, to make them meaningful in the context of reporting on each Ramsar Site separately, some of these indicator questions and/or their answer options have been adjusted from their formulation in Section 3 of the COP13 NRF.
5. Please include information on only one site in each row. In the appropriate columns please add the name and official site number (from the [Ramsar Sites Information Service](http://ramsar.wetlands.org)).
6. For each ‘indicator question’, please select one answer from the legend.
7. A final column of this Annex is provided as a ‘free text’ box for the inclusion of any additional information concerning the Ramsar Site.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Name of Contracting Party:** |  |

**List of indicator questions:**

**5.3** Does the site have a management plan (or equivalent)?

**5.4** If you answered yes to 5.3, is the management plan being implemented?

**5.7** Has a cross-sectoral site management committee been established for the site?

**5.8a** Has a description of the ecological character of the Ramsar Site been prepared (See Resolution X.15 ?

**5.8b** Has the RIS of the Ramsar Site been updated according to Resolution VI.13?

**5.9** Has any assessment of the effectiveness of Ramsar Site management been made? (If ‘Yes’ or ‘Some sites’, please indicate the year of assessment, which assessment tool did you use (e.g. METT, Resolution XII.15), the result (score) of the assessment and the source of the information in the box for additional information.

**7.1** Are mechanisms in place for the Administrative Authority to be informed of negative changes or likely negative changes in the ecological character of the Ramsar Site, pursuant to Article 3.2?

**7.2** Have all cases of change or likely negative change in the ecological character of the Ramsar Site been reported to the Ramsar Secretariat, pursuant to Article 3.2?

**8.4a**  Has the condition (ecological character) of the Ramsar Site changed since the last triennium?

**11.1**  Has an assessment been made of the ecosystem benefits/services provided by the Ramsar Site?

**11.3** Have socio-economic and cultural values of wetlands been included in the management planning for the Ramsar Site?

**16.2a** Has a visitor/interpretation/education centre been established at the Ramsar Site?

**16.3a** Is stakeholder participation in decision-making promoted, especially with local stakeholder involvement in the management of the Ramsar Site?

**16.5a** Have communication mechanisms been established to share information between the Ramsar Administrative Authority and the Ramsar Site manager(s)?

| **Ramsar Site number** | **Ramsar Site name** | **5.3**  **➁** | **5.4**  **➃** | **5.7**  **➀** | **5.8a**  **➂** | **5.8b**  **➀** | **5.9**  **➀** | **7.1**  **➀** | **7.2**  **➄** | **8.4a**  **➅** | **11.1**  **➂** | **11.3**  **➃** | **16.2a**  **➀** | **16.3a**  **➀** | **16.5a**  **➀** | **Any additional comments/information about the site** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| *Ex:1603* | *Lake White* | *B – No* | *B - No* | *A - Yes* | *B - No* | *A - Yes* | *A - Yes* | *A - Yes* | *B - No* | *O – No change* | *A - Yes* | *A - Yes* | *A - Yes* | *B - No* | *D – Plan*  *ned* |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* A=Yes; B=No; D=Planned
* A=Yes; B=No; C=In Preparation
* A=Yes; B=No; C=Partly; D=Planned
* A=Yes; B=No; C=Partly; Z=No Management Plan
* A=Yes; B=No; Z=No Negative Change
* N=Status Deteriorated; O=No Change; P=Status Improved